

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/28/2016 3:02:23 PM

First name: Rebecca

Last name: Beam

Organization:

Title:

Comments: What a repeat of the umpqua national forest in Oregon, maybe a hard look at the negative affects this has had in other forests would be a good idea. For once in the history of the United States it would be refreshing to have a government run operations actually listen with their ears and egos aside to what grazing these forests does to benefit us all. After years of no grazing in the umpqua national forest they are now going back and actually making more forage ground that use to be there so the elk and deer will hopefully stop starving to death. History repeats itself all taking the grazing away does is cost tax payers money and take money out of food hard working people's pockets. People want healthy food then quit making it impossible to raise it! Hunter, Non-hunter,vegan, or meat eater.....none of us benefit from non grazing national forest, including the wildlife. Nature does a pretty good job at managing its self until we jump in a take one of the most important parts out of it. This shouldn't be one group of people vs other people, it's another example of the government thinking they can run nature better than nature can. Cattle have been a staple part of this country forever let it be and stop trying to change that just because you don't agree with everything a rancher does. They have their reasons and you have yours let it be. You don't see them running around telling you that you have to eat meat or hunt or wear certain clothes so stop telling them where they can graze there cattle based on a stereo type. The facts show grazing is better so let it be. All its gonna do is cost us all a bunch of money, the government sure is gonna fork up the cash to manage the forest but ranchers do everyday.