

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 2/18/2016 1:41:38 PM

First name: Megan

Last name: Ciana

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I would like to add my comments to the Forest Service Revision Plan. I have spent an extensive amount of time visiting and recreating in Prince William Sound. There exists a very special uniqueness to the area that is not found elsewhere in the state, nor elsewhere in the county. To be able to experience nature in its pure and unadulterated state, and having it being located within an hour of a major city - you cannot put a price tag on this. The untouched landscape speaks volumes to those who come to visit from all over the country and the world, as untouched and unadulterated lands are extremely few and far between. Although I am not against reviewing progressive management concepts so all can use the land better, the idea of adding commercial or personal logging to the area cannot possibly be a profitable venture. The lumber is too poor, growth is too weak, and the cost of barging equipment in and trees out would in no way create a profitable business structure. And once you remove this resource, it does not grow back tomorrow. To further complicate trying to add logging to Prince William Sound, the same exact lands that recreators come to enjoy the wilderness will be subject to noise, operations, and tree removal. Is it just and correct to destroy the common areas so an additional group may have a temporary gain? Are there not better areas in the state (better lumber, easier access, unused land) to focus on logging ventures that do not negatively impact the high volume of people who are already using the area? Removing a resource is permanent! Regarding mining, it has already been done, and there is very limited amount to gain. TV shows do a great job glitterizing this concept, so let's have a reality check. My further concern is the lack of monitoring present in the Sound to make sure visitors are acting responsibly. I have seen countless camps set up by extremely irresponsible individuals without any regard or respect for the land. Allowing these same people to carry chainsaws would be detrimental. Those 3 ft tall trees that characterize the bogs are the first that campers decide to go for. Unfortunately, those little 3 foot trees are poor burning material, and take 300-500 years to grow to that 3 ft stature. But your average recreator does not know this. Nor are they going to take the time to hike over a hill to an unviewable area to take wood. Opening up lands for logging and mining would undoubtedly explode negatively without a strong and efficient policing system, and there is nothing remotely close to being in place currently, or historically. Regarding ATV use, anyone who has ever set foot on the bogs in the Sound are highly aware of the extremely delicate nature of the bog environment. ATV treads would obliterate the bogs. With the climate conditions as they are in Prince William Sound, regeneration would not take months but rather years upon years. Regarding helicopters, I can understand why this is under consideration, as small planes are offering tours to those wanting to see the area. There is a major difference between the two. Helicopters have much more maneuverability and create much more noise. A small plane can quietly and discretely view a glacier. A Helicopter can hover on top, in front, near water line, back up on top, zoom to the left, hang a right, and there is absolutely nothing quiet or inconspicuous about this mode of viewing nature. Ever live in a dorm room in college? The common areas are for all to use without negatively impacting other's use. You keep the areas clean and respectable. Noise levels stay down out of respect for others. You take consideration of others. If you use something, you put it back. There is an efficient policing system to make sure the rules are followed. This model needs to be applied here.