



Vegetational Cover and Predation of Sage Grouse Nests in Oregon Author(s): Michael A. Gregg, John A. Crawford, Martin S. Drut and Anita K. DeLong Source: *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, Vol. 58, No. 1 (Jan., 1994), pp. 162-166 Published by: <u>Wiley</u> on behalf of the <u>Wildlife Society</u> Stable URL: <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/3809563</u>

Accessed: 29/05/2014 13:52

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Wiley and Wildlife Society are collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *The Journal* of Wildlife Management.

http://www.jstor.org

M. Scott, eds. Estimating numbers of terrestrial birds. Cooper Ornithol. Soc., Stud. Avian Biol. 6.

- U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE. 1988. Nongame bird strategies. Off. Migr. Bird Manage., Washington, D.C. 23pp.
- WILEY, J. W. 1979. The white-crowned pigeon in Puerto Rico: status, distribution and movements. J. Wildl. Manage. 43:402–413.
 - —, AND B. N. WILEY. 1979. The biology of the white-crowned pigeon. Wildl. Monogr. 64. 54pp.

WOOD, D. A. 1992. Official lists of endangered and potentially endangered fauna and flora in Florida. Florida Game and Freshwater Fish Comm., Tallahassee. 25pp.

Received 5 October 1992. Accepted 18 June 1993. Associate Editor: Sauer.

VEGETATIONAL COVER AND PREDATION OF SAGE GROUSE NESTS IN OREGON

MICHAEL A. GREGG, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331-3803 JOHN A. CRAWFORD, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331-3803 MARTIN S. DRUT, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331-3803 ANITA K. DELONG, Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331-3803

Abstract: Because of long-term declines in sage grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*) abundance and productivity in Oregon, we investigated the relationship between vegetational cover and nesting by sage grouse in 2 study areas. Medium height (40–80 cm) shrub cover was greater (P < 0.001) at nonpredated ($\bar{x} = 41\%$, n = 18) and predated ($\bar{x} = 29\%$, n = 106) nests than in areas immediately surrounding nests ($\bar{x} = 15$ and 10%, n = 18 and 106, nonpredated and predated, respectively) or random locations ($\bar{x} = 8\%$, n = 499). Tall (>18 cm), residual grass cover was greater (P < 0.001) at nonpredated nests ($\bar{x} = 6\%$) or random locations ($\bar{x} = 3\%$). There was no difference (P > 0.05) in grass cover among predated nests, nest areas, and random sites. However, nonpredated nests had greater (P < 0.001) cover of tall, residual grasses ($\bar{x} = 18\%$) and medium height shrubs ($\bar{x} = 41\%$) than predated nests ($\bar{x} = 5$ and 29% for grasses and shrubs, respectively). Removal of tall grass cover and medium height shrub cover may negatively influence sage grouse productivity.

J. WILDL. MANAGE. 58(1):162-166

Key words: Centrocercus urophasianus, habitat, nesting, Oregon, predation, reproduction, sage grouse, selection.

Sage grouse populations declined in several western states from the 1950s through the 1980s (Crawford and Lutz 1985, Klebenow 1985). In Oregon, the decrease in abundance of sage grouse was attributed to impaired productivity (Crawford and Lutz 1985). Reduced productivity may result from several factors, including excessive nest predation (Autenrieth 1981:39). Batterson and Morse (1948) and Nelson (1955) identified predation as the primary factor directly influencing sage grouse nesting success in Oregon. Although predators may be the immediate cause of nest loss, the amount and composition of vegetational cover at nests may influence predation (Bowman and Harris 1980, Redmond et al. 1982). We hypothesized that predation of sage grouse nests in Oregon was related to amount and composition of vegetational structural components

surrounding nests. Our objective was to identify vegetational characteristics at nonpredated and predated sage grouse nest sites in comparison with randomly selected locations in 2 areas of southeastern Oregon.

Funds were provided by the Bureau of Land Management through a research contract administered by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. Logistical support was supplied by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. We acknowledge J. K. Barnett and W. H. Pyle for assistance in data collection. We thank C. E. Braun, J. W. Connelly, R. L. Eng, D. A. Klebenow, K. P. Reese, and T. Rich for reviewing early drafts of this manuscript. This is Technical Paper 9839 of the Oregon Agricultural Experiment Station. Publication of this paper was supported, in part, by the Thomas G. Scott Achievement Fund.

