

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 2/11/2016 10:06:51 AM

First name: Carl

Last name: Strid

Organization:

Title:

Comments: i think Martin Hackwroth from Motorcylejazz.com said it best, although the point of his article was to inform the public which companies support WWA (and who to avoid). get informed and read his article here:

http://www.motorcylejazz.com/Getting_What_You_Bargained_For.htm

" To be perfectly clear, I am all for reasonable restrictions on access and travel in public lands because they are a resource worthy of responsible stewardship. I don't have a problem with some areas being set aside for preservation. But I'm also not for discriminating, based on dubious, ideologically-driven research, against various groups who want to enjoy public lands. As far as I'm concerned, hikers, climbers, equestrians, skiers, mountain bikers, dirt bikers and people in jeeps all pay taxes and deserve access to the public lands they pay to maintain. If you want to completely close areas for preservation, knock yourself out (good luck with that). But unless there is a damned good reason, open areas should be open to as many groups as possible, not as few as possible. It's just not up to me, you, or anyone else to decide which forms of recreation are implicitly worthy and which are not. The world is still a pretty big place, and I think that there is room in it for all of us, so long as we are not too selfish. "