US Forest Service 1400 Independence Ave., SW Washington, D.C.

Submitted via Forest Service Website: <u>https://cara.fs2c.usda.gov/Public/CommentInput?Project=50036</u>

Mountain Valley Pipeline and Equitrans Expansion Project Supplemental EIS #50036

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

Please accept these additional 1,742 public comments from members and online activists of the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) urging the Forest Service to protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and we urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Here are some reasons why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start with, this revised statement still does not adequately consider the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. On behalf of both myself and our members – a total of 3,195 since the comment period opened in December – thank you.

Sincerely, Sarah

SARAH R. MEDEIROS (she/her) Eastern Digital Campaigns Manager

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL & NRDC ACTION FUND Washington, DC O: 202-836-9397

SMEDEIROS@NRDC.ORG NRDC.ORG | NRDCACTIONFUND.ORG Page 1 of 578

Index	First Name	Last Name	City		Postal Code	Submitted Comment Dear Dr. Wilkes, I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. to the Mountain Valley Pipeline. It is inconsistent with the Forest Plan. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
1	George	Viveiros	N Kingstow n		02852- 6430	the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
						Dear Dr. Wilkes, I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
2	Jaime	Wells				Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you. Dear Dr. Wilkes, Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
3	Manuel	Fiadeiro	Fairfax	VA	22030	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you. Dear UT. WIRKES, Are you CRAZY???!!! Do not do this
						On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
4	l Ryan	Dick				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.

Page 2 of 578

		As a 4th generation Son of the Valley and child of Appalachia, respectfully what the flying falcon is wrong with you and yours for entertaining this environmental tragedy?! On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Showker	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
5 David	IV	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow
		As a lifelong resident of West Virginia, I have seen the destruction that pipelines construction exacts on the land. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
6 Francis	Slider Davis WV	26260 Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. Dear Dr. Wilkes,
		As a proud North Carolinian, I am incredibly concerned about this project. It would be devastating for the forest. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
Catherin 7 e	Mendoza Charlotte NC	28277 Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.

Page 3 of 578

					As a resident who lives 10 miles away from the Blue Ridge Parkway, and cares deeply about preserving this natural land, I urge you to stand strong and protect the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		14/00-00-0			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
8 Jennifer	Morley	Weaverv ille	NC	28787	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.
					As an environmental scientist and public health professional I urge you to take action against this project which threatens our environment and surrounding communities for generations to come. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			01/	923-	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
9 Joshua	Lowman	Danvers			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow
					As more people install electric heat pumps, induction stoves, and as more solar and wind energy come on-line gas is not needed. Gas is a potent greenhouse gas and warms the climate. We are already feeling the effects. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Chanad			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
10 Suzy	Lawrenc e	Chapel Hill	NC	27516	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.

Page 4 of 578

	As someone who lives in the area affected by this ill-conceived pipeline, I highly object to the greed and risk taking involved, the damage already done to our forests and water, and future damage that will occur - because ALL pipelines LEAK!
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
11 Elaine Becker Roanoke VA 24018	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. Dear Dr. WIIKes,
	As you know, on December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest.
	Enough already! This is a bad idea on many levels. I live near this environmental monster & can witness the devastation. This is to provide fracked gas for a relatively short time and this country (world) needs to push towards renewables now!
	I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
New 24127- 12 Martha Dillard Castle VA 7842	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. the Jefferson National Forest being used as a gas pipeline corridor. With so many disasters resulting from our reckless pursuit of "cheap" fossil fuel energy—whether ecosystem destruction, polluted air and water, or utter climate chaos the world over, it should be abundantly clear that it is past time for any new fossil fuel projects like this one.
	Forest protections should not be sacrificed for this project, which is not in the public interest.
	In December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
Charlotte 13 Peggy Gilges sville VA 22901	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.

Page 5 of 578

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

15 Caryn

Being educated and i would assume well read you & I both kn ow that the fracking operations alone are causing irreparable damage to our home and its resources. Carving the swath through our forest and defacing one of our national treasures to only enhance & further this process is ludacris. Please reject the request to dismember the Jefferson National Forest, the damage would be irreparable. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pineline's construction Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities - and the water upon which they depend - to a wide array of environmental dangers. 14 James Haynes Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. environment, our climate, and our lives? On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities - and the water upon which they depend - to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. Mc MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Brown Dowell VA 24458 this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. I write today to once again express my reservation about the Mountain Valley Pipeline that will jeopardize the Jefferson National Forrest by breaching the land and water of my home stzte, Virginia. I am vehemently opposed to fracking and fear the pollution and depletion of natural resources that accompany fracking! On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson Nationafranking! I write to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pineline's construction Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities - and the water upon which they depend - to a wide array of environmental dangers. Williams 23185-Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. 16 Kimberly McHugh burg VA 5354 The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Page 6 of 578

17 Nicola Thar		construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline (IVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction within the ydepend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, for the second protein communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply lawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. Or Wilkes, I hope one morning a bird outside your window will vibrate your conscience: will vibrate your conscience: Lost to doing with less Losk to Europe and refocus:
		Exodus 34:6-7 " but who will by no means clear the guilty, visiting the iniquity of the fathers on the children and the children's children, to the third and the fourth generation."
	١	Your shortsightedness and lack of reverence for Creation will haunt countless generations. And you will not be blameless.
	é t	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you coday to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	r	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
18 Cindy Fogle	l	astly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.
	(Greetings,
		'm contacting you from Tualatin, Oregon to urge you to block construction of the Mountain Valley Pipeline in the Jefferson National Forest.
		This project has already severely damaged clean water sources across Appalachia, threatening local drinking water supplies and resulting n over 300 environmental infractions.
19 Elizabeth Willis	F	Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1 (the No Action alternative), and reject any changes to the Forest Plan.

	Hear me now! I hope you will read this. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
20545	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28646- 20 Thomas Webb Linville NC 0095	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow
	Hello Forest Service protectors! A chance to shine. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
McLintoc Waynesv 21 David k ille NC 2878	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. 35 The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
22 Alaya Morning	Hello, I'm contacting you from 05602 urging you to block the construction of the Mountain Valley Pipeline in the Jefferson National Forest. This project has already severely damaged clean water sources across Appalachia, threatening local drinking water supplies and resulting in over 300 environmental infractions. Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

			Hello, I'm contacting you from zip code 98683 requesting you to please stop the construction of the Mountain Valley Pipeline in the Jefferson National Forest.
			As you know, this project has already severely damaged clean water sources across Appalachia and threatens local drinking water supplies, resulting in over 300 environmental infractions. This is unacceptable.
			I request you to please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. We need National Forests not only to protect innumerable species and ecosystems, but also to fight climate change.
			Please do the right thing.
23 Jacob	Salzer		·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			Hello. To whom it may concern, the date of December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines. This act enables a "fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline", to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1. This is the, "No Action alternative". The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			By allowing this Pipeline's construction, within the Jefferson National Forest, will threaten the forest, AND the integrity of the forest. It exposes local communities, AND the water upon which they depend. THIS ALL GOES to a wide array of environmental dangersSo please consider this, before it's too late Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions. Yes. They have been IGNORED. Ignored about the lands that they live on, AND "rely on". The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Jesse 24 Daniel	Varner		MVP is over-budget, AND past tge deadline. They are also dismissive of frontline communities, and making matters extremely
			HOW ANY NATURE LOVER, NO LESS FOREST OFFICIAL COULD APPROVE ANY PIPELINE WITH ITS INEVITABLE LEAKS, BREAKS AND CRACKS SPEWING TOXIC MATERIAL INTO OUR WATERS, ON OUR LAND AND IN OUR COMMUNITIES - IT IS JUST UNTHINKABLE!!!!! STOP THIS PIPELINE BEFORE IT EVEN GETS STARTED!!!! PROTECT OUR FORESTS!!!!! SAVE IT FOR YOUR GRANDCHILDREN!!!!!
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
25 Diane	Berlin	Charlotte sville VA	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local 22911 communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Page 9 of 578

		I am against the pipeline. Jefferson,NC is my favorite place to visit and hope to live there one day. A pipeline is a horrible idea.
		**On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
26 Hannal	h Rogers	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		I am asking you to protect drinking water for all people. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27 Jennife	Adrian- er Thiroux Ashland OR	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 97520 this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		I am begging you to stand up for our community, our children, and our home.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28 Amy	Tesich	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

Page 10 of 578

			I am very concerned about the fracked gas line proposal that was revised on December 23, 2022 by the U.S. Forest Service to bring the line through the Jefferson national forest. I know some men don't care about environmental issues, but I'm hopeful that you aren't one of them. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. I have a personal friend that was in her mother's womb at camp Lejeune and she has horrible effects from the water contamination. We all know someone whose lives have been negatively impacted so someone else can benefit. I believe this project has immense impacts that will negatively impact generations of humans and wildlife.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
29 Carley	Bodily		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
			I am writing to urge you to GO GREEN instead of even considering additional pipelines. They leak and pollute our environment. America and the world need carbonless alternatives. Be the leader on this issue!
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
30 S	Ch Doetsch esv	arlote ville VA 2	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. 2901
			I concur with the people at the NRDC. It is hard to accept that new pipelines must be built at all, much less through such areas as national forests. To do so takes us further in the wrong direction.
			Rick Mabry (US citizen) Kempten, Germany
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
31 Richard	Mabry Kei	mpten NC 8	7437 Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local

Page 11 of 578

			I drive from Wythe county to Roanoke weekly following I-81. The scar of the proposed pipeline route is there for all to see. A completed pipeline is a disaster waiting to happen. There is no su chi thing as a leak proof pipeline.	
			Protect the Jefferson National Forest. Many people besides myself enjoy recreating in these beautiful hills. This overpriced project needs to be abandoned.	
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
32 Marde	Clardy		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local	
			I enjoy hikes within the Jefferson National Forest. But I am troubled by the latest threat to that beautiful area. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
33 James	Roberts Fairfax	VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 22030 this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
			Dear Dr. Wilkes,	
			I hope this email finds you well. I write to urge the First Service to protect Jefferson National Forest and the wildlife, water, land, and nearby communities.	
			As I understand it, a revised Environmental Impact Statement would allow the construction of the Mountain Valley Pipeline.	
			This would be detrimental to the wildlife, water, land, and nearby communities. It appears to be totally at odds with the mission of the First Service.	
			Local communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and depend. The Forest Service must do better to work with these communities.	
			Please do not permit the construction of the Mountain Valley Pipeline.	
		_	Your time and consideration is appreciated.	
34 Mary	Greensb Luckhaus oro	274 NC 374		

Page 12 of 578

					I live near the national forests. I have been adjacent to the LODs of the MVP many times. I have witnessed first hand the destruction and the absolute disregard they have for the flora, fauna, pristine waterways, and citizens in and around your forests. STOP THE DESTRUCTION before it ruins the very territory you are charged with protecting. We, the people, and the earth we depend upon, are counting on you! YET On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
35 Susan	Mead				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.
					I love visiting National Forests. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
36 Monica	Kleimeye r	2			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					Dear Dr. Wilkes,
					I think the Pipeline should be allowed. It's a highly proven form of moving oil that is safe and environmentally friendly. Please continue to fight for the Pipeline and energy independence
37 Jax	Winsloe				Regards, Dr. Jax Winsloe PhD Environmental Sciences
					Dear Dr. Wilkes,
					I urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative encause the Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Local communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Brooke 38 Johnson	Suiter	Winston Salem	NC	27104	Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

					I was very dismayed to learn that on December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
39 Carol	Moore	Beaverto n		97008- 6734	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of local communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					i will remind that the Jefferson National Forest is the people's land and not to be given to for-profit, short-sighted interests. As a Virginian and US citizen, I strongly oppose any allowance for disruption or destruction for a pipeline. Forests are living entities that give us fresh air, filter our water and house wildlife. Live up to your name and be of service to the forest, which will outlive us only if we protect it at every generation.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				23508-	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
40 J L	Greeley	Norfolk	VA		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.
					I write as a political Moderate, a trained economist, an AARP member, a voter, and a donor. I have lived in West Virginia for more than 3 decades now, living with the environmental degradation and negative health consequences generated by fossil-fuel industry activity.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
41 Harlan	Smith	Huntingt on		25701- 5303	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Page 14 of 578

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

					I've been watching this debacle of a project develop over the last several years. It seems nobody is paying attention to the crux of the matter, which is that West Virginia's mountainous terrain is not at all suited to accommodate pipelines in a safe manner. It's only a matter of time before a leak occurs. This means potential serious damage to earth, air, and water, the latter of which means endangering animals that live in those waters.
					This was a badly conceived idea from the get-go and has been opposed by West Virginians as well as Virginians who are more concerned about protecting our fragile and unique ecosystems than on increasing the profits of out-of-state fossil fuel corporations.
					Our own US senators voice support for this pipeline, their reasons giving voice to hollow allusions to national energy security. In reality, the gas would be sent to market, pure and simple, well not so pure in my mind.
Stephani 42 e	Hysmith	Charlest on	wv	25311	Please put a halt to this threat to the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.
					I'm concerned about the lack of response to the people who live near and on this land and who love the Jefferson National Forest and all the life it holds. ANY digging and destruction disrupts living systems - this pipeline destroys. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Richmon	232	77 -	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
43 Pamela	Turner	d	VA 361		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Dear Dr. Wilkes,
					I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the Jefferson National Forest. Please select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative to the Mountain Valley Pipeline.
					Fracked gas has no place in a National Forest; it's not a case of whether something could happen to risk the water, land, and wildlife, it is just a matter of time when a guaranteed catastrophe, that MVP will not solve, will happen.
					MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
44 Rio	Kerr				Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you. Dear Dr. Wilkes,
					I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments. We all need clean water to live. We need trees for oxygen and to help filter our air. We need animals and plants to eat, ones that haven't been contaminated.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose the water to contaminants.
45 Brooke	Frost				Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

Page 15 of 578

Dear	Dr	Wilkes.

		I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		This revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
46 Julie	Damerel Rocheste I r NY	Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. 14618 Thank you.
		Dear Dr. Wilkes,
		I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		This revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with the communities impacted to fully understand how this will affect their lives.
47 Eleanor	Lewis	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.
		Dear Dr. Wilkes,
		I'm writing to you today to urge you to protect the Jefferson National Forest by rejecting the Mountain Valley Pipeline. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose the area to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
48 Allison	Kirstukas	Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

Page 16 of 578

			Incidents and accidents with gas pipelines occur on a weekly basis. Newer pipelines are even more likely to have problems; because they are largely unregulated. It would be ridiculous if it weren't so infuriating. Literally. They cause fires and explosions and evacuations and injuries and deaths. Why should we entrust our pristine public lands to corporations that obviously care more about profit than they do about safety?
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Ostrande Black	chu	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
49 Ken	r rg	VA	24060 Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.
			Jefferson Forest is the first place I found myself. It's a central part of my life and my heart. I've taken my family and friends there. I went there with my recently passed uncle. I've been there when I need solitude.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
50 Nathan	Conting		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
50 Natian	Geening		Dear Dr. Wilkes,
			JNF is a legacy to leave future generations. My children and I spend hours each week in the forest, playing in Big Stoney Creek or Sinking Creek, admiring rhododendron thickets, learning about plant and animal relationships and natural erosion. So many places in our world have been ruined, tainted, we can't let this one be too. Please protect this forest. It is still recovering from heavy logging in the 1800s, our nation deserves to have this treasure preserved.
			Please continue the forest service mission; care for the land and serve the people. The people, not the organizations. The people don't want this pipeline, the people want a forest free of dangerous chemicals where we can rest and teach our children about nature and admire the beauty of the Appalachian mountains.
51 Emily	Fields		Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

Page 17 of 578

	My best memories of growing up in Virginia are the Jefferson National Forest. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Dr. 52 Susan Schmidt	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. Dear Dr. Wilkes,
	My understanding is that the US Forest Service is trying to allow a large pipeline through the Jefferson National Forest. Please stop & re- consider. Our National Forests are our treasures and shouldn't be subjected to ruthless transgressions against their sovereignty. The forest belongs to the people not to for-profit corporations.
	Thanks for listening.
53 William Yoder Raleigh NC 27	William Yoder '613 Raleigh, NC
	. National Forests belong to the Nation, not to oil and gas companies. We hold them in trust for future generations.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
54 Eileen McCorry Pittsboro NC 27	312 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow
	Of all the long list of insanely dumb, anti-Earth, pro-corporate schemes USFS has initiated, handled for Wall Street and tried to foist on us, this one ranks way up at the topor bottom, viewed by the level of harm (and don't forget the stupidity factor). When it should be rapidly and resolutely moving out of the clutches of the fossil fuels dinosaur, welfare-bum corporate Earth haters, and embracing and enabling the implementationfinally-of renewable, sustainable clean energy sources and deliveryas the great majority of people around the world are demanding, your bureaucracy is still stuck deep in Wall Street's collective pocket.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
28210 55 TP Jeffrey Charlotte NC 7025	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Page 18 of 578

	Please act in the best interest of the Jefferson National Forest's flora and fauna and select the "No Action" option. We need the forest intact much more than we need a pipeline that will only contribute to the climate crisis. Thank you for your service.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
56 Teresa Ladd Pittsboro NC	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. 27312
	Please don't allow this risk, just for the sake of a few bucks. Stand up for the true mission of the Forest Service! On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Meredit Pearisbu 57 h Goodrich rg VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 24134 this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	Please don't let financial interests turn you away from your true mission. We need you all to protect our foests. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Meredit Pearisbu 58 h Goodrich rg VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 24134 this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				Page 19 of 578
				Please help protect the Jefferson National Forest for generations to come. I want my two children to be both be safe from contaminated waters and to be able to experience the beauty that is this country.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
59 Julien	Caulat			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
59 Julien	Goulet			
				Please make good decisions.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		mashani		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
60 Josh	Pucci	mechani csville	VA 2311	16 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow
				Dear Dr. Wilkes,
				Please protect our beautiful mountains and lands that we and our ancestors have been so fortunate to love and enjoy. Let's keep it healthy and wild as we can so we and our future generations may have the same benefit, and also, benefit all those critters out there big and small. It's a magical place that deserves as much protection as we can give it from humanity's push to exploit every inch of our planet.
				Here's the standard text I suppose I should include, but you know what to do: Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan.
61 Wayne	Penning on	t		Cheers, Wayne_
				Please protect the Jefferson National Forest from the significant potential and enduring risks that accompany the very short term gains of continued fracking/fossil fuel extraction and transport. We need to apply every effort and development dollar towards clean, sustainable energy and let the Forest continue (unhindered) to do the many important things it does best.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
62 Karen	Searle	Weaverv ille	28787- NC 9579	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Page 20 of 578

		Alexandr			Dear Dr. Wilkes,
63 Christine	Davey	ia	VA	22314	Please protect the Jefferson National Forest, select the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.
					Please really consider the consequences this is gonna have on the environment and disruptive consequences on the wildlife. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
64 Zachary	Coble				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					Please select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
					MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
65 James	Schall	Asheville	NC	28801	Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.
					PLEASE, just do What is Right, for the land, people, wildlife and environment it is just that simple. Once you rape Mother Nature, you can't fix it.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
66 Susan	Wagner	Richmon d		23231	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Page 21 of 578

	Preserve and protect our public landsfor our children and generations to come. Please! On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Henders 67 Walter Kross onville NC 2879	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 2 this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	PROTECT OUR FOREST! YOU'RE ILLEGALLY IGNORING THE DAMAGE THAT THIS ILLEGAL PIPELINE WILL CAUSE.
	Protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
68 Sherrill Futrell Davis CA 9561	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	The beauty of unspoiled wilderness is not only medicinal for the human psyche it is essential for our wildlife, already threatened by habitat fragmentation and pollution. I urge you to do what is best for generations to come and protect our invaluable natural resouces and Jefferson National Forest. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
69 Morgan Ferri	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

Page 22 of 578

				The following statements concisely express my opinion. We do not need this to support our energy needs. Please stop this project! On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
70 Larry	Querrey	,		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				The Jefferson National Forest is a gem that deserves to be protected. Pipelines leak. The streams in Jefferson is one of the remaining trout streams. The wildlife depends on a clean environment.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
71 Valarie	Boughm an	I		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Dear Dr. Wilkes,
				The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and with a healthy energy future. I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
72 Loren	Smith			Thank you.
				The US Forest Service is too accommodating to this fracked gas pipeline at the expense of the forest, wildlife, water resources and the communities nearby that depend on people visiting the area to partake of the beauty and solace only an intact forest can provide.
				I urge you to reject a permit for Mountain Valley Pipeline.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Dishmer	23225-	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
73 Suzanne	Keller	Richmon d V	23225- A 1814	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.