STUDY AREAS

We conducted the study in 2 areas of southeastern Oregon: Hart Mountain National Antelope Refuge (Lake County) and Jackass Creek (Harney County). Topography of both areas consisted of flat sagebrush plains interrupted by rolling hills, ridges, and draws. Elevations ranged from 1,500 to 2,450 m at Hart Mountain and from 1,200 to 1,700 m at Jackass Creek. Mean maximum temperature (Mar-Aug) was 21 C at Hart Mountain and 24 C at Jackass Creek. Annual precipitation averaged 29 cm in both areas.

Vegetation at Hart Mountain and Jackass Creek consisted of low sagebrush (Artemisia arbuscula), big sagebrush (A. tridentata), green rabbitbrush (Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus), and western juniper (Juniperus occidentalis). Stands of aspen (Populus tremuloides), curl-leaf mountain-mahogany (Cercocarpus ledifolius), and bitter-brush (Purshia tridentata) occurred only at Hart Mountain. Common annual and perennial forbs included mountain-dandelion (Agoseris spp.), hawksbeard (Crepis spp.), milk-vetch (Astragalus spp.), lupine (Lupinus spp.), and phlox (Phlox spp.). Grasses consisted mainly of bluegrass (Poa spp.), bluebunch wheatgrass (Agropyron spicatum), needlegrass (Stipa spp.), fescue (Festuca spp.), giant wildrye (Elymus cinereus), and bottlebrush squirreltail (Sitanion *hystrix*) (plant nomenclature from Hitchcock and Cronquist [1987]).

METHODS

From summer 1988 through spring 1991, we captured (Giesen et al. 1982) female sage grouse during July-August near watering areas and during March-April on and near leks. We fitted each hen with an aluminum leg band and a poncho-mounted, solar-powered radio transmitter with a nickel-cadmium battery (Amstrup 1980). The radio package (radio and poncho) weighed approximately 25 g. Juvenile females captured during summer were not marked with radios. We monitored radio-marked hens 3 times weekly throughout the nesting season with a hand-held antenna and portable receiver. When monitoring indicated a hen initiated a nest, visual confirmation was made without intentionally flushing the hen. Subsequently, we monitored hens remotely to avoid disturbance. When monitoring indicated a hen had ceased nesting efforts, we determined nest fate. We classified nests as nonpredated if ≥ 1 egg hatched or if incubation exceeded 30 days. Predated nests were identified by the presence of firmly attached shell membranes in broken eggs or by missing eggs.

We measured vegetation in a 78-m² area (circular area with a radius of 5 m) at nonpredated nest sites after completion of incubation and at predated nest sites on predicted hatch dates. We measured vegetation at randomly selected locations during early May. We located random sites with a random numbers table, which was used to determine starting points, compass bearing, and distance traveled. The number of random locations sampled in each study area was determined by canopy cover of sagebrush and sample size requirements (Snedecor and Cochran 1967:516). We measured canopy cover (%)of shrubs by line-intercept (Canfield 1941) along 2 10-m perpendicular transects intersecting at the nest or random location. The position of the first transect was determined from a randomly selected compass bearing. We placed each intercepted shrub into 1 of 3 height classes: short (<40 cm), medium (40-80 cm), or tall (>80)cm). We based height classes on results of previous studies (Nelson 1955, Wallestad and Pyrah 1974, Autenrieth 1981:17, Wakkinen 1990). Canopy cover of shrubs was recorded separately for each height class. We estimated cover (%) of forbs and grasses in 5 20- × 50-cm plots spaced equidistantly along each transect (Daubenmire 1959). We measured maximum droop height (excluding flowering stalks) of grasses at the nest bush and at random locations throughout each study area and classified grass genera as short (<18 cm) or tall (>18 cm), following results of Wakkinen (1990). We identified shrubs to species and forbs and grasses to genus.

