

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/2/2016 7:00:00 AM

First name: Bob

Last name: Eugene

Organization:

Title:

Comments: One of the areas relative to the Draft Plan and Draft EIS that I have a little bit of concern with is the Wilderness Recommended Areas. I think it's somewhat overly ambitious as currently shown on the Preferred Alternative, and when I say overly ambitious, part of my concerns are that much of that proposed -- or Wilderness Recommended Area abuts to non-Forest Service managed lands. So, where there is interface with those non-Forest Service managed lands, and the Wilderness Recommended Areas, what you would end up with is that non-Forest Service managed land will have an impact on the Wilderness Recommended Area relative to how the environment interfaces through that.

And I'm also concerned with the areas of the Wilderness Recommended Areas that are adjacent to roaded areas. And, again, for the same issue, is that those areas where there are roads have an impact on wilderness recommended vegetation in the environment. So, I would recommend that there be at least a three-mile buffer between the non-Forest Service managed lands and the proximity edge of wilderness, if we absolutely need that.