	This message may contain look like everyone else's, but it has my heart in it as a resident of the impacted area. I do not condone fracking nor pipelines that are unnecessary. Every pipeline that I have researched do little to help the US's strive for energy. Instead they contain material that is shipped overseas, and the pipes themselves are very prone to leakage which is detrimental to flora, fauna and humans. Now on to the standard thoughts. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
74 Kathleen Maggio	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	Though many people have likely responded more eloquently than I can, I hope you will please read through my thoughts in this letter. I can perhaps understand how the lack of forestry experience in your background might make it harder to immediately understand this particular situation.
	The latest appalling development in the MVP saga came on December 23, 2022, when the U.S. Forest Service revised an environmental impact statement to propose new construction guidelines which would enable the Mountain Valley Pipeline (for gas) to be built within the Jefferson National Forest.
	I'm writing today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject all eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	The National Forest belongs to all American Citizens. The Forest Service has a duty to protect the Forest for all Americans including innumerable future generations. To grant permission to any private, for-profit corporation to deliberately damage any part of the People's Forests is unconscionable and completely contrary to the mission of the Forest Service.
75 Christine Asmann	The parties behind MVP are arrogantly dismissive of every human being in it's path. MVPs construction alone is harmful to our groundwater, karst topology and surface flora and fauna, never mind the risks once it's in operation. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy some 85 acres of the Jefferson National Forest.
	Unfortunately on December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions immediately
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	You cannot allow the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest because it would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers not to mention it is just wrong.
28012- 76 Doris Glecer Belmont NC 7713	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. We must protect the last wild places and cannot afford to decrease in any way our environment. I think our planet has suffered enough it's time to do the opposite of what has been done in the past.

Page 24 of 578

			We are already starting to see the effects of climate change, and as a young person, I fear for my future every day. The Mountain Valley Pipeline cannot proceed if we are interested in building a sustainable, safe future. Please, for the sake of young people across the world, reject this expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure. The safety and stability of the coming decades depends on people like you making smart decisions to protect us.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
77 Emma	DiLavore		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.
			Dear Dr. Wilkes,
			We are stewards of the earth, of our communities, the wildlife, and waters below our soil. Gas fracking is temporary. The extraction is finite, the gas lines will run dry but the damage done is irreparable. It's 3.5 miles of destruction of pristine land set aside for ours and future generations to enjoy. Gas is a devastatingly costly expenditure with a short shelf life. There are sustainable ways to generate energy.
		07600	I urge you to choose Alternative 1, the No Action plan. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan. One behalf of all the citizens and communities in this region of the Commonwealth of Virginia, I trust you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions and protect the Jefferson National Forest and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you!
78 India	Sha Watkins Val	 37688 N 5254	- Sincerely,
			We have all seen with dismay and broken hearts the destruction of our planet by those of us who may love the Earth but do not recognize what we must do to protect and preserve this precious gift. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I call on you to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I plead with you to assure the rejection of the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			I am sure you must understand why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. Frighteningly, this revised statement still does not adequately address the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
Lillion			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Unfortunately, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must fully understand the consequences of the construction's impact and the loss to those communities and to us all.
Lillian 79 Vicky	Griffin		This destructive project is already over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our

Page 25 of 578

				We have to stop burning things. We have all the tech right now to heat and cool without burning any fuel. Sunlight and wind are free. Geothermal heat exchanges are also no cost fuels. Weatherization of buildings make them more efficient. No more pipe lines leaking methane and destroying our future. Especially in park land! December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
80 Kimberly	Hornung- Marcy		VT	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. 5495 The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
				We live in an area surrounded by JNF. This area badly needs cell service, and the only place to put a tower is on JNF.
				The phone company is not allowed to put a tower in the National Forest, yet you are cutting an ugly swath completely through the JNF that is of absolutely no benefit to anyone in this area.
				This pipeline will transport FRACKED fuel from somewhere up north to somewhere down south. All we get is an eyesore, a threat to our health and a threat to our environment.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
81 Milton	Davis	Dublin	VA 24	4084 Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local
				We love the unspoiled beauty of the Jefferson National Forest, and cannot believe that this is still a thing when we should be concentrating on renewable energy. We strongly oppose this pipeline project.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
82 Lynn	Caldwell			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Page 26 of 578

					We need to preserve mountain communities and the fragile habitat around them. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
83 Polly	Harris	Durham	NC	27701- 1915	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					When does this craziness stop! Nothing is leakproof. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
84 Dale	Lorens				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. Dear Dr. Wilkes,
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement proposing new construction guidelines allowing Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest.
					This should not be permitted.
					The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, endangers their WATER supply, and is broadly dangerous to our environment. Do not allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		Weaver		20202	Reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Be responsible to the local communities and the planet!
85 Carole	Travis	Weaverv ille	NC	28787- 0566	Thank you.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
86 Rachael	Denny	Bradlev	СА	93426	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We should not allow this deeply flawed project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 5
oo nachaci	Denny	bradicy	CA	55420	
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction
					guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
07 Educad	Courses	Catawha		24070	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
87 Edward	Savage	Calawba	VA	24070	
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
88 Jane	Carroll	Swannan oa		28778- 3404	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 28 of 578

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow	
revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow	
Alexandr this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 89 Angelica Freitag ia VA 22310	
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Huntingt this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 90 Gale Kelsey on WV 25705	
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Alexandr this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 91 Laura Lavertu ia VA 22303	

Page 29 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Alexandr 22315- 92 Jennifer Tate ia VA 3470	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
93 Kristin Spivey Chantilly VA 2015;	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Gloucest 94 Robyn Farschon er VA 2306:	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. I

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
95 Melinda Scott	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Richmon 96 Theresa Domhan d VA 23235	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Chernuta Shady 25918- 97 Carolyne n Spring WV 8634	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Purcellvil 98 William Aiton le VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 20132
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
99 Sue Crotts	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the NA Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
100 Michael Lacome Carefree AZ	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 85377

Page 32 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
101 He		Anantha krishnan	Arlington VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
102 K	(Quinn	Rolesvill e NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
103 Ha	irry (Gedney	roanoke VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
104 Severn	Kellam	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
105 Klef	De Gregorio Milan VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 20146
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
106 Crystal	Springfie Polk Id VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22153

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Be protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
107 Joyce Robinson Tazewell VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24651
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
108 Shannon Kanner Pamplin VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23958
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Chapel : 109 Lynne Kane Hill NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 27517- this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 7215

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Smithfiel 23430- 110 Diane Hinson d VA 1345	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
111 Barbara Abraham Hampton VA 23661	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
McIllwai Granite 28630- 112 Beverly n Falls NC 8807	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
113 Kendra	Sherrod	Asheville NC	28804- 2407	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				The Jefferson National Forest was established to preserve the integrity of the forest and its ecosystem and to restore and protect water quality and water resources. Construction of the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) is contrary to this. There have already been over 500 water quality violations in Virginia and West Virginia since the project began.
				In addition, MVPs proposal does not take into account the effects on climate change. Climate change is recognized by scientists worldwide as an existential threat that requires immediate and continuing action. Cutting additional trees and fragmenting forest lands for the pipeline will exacerbate the problem, but even more significant, the leaking of methane that will result from the pipeline and increasing the use of fossil fuels will be devastating to the planet.
114 Robert	Johnson			Finally, the revised proposal does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
115 Teresa	Bratton	Greensb oro NC	27408	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 37 of 578

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
116 Lindsay Pugh	Richmon d VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23220
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
117 Sally Tucker	Charlotte sville VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22903
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
118 Donald Walsh	Alexandr ia VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22314

Page 38 of 578

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
119 Kathy	Stark	Charlotte sville VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22902
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
120 Isabel	Cervera	Salisbury NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28147
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
121 Taryn	Parry	Purcellvil Ie VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 20132

Page 39 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Blacksbu 122 Caitlin Woodson rg VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24060
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Springfie 123 Annette Miller Id VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22150
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
124 Anita Stilley Denver NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28037

Page 40 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Margare 125 t		Garner	NC 27529	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
126 Linda	Howell	Norfolk	VA 2350	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
127 Jade	Dell	Raleigh	NC 27603	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 3

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Jacquelin 128 e Jeffers	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Virginia 129 L Barr Beach VA 234	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 56
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Lynchbur 130 Pamela Nowell g VA 24	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 03

Page 42 of 578

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
131 Denise Neal	Winnabo w NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
132 Shannon Ryan	Charlotte NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28273
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
133 Annie Ranjan	Charlotte sville VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22903

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
134 James Mulcare Clarkston WA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Wake 135 Robert Moore Forest NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
136 Vic Bostock Altadena CA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 91001

Page 44 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Golembe 137 Dan ski	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
138 Bronwen Evans Seattle WA V5T 4L3	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
136 DIOIWell Evalis Seattle WA V31 4L3	Dear Dr. Wilkes,
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. Please abandon any and all support for the Mountain Valley Pipeline. Analyses have shown that the MVP will damage the ecosystem of the Southern Appalachian region. When an ecosystem is damaged, people who live there are harmed irreparably, and in this region where the economy relies on tourism, completion of the MVP will keep tourists away. Our mountains are a national treasure that need protection, not exploitation and abuse. I urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, so please reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
139 Patricia Gailey	Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

Page 45 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Stephani Chapel 140 e Faucette Hill NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27514
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
141 Michelle Wright Mebane NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27302
	Dear Dr. Wilkes,
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to repeat the second s
142 Douglas Ryder	It's time to stop the Mountain Valley Pipeline system, aka MVP, and its dangerous attempts to transport dirty fracked gas across Appalachia. It appears MVP will be crossing more miles of high-risk terrain than any other major natural gas transmission pipeline in the past two decades. This massive dirty energy project makes no more sense today than when it was first proposed more than five years ago. It would keep communities dependent on dirty fossil fuels for generations while jeopardizing sensitive rivers and streams, drinking water sources, the climate, and local communities.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
143 Barbara Janeway	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Spotsylva 144 Tessa Pou nia VA 2255:	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
145 Elizabeth Bishop	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
· · · · · · ·	

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
146 Alan	Robert	Richford VT	547	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
147 Terry	Walsh	Louisville KY	40213- 1109	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
148 Dawn	Knowles			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1.0 Dumi				

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
149 Ailenise Jackson	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Santa 150 Selena Hudson Cruz CA 9506;	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Tallahass 32303- 151 Karolyn Burns ee FL 3645	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 49 of 578

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
152 Janet Sipe Sale	97304- m OR 1829	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Sout 153 Buckie Jones Wav	h 18840- rerly PA 2927	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
154 Deirdre Jacobson		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to repeat the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
155 Carol Drake Fremont CA 9453	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
95126- 156 Shari Baker San Jose CA 1153	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
157 Daniela Riedlova Converse TX 7810	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 51 of 578

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
158 Micah	Stanko	Greensb urg	PA	15601	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
159 Joseph	Wenzel	Lake Elmo	MN	55042	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
160 Alana	Marchet ti	Sewickel Y	PA	15143- 8315	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 52 of 578

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 53 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27510- 164 C Rising Carrboro NC 2478	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you
	today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
03063- 165 Philip Moriarty Nashua NH 1545	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
78731- 166 Veronica Barrio Austin TX 3605	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
167 Lia	Zwickert				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
168 Sheryl	Hamblin	Hemet	CA	92545	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
169 Rae	Kasdan	Waynest oro		22980- 2240	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Colorado 80904- 170 Carla Forsythe springs CO 1423	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Germant 20876- 171 Christy Bumanis own MD 4287	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Imhoff- 172 Beth O'Neill	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 56 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
173 Heather	Cohen Koenig	New York	NY 10	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
174 Kate	Lamar	Barnards ville		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 709
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
175 Sherry	Reisch	New York	NY 10	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 203

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
176 Anne Selava	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
176 Anne Selaya	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
177 Amanda French	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
177 Andrida Trenen	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Legrand 178 Jennifer e	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
179 Freda	Dias	Cary	NC	27513- 4859	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
180 James	Currier				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
181 Shirley	Ches	Franklin	NC	28734- 6917	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
182 Virginia	Keutmar n	ı		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
183 Larry	Little	Fairfax	VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
184 Otis	Pauley	Roanok	e VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
185 Stacie	Lovins			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
186 Jason	Allison	Asheville NC	28805- 1133	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
187 Sharon	Posey	McGahe ysville VA	22840	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Lincolnto 28092- 188 David Walsh n NC 2920	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
189 Karen Noftsier	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Hambric Walwort 53184- 190 Stephen k h WI 9701	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Geraldin Barksdal Kannapol 28083- 191 e e is NC 6467	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
192 Sheila Brenes	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
193 Annette Musulin	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
194 Rev.	Scott Karns	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
195 Karen	Shuck	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
195 Karen	SHUCK	-
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
196 Morgain	McGaug hey	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 64 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
197 Dawn C	cronk	Hiwassee VA	24347- 2526	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				The revised statement for the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		Larchmo	10538- 3105	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
198 Rebecca N				Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
199 Rebekah P		Blacksbu rg VA	24060- 8900	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
200 Victoria Lyall Candler NC 2871	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
07801- 201 William Bisset Dover NJ 2612	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Catherin Chocklet 202 e t	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
202 (2427) 102	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
203 JoAnn Lee	··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
204 Deborah Taylor	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Have you completed surveys for archaeological and tribal resources there? for the watersheds downstream of all construction. What about surveys for snails, insects, salamanders, reptiles, spiders, bryophytes, soils, lichens, vascular and non vascular plants? How is the pipeline going to impact surface and ground waters in the watersheds? What is the restoration plan for when it no longer is used? Are you requiring the company to put up restoration moneys in advance, or allowing the company to make payments as they experience profits?the cost of being fully restored afterward and groundwater and surface water protection and replacement should be set aside from the profits in case the company decides to go bankrupt. CEOs and all workers making decisions should be held liable for those decisions and they must be documented.
205 Larry Beane	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local

Page 67 of 578

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
206 Trish	Deane	Saint George	VA	2293	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
207 Donna	Roberso n				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
208 Sondra	Camp	Salem	VA	24153- 3259	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
209 Strickler Charles	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
23228- 210 Susan Pauley Henrico VA 5553	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
211 Shannon Starnes	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
212 Noreen Tiller	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Snyder- Baldona 213 Elizabeth do	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28773- 214 Ryan Mesa Saluda NC 9682	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 70 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be even proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
215 Rich	Fisher	Ashland VA	23005- 2130	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
216 Cathy	Powers			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
217 Judy	Scott	Chesape ake VA	23320- 8510	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
218 Martin	Locklear	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
219 Kareen	Goldens 10526- Penzero Bridge NY 1211	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
220 K-4-	Page	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
220 Kathy	Reese	

Page 72 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27597- 221 Melissa Kreps Zebulon NC 6948	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
30519- 222 Jeanne Roberts Buford GA 6753	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
223 Brandi Davis	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
224 Millard	I			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
225 Usland	511-	Convert NC	28027-	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
225 Helena	Ells	Concord NC	/15/	
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
226 Tanya	Gerard	Newland Nc	28657- 8938	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
22	7 Rick	Snider			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
22	8 Patricia	Child	Greenwo od	22943- VA 1721	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
22	.0 1 00100	China	ou	1/21	
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	10 Tori	Cford-			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
22	9 Teri	Sferlazza			

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
230 Billy	Hodge	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
230 billy	liouge	··· ··· ···
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
231 Nancy	Vandelo Reidsvill 27320- op e NC 7694	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
,		
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
222 Dat	Free	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
232 Pat	Free	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
233 Brett Stowers	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
234 Patricia Currier	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
235 Rayna Stengel	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction impacts to the area and the communities' needs.
236 Lynn	Bemer Coble				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
237 Ann	Lindberg	Greensb oro	NC	27403- 1401	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Christyn 238 e	Cherry	Lynchbur g		24502- 4049	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 78 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Broadwa 22815- 239 Patricia Cheeks y VA 3763	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
24153- 240 Cindy Tuttle Salem VA 4124	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Greensb 27405- 241 Cody Finney oro NC 4882	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
242 Sandra	Landry	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
242 Sanura	Landi y	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
243 Lois	Holt	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
244 Steve	Desautel s	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	•
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
245 Flinghoth Links	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
245 Elizabeth Hahn	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
246 Tanya Panella	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
247 Jenny Seitz	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
Lit schilly serve	

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
248 Lindy	Barrett- Grove	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
246 Lilluy	diove	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
240 144-14-1	Useda	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
249 Warren	Harris	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
250 Cher	Sheek	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
251 T Morris VA 232	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you
	today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Nathanie Henders 252 l Grubbs onville NC 287	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
253 Randall Dail, Jr. Shallotte NC 284	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 70

Page 83 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Bradsha Frederick 254 Beverly w sburg VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22408
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
255 Andrea Floyd Evington VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24550
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Catherin 256 e Sims Durham NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27713

Page 84 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Spotsylva 257 Linda Delaney nia VA 22553	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
KINGS 28086- 258 Rebecca DuPre MTN NC 3605	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Lewisbur 259 Ethan Shields g WV 24901	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 85 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Tobaccov 260 Kendra Sykes ille NC 27050	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Bradsha Frederick 261 Beverly w sburg VA 22408	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Schaeffe Falls 22042- 262 Christine r Church VA 1339	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 86 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
263 Heike	Kubasch	Charlotte sville VA	22901	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
264 Pat	Mace	Spotsylva nia VA	22553	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
265 Charles	Hoots	Greenvill e NC	27834- 7890	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27704- 266 Jennifer Mason Durham NC 1473	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
267 Donna Jones Herndon VA 2017	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
268 Thomas Danieli Aldie VA 2010	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. J5

Page 88 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
Wilming 269 Tracey Varga on	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
Phemiste Lexingto 270 James r n	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. A 24450	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
Waynes 271 John Hoover oro	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. A 22980	

