

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/6/2016 12:00:00 AM

First name: Ken

Last name: VanDenheuvel

Organization:

Title:

Comments: So I didn't get a comment prepared, but I still have to study some more detail to write something up in a comment period. But I just wanted to stop here and make some comments that I'm a heavy user of the Colville Forest, I recreate there year-round, snowshoeing, hiking, and I'm a big supporter of wilderness. I don't like the current proposed alternative because it only has three wilderness roadless areas proposed as wilderness.

Alternative R and B both have significantly more wilderness, and I think with that it should be -- we should support that much. Alternative B is the one that was collaborated on by the Northeast Washington Forestry Council, and so I understand -- or respect that there are many users for the forest, and so that's why I would propose that alternative. I think wilderness is -- I highly value wilderness, for protecting these areas of forest, Kettle Crest, Abercrombie-Hooknose, even areas like Hall Mountain, it's very important to protect this area for connectivity for the wildlife species. Thanks.