To determine the relationship between vegetational features and predation of sage grouse nests, we apportioned the 78-m² area in which vegetational measurements were taken at each nest into 2 components: a 3-m² area at the nest and a 75-m² area immediately surrounding the nest. We used a factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Student-Newman-Keuls multiple range tests adjusted for unequal sample sizes (Zar 1974:154) to compare vegetational characteristics among plot types (nonpredated nest and nest area, predated nest and nest area, and random location). Study area and year were additional factors in the ANOVA model to account for variation associated with spatial and tem-

poral differences. The only interactions were those for plot type by study area for forb (P =0.009) and tall grass (P < 0.001) cover. However, individual ANOVAs coupled with Student-Newman-Keuls multiple range tests for these 2 variables by study area revealed identical patterns of mean separation, which indicated that these vegetational characteristics were not confounded by study area. Consequently, we assumed plot type was independent of study area. We detected no other interactions for any vegetational characteristic. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to test for intercorrelation among variables. All data were normally distributed, and we considered results significant if $P \leq 0.05$.

RESULTS

During 3 years, we located 124 sage grouse nests (57 at Hart Mountain and 67 at Jackass Creek); 18 of these were nonpredated (11 and 7 at Hart Mountain and Jackass Creek, respectively). Sage grouse nested in big sagebrush, low sagebrush, and mixed sagebrush (mosaic of big and low sagebrush) stands. Of 18 nonpredated nests, 13 were in big sagebrush stands, whereas only 3 and 2 nonpredated nests were in low and mixed sagebrush stands, respectively. Ninetyfour percent of all nests from radio-marked hens were under sagebrush. Other vegetation used for nesting included rabbitbrush (n = 5), bitterbrush (n = 1), and giant wildrye (n = 1). Sagebrush collectively represented 87% of the shrub component in both study areas. Other shrubs included bitter-brush (6%), rabbitbrush (4%), horsebrush (Tetradymia spp.) (1%), and mountain snowberry (Symphoricarpos oreophilus) (1%). Tall grass genera included giant wildrye, wheatgrass, fescue, and needlegrass. Short grass genera consisted of bottlebrush squirreltail, junegrass (Koleria cristata), brome (Bromus spp.), and bluegrass.

Cover of tall grasses was greater (P < 0.001) at nonpredated nests than at predated nests or random locations (Table 1). No differences in grass cover were detected between predated nests and random sites. Except for one case, tall grasses at nonpredated nests were composed of residual cover.

For all nests, shrub cover of medium height was greater (P < 0.001) at nests than in the immediate area surrounding nests or random locations (Table 1). However, cover of medium height shrubs was greater (P < 0.001) at nonpredated nests than at predated nests. Furthermore, the immediate area surrounding nonpredated nest sites had greater (P < 0.001) cover of medium height shrubs than random locations. Shrub cover of short height was greater (P = 0.02) at predated nests than at random locations. Amount of tall grass was not correlated with short (r = -0.06) or medium (r = 0.12) shrub cover.

DISCUSSION

We found a relationship between vegetational cover and predation of sage grouse nests. Nonpredated nests had greater cover of tall, residual grasses and medium height shrubs than predated nests. No previous research demonstrated the value of residual grass cover at sage grouse nests, although its importance was suggested by Pyrah (1971) and Wakkinen (1990). Wakkinen (1990) reported data about grass height and nest fate but found no relationships. Our data, however, indicated that tall, residual grass cover may enhance sage grouse nest success. Grass cover was identified as an important nesting habitat component for other galliformes, including California quail (Callipepla californica) (Leopold 1977: 168), Attwater prairie-chickens (Tympanuchus cupido attwateri) (Lehman 1941:14), and plains sharp-tailed grouse (T. phasianellus jamesi) (Hillman and Jackson 1973:24). Lehman (1941: 14) noted that all prairie-chicken nests he located were in residual grass cover. The presence of tall, residual grass cover influenced nest site selection and nest predation rates of gray partridge (Perdix perdix) in Great Britain (Rands 1982).