Page 89 of 578

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
272 Vicky	Brandt	Durham	NC	27705- 6027	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On Describer 22, 2022, the U.S. Ferret Service issued a revised envisemental in sect statement that are seen and service sector the
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you
					today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
273 William	Hunter	Chapel Hill	NC	2651	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
274 Jane	Haxby	Crozet	VA	2293	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 2
	272 Vicky 273 William	273 William Hunter	Chapel 273 William Hunter Hill	Chapel 273 William Hunter Hill NC	272 Vicky Brandt Durham NC 6027 273 William Hunter Hill NC 2651-

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
275 Joslin Gallatin Arlington VA 222	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
275 Joshn Gundan Annigton VA 222	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Arnoldsb 276 Kristen Ross urg WV 252	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 34
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
277 Frances Kelly Asheville NC 288	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 04

Page 91 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28226-	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
278 Allison Fawcett Charlotte NC 9634	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
279 Daniel Ibarra Roanoke VA 2401	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
280 Christine Arends Pinehurst NC 2837	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 4

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
281 Jaedra A Luke Brevard NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28712
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
282 Gary Lett Sterling VA	20165
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Winston 283 Don Bergey Salem NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27106

Page 93 of 578

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
284 Jack	alexandri Wyatt a VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
285 Tracy	Feldman Durham No	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
286 John	Blacksbu Eustis rg VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24060

Page 94 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Weinber 287 Gerhard g Efland NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27243
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Gainesvil 288 Mary Kane le VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 20155
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Middlebr Virginia 289 Melodie ooks Beach VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23451

Page 95 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, and Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Blacksbu 290 Pam Tessnear rg VA 2406/	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28803- 291 Marilyn Shup Asheville NC 2236	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
292 Patrick Martin Raleigh NC 2760!	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 9

Page 96 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Warder Williams 293 William Jr burg VA 23188	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Christens Chapel 27516- 294 Portia en Hill NC 5977	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Annandal 295 Michael Carter e VA 22003	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
296 Wendy	MacDon Woodbri ald dge VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22192
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
297 Gilbert	Portalati n	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
298 Virginia	Greensb Ferguson oro NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27410

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
299 Joyce Veit	Charlotte NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28213
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
300 Jonathan Taylor	Raleigh NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27601
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
301 Matthew Eick	Blacksbu rg VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24060

301 Matthew Eick rg VA 24060

	· ·
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
302 Malcolm Fields Henrico VA 2322	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
303 JK McIntyre	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Round 304 William Frear Hill VA 2014:	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 2

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
305 Tony Piselli Edinbu	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Wayn 306 Christina Alger oro	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Mocks 307 Stella Gibson e	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities $-$ and the water upon which they depend $-$ to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 308 Scott Milam Candler NC 28715	
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 309 Linda Carolipio Norfolk VA 23503	
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities $-$ and the water upon which they depend $-$ to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 310 Myrene Oconnor palmyra VA 22963	

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Chai 311 Anthony Kerr sville	arlotte le VA	22901	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Ponn Nell 312 Kathryn Muhler d	lysfor VA		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
313 Amy Fendley Fair		22033-	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
314 Justin	Landry	Arden	NC 2870	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. D4
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you
				today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
315 Jane	Coming	Arlington	VA 222:	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
316 Ann	Green	Pisgah Forest	NC 2876	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 104 of 578

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand construction's impact.
			North		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
31	7 Judith	Porter	Wilkesbo ro		8659 Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
318	3 Mark D.	Wise	Hampton	VA 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 3669
					Dear Dr. Wilkes,
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
319) Louis	Reginato	Chesape ake	VA 2	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local 3322 communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide ar

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Beberme Walk 320 Donna yer n	erto VA 23177	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
321 John Brock Ralei	gh NC 27601	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
322 Sally Rogers Penla	and NC 28765	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 106 of 578

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
323 F Bean Romney WV	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 26757
	Dear Dr. Wilkes,
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
Henders 324 Deborah Dobson onville NC	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local 28792 communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide ar
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Thomasv 325 Farzana Ismail ille NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27360

Page 107 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Schram 326 Linda m Arlington VA 22204	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	Dear Dr. Wilkes,
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative.
	The last thing we need is more fracking and more petroleum burned on this planet. More specifically, there are severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Potenzia 27712- 327 David ni Durham NC 3011	Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
328 Michelle Wright Mebane NC 27302	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 108 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Clémeno 329 e	Fournier	Springfie Id VA	A 22150	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
330 Brooke	Kane	McLean VA	A 22101	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
550 BIOORE	Kalle	WILLEAN VA	× 22101	
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
331 Lisa	Fues	Alexandr ia VA	22301- A 1519	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 109 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
332 Eva Jo	Wu	Boones Mill	VA 24	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Keval 333 Kaur	Khalsa	Durham	NC 27	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 707
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
334 Cheryl	Kruel	Union Grove	NC 28	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 689

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
335 Ries	Collier	Bedford VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
336 Laura	Ray	Alexandr ia VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
337 C	Fisher	Fayettevi lle NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28305

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Lynchbur 338 W. Clark g VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24501
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Chapel 339 Kathleen Caldwell Hill NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27516
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
340 Len Gregorio Leland NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28451

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
341 Kendra	Thomas	Richmon d VA	23229- 6823	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
342 Trudy	Luman	Summerf ield NC	27358	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
343 Terry	Wolfe	Morgant own WV	26508	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 113 of 578

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
344 Karen	Fostel	New Prt Rchy VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24504
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
345 Brian	Slosek	Durham NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27701
5.5 2.101	Sidden		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest And the Nato Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
346 Joseph	Pitt	Newport VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24128

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
347 Steve	Grant			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
547 51070	Grune			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
348 Desiree	Glinden	Williams	VA 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 3185
540 Desiree	Ginden	burg	10 2	
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
349 Tom	France	Roncever te		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 4970

Page 115 of 578

	1	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
GREEF 350 Kadija Tyler ORO		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	1	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Wood 351 Jo Scott dge		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	8 1	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Christina Weav 352 Erin Graham ille		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
353 Mark	Hemenw ay Charlotte NC	28210- 7208	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson stational Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
354 Emily	Buiwe Concord NC	2802	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
354 Enniy	buiwe concord we	2002	
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
355 Mary C.	Whitesid e Asheville NC	2880	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 1

Page 117 of 578

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
356 April	Emerald Hardee Isle NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
357 Andy	Promisel Fairfax VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22031
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
358 Dixie	Mullinea Berkeley ux Spgs. WV	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 25411

Page 118 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this
	revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Huntersv 359 Dave Horsman ille NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28078
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
360 Scott Hodgkins Arlington VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22207
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Lawrenc Jacksonvi 361 e East Ile NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28540

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
362 Rhonda Johnson Aylett VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23009
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Alexandr 363 Erica Himes ia VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22314
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
North Chesterfi 364 Bonnie Claggett eld VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23235

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
365 Amy	Carpente r Charlotte NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
366 W	Robinson Louisville CO	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 80027
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
367 Joellyn	Virginia St. Pierre Beach VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23452

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
368 Glenn	Secor	Louisa V	/A :	23093	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
369 Scott	Gibson	Saint Albans V	NV :	25177	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
370 Pamela	Muir	Arlington V	2220 /A 1013		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
371 Scott	Gibson	Saint Albans	WV 2517	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect the volution and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
372 David	Gray	Four Oaks	NC 2752	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
373 Ting	Waymou th	West Springfie Id	VA 2215	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 2

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Zimm 374 Jaxson man	er McLean VA		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
375 Lucie Refsla		24901-	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
376 Vernon Berg	Indian Trail NC		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Martinsb 25404- 377 Virginia Winston urg WV 0416	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
378 Jonathan Hotz Reston VA 20190	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Saint 379 Scott Gibson Albans WV 2517	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
380 Karena Harmon Asheville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28806
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
381 Leigh Epperly	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
382 Stacey Cannon Salisbury NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28146
,	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
78745- 383 Thomas Van Pelt Austin TX 2209	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
384 Eugenia Morzenti Milano VA 2015	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
385 Nancy Bradley Raleigh NC 2761	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 6

	1	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	1	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Alexar 386 Nancy Glynn ia		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	1	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	1	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
387 Jennifer Rice Hamp		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	1	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	I	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Weav 388 Liz Skiles ille		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 128 of 578

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
389 Michael Baran		Woodlea	NC	27054	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
390 Mark Briehl	E	Elkton N	2 VA 3	2827- 8070	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
391 Linda David		Charlotte sville N	VA	22901	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
392 Lilli	New Hoffman York NY	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
393 M	Wooley Asheville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28801
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
394 Jolanta	Gierlak	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
395 Jayne	Boyer	Durham NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27707
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
396 Cubby	Terry	Endwell NY	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 13760
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
397 Jeff	Arnold	Asheville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28804

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Be level and the second se
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
398 Maureen Cippel	Haymark et VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 20169
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
399 Dane Bowen	Mint Hill NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28227
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Be level to the Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
McCalist 400 Janet er		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27103

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Martinsb 401 Diane DiFante urg WV 254	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Richmon 402 AnnaLea Elliott d VA 232	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Welkowi 403 William tz Arlington VA 222	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 02

42 Parents Note: Winter 21, 2012. Hull 5 freed service tand and and endered endermonental impact tationer that head freedom balance in decision. Service 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,				
404 briefs revised extensment will does not adequasity take into account the sivere risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate wiching of the forest and expose local communities - and the water upon which they depend - to a wide array of environmental dargets. 404 briefs Nedport Charlow Ch				guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
40 Dwigt Bodycott Charlotte NV Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly gloned by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. 40 Dwigt Bodycott Charlotte NV NVP is over-budget, part deadline, diminishe of frontline communities, and externely dargerous to our environment. We cannot allow this decipy flowed fracked gas project to destry 3.5 millis of the lefferson National Forest. 40 Dwigt Bodycott Charlotte NV On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service insued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction by topy to urge the forest service to protect the National Forest. 40 Dwigt NPP is over-budget, part deadling. diminishe of frontline communities, and externelly dargerous to our environment. We cannot allow the deadline is obtained a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction by topy. 9 December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service insued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction valuely beginnes. 10 December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service insued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction valuely beginnes. 11 Developing 11 Developin				revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
404 Dwight Bodycott Charlott IKC VIE U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 404 Dwight Bodycott Charlott IKC VIE VIE Service Must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 404 Dwight Bodycott Charlott IKC VIE VIE Service Must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 404 Dwight Bodycott Charlott IKC On December 3.202, the U.S. Forest Service Issued a related environmental Impact Statement the propose new construction propose file to environmental Impact Statement the propose new construction our public lands. To start, this relations is start the service must do better to work with the VIE related as the Nacional Forest Line Mustalin VIEIP policines on advance start to start. This Impact And Hill Properties is the water upon which the properties would threate the integrity of the forest and exceptions. 405 Lauren Mora Greensb Allowing the Pipeline's construction with the Jefferson National Forest. 405 Lauren Mora Greensb VIE Sover-budget, past deadline, diministive of foretile communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flaed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 milles of the Integrity of the forest. 405 Lauren Mora Greensb VIE Sover-budget, past deadline, diministive of foretile communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow the VIE Sover-budget, past deadline,				
404 DwigH Bodycett Chriefe Ko 28211 404 DwigH Bodycett Chriefe Ko 0.0 December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service is protect the advect massing decident with the Perfets to the Mational Forest and advect dancet the National Forest and advect don our public lands. To start, this review distancet stall base to advectately take into account the sever risk to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threat the integrity of the forest and expose local 405 Laure Mora ore 2747 405 Laure Mora ore 0.0 December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service is protect to destroy 3.5 mills of the lefferson National Forest. Mora 405 Laure Mora ore No 2747 405 Laure Mora ore 2747				
405 Lauren Mora	404 Dwigh	t Bodycott	Charlotte NC	this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
405 Lauren Mora				
405 Lauren Mora Mora MC 202 405 Lauren Mora MORA 202 200 Lauren MORA MORA 202 202 200 Lauren MORA MORA 202				guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
405 Lauren Mora Greensb Communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. 405 Lauren Mora Greensb Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. 405 Lauren Mora Greensb Z7407 On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. View properties and would enable the fracked gas mount the sole of the Service to protect the National Forest. Mora Niction alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. View properties and would enable the fracked gas mount view properties (WPP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they ive and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deepip flaved fracked gas project to work with these communities, and				revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
A05 Lauren Mora Greensb MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 405 Lauren Mora Mora Or NC 2740 On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amentens and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest. Lasty, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fra				
Greensb this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 405 Lauren Mora NC 27407 90 S Lauren Mora NC 27407 91 S Lauren ND December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and 1 urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. 91 Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. 91 Let me explain why the Walter upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communi				
guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	405 Laure	n Mora		this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. Wilmingt this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.				guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.				revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Wilmingt this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.				
Wilmingt this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.				
	406 Patric	a Robbins		this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 134 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Campbel Virginia 407 David I Beach VA 23	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Saint 408 Trish Deane George VA 22	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 935
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
409 Cynthia Bernett Concord NC 28	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 3027

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
410 Randall Nord Linden VA 2264	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 42
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
411 Sue Hayden Bahama NC 2750	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
412 Patricia Deane	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
413 Anita	MacStay	,		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
414 Carol	Miller	Hamilton	VA 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 1158
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
415 Ann	Bicking	N. Chesterfi eld	VA 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 3236

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Covesvill 416 Paula Mehring e VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
417 Penny Slusaw Raleigh NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
418 Fred Martin Charlotte NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28208

Page 138 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
McMinn 419 Charles Hottle ville OR 97128	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Lincolnto 420 Gary Cornwell n NC 28092	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28025- 421 Claude Little Concord NC 3196	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
422 Linda Howell Norfolk VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23507
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Cross 423 Barbara Gamble Junction VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22625
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
424 David Danesi Arlington VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22207

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Knockem Richmon 425 Steve us d VA 2322	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be level to the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to the National Forest and select the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Fayettevi 426 Kerren Hall Ile WV 2584	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be level to the second and the second
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
427 Michael Klausing Nitro WV 2514	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 141 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Katherin 428 e Solomita LELAND NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28451
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Richmon 429 Gerald Bowman d VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23226
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Williams 430 Mary Hard burg VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23185

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
431 Wade	Neely	Annandal e	2 VA 3	2003-	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
432 Patti	Ulirsch	Arden	NC		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
433 David	Warshau er	New York	NY		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 143 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Lederma 434 Kenneth n Arlington VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22206
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
435 Leila Chiddick Charlotte NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28226
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
436 Mary Clark Stella NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28582

Page 144 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
437 Harold	Bankirer	Huntersv ille NC	28078	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
438 Mark	Freitag	VA		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
439 Paula	Chow	Frederick sburg VA	22401	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 145 of 578

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	Alfredso n	Asheville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
441 Barbara	Robertso n	Durham NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
442 Sean	Dennis	Black Mountai n NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28711

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
443 Mel	Hardy	Midland MI	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 48640
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
444 Alan	Little	Norfolk VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23503
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
445 Mallory	Ray	Huntingt on WV	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 25701

 4 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V		
 445 Michel 462 Michel 462 Michel 463 Michel 463 Michel 464 Michel 474 Michell 474 Michell		guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
445 Michael Soele Review of the second secon		revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
465 Michael Solel Webhail VA 2315 445 Michael Solel VA 2315 2315 445 Michael Solel VA 2315 2315 445 Michael Solel VA 2315 2315 1 0.10 December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised envirommental impact statement that proposes new construction outpact to day to urge the Forest Service to protect to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. Impact to day to urge the Forest Service to protect the Matonal Forest Alternative, The Mouthain Valley Papeline is construction the Forest Plan, and Luge you to rejet the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. 447 See Covell Middle w/V VA 245 Impact statement shill obse not adequately take into a count the server rais to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate wicinity of the Papeline's construction within the yefferson National Forest. Notestine the immediate wicinity of the Papeline's construction within the server rais to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate wicinity of the Papeline's construction within the server rais to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate wicinity of the Papeline's construction within the server rais to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate wicinity of the Papeline's construction within the server rais to the Valee array of enviromental langest statement shill be server and elever and elevere papeline (WP) sole area wicinit wis water in a coos		
Add Michael Sole Mechani with elephyl flawed fracked gas project to destry 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 446 Michael Sole Viville Vi 2010 446 Michael Sole Viville Vi 2010 1 Dispersive for the sole of th		
447 Sue Covelo Midelerer Midelerer Version Steiniger <	446 Michael Sobel	this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
447 Sue Covello ay WV 2543 For set statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of 447 Sue Nildlew ay WV 2543 Attribute MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 447 Sue Kildlew VV 2543 In December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pleine to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. VIP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow ViP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of prontline communities to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. VIP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of prontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow ViP is over-budget. on December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction ViP is over-budget. past deadline forest and expose local ViP is over-budget. past deadline forest and expose local ViP is over-budget. past deadline forest and evose deadline in the immediate vicinity of		guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
447 Sue Covello av vv 2543 Covello av vv 2543		revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
447 Sue Covello ay WV 25430 Middlew 447 Sue Covello ay WV 25430 On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be bullt within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be bullt within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipe		
Middlew this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 447 Sue Covello ay WV 25430 On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest und angers. Lasty, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. Keininge MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.		
guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative. 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	447 Sue Covello	this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.		guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.		revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Steininge this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.		
Steininge this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.		
	0	this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 148 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	This is not only an area of outstanding natural beauty, but the biodiversity of this and our remaining areas of wilderness must be protected for our generation and future generations.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
Southern 449 Joanne Thornton Pines NC	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. 28388
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
450 John Compton Durham NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27701
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
S. 451 Carla Torti giuletta NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27046

Page 149 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Pence 24962- 452 Thomas Johnson Springs WV 1400	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Alexandr 453 Angelica Freitag ia VA 22310	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
North Chesterfi 454 Bonnie Claggett eld VA 23235	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 5

Page 150 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
455 Bonnie	Claggett	North Chesterfi eld	VA 23	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
456 David	Flora	Toronto	NC M6J 3	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. K2
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
457 Bruce	Miller	Asheville	NC 25	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 803

		•
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Gimb 458 Nicholas e	ron Reston VA 2019	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Brom 459 Glenda g	ber Alexandr ia VA 2230	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
460 Dale Hayne	Fayettevi es lle NC 2830	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 3

Page 152 of 578

Dear	Dr.	Wil	ke

					Dear Dr. Wilkes,
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					This revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction as well as exposing local communities and the water upon which they depend. Our forests, water and wildlife need to be protected more now than ever for a sustainable future for our descendents.
					The U.S. Forest Service has a sacred duty to do better to work with local communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
				22204-	Please do not allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
4	461 Earl	Possardt	Arlington VA		Please select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	leesualia				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4	Jacquelin e Cuthbert 162 son	Cuthbert son	Charlotte NC	28227	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4	163 Hart	Palmer	NC	27243	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
464 Carol Gress Shelby NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28150 Don't decimate this beautiful valley. I grew up here and I love this place. Alternative energy sources are necessary. If rich oil companies
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to please reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
465 Makenzi Headden Danville VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24540
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
466 Melinda Elkins Asheville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 28804- this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 1109

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
467 Colette Wilson 20191 VA r	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Castle 468 Rhetta Walter Hayne NC 2842	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 9
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
469 Sharod Small Raleigh NC 2761	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 0

