

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 5/23/2016 4:05:44 PM

First name: David

Last name: Lariviere

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I believe that the preferred option is the best one for the Colville National Forest. I support this plan because it provides a healthy balance for all people who utilize the Colville National Forest. Personally, I use the Colville National Forest for hiking, horseback riding, and cross country skiing. I appreciate the remote feeling that the forest affords me. I would like to see fewer cattle and timber sales occur on Forest Service land. However I also understand that these things are not only necessary to the Colville National Forest's budget, but also to the local economy. Often times I have to drive to a trailhead, whether I'm going to hike it, Ski it or go horseback riding on it, so I would like to see the roads which are currently maintained by the Forest Service retained, not made into wilderness. I do however like the idea of backcountry areas which do not contain roads becoming wilderness. I think this is an excellent way to preserve the land for future generations without impacting the ability of people like me to recreate on them. I am not interested at all in any type of 4-wheeler, Razor, ATV, or dirt-biking on Colville National Forest land. I believe these activities are disturbing to everyone else trying to enjoy the trails. I understand that others may be interested in pursuing these forms of recreation so I recognize that there is a need to include them in the updated plan. I think it was an excellent idea by the Forest Service to limit these loud activities to small, specific areas within the forest. In conclusion, I think that the preferred plan set forth by the Forest Service offers a very healthy balance for all recreational activities and economic driven endeavors. I believe the Forest Service should move ahead with "plan P".