We also demonstrated the importance of medium height shrub cover to successful nesting sage grouse. Wallestad and Pyrah (1974) found that successful nests had greater sagebrush cover than unsuccessful nests. Contrastingly, Autenrieth (1981:20) and Wakkinen (1990) found no relationship between canopy cover of sagebrush and nest fate. Hulet et al. (1986) reported that successful nests were located in areas of less shrub cover and shorter height sagebrush than nests that were predated.

Tall, dense, vegetational cover may provide scent, visual, and physical barriers between predators and nests of ground-nesting birds (Bowman and Harris 1980, Redmond et al. 1982, Sugden and Beyersergen 1987, Crabtree et al. 1989). Greater amounts of tall grasses and medium height shrubs at successful sage grouse

Characteristic	Nonpredated $(n = 18)$				$\frac{\text{Predated}}{(n = 106)}$				Pan	lom
	Nesta		Nest area ^b		Nest		Nest area		$\begin{array}{l} \text{Random} \\ (n = 499) \end{array}$	
	Î	SE		SE	ĩ	SE	ź	SE		SE
Grass cover										
Short, <18 cm Tall, >18 cm	6A ^c 18A	1.1 5.5	7A 6B	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 \\ 2.0 \end{array}$	6A 5B	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7 \\ 1.2 \end{array}$	8A 3B	0.5 0.6	8A 3B	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$
Forb cover	8A	1.2	10A	1.4	9A	0.9	9A	0.5	9A	0.3
Shrub cover Short, <40 cm Medium, 40-80 cm Tall, >80 cm	14AB 41A 1A	$3.9 \\ 5.2 \\ 0.7$	15AB 15B 1A	$2.7 \\ 3.3 \\ 0.7$	19B 29C 4A	1.9 2.1 1.2	17AB 10BD 1A	1.0 1.0 0.3	14A 8D 3A	0.4 0.4 0.3

Table 1. Vegetational characteristics (% cover) at nonpredated and predated nests and areas immediately surrounding nests of radio-marked sage grouse, and random locations in southeastern Oregon, 1989–91.

^a 3-m² area at nest

^b 75-m² area immediately surrounding nest.

^c Means with same letter within rows were not different $P \ge 0.05$.

nests likely provided the lateral and overhead concealment needed for security from predators. Nests lacking adequate cover were more likely to be predated. Our results confirmed the hypothesis of a relationship between vegetational cover and predation, but further investigation, in the form of controlled experimental tests, is needed to elucidate this principle.

MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

Land management practices that decrease tall grass and medium height shrub cover at potential nest sites may be detrimental to sage grouse populations because of increased nest predation. Livestock grazing remains the most common and widespread use of rangelands in Oregon and is the principal land management practice and proximate factor that affects grass cover and height (Rickard et al. 1975). Grazing of tall grasses to <18 cm would decrease their value for nest concealment. Land management practices that affect medium height shrub cover include eradication of sagebrush for agricultural production, increased livestock forage, urban development, and mining activities (Klebenow 1972, 1985; Braun et al. 1977). Habitats that support the amount and type of grass cover needed for successful sage grouse nesting typically contain 8-12% shrub cover in Wyoming big sagebrush (A. t. wyomingensis) stands and 15-20% shrub cover in mountain (A. t. vaseyana) or basin (A. t. tridentata) big sagebrush stands (Winward 1991). Management activities should allow for maintenance of tall, residual grasses or, where necessary, restoration of grass cover within these stands.