Page 155 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Ocean Isle 470 Linda Kilgore Beach NC 28469	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Waynesv 471 Evelyn Coltman ille NC 28784	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Cedar Mountai 28718- 472 Gretchen Messer n NC 9118	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 156 of 578

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
473 Jeffrey	Wilson	Matthew s NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
474 Bill	Hmirak	Centrevil le VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 20120
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
475 Michael	Dickinso n	Asheville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28801

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Calambr 476 Leslie o Henrico VA 2322	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Frederick 22401- 477 Anne Little sburg VA 5751	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Wolfsoh 478 Edward n NC 2807	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 8

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
479 Victoria	Stone	Newport VA	24128	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
475 VICTORIA	Storic	Newport VA	24120	
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you
				today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
480 Joan	Roberts	Asheville NC	28806	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Mary 481 Anne	Shea	Arlington VA	22205	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
482 Linda Worsl	ey Chatham VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24531
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Rodel 483 Daniel er	eff WILLOW SPRING NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27592
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
484 Lee Politis	Charlotte sville VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22903

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
485 Betty	Amburn			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
465 Belly	AIIIDUIII				
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
486 Marc	Penderg ast	Chapel Hill	NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27514	
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction	
				guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
487 Jay	Rose	Woodbri dge	VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22193	

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
488 Emily	Little	Charlotte sville VA	22902- 4937	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
489 Cliff	Bove	Head Waters VA	24442	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
490 Robert	Blizard	Springfie Id VA	22151	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
491 K Lindsey Henrico VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23229
HINGEY HEIRED VA	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Jason 492 Michael Walker Arlington VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Rockingh 493 Susanna Finn am VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22801

Page 163 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Markha Matthew 494 Michael m s NC 28	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 8105
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
495 Sabrina Frazier Chantilly VA 20	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 0151
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
496 Mary J Duncan Raleigh NC 27	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 7616

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Boletche 497 Stephen k Apex NC 2750	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Maryett 498 a Pinn Bealeton VA 227	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Falls 499 Jeanette Stewart Church VA 220-	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 42

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Moschop Annandal 500 Charity oulos e VA 2200	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Fischesse Jonas 28641- 501 Nina r Ridge NC 0069	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
502 Brian Hopkins Durham NC 27705	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
03 Jean	Tunstall	Clifton	VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 20124
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you
				today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
04 Bill	Staley	Sterling	VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 20164
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
05 Marilyn	Clark	Williams burg		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23185
	03 Jean 04 Bill 05 Marilyn		04 Bill Staley Sterling William	04 Bill Staley Sterling VA Williams

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
506 John	Godfrey	Wake Forest	NC	2758	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
507 Mark	Emlet PAc	Clifton	VA	20124- 2453	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
508 Dawn	Ehli	Cary	NC	2751	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 9

Page 168 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Eisenhar Kearneys 509 Brenda t ville WV	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 25430
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
510 Judy Taylor Charlotte NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28211
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Robertso Woodbri 511 Cathleen n dge VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22192

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to the view of the second amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
512 Ruby	Lowe Graham NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
513 P	McCaule Weaverv y ille NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28787
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to the view of the second amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
514 Julie	Alexandr Gentry ia VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22301

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
515 Kim	Brower Asheboro NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27205
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction
		guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
516 Amy	Pfaffman Asheville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28803
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Porest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Service to protect the end of use of the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
517 Lori	Williams Roanoke VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24018

517 Lori Williams Roanoke VA 24018

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Fayettevi 518 David H Nikkel Ile NC 2830	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be level to the second a mendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Alexandr 519 Carolyn Haupt ia VA 2230	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Be leven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
520 Jasmine Warren	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

8	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1 1	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
,	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
,	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
	the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.
524 Camilla Banks	The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
524 Califina Daliks	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
525 John Lavender	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
526 Douglas Sabali	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 174 of 578

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Monacel this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 528 Ken Bosch Raleigh NC 27609	
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 529 Gail Ohara Carrboro NC 27510	

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
520 Decelo	A - J				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
530 Brooke	Adams				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
531 Joy	McConn ell	Black Mountai n		28711- 1211	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
532 Brenda	Hamm	Louisbur g	NC	2754	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 9

Page 176 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Chapel 533 Janet Tice Hill NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27516
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
534 Marcia Fairman Montross VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22520
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Mackiew 535 Anna icz Henrico VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 23238- 3119

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Crawfor 536 Dan d Roanoke VA 24	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
gloucest 537 Pamela Mullins er VA 23	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
538 Alicia Stephens	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 178 of 578

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
539 Cornelia	Powell	Cullowhe e NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28723
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
540 Dean	Grice	Rugeley VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23459
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
541 Bobby	Kerschne r	Charlotte NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28215

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
542 Peter	Wilkin	Charlotte sville VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
543 Nancy	Nance	Charlotte NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28212
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
544 Donna	Roberso n		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
545 Chips	Chapma n	Burlingto n NC	27215- 3508	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
546 Eileen	Hughes	SPRINGFI ELD VA	22150- 2411	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
547 Kate	к	Alexandr ia VA	22314	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
548 Jeff Kulp Raleigh NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
McLintoc Waynesv 549 Danna k ille NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28785
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
550 Mary Nayak Arlington VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22207

				٤ 1	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you coday to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
EE1 Kalle	Quanchu				VVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
551 Kelly	Owensby				······································
				<u>ا</u>	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					et me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
552 Robert	Mooro	Wake Forest	NC		VVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow his deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
552 KODert	woore	FULESL	NC	2/36/	·
				1 1	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you coday to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
553 Heide	Coppotel li	Cedar Mountai n	NC		VVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow his deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 183 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Rougem 554 Connie Raper ont NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27572
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Cedar Coppotel Mountai 555 Fred li n NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28718
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
556 Karen Blaine Charlotte NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28226

Page 184 of 578

				-
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				There are several reasons the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should not be constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Furthermore, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
557 Ben	Samuels	Centrevil le		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of the frontline communities which would bear the brunt of negative impacts, and is extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
558 Stefan	Walz	Durham		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
558 Steran	Walz	Durnam	NC 27703	
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
559 Lynn	Unswort h			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
560 Sidney Rudd Danville VA 2454:	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Weaverv 561 Dorothy M Lee ille NC 2878:	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Doddy Falls 562 Gwen Lowit Church VA 2204:	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 186 of 578

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
5	63 Casey	Cordon	Chapel Hill	NC 275	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
5	64 Mart	Harper	Springfi Id	e VA 221	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 53
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
5	65 Carol	Henning	Springfi Id	e VA 321	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Jackson 566 Jan Winter Springs NC 2728	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Margare Michalsk Warrent 567 t y on VA 2018	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27332- 568 Andrea Crook Sanford NC 3303	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
569 Jennifer	Worlow			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
Jog Jenniner	woney			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
570 Ray	Derricks on	Raleigh	NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27604
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
571 Eileen	Lester	Broadwa y		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22815

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
572 Toni Meador Asheville NC 2880	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27607- 573 Rebecca Carina Raleigh NC 7011	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Greensb 574 Lisa Gagnon oro NC 2740	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 3

Page 190 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
575 Fred	Coppotel li	Cedar I Mountai n	NC 2871	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
576 Carla	Skuce	Raleigh	NC 2760	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
577 Carol	George	Raleigh	27612- NC 3738	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 191 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Chowdhr Charlotte 578 Carol y sville VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
579 Lenore Nieters Asheville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28805
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
580 Barbara Abraham Hampton VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23661

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this
	revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Chapel 581 Ti Harmony Hill NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27516
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
582 Jennifer Midgett Norfolk VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23508
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Margare 583 t Hryniuk Garner NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27529

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
584 Jude	Misurelli Brevard NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28712
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
585 John	Martinsv Adsit ille VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24112
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
586 Kim	Smith Beverly WV	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 26253

Page 194 of 578

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
:	587 Sharon	Hesse	Berryvill e		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
!	588 Jeff	Mann	Pulaski	VA 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 4301
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
!	589 Chas	Griffin	Seven Lakes	NC 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 7376

20 Abox Readmant Abbevilie			
SP 1 Icis existence still back and adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the forest and expose local communities - and the water spon which they depend - to a wate view of environmental degrees. SP 1 Icis nambatine - and the water spon which they depend - to a water view of environmental degrees. SP 1 Icis nambatine - and the water spon which they depend - to a water view of environmental degrees. SP 1 Icis nambatine - and the water spon which they depend - to a water view of environmental degrees. SP 1 Icis nambatine - and the water spon which they depend - to a water view of environmental degrees. SP 1 Icis nambatine - and the water spon which they depend - to a water view of environmental degrees. SP 1 Icis nambatine - and the water spon which they degree - to a water view of environmental impact taker and view of environmental impact taker			guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
S0 Elos Eraham Asheville NC 2881 S0 Elos Eraham Asheville NC 0.0 December 32, 2022, the U.S. forest Service insued a revised environmental import statument that proposes new construction integration of the setter south with the setter south all construction within a setter south with the setter south with the setter south with the setter south with the setter south all construction within the setter south with the seter south with the seteresouth with the seteresouth with the sette			revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
S0 Elos Braham Akheville NI The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities on fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. S0 Elos Braham Akheville NI MVB is over-budget, past deadline, diminsive of fortiline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow the deadline and would enable the fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the jefferson National Forest. S0 Elos Braham Akheville NI On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction to top to top to top to top to top to top to temp and urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. S0 Elos Paget			
390 Elois Bradham Adhelinel NG Note deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 590 Elois Bradham Adhelinel NG Note deeply flawed fracked gas Mourtain Valley Repleties to be destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. The Wortain Valley National Forest. 590 Elois On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service is project the deleven proposed amendments and exceptions. 591 Eloi On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service to project the fastenal forest and select Alternative 3, the No Action alternative 3. The No Action			
591 Lori Epik 591 Lori Epik	590 Eloise	Bradham Asheville NC	this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
Field Set 1 Field Set 2			guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
591 Lori Epik Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. 591 Lori Epik Lastly, frontline communities, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 591 Lori Epik On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mounian Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. The Wontain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities - and the water upon which they depend - to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they line and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making de			revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
S91 Lori Epik S91 Lori Epik S91 Lori Epik S91 Lori Epik S91 Lori Epik S91 Lori Epik S91 Lori Epik <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
591 Lori Epik bit is deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. In December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Pina, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. Lovingsto MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.			
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this 			
guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	591 Lori	Ерік	
revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.			guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.			revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Lovingsto this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.			
Lovingsto this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.			
	592 Micha		this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 593 Adrian Smith Moncure NC 27559	
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 27713- this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 594 John Wiles Durham NC 6542	
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Mount this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 595 Sarah Perry Holly NC 28120	

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
C. 596 Warren	Pope	Asheville NC	28803- 2195	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
597 Gene 1	Tunnell	Waynesv ille NC	28786	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
598 Michael F	Forward	Asheville NC	28803- 9580	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
599 Susan Stewart Henrico VA 2323	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
N Wilkesbo 28659- 600 Bill Anthony ro NC 7349	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
601 Kevin Walker Reston VA 2019	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 199 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Winston 602 Don Bergey Salem NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27106
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Stephani King 603 e Buresh William VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23086
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Petrowsk 604 Lynda i Radford VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24141

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
605 Wanda	Burton	Charlotte NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28214
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
606 Eileen	Juric	Raleigh NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27605
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
607 Sallie	Park	Charlotte sville VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22911

Page 201 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
East 608 Susan Phillips Bend NC 2701	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
609 Fred Worth Ashland VA 2300	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
609 Fred Worth Ashland VA 2300	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
610 Frank Carlton Sterling VA 2016	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 4

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
611 David	Parry	Angier NC	27501	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
011 50110	,	, ingrei 11e	27503	
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you
				today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
612 Jason	Miller	Arlington VA	22201	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
613 Donald	Barker	Southern Shores NC	27949	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Wilmingt 28403- 614 Anthony Snider on NC 7034	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Hillsboro 615 Austin Lawless ugh NC 2727	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
616 Carolyn Davis Asheville NC 2880	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
617 Daniel	Harris	Charlotte	e NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
618 Laura	Kim	Annandal e		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 2003
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
619 Devon	Seltzer	High Point	NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 7260

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
24153- 620 Jenny Frei Salem VA 8736	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Stephani 621 e Gleason	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Richmon 622 David Warner d VA 2323:	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 206 of 578

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
623 Sandra	Round Middour Hill VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 20141
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
624 Jordan	Deafenb Virginia augh Beach VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23456
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline You Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
625 Caitlin	Blacksbu Woodson rg VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24060

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
626 Michael	Teuschle I r	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
627 Ellen	Virginia Powers Beach VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23454
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
628 James	Morrisvil Corrigan le NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27560

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
629 Ines	Nedelcov ic Reston VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
630 Karen	Bryson Fleming City NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28713
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
631 Ashley	Stevenso n	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 209 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be leven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Iron 632 Jeri Edwards Station NC 2804	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
633 Agatha Ocko Raleigh NC 2760	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 09
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be level to the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
634 Terry Hanson	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 210 of 578

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	orth hesterfi d VA 23	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 236
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
(2) Derethy Dertie		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
636 Dorothy Bostic		
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	igh Þint NC 27	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 265

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
638 Michael King Staunton VA 24401	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Alexandr 22305- 639 L Dickey ia VA 3036	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Richmon 640 Kathy Day d VA 23221	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Alexandr 641 Sarah S ia VA 22309	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Catherin 642 e Marie Raleigh NC 27607	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
643 Petra LeBeau	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 213 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Henders 644 George Phillips onville NC 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28792
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
645 Brea Viragh Willis VA 2	24380
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
646 Barbara Brunson Norfolk VA 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22503

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
647 Martha Glock McLean VA 22	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Sternber 648 Rachel g Asheville NC 28	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 805
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Vorster Morrisvil 649 Matthew mans le NC 27	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 560

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
6	i50 Pat	МсКау	Charlotte NC	28278- 0204	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
6	51 Piotr	Sliwka	Manassa s Park VA	20111	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
6	52 Fred	Lavy	Harrison burg VA	22802	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 216 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Lake 653 Joanna Eckert Charles LA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
654 Susan Hardy	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
655 Oscar Revilla Cliffside NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28024

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
656 Dave	Scherer			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
657 Doug	Franklin	waynesv Ile		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
658 Steven	Vogel	Falls Church	VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22046

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
659 Charles Conrad Moseley VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23120
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Charlotte 660 JL Mulligan sville VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22901
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
661 Mark Taylor Charlotte NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28270

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
662 David	Que la companya de la	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
662 Bruce	Oatway	··· ···
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
CC2 Patricia	Jacobson SUFFOLK VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23434
003 Patricia	Jacobson SUFFULK VA	23434
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
664 James	Wilmingt Zizzo on NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28403

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be guideline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
665 Lana Ambler Oakton VA 22:	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Richmon 666 Emma Hoholik d VA 23:	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 230
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
667 Paul Nelson Marion NC 28'	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 752

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
668 Norma Hanson Asheville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28803
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Kernersvi 669 Diane Wallace Ile NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27284
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
670 Linda Ricks Beaufort NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28516

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
671 Kara	Kara		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. PLEASE! Dont destroy the earth's resources!
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
672 Fred	Copithor Harrison n burg VA	22801- 1739	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
672 Fred	n burg VA	1/39	
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Katherin 673 e	Cregger- Marshall Charlotte NC	28270- 2175	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
674 Tim	Schmitt Arlington VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
675 Lisa	Walthers Arlington VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22204
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
676 Karen	Henders Waltman onville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28792

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
677 Debra	Inscoe			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
orr Debia	inscoe			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
678 Mariah	Mitchell	Winston Salem	NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 7127
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
679 Randall	Cronin	Columbu s		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 8722

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
680 Katelyn Quinley	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
681 D Provance Apex NC 2753	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Amarynt Chesape 682 ha Schalin ake VA 2332	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 2

Page 226 of 578

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 683 Astrata Barber ake VA 23322	
The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Chesape this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 683 Astrata Barber ake VA 23322	
Chesape this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 683 Astrata Barber ake VA 23322	
On Description 22, 2022, the U.S. Francis framework in structure and intermediate intermediate intermediate intermediate	
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow file deally flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Louisbur this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 685 Laura Brown g NC 27549	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
686 Janet Rountree Suffolk VA 23434	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Lynchbur 687 Debbie Slack g VA 24502	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
688 Nancy Camello	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 228 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Greenvill 27858- 690 Diane de Groot e NC 9651	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
691 Olga Mulcahy Leesburg VA 20176	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
692 Kevin	Hagan		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
693 Valarie	Snell	Greensb oro	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
ooo valarie	brieff	0.0	
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
694 Meghan	Quipp		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
UU- WEGINI	Quinin		

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
695 Brenda	Staab				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
696 Rick	Miller	Warrent on	VA	20186	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
697 Vickie	Barnett	Troutdal e	VA	24378-	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Woodfiel 698 Alyce d Keswick VA 2294	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
699 Elizabeth Richards	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Wilmingt 28412- 700 Devin Gwinn on NC 7445	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 232 of 578

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Please support our planet and don't allow this pipeline!!

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

701 Larry Hannon Charlotte NC 28270 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28791

702 Patrick Garrett onville NC

Henders

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

703 Pamela Culp Asheville NC 28804

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Midlothi 704 Therese Weldon an VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
705 David Powell	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
i o bava Poweli	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Henders 706 Myra G onville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28791

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
707 Jan	Stooyor	Davidson NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
707 Jan	Sleever	Daviusoff INC		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
708 Dawn	Brant	Charlotte NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27	
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
709 Lauren	Davis		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
709 Lauren	Davis			

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Blacksbu 710 Norman Dowling rg	VA 24060	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Lynchbur 711 Pamela Nowell g	VA 24503	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
712 Jennifer Lewis Cary I	NC 27617	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this
	revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
713 Victor Fahrer Asheville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28806
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Snow 714 Steve Long Camp NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27349
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Southern 715 Merritt Stone Shores NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27949

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
71	.6 Steve	Roberts	Wilmingt on NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28401
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
71	.7 Jean	Carter	Youngsvil Ie NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27596
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
71	.8 Marsha	Wells	Sistersvill e WV	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 26175

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
719 Brenda	Cappe			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
719 Brenua	Capps			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to repeat the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
720 Vickie	Penninge r	Raleigh	NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27608
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
721 Donna	Von Bargen	Winston Salem	NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27127

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
722 Roberta Rosell NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
723 Matthew Neill Asheville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28801
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Lexingto 724 Jennifer Brandon n NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27295

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
725 Julia	Borg	Leicester NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
726 Glen	Thomas	San Francisco CA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 94102
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
727 Sherry	Holinaty		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Halter 728 Penny an	rm	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
729 Justin Velell	la Vienna VA 22182	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Hans 730 Joachim Wilde	Manassa 20108- er s VA 0296	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 242 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Lewisvill 731 Christine Becker e NC 2702	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Jetkiewic Frederick 732 Kellye z sburg VA 2240	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	The Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands because this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	In addition, allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Invernizz Covesvill 22931- 733 Marcia i e VA 1511	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 243 of 578

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
734 Michael	Sileno	Greensb oro l	NC		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
735 Eva	Snyder	I	NC		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
736 Judith	Castagno la		2: VA 3:	3061-	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 244 of 578

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
737 Nicole Kaess	Alexandr ia VA 22	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
738 Bonnie Farmer	Alexandr ia VA 22	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan. And I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. It would open more disturbed land to colonization by destructive invasive plants such as Tree-of-heaven (Ailanthus altissima), Garlic Mustard (Alliaria petiolata), and Japanese honeysuckle.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Catharin 739 e Tucker	Richmon d VA 23	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 2227 this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27312- 740 Melanie Diana Pittsboro NC 9536	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28806- 741 Christa Black Asheville NC 2919	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Alexandr 22311- 742 Tanya Cobb ia VA 1950	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
743 Sharon	Griffin	Hampton	VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 3669
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
744 Susan	Wild- Salladin			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
745 Anne	Jones	Greensb oro	NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27410

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Mani 746 Whitney Metz ton	ning WV 265	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 82
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Vero 747 Fran Grocholl Beac		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Tostanos Alexa 748 DeeDee ki ia	andr VA 223	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 14

Page 248 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Virginia 749 Tony Giddens Beach VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23462
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
750 Robert Brown Angier NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 27501- this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 8470
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Morring 751 Gerri ello Leland NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28451

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
752 Sharon	Maimon			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
753 Nancy	Scheiber	elkin	NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 8621
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
754 Jan	Wiley	Woolwin e	VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 4185

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
755 Miriam	Wildema CHARLO n TTESVLE V	A 22901	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
756 Susan	Watts		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
757 Guna	S N	C 27514	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
7	58 Louise	Kefelian	Alexandr ia VA	22307- 1927	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
7	59 Ellen	Osborne	Pleasant Garden NC	27313	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
7	60 Russ	Hopler	Fairfax VA	22033	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
761 Sondra	Camp	Salem V.	24153- A 3259	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
762 James	Smiley	Mocksvill e N	C 27028	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	·			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
763 Mary	Ledford			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Be level the Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
764 Alan Linn Hickory NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28602
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Hampste 765 Russell James ad NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28443
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Be level to the Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Anne Katherin Charlotte 766 e Ridge sville VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22901

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
767 E	G	Gainesvil le VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
768 Lidia	Tinelli		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
769 Jim	Collins		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Jacksonvi 32223- 770 Sandra Roberts Ile FL 7394	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Makawa 771 Bobbi Lempert o NC 2871	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
772 Kim Santos	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 256 of 578

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
773 Pam	Hall Elkin	NC 2862	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
774 Joseph	Olejar		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			Dear Dr. Wilkes,
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
775 Erin	Rothman Crozet	VA 2293:	The revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the 2 forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
776 Rachel	Kinback		

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
777 Allen	Witherin gton palmyra VA	22963- A 2202	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
778 Mark	Lerch		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
778 WIDTK	Lerch		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
779 Linda	Wintervil Kehew le No	2859	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 0

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
780 James Mather Lorton VA 22	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Wintervil 781 Linda Kehew le NC 28	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 590
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
782 Lillyam Barberi Asheville NC 28	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 805

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
78	33 Bruce	Triplett	Winchest er VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22601
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
7	34 Brenda	Saball		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
70	54 DI Ellua	Sabali		
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
78	35 Andrea	Neck		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
786 Gail	Troy	Shipman VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
797 Mary	Para		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
787 Mary	Bare		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
788 Frank	Stroupe	Matthew s NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28104

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
789 Diane Clark Colfax NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27235
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Thompso 790 Donna n Ronda NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28670
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Weinber 791 Jude g Asheville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28801

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
792 Judy	Bryan	Alexandr ia VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
702 Мали	Maakia		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
793 Mary	Mackley		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
794 Michael	Garrett		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 263 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Christian 795 Hersha Evans sburg VA 240	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
VIRGINIA 796 Tracey Aquino BEACH VA 234	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 452
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
797 Michelle Levi	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
798 Nancy Schwall Stafford VA 225	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Richmon 799 A J Hawkins d VA 232	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 25
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
King 800 Erika Bk George VA 224	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 85

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
801 Judy	Lane	··· ···
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
802 Patricia	Maher	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
002 1 4 4 1 6 4		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
803 Jane	Hassell Fairfax V	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. A 22032

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
804 Carmen Plummer Midland NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 2810
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
805 Mary Jeffrey Lenoir NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28645
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
806 Joyce Cook	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
807 Jane Hassell Fairfax VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
808 Veronica Robertie Newtown PA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Barbara 809 N. Baylin Durham NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27703

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
810 Alexis Gilman McLean VA 221(MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Snyder- Baldona	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
811 Elizabeth do	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Lake 812 Joseph Wenzel Elmo MN 5504	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 42

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
040 O	_			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
813 Clare	Tager			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
814 Chris	Morrow	frederick sburg VA	22406	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
815 Philip	Spiess	Frederick sburg VA	22407- 6805	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this
	revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
816 Doris Jackson Raleigh NC 276:	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be level to the National Forest and select alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
817 Carol Wright	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Mills 28759- 818 Virginia Schmidt River NC 5761	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
819 Karen Fedorov Bealeton VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
820 Michelle Lee Charlotte NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28226
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Haymark 821 Sharon Spillare et VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 20169

Page 272 of 578

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
822 Pegg	y Wynn	Henders onville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
823 Ariel	Wynn	Henders onville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28739
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
824 Gare	th Wynn	Henders onville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28739

Page 273 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Henders 825 Bobby Wynn onville NC 28	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 739
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Brandme CHAPEL 826 Jo Ellen yer HILL NC 27	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 516
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
827 Tracy Luff	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
828 Ricky	Bowman	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
020 KILKY	bowillan	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
829 Stephen	Hambric Walwort 53184- k h WI 9701	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
830 Tanja	Coleman Rieger Falls VA 2453	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 36

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	221 Denold	lahasaa			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1	831 Ronald	Johnson			
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	832 Karyon	Owen	Great Cacapon	W0/	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 25422
	552 Karyon	Owen	Cacapon	VVV 2	542 · ·
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
:	Monica 833 Rodica	Vancea	Madrid	NC 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 8021

Page 276 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Frederick 834 Jaime Turgeon sburg VA 2240	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28650- 835 Audrey Ward Maiden NC 0413	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
836 Suzan Parrish	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
837 Tara McCann	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Tracys 20779- 838 Marylyn Leet Landing MD 9737	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
839 Elaine Becker Roanoke VA 2401	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 8

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
840 Leigh	Owen			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
040 Leigh	owen			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
841 Janet	Paisley	Charlotte	VA 22903	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
o i i bunct	ruisicy	541110		
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
842 Yvonne	Talbot			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
UHZ TVUIIIR	iaiuut			

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Wegman Sugar 28679- 843 Elizabeth n Grove NC 9403	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. I have spent many many hours hiking and enjoying the beauty of this unspoiled area. Please 1 Keep it unspoiled. Gas is not the way of the
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Palmetto 844 Leslie Lau Bay FL 331	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
644 Lesne Lau Day IL 551	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
845 Angie Akins	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
OTO AIIGIC ANIIS	

Page 280 of 578

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Neum 846 Dee n		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23934
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Katherin 847 e Howis	Lexingto son n VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24450
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
848 Linda Barke	er Roanoke VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24018

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Ale 849 Uwe Dotzauer ia	exandr VA 22304	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Pui 850 William Aiton le	rcellvil VA 20132	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
851 Judy McClung		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Shandoly 852 n Boye	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
832 П Воуе	······································
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
853 Lorna Madill	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
854 David Hamilton	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
855 Erika	Geary Sylva N	C 28779	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
856 Susan	Clayton		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	cuyou		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
857 Chris	Micolucc i Cornelius N	C 28031	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
858 Emilia	Torrellas			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
050 EIIIIId	Torrellas			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
859 Christi	Dillon	Mooresvi lle		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 8117
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
860 Linda	Camp	Henders onville	NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 8791

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
861 Courtney Holmes	· · · · ·
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Charlotte 862 Mary Grant sville VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22903
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	This revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
Catanzar Richmon 863 Chris o d VA	23225 Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
864 Susan	Zucchino	Whisperi ong Pines NC	28327- 9486	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
865 Carl	Barnett	Stuarts Draft VA	24477	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
866 Kathryn	Hass	San Francisco NC	27705	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
867 Jane	Stevens	Midway G	31320- 5A 3539	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
				Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
868 Tiffany	Reynolds	China Grove N	IC 28023	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
869 Mary	Poulos	GREENSB ORO N	IC 27408	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to guideline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
M.Gretsi 870 Marcia nger	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Bernardi Burnsvill 871 Patricia ng e NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28714
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
872 Lucie Laberge Charlotte NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28270

Page 289 of 578

	· · ·
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Alderma 873 Richard n Asheville NC 28804	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
876 Mat	Long			··· ···
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
077		Denter		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
877 Jeanette	Hess	Dayton	VA	22821
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to repeat the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
878 Myria	Fairbank s	Apex	NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27539

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
070 1	6	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
879 Laura	Cross	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
880 Rose	Shulman Traphill NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28685
oou nuse	Shuiman maphin NC	2000
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
881 Steve	Lynchbur Brown g VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24504

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
882 Lori	Bright	Swannan oa NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28778
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be use to group and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
883 Michael	Spence		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
005 WIICHdel	Spence		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
884 Gayle	Dunne		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
885 Frank Schmidt Henrico VA 2322	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Chesape 886 Nowell Overby ake VA 2332	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Alexandr 887 Laurie Lagoe ia VA 2230	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 9

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
888 Cathy	Wright			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	wingin			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
889 Lois	Lommel	Richmon	VA 2323	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
005 2015	Lonnier	u	VA 2525	
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
900 Helly	Dahal			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
890 Holly	Dabal			

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
891 Emilie Larson Vienna VA 2218	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Frederick 892 Theresa Hebron sburg VA 2240	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
893 Kenneth Riggs	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
894 Sarah	Palmer	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
005 1000	Grand	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
895 Joan	Crouch	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
896 Ray	Matthew 28104- Sammis s NC 6046	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
897 George	Richards on	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
898 Becky	Brookshi re Marshall NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28753
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
899 Cindy	McMilla n	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Catherin 900 e	Ruffin				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
900 e	Kullill				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
001 Bay	Corne				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
901 Ray	Come				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
902 Janet	Black	Candler	NC	28715- 8160	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be protect the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Wellsbur 26070- 903 Edward Lynch g WV 9756	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Princeto 24740- 904 Barbara Byrd n WV 2751	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
905 Reed Laura	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Knightdal 27545- 906 Vivian Demarco e NC 8459	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
907 Alice Ofsa	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
908 Quando Gerst Raleigh NC 2761	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
909 Bill	Anthony	N Wilkesbo ro NC	28659- 7349	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
910 Irwin	Flashma n	Reston VA	20190	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
911 Timothy	Birthisel	Asheville NC	28805- 2626	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
912 Trish Fowler	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
912 Trish Fowler	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Thompso Waynesv 28785- 913 David n ille NC 9489	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 2. On December 2. 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
	Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Charlott Manassa 914 e Lehmann s VA 2011	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 0

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28803- 915 Miller Graves Asheville NC 2553	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
916 Caroline Wood	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
Sio caronne wood	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
917 Judith Burch Crozet VA 2293	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Winston 918 David Fouche Salem NC 2710	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
919 Ray Evans	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
920 Georgina Barra	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Woodsto 12498- 921 Marcelle Crago ck NY 2308	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
922 Nan Beatty	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
923 Deborah Triplett	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 306 of 578

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
924 Pamela	Bacon	Lexingto n	NC	2729	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
925 Mae	Basye	Fuquay Varina	NC	27526- 8719	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
926 Louan	Fisher	Palmyra	VA	2296	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 3

23 Error Res			
923 Brend Rosi Rosi Respective Contruction within the defersion National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities - and the water spin which they deperd - to a wide array of environmental diagences. 927 Wand Stephene e NC 2222 928 Brend Respective Contruction within the defersion National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities - and the water spin which they deperd - to a wide array of environmental diagences. 927 Wand Stephene e NC 2222 928 Brend Respective Contruction within the defersion National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose new construction 928 Brend Respective Contruction within the defersion National Forest would threaten that proposes new construction 928 Brend Respective Contruction within the defersion National Forest would threaten that proposes new construction 928 Brend Respective Contruction Respective Contruction 928 Brend Respective Contruction Respective Contruction 928 Brend Respective Contruction Respective Contruction 929 Brend Respective Contruction Respective Contruction 928 Brend Respective Contruction Respective Contruction 928 Brend Respectine Contructincontructins the respectin Respective Contru			guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
228 Brends Rost Rost Interplant to the order upon which they depend - to a wide array of environmental dargers. 228 Brends Rost Rost Interplant to the order upon which they depend - to a wide array of environmental dargers. 228 Brends Rost Rost Rost Interplant to the order upon which they depend - to a wide array of environmental dargers. 228 Brends Rost			revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
227 Ward Stephens 'e NO			
Product Number Colloadies Col			
928 Bread Rosi 928 Bread Rosi	927 Wanda		this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the lefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities for fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jufferson National Forest. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidellines and would enable the fracked gas in the View of the even proposed new domentation. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Mipeline to be water risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Mipeline were risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Mipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being construction our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's constructi			guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
928 Brenda Rossi 928 Brenda Rossi Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. WP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. 'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. 'The writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Atternative 1, the No Action alternative: The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide arrary of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline			revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
928 Brenda Rossi 928 Brenda Rossi P328 Brenda Rossi P328 Brenda Rossi P328 Brenda Rossi P328 Brenda Rossi P328 Brenda Rossi P328 Brenda Rossi P328 Brenda Rossi Rossi P328 Brenda Rossi Rossi Rossi Rossi P328 Brenda Rossi Rossi Rossi Rossi P328 Brenda Rossi </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
928 Brenda Rossi 928 Brenda Rossi On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and exceptions. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.			
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.			
guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	928 Brenda	a Rossi	
revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.			guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.			revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.			
this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.			
	929 Spring	Propst	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
930 Sevanna Medina	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. There's already so much destruction in the world, with trees and land and important ecosystems being destroyed every day, everywhere. Please don't make it worse.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
24479- 931 Jeanne Hoffman Swoope VA 2103	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
932 Barbara Leonard	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Virginia 933 Laurice Yarn Beach VA 2346	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
934 Jasmin Days	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Vonthron 28801- 935 Christina sohnhaus Asheville NC 3249	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
936 Adam Mills Asheville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28801
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Margare 937 t Lee	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
938 Barbara Stenross Carrboro NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27510

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
939 D.	G.	Ayden	NC 28513	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
940 K	Quinn	Rolesvill e	NC 27571	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
941 Sheila	Graham			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 312 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Middleb 942 Heather Taylor urg VA 20:	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Broadna 943 Sandra x	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
944 Stephen Register	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
045 //			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
945 Karen Anema			
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you
			today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
946 Lee-Ann Diehl			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
947 Urve Crowde	Winchest er er VA	22604- 2204	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
048 Ales	Deutilier	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
948 Alan	Boutilier	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
949 Carrie	Eley- 2 Durbin	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
950 Arch	McMich	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
951 Jennifer	Dalvas		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
951 Jenniter	вакег		··· ···
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
952 Shannon	McCarth y	Arlington VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22201
	-	-	
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
953 Bethany		Henders onville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28791

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
954 A	Edge			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
955 B	т	Wilmingt on	NC 28403	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	Diask			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
956 Linda	Black			

Page 317 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Elisabett Gambrill 957 a Lago s VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 21054
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
958 Jennifer Luttrell	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
958 Jennifer Luttren	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
959 Angela Cline	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Kathleen 27312- 960 F. Walsh Pittsboro NC 5503	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27612- 961 Marilyn Brady Raleigh NC 3292	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
962 Heather Butler	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
963 Maria Geenzier	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Summerf 964 Elizabeth George ield NC 27358	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
965 Sharon Shohfi	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
966 Nanc	y Coffey	blowing rock	28 NC 90	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 28605- this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 9038 control of the second s	
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
967 Kate	Parish			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
507 Kate	ransn				
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
968 Alexis	s Low			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
969 Sheila Jackson	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28806- 970 Shirley Phillips Asheville NC 8494	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Hedjerso 971 Martina n	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
972 D	Deb	Douglas	Concord N		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
973 F	Helen	Shamek	Waynesv ille N		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
5751	leien	Shamek	ine in	20/00	
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
974 J	ennifer	Midkiff			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
975 Wende	Markha m	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
975 Wende	m	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
976 Dane	Gaiser	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
576 Dalle	Gaisei	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
977 Fran	Vero 3296 Grocholl Beach FL 3211	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Vero 32960- 978 Fran Grocholl Beach FL 3211	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Rectorto 20140- 979 Miriam Anver wn VA 0147	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
980 Jack Bostic	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Ford Bakersvil 981 Ellen Nentrup le NC 28705	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
002 Dishard Carls	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
982 Richard Garis	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Chapel 27516- 983 Elizabeth Gump Hill NC 9327	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
984 Telia	Murphy	Raleigh N	27612- IC 1756	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		0		
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
985 Lisa	Muglia	Raleigh N	IC 2761	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
986 Lisa	Rattlingg ourd		24134- A 2807	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

986 Lisa ourd rg VA 2807

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I strongly urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands: 1. This revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		 Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		3. Frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
987 Julia	Alexande Hartman r NC 28	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 8701
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
000 Taul	L = () =	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
988 Toni	Loflin	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		The fracking project is directly against all of the natural world. To approve the devastation this hideous project would inflict would be in direct opposition to the mission of the Forest Service! We all need the forests, their calming beauty, the clean air the trees and other plants provide us by photosynthesis are essential to our breathing! Please do not allow the Mountain Valley Pipeline!!

Greensb 27407-NC 5415

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities - and the water upon which they depend - to a wide array of environmental dangers.

989 Teri Reeder oro

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28734- 990 Richard Ward Franklin NC 6562	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Mariann McDerm 991 e ott Chico CA 9592:	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
992 Pamela Casey	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
993 Roberta	Truesdal e	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
994 Teresa	Ford	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
994 Teresa	Ford	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
995 Kent	O Loughlin Pinehurst NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28374

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
996 Carol	Hutchisc n	ı			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
997 Brian	Pappas	Norfolk	VA	23517	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
998 Bloom	Post	Montrea t		28757- 0023	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
999 Cathy	Page	Pollocksv ille NC	28573- 8786	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,000 Kevin	Noonan	Williams burg VA	23188	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,001 Freda	Vaughn	Fletcher NC	28732	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 332 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Great 1,002 Diana Lahey Falls VA 2200	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,003 Margie Sanders Hardy VA 241(MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Harpers 25425- 1004 Elizabeth Hastings Ferry WV 5406	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 333 of 578

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,005 Teresa	McCartn Glen ey Allen VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23060
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1.006 Maria	Salgado	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,000 Walla	Salgado	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,007 Richard	Rutherfo rd Staunton VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24401

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,008 Ann	McBeth	Swansbo ro NC	28584- 0418	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,009 Vicki	Morrison	Wellingt n on KS	67152	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1010 Jory	Froggatt	Summerf ield NC	27358- 9012	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.			
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.			
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.			
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.			
4.044 1-55	Dillinter			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.			
1,011 Jeffrey	Pilkinton						
							On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.			
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.			
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.			
1,012 Rebecca	Fox	Charlotte NC	28210- 5744	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.			
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.			
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.			
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.			
1,013 Sarah	Stansill	Montrea t NC	2875	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.			