LITERATURE CITED

- AMSTRUP, S. C. 1980. A radio-collar for game birds. J. Wildl. Manage. 44:214–217.
- AUTENRIETH, R. E. 1981. Sage grouse management in Idaho. Idaho Dep. Fish and Game, Boise. Wildl. Bull. 9. 238pp.
- BATTERSON, W. M., AND W. B. MORSE. 1948. Oregon sage grouse. Oregon State Game Comm., Portland. Fauna Ser. 1. 29pp.
- BOWMAN, G. B., AND L. D. HARRIS. 1980. Effect of spatial heterogeneity on ground-nest depredation. Ecol. Monogr. 38:269–308.
- BRAUN, C. E., T. BRITT, AND R. O. WALLESTAD. 1977. Guidelines for maintenance of sage grouse habitats. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 5:99–106.
- CANFIELD, R. H. 1941. Application of the line interception method in sampling of range vegetation. J. For. 39:386–394.
- CRABTREE, R. L., L. S. BROOME, AND M. L. WOLFE. 1989. Effects of habitat characteristics on gadwall nest predators and nest-site selection. J. Wildl. Manage. 53:129–137.
- CRAWFORD, J. A., AND R. S. LUTZ. 1985. Sage grouse population trends in Oregon, 1941–1983. Murrelet 66:69–74.
- DAUBENMIRE, R. F. 1959. A canopy-coverage method of vegetation analysis. Northwest Sci. 33: 224–227.
- GIESEN, K. M., T. J. SCHOENBERG, AND C. E. BRAUN. 1982. Methods for trapping sage grouse in Colorado. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 10:224–231.
- HILLMAN, C. N., AND W. W. JACKSON. 1973. The sharp-tailed grouse in South Dakota. South Dakota Dep. Game, Fish, and Parks, Pierre. Tech. Bull. 3. 64pp.
- HITCHCOCK, C. L., AND A. CRONQUIST. 1987. Flora of the Pacific Northwest. Sixth ed. Univ. Washington Press, Seattle. 730pp.
- HULET, B. V., J. T. FLINDERS, J. S. GREEN, AND R. B. MURRAY. 1986. Seasonal movements and habitat selection of sage grouse in southern Idaho. Pages 168–175 in E. D. McArthur and B. L. Welch, eds. Proc. symposium of the biology of

Artemisia and Chrysothamnus. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-200. 398pp.

- KLEBENOW, D. A. 1972. The habitat requirements of sage grouse and the role of fire in management. Proc. Tall Timbers Tire Ecol. Conf. 12:305–315.
 ——. 1985. Habitat management for sage grouse in Nevada. World Pheasant Assoc. J. 10:34–46.
- LEHMAN, V. W. 1941. Attwater's prairie chicken: its life history and management. U.S. Fish and Wildl. Serv. North Am. Fauna 57. 63pp.
- LEOPOLD, A. S. 1977. The California quail. Univ. California Press, Berkeley. 281pp.
- NELSON, O. C. 1955. A field study of the sage grouse in southeastern Oregon with special reference to reproduction and survival. M.S. Thesis, Oregon State Univ., Corvallis. 113pp.
- PYRAH, D. B. 1971. Sage grouse habitat research in central Montana. Proc. West. Assoc. State Game and Fish Comm. 51:293–300.
- RANDS, M. R. 1982. The influence of habitat on the population ecology of partridge. Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Oxford, U.K. 350pp.
- REDMOND, G. W., D. M. KEPPIE, AND P. W. HERZOG. 1982. Vegetative structure, concealment, and success at nests of two races of spruce grouse. Can. J. Zool. 60:670–675.
- RICKARD, W. H., D. W. URESK, AND J. F. CLINE. 1975. Impact of cattle grazing on three peren-

nial grasses in south-central Washington. J. Range Manage. 28:108–112.

- SNEDECOR, G. W., AND W. G. COCHRAN. 1967. Statistical methods. Sixth ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames. 507pp.
- SUGDEN, L. G., AND G. W. BEYERSERGEN. 1987. Effect of nesting cover and density on American crow predation on simulated duck nests. J. Wildl. Manage. 51:481-485.
- WAKKINEN, W. L. 1990. Nest site characteristics and spring-summer movements of migratory sage grouse in southeastern Idaho. M.S. Thesis, Univ. Idaho, Moscow. 57pp.
- WALLESTAD, R. O., AND D. B. PYRAH. 1974. Movement and nesting of sage grouse hens in central Montana. J. Wildl. Manage. 38:630–633.
- WINWARD, A. H. 1991. A renewed commitment to management of sagebrush grasslands. Pages 2–7 in Management in the sagebrush steppe. Agric. Exp. Stn. Spec. Rep. 880. Oregon State Univ., Corvallis. 48pp.
- ZAR, J. H. 1974. Biostatistical analysis. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 620pp.

Received 29 July 1992.

Accepted 20 April 1993.

Associate Editor: Morrison.