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
11784- 1,014 LuAnn Drost Selden NY 3416	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the lefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Alexandr 22305- 1,015 Carissa Alaimo ia VA 2671	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1016 Elizabeth Guzynski Raleigh NC 2760	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 9

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,017 Kelly	Brennan Riner VA 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24149
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,018 Ann	Stricklan d	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 2, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,019 Jack	Bostic	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,020 Betty Wa		Richmon d VA	23226	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect the volution of the second sec
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1.021 lask	di a			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,021 Jack Bos	TIC			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect the volute of th
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1022 Bethany Syk	es	Asheville NC	28801- 1728	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 339 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Williams 1,023 Barbara Hudgins burg VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Morgant 1,024 Jamie Shultz own WV	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,025 Sandra Johnson	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	_				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,026 Sean	Fraser				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,027 Ken	Phares				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
_,					
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1028 Joseph	Wenzel	Lake Elmo	MN	55042	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	Stuchbur	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,029 Kelly	У	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,030 Ben	Stuchbur Y	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,050 Dell	ÿ	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,031 Dave	Rush	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
-,/0		

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Burgess		NC		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
Durgess		ne		
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
i King				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
0				
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Rincon	Round Hill	VA	20141	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	i King	i King Round	i King Round	i King

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Annelies 1,035 e	Simmons	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,036 Sally	Bassett Pittsboro NC 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27312
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,037 Hatch	William	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 344 of 578

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,038 Debbie	Burroug hs Edenton NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,039 Liz	Cramp Clifton VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1040 Laura	Baker	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1010 20010		

Page 345 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,041 Laura	Lawrenc e	VA	23188	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,042 Janis	Lozano	Bayonne NJ	07002- 5075	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,043 Ann	Palin			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,044 Rachel	Korostyn ski Apex	27539- NC 7994	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,045 Barbara	Allen		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,045 Barbara	Allen		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1046 Cindy	Hartman n		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27810- 1,047 Melissa Sykes Belhaven NC 1436	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,048 Jim Lindsay Arlington VA 22201	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Rocky 24151- 1,049 Beth Walker Mount VA 3954	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 348 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Greensb 27406- 1,050 Shirley Cathey oro NC 4804	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Statesvill 28677- 1,051 Margie Miller e NC 7245	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1052 Vimal Patel	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,053 Jon Post	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,054 Leslie Sexton	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Cedar 1,055 Barbara Benson Point NC 28	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 584

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
22079- 1,056 Philip Keating Lorton VA 1858	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,057 Michelle Roy	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Provo- Meinhar 1058 Laura t	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 351 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
ELizabe 1,059 h	t Kuch	Granite Falls NC	28630	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4.000 11-	Deed			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,060 Liz	Reed			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,061 Sven	Koschins ki	Nehmten VA	24326	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28752- 1,062 Laurie Wheeler Marion NC 0187	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect the Values of the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,063 Fowler Holly	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,005 FOWIEI HOILY	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1064 Gianilda Ramirez	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 353 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,065 Sallie	Park	Charlotte sville VA	22911	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,066 Dawn	Hurst- Stultz	Harrison burg VA	22802- 5507	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,067 Isabel	Cervera	Salisbury NC	28147	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,068 Kimberly Houser Harmony N	C 28634	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Virginia 1,069 Cathy Brunick Beach V/	A 23454	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Fischesse Jonas 1070 Nina r Ridge No	28641- C 0069	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 355 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28721- 1,071 Karen Doyle Clyde NC 8704	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Rosemar Waynesb 1,072 y Sweatt oro VA 22980	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,073 Steven Stowers	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you when the service of the serv
			today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,074 Susan	Blunt		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you
			today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4.075 11	Duraum		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,075 Jill	Brown		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1076 Betony	Beddingf ield Apex	27539- NC 9765	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,077 Michael Fritz	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Jane 1,078 Ellen Teller	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,079 Debra Inscoe	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,073 Debid Histore	

Page 358 of 578

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,0	80 Julia	Riddle	Greensb oro NC	27408- 2512	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,0	81 Sherry	Kerns	Roanoke VA	24018	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
10	82 Spring	Propst			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1.083 Wanda	Hillman			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,083 Wanua	Hillman			··· ··· ···
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,084 Cynthia	Mastro	ELIZABET H CITY N	IC 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27909
_,				
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,085 Christina	Miller	Fletcher N	IC 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28732

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,086 Lynn Lambeth Forest VA 2455	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,087 Tom Reeves	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,067 TOTT REEVES	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Holly 27540- 1088 Richard Detar Springs NC 9133	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,089 Jennifer	Merkel	Chesape ake VA	23323	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 000 Daharaa	6 H			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,090 Rebecca	SCOTT			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,091 Joe	Lowe	Warrent on VA	20187- 2682	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,092 Shannon Ryan Charlotte NC 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28273
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Midlothi 1,093 Rio Valencia an VA 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23112
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1094 Brandy Kellis	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,095 Virginia Baker	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
20189- 1,096 Colin Shepard Dulles VA 7300	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Winston 27101- 1,097 Janine Knight Salem NC 6307	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27604- 1,098 Danielle Baker Raleigh NC 1512	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,099 Angie Branch	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1100 Cameron Konken CONWAY SC 2952	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 6

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	Abernath	ı			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,101 Patsy	У				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Angus 1,102 M.	Macdon ald	Elkwood	VA	22718	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,102 111.	ulu	LINWOOD	14	22710	
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,103 Jessica	Chapma n	Deep Gap	NC	28618- 9251	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 366 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Be lower of the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Be lower of the Section Berline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Fairfax 1,104 Robin Swope Station VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22039
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you to be a select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Randlem 1,105 Tim Mengel an NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27317
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1106 Elizabeth Cane	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 367 of 578

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,107 Karen	Suit	Falling Waters N	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,108 Natalie	Hedrick		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,109 Cora	Barber		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,110 Al		chet Sewickel y PA	15143- 8315	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,111 Ja	mie Le	Alameda CA	94501	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1112 Da	anielle Sheł	nab Napa CA	94558	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,113 Amanda Byrne	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1.114 Debra Linder	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,114 Debra Linder	· · · · ·
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
State 1,115 Kathleen Domenig College PA 1680	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,116 Liz Reed	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Bellingha 1,117 Steven Errede m WA 98225	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,117 Steven Lifede in WA 98223	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Jacksonvi 32256- 1118 Richard Brunke lle FL 7794	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
Frida 1,119 Marie	Gade	Hadsten	N/a	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
1,120 Stacey	Smith	Henders on	КҮ 4	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 420	
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
1,121 Glen	Rogers			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
	5				

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	_			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,122 Betty	Gunz			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 422 March		Kalamaz		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,123 Mark	Jackson	00	MI	49009
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1124 Pam	Sohan	New Braunfel s	ТХ	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 78132
1124 F dill	JUIIdII	3	14	/0152

Page 373 of 578

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,128 Mia	Whitford Ada	MI 9	49301- 9451	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 120 Drittory	Lashia			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,129 Brittany	Larkin			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1130 Andrew	Wilcox			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,131 Roy Sook Amherst Wi	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 54406
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,132 Caroline Brennan	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,152 Caloline Brennan	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Forschne Murrysvi 1,133 Jillian r Ile PA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 15668

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,134 Eric Jason Joliet IL	60435- 8750	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Watkinsv 1,135 Derin Parker ille G	A 3067	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1136 Elaine Robbins Marshall N	28753- C 0257	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction
		On becember 23, 2022, the 0.3. Forest service issued a levised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. The would be voltable to prove the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,137 Cole	Cochran	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,138 Leah	lones	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,138 Lean	Jones	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4 420 D-L	Waada	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,139 Robin	Hirsch	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
24347- 1,140 Dawn Cronk Hiwassee VA 2526	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Richmon 1,141 Lindsay Pugh d VA 23220	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1142 Megan Fulton	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,143 Sarah	Gebhard t Chicago IL	60640	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	D		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,144 Diana	Rae		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,145 Lillian	Swindell Charlotte NC	28207- 1804	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,146 Jenise	Burford	··· ··· ···
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4.447 David	27603-	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,147 Dawn	Dorin Raleigh NC 2692	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1148 Dayna	Cocciolo ne	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,149 Alicia	Lane	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
		Pipeline inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,150 Robert	McNabb	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
_,		
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,151 Dez	Crider	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,151 002	0.140.	

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 152 Michael	Road				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,152 Michael	кееа				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,153 Stacey	Nicholas				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,155 Statey	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1154 Doug	De Stefano	San Marcos	CA	92078- 3961	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Las 89129- 1,155 Karolyn Nartker Vegas NV 6397	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Buchana 1,156 Brittany n	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,157 Aaron Kenna La Mesa CA 9194	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
	the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,158 Claire Hendee	tills deeping haved hatted gas project to desu by 5.5 milles of the selfers on hautonar Porest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Dwojews 1,159 Jordan ki	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1160 Deborah Gillis	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,161 Angela	Duncan			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
-,				·· ·· ··
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4.462	Maller			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,162 Ray	Wilkes			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,163 Christina		Morgant on NC	28655- 8849	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,164 Jan	Coerper				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1.165 Kendzie					MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,105 Kellüzle	FIUITID				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1166 Tom	Carroll	Verona	wi	53593- 5141	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 107 Казаа	Pohl		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,167 Karen	PONI		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1.168 Earl	Mitchell Cornelius NC	28031-	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,108 Lan	Witchen Comenus NC	0010	
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,169 John	Holmgre n		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,170 Torrie Hulse	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you
	today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Mollie- Margare 1,171 t Holt	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Snow 27349- 1172 Heather Owens Camp NC 6030	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 173 Varmaan Imam	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,173 Yasmeen Imam	· · · · ·
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Blackwel 1,174 Angel I	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,175 Ronikka Hubert	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 390 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Petersbu 1,176 Shabaka Moore rg VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 23805
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4 477 France No. 1	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,177 Eugene Nervo	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1178 Sharyn Guthrie	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,179 Michelle Wright Mebane NC 2730	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be level to the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to the National Forest and select the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,180 Lo Wo	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be level to the leven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Błacksbu 24060- 1,181 Lynda Majors rg VA 8920	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 392 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Chapel 1,182 Eli Celli Hill NC 2751	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Wilkesbo 28697- 1,183 Sierra Brown ro NC 8125	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1184 Barrett Deisher	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 393 of 578

						On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
						Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
						Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
						Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,1	185 Brett	Little	Fayettev Ile	i NC	2830	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
						On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
						Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
						Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
						Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 1	186 Rachael	McNeal				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,1		WILINEdi				
						On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
						Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
						Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
						Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,1	187 Rose	Brostic	Bryson City	NC	28713- 1291	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local
1,188 Monica	Young				communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	Ū				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
1,189 Brona	Little				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,109 BIONA	Little				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.
					The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow
1190 Jennifer	Rukoven a	Oak Island	NC	28465- 6802	this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 395 of 578

						On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
						Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
						Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
						Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,19	1 Sagar	Patel	Westbor ough	MA	158	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
						On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
						Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
						Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
						Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 10	2 Jaunia	Daddiali				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,19.	2 Laurie	Roddick				
						On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
						Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
						Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
						Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,19	3 Rose	Brostic	Bryson City	NC	28713- 1291	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 396 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Spotsylva 1,194 Tessa Pou nia VA 22553	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1405 Debauk Deedee	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,195 Deborah Peaslee	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Cunning Middleto 45044- 1196 Teresa ham wn OH 5059	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,197 Linda	Allind	New Bern	28562- 8971	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,198 Bridgett	Bobbitt			•
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,199 Lyle	Adley- Warrick			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect the select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 300 Diana	Lipscom			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,200 Diane	b			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,201 S	Whitesid e	Radford		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,201 3	e	Kauloiu	VA	
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1202 Eric	Beck	Raleigh	NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27609
		-		

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 202 Coroon	Pohorte	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,203 Coreen	Roberts	··· ···
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,204 Luke	Marre	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
2,201 2010		
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Christop 1,205 her	Sekerak Davidson NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28036

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,206 Patricia	Child	Greenwo od VA	22943- 1721	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,207 Lisa	Chicoine	Mt Airy NC	27030- 8887	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. My family owns property that borders Jefferson National Forest and we would be directly affected by this. That's not including the effect it would have on our property and health should anything happen to this pipeline. It is simply a bad idea and you should not do this.
1208 Russell	Painter			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,209 Lindsay	Thorn			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,209 Linusay	morn			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,210 Tracy	Kelly	Looneyv le		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,210 11009	Reliy		 25255	
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,211 Richard	Oshorpe			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
_,	2 300.110			

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,212 JoAnn Lee	··· ···
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
26452-	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,213 Mitzie Kennedy Weston WV 6907	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1214 Thomas Klutz	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,215 Kim Scott Jackson NC 27845	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction
	guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Applegat 27312- 1,216 Paula e Pittsboro NC 6693	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1.217 Carmelo Montes	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	-	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,218 Nathlee	Thayres	··· ··· ···
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 210 /2007	Pickard	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,219 Jason	FICKATU	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1220 Peggy	Hill	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,221 Elias	Sarver- Wolf	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,221 Elids	WOII	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,222 Laurie	Collins	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
2,222 200110	comb	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Samanth 1,223 a	n Dougher ty	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to peptide to the second se
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Cassandr Swannan 28778- 1,224 a Phillips oa NC 2406	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Baldovin 28215- 1,225 Laurent o Charlotte NC 6502	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1226 Bonnie Sammis	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Belley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,227 Helen Gray Raleigh NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27605
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,228 John MacLean Franklin NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28734
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,229 Christina Niewisch	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,225 CHIISUNG INCWISCH	

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,230 Mona	Hendrick Staunton VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4 224 1-1	Dial	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,231 Jeri	Rink	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1232 John	Elledge	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	5	

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,233 Daniel	Oliver	Purcellvil Ie VA	20132	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 224 Daniel	Pickett			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,234 Daniel	PICKETT			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Christop 1,235 her	LaFortun a	I		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,236 Suzanne Poppell		
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4 227 Debeeth Deedee		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,237 Deborah Peaslee		
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1238 Shannon Caviness Charlotte NC	28215- 4511	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1201 G Set meres Set					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction
1.20 Tomisso n 1.23 For n 1.24 Tomisso n 1.25 For ES Envice number of the set of t					guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
1,240 T 6 1,240 T 6					revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
1.29 Kn Tominison MVP is over-budget, past deadline, disnisive of frontline communities, and externely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the lefferson National Forest. 1.29 Kn n NVP is over-budget, past deadline, disnisive of frontline communities, and externely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the lefferson National Forest. 1.29 Kn n Do December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction update durable the fracked gas Monation AulleP Pipeline to be built within the lefferson National Forest. 1.29 Kn N December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction outpact is and enceptors. 1.29 Kn N December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service to protect the National Forest and veloce proposed amendments and exceptors. 1.20 Kn Let ne explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (WS) boold be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still des not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Drest and exceptors. 1.240 T G NVP is over-budget, past deadline, disnissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the lefferson National Forest. 1.240 T G On December 23, 2022, the					
Tominso this deply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 1,239 Ken n 1,239 Ken n 0.0 December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental lingact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pletine to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protoced an endiments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pletine (MVP) should be prevented from being construction of unpublic lands. To tart, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildife in the immediate vicinity of the Pletine's construction. 1,240 T 6					
 1,240 T G Discenber 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the lefferson National Forest. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the forest Plan, and i urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the sever risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities - and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontiline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deepth flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. NVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deepth flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. NVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontiline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deepth Pipeline (NVP) should be preven	4 220 //				
1,240 T 6 Buildines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urg the Forest Service to protect the National Forest Alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. 1,240 T 6 Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the water Jand, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you and the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities - and the water upon which they depend - to a wide array of environmental dangers. 1,240 T 6 Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the serve environment is and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service usued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the leven proposed amendments and exceptions. 1,240 T 6 In December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the Velice and repropose new construction forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service usued a levised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction is revised stateme	1,239 Ken	n			
 revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities - and the water upon which they depend - to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. N/P is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destry 03.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. The wontain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's					guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
1,240 T G Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. 1,240 T G MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 0, December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is construction on urget the Forest Service to protect the National Forest used a mereined metad and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities are the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely					revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
1,240 T G 1,240 T G All plane display the					
1,240 T G 1,240 T G 0 December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. East Stroudsb MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.					
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	4 340 T	c			
guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	1,240 1	G			
revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Stroudsb this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.					guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. East MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Stroudsb this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.					revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. East MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Stroudsb this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.					
Stroudsb this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.					
	1,241 Julianne	Gould	Stroudsb	PA	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,242 Donna Jones	··· ··· ···
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,243 Cristina Lima	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1244 Ethean Revis	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 413 of 578

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Mary Four this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 1,245 Lee Johnson Oaks NC 27524	
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
1,246 Tammy Martin	
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities $-$ and the water upon which they depend $-$ to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 1,247 Pam Erber	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Clarkesvi 30523- 1,248 Karen Fain lle GA 3704	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Hathawa 1,249 Hunter y	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,249 Hunter y	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1250 Matthew Compton	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Emmanu 1,251 el	Brown	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,251 ei	Brown	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,252 Janis	Martin	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,252 301115		
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
M 1,253 Charna	Buchbind er Zeller	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,254 Jeff	Mitchell	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
		Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and Lurge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,255 Emelia	London	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,200 Emena	20110011	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Meredit 1256 h	Langley	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,257 Herbie	Dockery	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,258 Karma	Harrison 22802- Camilo burg VA 5453	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,259 Carol	Hutchiso n	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,260 Ashley	Hamiltor	n			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,200 Ashey	nannitoi				· · · · · · · · ·
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,261 Judith	Clark	Dunmore	≘ WV	24934- 9081	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
,					
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1262 Karen	Brittain	Greensb oro		27410- 2907	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,263 Robert	Cherry	Boone	NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28607
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,264 Lisa	Circle			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,265 Lucindy	Warren	Mebane		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 302- this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 30

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. So many mountain people depend on spring water for their homes, springs that also feed the creeks and streams full of wildlife. What will we do when the water supply gets poisoned with toxic chemicals from fracking operations?
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,266 Julie	9450 Eldridge Alameda CA 6216	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	_	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,267 Dianne	Greene	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1268 Ellen	Watson Lane	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,269 Bryleigh	Apple	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,270 Sarah	Kordule wski	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,270 501011	WSRI	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,271 Dana	Beckstoff er	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,27	2 July	Wolfe	
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 27	3 Nadine	Huntersv Blancato ille NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28078
1,27	5 Hadine	biancato inc. No	
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
100	4 Aganon	Dawa	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
127	- Agailoil	DUWII	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27712- 1,275 Leslie Pardue Durham NC 9727	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
24018- 1,276 Melissa Webster Roanoke VA 4324	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,277 Robert Tassinari	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,278 Penelope Vann	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Valenzue 1,279 Jade la	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1280 Randy Lott	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
· / · · ·	

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 204 7-00	T			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,281 Tony	Tamer			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 202 April	Desies	Charlotte		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,282 Annie	Ranjan	sville V	A 2290	i
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,283 Leigh	Remingt on			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,284 Owen	Perkins	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,285 Maggie	Dillow	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,205 1105810	5	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1286 Katie	Bowen	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1200 Naue	Dowen	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Tallahass 32301- 1,287 Richard Baker ee FL 6726	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Samanth Cummin 1,288 a gs	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Louisbur 1,289 Brenda Hamm g NC 2754	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 19

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,290 Lynne (Holly C. Springs NC 275	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,291 Chelsea J	lackson	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
F 1292 Rebecca	Penningt on	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,293 Mary	McKay Wise VA 24	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 204 Conv	Rainstro	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,294 Gary	m	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,295 Nancy	Aubucho 28110- nt Monroe NC 7307	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,296 Stephen Lang Durha	27704- am NC 4786	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 207 Nappy - Cray		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,297 Nancy Cray		
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1298 Lisa Jansen		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,299 Phyllis	Karppi	Williams burg VA	23185	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,300 Nancy	Lawrenc e			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,301 Liz	Reed			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,302 William Thomas	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,303 Caridad Negrin	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1304 Nicholas Hando	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,305 Darlia	Raby	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,306 Robert	Allen	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
_,		
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 207 Dores	Prideoc	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,307 Donna	Diluges	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this
	revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,308 Sabrina Smalley	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,500 Sabima Smalley	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Wilkinso 1,309 Renetta n	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1310 Linda Seidel	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to the volution of the forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,311 Laina	Faylor	Garner NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,312 Karen	Stein	Blacksbu rg VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24060
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be provided and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	Jnderwo od	Gastonia NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28056

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,314 Tom Nasta Roanoke VA 2401	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 18
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Aubucho 1,315 Jonathan nt	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28704- 1316 Elsa Enstrom Arden NC 9104	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,317 Suzanne	Piri		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,318 H	Clark		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,318 П	CIALK		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Christop 1,319 her	Richards Charlotte NC	28262- 6474	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 438 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Barksdal HILLSBO 1,320 Roxanne e ROUGH NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. Please DO NOT ALLOW this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27278
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,321 Ruth McCoy	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1322 Lori Gearhart	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,323 Peggy Hunt	· · · ·
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,324 Maria Alvarez	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,524 Walla Alvalez	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,325 Amanda Duhaime	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	·
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be even proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Montgo Rutherfo 28139- 1,326 Nancy mery rdton NC 7338	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 227 Jap Curl	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,327 Ian Curl	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1328 Jennifer McNeill	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

						On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
						Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
						Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
						Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,3	329 Dianne	Јоусе	Miami	FL	33133- 6432	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
						On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
						Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
						Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
						Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,3	330 Susan	Charbon eau	Greenst oro		27409- 2738	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
						On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
						Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
						Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
						Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,3	331 Kim	Mihan	Leland	NC	2845	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 1

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Charlott					MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,332 e	d				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
					MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,333 Tomás	Castillo				· · ·
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1334 Steve	Gray	Angier	NC		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1004 01040	Sidy	, ungrei		27501	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,335 Deborah Graczyk	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,555 Deboran Graczyk	· · · · · · · ·
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Margare 02889- 1,336 t Jackson Warwick RI 6138	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,550 t Jackson Warwick Ki 0158	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28570- 1,337 Daniel S. Murdoch Newport NC 9680	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1	Margare 1,338 t	Levine			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
					Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1	1,339 Victoria	Pawlick	Williams	NY	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 14589
	,				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	1340 Kristy	Giles	Clackam as	OR	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 97015

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,341 Polly	McClend on	ί ΤΟΡΤΟΝ Ν	C 2878	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,342 Susan	Luny			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,542 505811	Lynx			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,343 Nancy	Reid	Montval e V	24122- A 2617	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,344 Marcia	M.Gretsi nger	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,345 C	Gomez	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
2,010 0		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1346 Jeanne	Roberts	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		My over arching concern is that our country has shifted from protecting people to protecting profiteers. There are people suffering from the consequences of the environmental impacts, and they should be our priority.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,347 Janet	Mullen	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 240 Sugar	F	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,348 Susan	Emery	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Appalachia has sustained repeated assaults on its environment, including fragmentation, water pollution and air pollution, all to use this sensitive ecosystem for extraction and now, transportation of fossil fuel gas, the continued use of which is not in the best interests of our future.
1,349 Susan	Northfor DeSilver d CT	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. 6472 The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Page 448 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, to repeat the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect Pipeline to repeat the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,350 Pam	Borso	Custer	98240- WA 0154	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,351 Monica	Pielage	Santa Cruz	95062- CA 3443	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1352 Sally	Panci			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				·
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,353 Myra	Lighthart	Mountai t n Home NC	28758- 0663	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,354 Holly	Hoag	Windsor CO	80550- 5141	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,355 Anne	Esacove	Philadelp hia PA	19118	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 450 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Washingt 1,356 Debra Wollesen on DC 2000.	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Hansma 98103- 1,357 Heather n Seattle WA 9029	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Reinhard 1358 Teresa t Corvallis OR 9733	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 3

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,359 Joann	Terrell	Wilkesbo ro NC	28697- 9152	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,360 Tammy	DeRoche	Snohomi sh WA	98290	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,361 Teresa	Reinhard t	Corvallis OR	97333	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Margare 1,362 t	Maupin			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,502 (waupin			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Margare 1,363 t	Maunin	Evanston IL		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,505 1	widupiii	Evanscon ie	00201	
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1364 Christy	Scott	Signal Mountai n Tf		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Lake 1,365 Erin Healy Lure NC 2874	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Gammar 1,366 Christian iello	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Schroede 1,367 Janice r Berkeley CA 9470	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 2

Page 454 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Katherin Falls 1,368 e Leswing Church	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 22046
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,369 Shaun Hubbard	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,509 518411 11405814	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Bodenhe 1370 George imer Denver	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28037

					•
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
					MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,371 Linda	Warman				and and the second s
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,372 Louise	Youngso n	Santa ana	CA.	92705- 1819	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,572 Louise		ana	CA	1015	
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,373 Angelic	Winters	Kingsport	TN	37660- 8710	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,374 Marcy	Boswort h	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,375 Mel	BRUSH Ginsberg PRAIRIE WA 986	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1376 Sydney	Wallace	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

guid toda	December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction Jelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you ay to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley eline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
revi	me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this sed statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of Pipeline's construction.
	wing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local munities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	tly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	P is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
guid toda	December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction delines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you ay to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley eline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
revi	me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this sed statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of Pipeline's construction.
	wing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local amunities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	tly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	P is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
guid toda	December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction delines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you ay to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley eline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
revi	me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this sed statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of Pipeline's construction.
	wing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local munities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	tly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	P is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,380 Melissa	Sheppar d Salisbury NC	28144- 7788	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,381 MC	Yturrald San e Diego CA	92111	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1382 Sheila	Sandford		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,383 Aaron	Klaus	Laurel	MD	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,384 Chasty	Smith			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,504 Chasty	Jinui			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,385 Diane	Paul	Doylesto wn	РА	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 8902

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this
		revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,386 Nancy	Berggre n	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
2,000 Halley		
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,387 Ann	Norris	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,507 Ann	Norris	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1388 Susan	Hester	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1000 000011		

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,389 Claire	Agosti Cody	WY 82414	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,390 Cynthia	Ambrogn e - O'Toole		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,391 Belle	Ellebrec ht	NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
					MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,392 Sai	Kiran				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,393 Mary	Stascak	Wake	2 NC 9	7587-	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,595 Wialy	JUGSLOK	FUIESL	NC 9	/14	
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1394 Doug	Wisema n				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 463 of 578

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Also, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,395 Margie	Matoba Davis	CA	95618- 4910	Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,396 Barbara	Bickel			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,550 Dai Dai a				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Stepheni 1,397 e	Gamroth			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,398 Wendy	lonic				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,398 Wendy	Jervis				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,399 Sarah	Harris	Tempe	AZ	85282	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Katharin 1400 e	Lamperti	Mercer Island	WA	98040- 3200	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4 404 Kenne	Callidaria				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,401 Karen	Solliday				··· ···
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,402 Nan	Richards	rds		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
_,					
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,403 Alison	Carville	Fort Myers	FL	33967- 3560	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	Richards		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,404 Nan	on		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,405 Lsurie	Former		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,405 LSurie	Forrest		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1406 Lillian	Swindell Charlotte NC	28207- 1804	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,407 Bruce	Sutton	Staunton VA	24401- 3855	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 409 David	Kerlick			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,408 David	Kerlick			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,409 Onja	Bock			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,410 Stephen Thiroux Ashland OR 9752	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 20
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Stephani Thompso 1,411 e n Austin TX 7875	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1412 Donna B	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,413 Robin	Weller	Grayslak e IL	60030- 4600	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	Circuit and			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,414 Francis	Simmel			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,415 Jessica	Helms			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 410 Freebie	Dees				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,416 Frankie	KOSS				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,417 Alan	Vauna	Hilo	ні	96720	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,417 Aldii	Young	нію	п	90720	
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1418 Brooke	Farnum	Encinita	as CA	92024- 5659	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28345- 1,419 Pamela Young Hamlet NC 2683	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,420 Karen Edwards Winthrop WA 9886;	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,421 Bruce M Corvallis OR 9733:	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the lefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,422 Carol	Mohr	Ann Arbor I	MI 4810	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,423 Corinne		n Bradento	FL 3420	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1424 Daniel	Wright	Roanoke	24012- VA 2525	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Grossma Brattlebo 1,425 Deborah n ro VT	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 5301
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,426 Sandee Smoak	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,427 Stacey Sude	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,428 Kiki Barrera	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Kay 27518- 1,429 Janet Nichols Cary NC 3006	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,429 Janet Michols Cary No 3000	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Marguer 1430 ite Bryant	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 475 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,431 Kathy	Stark	Charlotte sville VA	22902	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,432 Claire	Gulseth	Minneap olis MI	N 55403	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,433 Ashley	Baillarge on	orlando FL	32839- 6136	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Wilkerso 1.434 Elizabeth n	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,454 Elizabeti II	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,435 Ashley Carroll	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,100 / 5/10/10/	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Catherin 94560- 1436 e Dorman Newark CA 2939	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4 427 0-1	Delevel				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,437 Gail	Bukowsk	1			
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,438 Julie	Morales	Saint	MN	55106	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
2,100 June	morates	. du		55100	
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,439 Cindy	Marvin	Morristo wn	D NJ	07960- 6194	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 478 of 578

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
Margara		Union			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Margare 1,440 t	Myres	Mills	NC	28167	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,441 Doug	Roaten	Matthew s	2 NC 3	8105- 962	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1442 Kimm	Schaut	Manitow oc	WI	54220	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,443 William	Beam			•• ••
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1.444 Mark	Smith	Oconto Falls	WI	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,444 Mark	Smith	Falls	VVI	4154
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to people is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,445 Karen	Henness y			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

						On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
						Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, we don't need another gas pipeline, particularly one. The revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. All at greater risk and more valuable than gas.
						Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. None of us in the area want or need gas as much as we need water. Nor do we need the multiple hazards associated with fracked gas.
						Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,446 Po	olly C	assady	Lynchbur g	VA	24503- 1514	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. Its permits should have been terminated years ago. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National
						On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
						Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
						Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
						Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4 447 14						MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,447 M	elissa V	Vales				· · · · ·
						On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
						Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
						Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
						Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1448 Qı	uincy V	Vhittle				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,449 Leah	Hagan Seattle WA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 98119
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4.450 Curls		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,450 Susie	Heath	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,451 Ann	Baker	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
McCaskil 1,452 Kimberly I	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1453 Shalby Cartor	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,453 Shelby Carter	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Cheyenn Clemmo 27012- 1454 e Dumont ns NC 9868	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 AFF Heather	Diddle	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,455 Heather	Riddle	··· ···
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,456 Pamela	Karat	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,450 Tunicia	Karee	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,457 Steven	Bernstor f	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Ravaging irreplaceable natural areas when the outcome includes devastating and dangerous issues that have not been addressed Is irresponsible, immoral, and against common sense. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Rosemar 1,458 y	Schmid			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,459 Barbara	Tomlinso n S	Geattle	WA 98102	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1460 Kristina	Kalb			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,461 Julian	Prosser	Wake Forest NC	27587- 9775	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,462 Michael	Stumpf	Richmon d VA	23221- 1507	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,463 Stephen		Walwort h WI	53184- 9701	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,464 Kimberly Fowle	or.	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,404 KIIIDEITY FOWIE		
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,465 Heather Wood	1	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Steve 1466 Heather n	nso	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27705- 1,467 Rose Cnudde Durham NC 3252	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Huntersv 1,468 Nadine Blancato ille NC 2807	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27713- 1,469 Jennifer Griffith Durham NC 8811	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,470 Mary	Haley	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Actional Iternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Actional Iternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Actional Iternative.
		Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	_	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,471 Ed	Trancozo	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	Devial	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1472 Kerr	Daniel	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,473 Helen Renqvist Newport VA 24128	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Mount 27030- 1,474 Dianne Green Airy NC 9133	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27370- 1,475 Renee Hayes Trinity NC 8280	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,476 Noah	Fleischer	··· ···
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,477 Betsy	Mount Webster Ulla NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28125
2,000 0000		
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1478 Meggan	Knodel	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
38		

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
PITTSBO 27 1,479 Sara Kane RO NC 84	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 34
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Charlene 1,480 Charlene Jordan	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,481 Spring Propst	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 402 David Frank	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,482 Dawn Everly	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Fayettevi 1,483 Richelle Cornwell Ile NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28311
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1484 Julia Young Pittsboro NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27312

Page 493 of 578

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					As a grandmother my passion is preserving the environment for future generations. This fracked gas project should be stopped and it should definitely not be allowed on public lands.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
I,485 Donna	Von Bargen			2712	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,486 John	Kaiser	Morris Run	PA	16939- 0156	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
L,487 Crystal	Oliver				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	1,485 Donna 1,486 John	1,485 Donna Bargen	1,485 Donna Bargen Salem 1,486 John Kaiser Run	1,485 Donna Bargen Salem NC 1,486 John Kaiser Run PA	1,485 Donna Bargen Salem NC 2712 Morris 16939- 1,486 John Kaiser Run PA 0156

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction uidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you oday to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley ipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
et me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this evised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of he Pipeline's construction.
Nowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
astly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
VVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow his deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction uidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you oday to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
et me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this evised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of he Pipeline's construction.
Nowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
astly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
VVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow his deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you oday to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
et me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this evised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of he Pipeline's construction.
Nowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
astly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
//VP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow his deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,491 Lane	Mullis			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,492 Jane	Laurel Matanga Park		28739- 7716	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,493 Elizabet	Mcmaho Wilmi h n on	ngt NC	2840	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Mcmaho Wilmingt 1,494 Elizabeth n on NC 2840	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be level to the second a mendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Henders 28739- 1,495 Melia Shell onville NC 1330	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Fuquay 27526- 1496 Megann Robinson Varina NC 8698	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Septem Column Column<					
Stephani reside statement; still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate wicinity of the forest and expose local communities - and the water upon which they depend - to a wide array of environment. We cannot allow this they depend - to a wide array of environment. We cannot allow this they depend - to a wide array of environment. We cannot allow this they depend - to a wide array of environment. We cannot allow this they depend - to a wide array of environment. We cannot allow this they depend - to a wide array of environment. We cannot allow the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the information of the U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to or environment. We cannot allow the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife information communities, and extremely dangerous to or environment. We cannot allow the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife information communities and extremely dangerous to or environment. We cannot allow the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife information allow the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife information allow the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife information allow the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife information allow the pleine's construction within the Jefferson National Forest. The writing to you to dept the vater severe wild the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate wicinity of the VP is over-budget, past deadiline, disinitize of frontine communities, and extremely dangerous to or environment. We cannot allow this deepy flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the lefterson National Forest. 1,498 Saria Worg no N Ye Ye Ye Ye Ye Ye Ye <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley</td></td<>					guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
Stephani Lasily, fordine communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. 1,497 e Swaine Lasily, fordine communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which their needs. 1,497 e Swaine Lasily, fordine communities fave been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which their needs. 1,497 e Swaine View is deepy flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Afferson National Forest. 1,497 e Swaine On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a reisde derivation within the ufferson National Forest. 1,498 farsi Normania Normania Normania 1,498 farsi Coldstore Normania Normania 1,498 farsi Normania Normania Normania Normania Normania 1,498 farsi Normania Normania Normania Normania Normania Normania Normania Normania Normania Normani					revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
Stephani In the U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. 1,497 e Swaine WP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow the fully explained and the fully Ppellen to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. 1,497 e Norest Swaine WP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow the fully explained and with the Jefferson National Forest. 1,497 e Norest Swaine Swaine Swaine					
Stephani this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 1,497 e Swain In December 32, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Popeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you to you to algo the the forest Plan, and Lurge you to reject the elsewn proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Popeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Popeline's construction. 1,498 Staris Morgan N Z 6oldsbor MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and exposed amendments and exposes new construction within the Jefferson National Forest. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and exposes and under state the integrity of the forest and expose local communities and would enable the work with these communities and exposes new construction impact and their needs. 1,498 Staris Morgan N Z 1,498 Staris Morgan N Z Z 1,498 Staris Morgan N Z Z 1,498 Staris Morgan N N Z Z 1					
1,498 Staris Morgan NC 27530 Samath Morgan NC 27530		Swaine			this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,498 Staris Morgan NC 27530 Samath Morgan NC 27530					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
Samath Morros communities - and the water upon which they depend - to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 1,498 Staris Morgan o NC 2753					revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
Apple Staris Morgan NC 27530 Apple Staris Morgan NC 27530					
Goldsbor this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 1,498 Staris Morgan NC 27530 On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. 'I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. Samanth Montros					
Samanth Montros On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	1 408 Staric	Morgan		NC	this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	1,450 518115	worgan	0	NC	
revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.					guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
Samanth Montros communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.					revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Samanth Montros this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.					
Samanth Montros this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.					
		Cook		MN	this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4 500 Cala	Devendela	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,500 Cris	Reynolds	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1.501 Pamela	Greensb 27407- Griffin oro NC 6207	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,501 Pameia	Grinin oro NC 6207	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1502 Julie	Bernstor f	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4.502 Decise	A -1				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,503 Denise	Askew				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		Clemmo			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,504 Grace	Hepler	ns	NC	27012	
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,505 Patricia	Burgert	Wake Forest	NC	27587	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4.500 Charless Castless	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,506 Charlene Grattan	··· ···
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,507 Willie Boseman	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1508 Corbett Suzan	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 501 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Catherin Cullowhe 1,509 e Carter e NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Vancebo 1,510 Peggy Bennett ro NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 7501
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,512 Jeremy	Ehrlich	Seattle	WA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 98109
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,513 Deidra	Smith			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1514 Carolyn I	Pilgrim			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 503 of 578

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,518 Franklin	Turnmir e			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
2,520	C			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 540 11-11-1	Duranta			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,519 Henry	Preacher			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1520 Ruth	Miller	Candler	NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28715
1010 1000		cunurer		

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
					MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,521 Jade	London				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	_				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,522 Darlene	Brown	Charlotte	e NC	28227	
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,523 Dawna	Jenkins	Nebo	NC		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,524 Gavin	Huggett	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. The U.S. Forest Service should not make exceptions to its regulations for MVP, which has made over 500 violations, most of them regarding sediment and erosion problems which would also have major impacts on the Jefferson National Forest. Additionally we all know that climate change is here and we are approaching the point of no return regarding its impacts. It is time to stop any additional fossil fuel projects, especially when there is no local need for them and they will only incur damages locally while the only ones benefiting are corporate interests.
1,525 Anne	Lusby- Denham	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1526 Tracy	Kravchen San 94121- ko Francisco CA 3652	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,527 Elizabeth Savely Asheville NC 2880-	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Luginbyh Harrison 22801- 1,528 Terri I burg VA 4221	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28376- 1,529 Betsy Postel Raeford NC 3117	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,530 Joanne Casey Callaway VA 2406	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 7
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Blacksbu 24060- 1,531 Thomas Adams rg VA 2050	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Chapel 27517- 1532 Maria Salgado Hill NC 8508	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 509 of 578

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
E 1,533 Maria Ojeda r	Bradento n FL 342	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,534 Kathleen Heid 0	Ocala FL 344	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,554 Katilleen Held	Otala FL 344	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,535 Jordan Haeger A	Asheville NC 288	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 510 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Desirree 1,536 Marlena Clonch Lenoir NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28645
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,537 Judy Taylor Charlotte NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28211
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1538 Deborah Burchard	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. These types of sources are vital to our overall wellbeing and wildlife.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,539 Karley	Mitchell	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,540 Liz	Reed	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,540 LIZ	Neeu	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Sara 1,541 Moore	Knott	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,542 Robert	Medina	Asheville NC	28816- 7762	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,543 Brock	Purdy			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 2. On December 2, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1544 Ellen	Valentin e	Roanoke VA	24014- 1640	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,545 Susan	Nolan	Lynchbur g VA	24502- 4335	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,546 Christine	Eardley			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,547 Diane	Lipscom b			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 514 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28215- 1,548 Donna Fuqua Charlotte NC 8530	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Charlotte 22902- 1,549 Megan Sprague sville VA 5437	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Mount 27030- 1550 James Smith Airy NC 8415	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		Anderso			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	1,551 Annelise	n			
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		a 1.			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	1,552 Patty	Shelton			
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	1,553 Anthony	Yates	Winston- Salem NC	27105- 2511	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	·
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Fuquay 27526- 1,554 Wendy Cranford Varina NC 5850	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1555 Palab Carbo	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,555 Ralph Corbo	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27289- 1556 James Nance Eden NC 0343	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
1,558 Knight Miller	
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Jamesto 27282- this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 1,559 Laurette Guay wn NC 9462	

Page 518 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
San 1,560 Todd Snyder Francisco CA 94115	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
New 28562- 1,561 Elizabeth Brinson Bern NC 9519	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1562 Joan Hardy	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,563 Jon	Sault	Fuquay Varina N	27526- C 5245	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4.564.84-21-22				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,564 Monique	e Brown			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,565 Anne	Patton	Crozet V	22932- A 9464	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,566 Lariza G	Garzon Deltona FL	32738- 5412	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be protect the select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,567 Cynthia M	Mahe .		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
2,000 0,000 0			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
P 1568 Brandon o	Penningt on		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you	1
today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity o the Pipeline's construction.	f
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 1,569 Cherish Lloyd this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	1
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	I
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity o the Pipeline's construction.	f
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 1,570 Virginia Huffer	,
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	1
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity o the Pipeline's construction.	f
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow Winston- 27104- this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 1,571 John Dimling Salem NC 2223	,

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 F72 Fasily Faunt				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,572 Emily Faust				
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,573 Deborah Gentle	Lexingto n	2 NC 5	7295-	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,575 Deborun Genae		NC 5	152	
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1574 Law Olivers	Convin			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1574 Lou Okinawa	Sequim	vvA	98382	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,575 Ashley Paschal	······································
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,576 Michael Hedrick	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
,	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28609- 1,577 Gloria Rogers Catawba NC 9010	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,578 Lorine	Broome Davidson NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28036
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Be protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Be protect the Values of the Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,579 Kristie	Rodrigue z	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1580 Andrea	Ayala	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,581 Shelby	Green	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1.582 Amber	Green	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,582 Amber	Green	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,583 Chance	Lawson	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,505 chance	24.150.7	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,584 Sara Knauz Hopkins MN 5	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 5343
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Barbara Carolina 1,585 Brown Brown Beach NC 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 8428
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Daugher Fort 1586 Sharon ty Collins CO 8	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 0524

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline for Berley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,587 Elizabeth Smith Durham NC 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27705
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,588 Lacey Payne	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
D'Entre 1,589 Audria mont	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

						On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
						Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
						Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
						Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,590	Madelin e	Castro				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
						On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
						Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
						Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
						Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,591		Arringto n	Manches ter		37355- 6674	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
						On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
						Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
						Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
						Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1592	Nancy	Coffey	blowing rock	NC	28605- 9038	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,593 Mitzi	Combs	Traphill	NC	28685-	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,594 Jessica	Barlow	San Diego	СА	92104	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,595 Dorothy		Kings Mountai n			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,596 Kimberly Hall	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Wennber 1,597 Erik g	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1598 Terry Rader	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1000 renty induct	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4 500 Kinchede Lange	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,599 Kimberly Lemos	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,600 Angela Burchard Plymouth MI	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 48170
-,	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,601 Christine Vivio Seattle WA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 98126

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,602 Ann	Myers	Redwood City C	A 94063	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,603 Mary	Waldroo p	,		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,003 Wiary	þ			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1604 Lyn	Cook	Greensb oro N	27406- IC 4709	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,605 J.	Beverly Urbana	IL 61801	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,606 Lynne	Brimeco mbe	МІ	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,607 Karen	Karney		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
	_			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
1,608 B	S				
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
1,609 Ophelia	Moore				
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
1610 Nancy	Lent	Wilming on	t NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 105	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,611 Kathryn Potter Asheville NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,612 Susan Flint	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
Constitut	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Geneviev e Whittem 1,613 Linda ore	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27302- 1,614 Lucindy Warren Mebane NC 9730	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1.615 Linda Maino	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1616 Bonnie Pastre	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,617 Barbara	Charlotte 2 Gehrung sville VA 5	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow 2902- this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 721
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,618 Alex	Mancuso	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
-,010 MCA		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,619 Charity	Dellafoss e	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within in the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,620 Barbara	Jung	South Bend IN	46628- I 3745	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be even proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 621 Dom	Watte			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,621 Pam	Watts			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1622 Patty	Church			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
1,623 Artimus Ford	
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Displayed by the Service Displayed by the Serv	
Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities $-$ and the water upon which they depend $-$ to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
1,624 Regina Anders	
On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.	
Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.	
Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities $-$ and the water upon which they depend $-$ to a wide array of environmental dangers.	
Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.	
MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow South 46628- this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Mills 28759- 1,626 Kathleen O'Neal River NC 9674	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4 COR Research Annald	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,627 Rachel Arnold	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1628 Frank Ingles	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
					MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,629 Alan	Britt				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
					MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,630 Misha	Fulton				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,631 Jennifer	Drum	Candler	NC	28715- 8839	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,632 Hilda	Jones			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,633 Mary	Knighton			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,055 ivial y	KIIghton			
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Katharin 1634 e	Olsen	Greenvi e	27858- 0129	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,635 Karen Ellison	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
MaryBet 1,636 h Collins	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,637 Gina Bostic	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 C20 Kim	Krankar		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,638 Kim	Kranker		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Samanth 1,639 a	Carter		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1640 Sylvia	Smithwic New k Bern	NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28560

Page 545 of 578

 Not were the set of the set of						
 1,41 Philip Palmer Timer New Result statement still does not adequately take into account the server risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the forest and expose local communities on difference likely understand approxement. We cannot allow after yoon which the depender is to adverge of genoments which the depender is to adverge of genoments. We cannot allow after yoon which the depender is to adverge of genoments which the depender is to adverge of genoments. We cannot allow and relevant allow and relevant and their meets. 1,41 Philip Palmer Timer No N					guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley	
1641 Phillip Paires Vis 2770 1641 Phillip Paires Vis Vis Vis 1641 Phillip Paires Vis Vis Vis Vis 1641 Phillip Paires Vis					revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of	
1.641 Philip Painer The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. 1.641 Philip Painer The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and excernency dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow the deep flaved franked gas project to destry 3.5 miles of the befferson National Forest. 1.641 Philip Painer The U.S. Forest Service sus da evolution to the point of the deep flaved franked gas project to destry 3.5 miles of the befferson National Forest. The writing to you to good files and you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. 1.641 Philip Painer No Zeroet to the deep flaved franked gas project to destry 3.5 miles of the befferson National Forest. The writing to you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. 1.641 Philip Painer No Painer No Painer 1.641 Philip Painer No Painer No Painer 1.641 Philip Painer No Painer Painer Painer Painer 1.641 Philip Painer No Painer						
1,641 Pullip Palmer Timiny No 27370- this deeply flawed fracted gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. On December 32, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracted gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to built within the Jefferson National Forest. Tim writing to you public lands. To start, this revised statement start develop flawed fracted gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to Healtowin Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Actional Terrettive. The Mountain Valley Pipeline for the Pipeline's construction or public lands. To start, this revised statement start develop flawed fracted gas project to a wide array of environmental dangers. 1,642 Liz Davis Breward NC 22712 Infect Liz Davis Breward NC NC 27112 Infect Liz Davis Breward NC Davis Dec						
1,642 Liz Davis Brevend NC 28722	1,641 Phillip	Palmer	Trinity		0- this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
1,642 Ltz Davis Brevard NC 28712					guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley	
1,642 Liz Davis Brevard NC 28712 On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 1,642 Liz Davis Brevard NC 28712 On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the befferson National Forest. Impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the befferson National Forest. 1,642 Liz Davis Brevard NC 28712 On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Moutanin Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. Impact statement the optic statement the optic statement the optic statement the proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeateduig ignored by towe making decisions about the					revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of	
1,642 Liz Davis Brevard NC 2872 0.0 December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. 0.0 December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest. Lasty, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow the Pipeline's construction. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To s						
1,642 Liz Davis Brevard NC 28712 1,642 Liz Davis Brevard NC 28712 On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and sect Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.						
guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	1,642 Liz	Davis	Brevard	NC	this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.	
revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.					guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley	
communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.					revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of	
The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.						
this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.						
	1,643 Pat	Free				

Page 546 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Campbel EVINGTO 1,644 Dewayne I N VA 2455	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,645 Davis Shirley Trinity NC 2737	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Newsom 27021- 1646 Phil e King NC 9153	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.
		The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,647 Jessica	Germain e	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,648 Judy	Johnson	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
2,010 3004	Somison	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Leeleanr 1,649 a	n Rodarte	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,650 Tina	Sanders- Hill Durham	27713- NC 9272	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1.651 Kulo	Prove		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,651 Kyle	Brown		
			On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
			Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
			Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
			Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1652 Sarah	Lanzman Dyke	VA 2293	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 15

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,653 David	Goist	Asheville NC	28806- 9783	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,654 Sally	Hirsh	Winston Salem NC	27103- 3709	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,655 Jude	Lobe			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4.656 March	laharan .	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,656 Wendy	Johnson	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 CE7 Marian	Curl	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment and people. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,657 Marion	GUCK	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1658 Richelle	Fayettevi Cornwell lle NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28311

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
288 1,659 Ron Hoffman Asheville NC 235	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative.
	The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities $-$ and the water upon which they depend $-$ to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,660 Sharon Enzi Bayboro NC	28515 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be provide the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,661 Radha Newsom Nordland WA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 98358

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be protect the second and the second
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Chapel 27516- 1,662 Esther Widgren Hill NC 3208	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,663 Johansen Deon	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,663 Jonansen Deon	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Richmon 1664 Jon Hruska d VA 2322	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 26

Page 553 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to repeat Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Cincinnat 1,665 Teresa Benson i OH 45240	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Henders Charlest 02129- 1,666 Kathryn on own MA 1221	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,668 Jacob	Hurley	··· ···
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
		MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,669 Helen	Fisher Lenoir NC	28645
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1670 Varaa	Clausing	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1670 Karen	Clausing	

Page 555 of 578

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,671 Ruth	McGallia rd	Burnsvill e NC	28714	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,672 Melissa	Sheppar d	Salisbury NC	28144- 7788	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,673 Beth	Bertram	Weaverv ille NC	28787- 9394	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Blackbur 1,674 William n	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,074 William II	··· ···
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,675 Elizabeth Swinney	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,075 Elizabeti Swilliey	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Winston 1676 Lisa Buzzard Salem NC 2	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 7105

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,677 Tom	Nasta	Roanoke VA	24018	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,678 Gloria	Lowery	Belmont NC	28012- 7713	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,679 Lisa	Lloyd	Greensb oro NC	27410	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
24018- 1,680 Howard Jones Roanoke VA 7002	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Katherin Carpente Blacksbu 24060- 1,681 e r rg VA 3874	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27520- 1682 Patricia Bryant Clayton NC 1731	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 (02) 1	Duran	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,683 Mary	Burns	
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 CO4 Challou	Francisco durbare NG 27	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 7712
1,084 Shelley	Frazier durham NC 27	/12
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,685 Liz	Thompso n	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1.686 Anne	Lane				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,000 AIIIIe	Lane				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,687 Becky	Duffey	Fishersvi le		22939- 2038	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1688 Pamela	Bacon	Lexingto n	NC	272	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 92

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
24018- 1,689 Ashley Hodges Roanoke VA 7733	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Chapel 1,690 Susan Yarnell Hill NC 2751	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Donaldso Shumper 1,691 n t Atlanta GA 3031	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 8

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
4 (02 Diana	C-L-				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,692 Diana	Soloway				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,693 Beverly		Granite Falls	NC	28630- 8807	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1694 Rita	Gorecki	Quakert wn		18951- 3279	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1407 Inc us have been been been been been been been be		
1.665 Tm Cor Reduct 2 Indicating the Pipeline's construction within the lefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities - on the water you which the dyseed - to a wide array of environmental land tables upon which they live and rely on The U.S. Forest Service must do better to werk with the communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow The U.S. Forest Service must do better to werk with the communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow The U.S. Forest Service must do better to werk with the communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow The U.S. Forest Service must do better to werk with the communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow the U.S. Forest Service must do better to werk with the communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow the U.S. Forest Service must do better to werk with the forest Plana, and Live Plana Service Service must do better to werk with the forest Plana, and Live Plana Service Service must do better to werk with the forest Plana, and Live Plana Service Service must do better to werk with the forest Plana, and Live Plana Service Service must do better to werk with the forest Plana, and Live Plana Service Service must do better to werk with the server risks to the water, line, and widdlife in the immediate wiching of the Releveed Statement Still does not adequately take forest Plana Service Se		guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
1,695 Tma Cor Radford V V Isstly, frontiple communities - and the water upon which they depend - to a wide array of environmental dangers. 1,695 Tma Cor Radford V		revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
1,695 Tm Cox Radfor V		
1.995 Tin Cox Radrof V VA 2414 1.995 Tin Cox Radrof V VA 2414 1.995 Tin Cox Radrof V VA 2414 1.995 Tin Cox Radrof V VA Subject to the standard state of the state of		
Image: Second	1,695 Tina Cox Radford VA 2414:	this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
Ruber 2339 revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities - and the water upon which they depend - to a wide array of environmental dangers. 1,696 Sarah Thomson rdton NC 2559 0 December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 1,696 Sarah Thomson rdton NC 2559 0 December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Noutaina Yaley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. 1,696 Sarah Thomson rdton NC 255 0 December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline (be be built within the Jefferson National Forest. 1,696 Sarah Thomson rdton NC 255 2 Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being construction on upublic lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately t		guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
Rutherfo 28139 1,696 Sarah Thomson rdton NC 2455 On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental dargers. 0,696 Sarah Thomson rdton NC 2455 On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. Immonities and would enable the fracked gas project to destroy a.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest Alternative 1. He No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Albowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Let we explain why the Sore-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline c		revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
Rutherfo 28139- 1,696 Sarah Thomson rdton NC 2455 On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this d		
Rutherfo 28139- this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 1,696 Sarah Thomson rdton NC 2455 On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the sever risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.		
guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.		
revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.		guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley
communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.		revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of
The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.		
this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.		
	1,697 Jeanette Hess Dayton VA 2282:	this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the lefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Morgant 28655- 1,698 Debra Smith on NC 7902	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,699 Barbara Bombar	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
St Augustin 1700 Thomas Keenan e FL 32080	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 0

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
287: 1,701 Art Smoker Mars Hill NC 914:	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to Be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1.702 Richard Osborne	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,702 Richard Osborne	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Black Mountai 287 1,703 Bonnie Allan n NC 3270	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,704 Edward Scerbo Verona VA	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 24482
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Harringt Bunnleve 1,705 Athena on I NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 28323
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
282 1706 Christie Driscoll Charlotte NC 604	

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be leven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27030- 1,707 Lisa Chicoine Mt Airy NC 8887	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Michael Blacksbu 24060- 1,708 Dien Nguyen rg VA 1539	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,709 Michael Bentley	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1 710 6	1				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,710 Suzanne	Lippuner				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,711 Jon	Sault	Fuquay Varina	NC	27526- 5245	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1712 Propres	Tuckor				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1712 Breanna	LUCKEI				

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to be pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
28205- 1,713 Vanessa Quinn Charlotte NC 3166	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27298- 1,714 Christine Chaplik Liberty NC 8656	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,715 Mikaela Falk	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline To be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you toge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,716 Michelle Herring Clinton NC 2832	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27513- 1,717 Margie Zalesak Cary NC 6279	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
24556- 1718 Christy Epperson Goode VA 0141	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
					MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,719 Diab	Rabie				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,720 Sierra	Bricker				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,720 Sicilia	Direker				
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,721 Lynn	Clark	Millers Creek	NC	28651- 8954	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,722 Lorine Broome Davidson NC 280	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
27205- 1,723 Elena Carleo Asheboro NC 7449	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Goodyko 1724 ontz Carrie Belmont NC 28(MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 573 of 578

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Mary Rocheste 1,725 Sue Bennett r NY	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 14625
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,726 Steve Gray Angier NC	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 27501
1,720 Steve Gruy Anglei Ne	
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Lawrenc 1,727 e Grant	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,728 Sarah	Overcas h				MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,728 Saran	n				··· ··· ···
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,729 Tony	Dews	West College Corner		47003- 9155	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
1,723 10119	DEWS	Corner	IIN	5155	
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1730 Shaquan	Strother	s Svlva	NC	287	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 79
2. 50 Shaquun	20 00.01			207	

					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,731 Jane	Matanga	Laurel a Park	NC	28739- 7716	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,732 Dara	Wishing ad	r New York	NY	10011	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
					On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
					Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
					Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
					Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,733 Liz	Davis	Brevard	NC	28712	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,734 Ruth Miller Candler NC 2871	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
Wilmingt 28403- 1,735 Susan Seabury on NC 2623	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
	On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
	Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
	Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
	Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1736 Joanne Casey Callaway VA 2406	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 7

				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,737 Diana	Bair	Beckley	25801- 2858	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,738 Lori	Copelan d			MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
				On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
				Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
				Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
				Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,739 Elizabeth	Barnes	Vinton	24179- 2256	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Page 578 of 578

		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,740 Lynda	Morehea Johnson d City NC 28557	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1,741 Christy	Thompso Henders 28792- n onville NC 8527	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
		On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.
		Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.
		Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.
		Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.
1742 Sam	Chapma n Romney WV 26757	MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. 7