

Southeast Alaska Conservation Council

2207 Jordan Ave Juneau, AK 99801 (907) 586-6942 www.seacc.org

April 2, 2021

Ted Sandhofer, Responsible Official Petersburg Ranger District P.O. Box 1328 Petersburg, Alaska 99833 Submitted electronically at: <u>https://cara.ecoystem-management.org/Public//CommentInput?Project=59576</u>

Re: Comments on the Wrangell-Petersburg Invasive Plant Management Project

Dear Mr. Sandhofer:

On behalf of the Southeast Alaska Conservation Council (SEACC), we are writing to express concerns about the proposed Wrangell-Petersburg Invasive Plant Management project. SEACC is a grassroots organization representing more than 7,000 supporters. For fifty years, SEACC has been bringing together diverse Alaskans from our region's 32 communities to protect the natural resources of Southeast Alaska, ensure sound stewardship of the lands of the region, and protect subsistence and traditional life-ways side by side with commercial fishing, tourism and recreation.

The project would spray herbicides within a 3.7 million project area, including both wilderness and non-wilderness lands, with no annual treatment limit. Specifically, The proposed action is to "[t]reat invasive plants" on an estimated 5,811 gross acres of federal and non-federal lands through a combination of manual, mechanical and herbicide treatments. Herbicides include aquatic formulations of glyphosate, imazapyr and aminopyralid, applied by broadcast spray, spot spray and other methods, applied at rates at or below maximum rate stated on the product level. Spraying would include directly over water with imazapyr and glyphosate; aminopyralid sprayed up to water's edge.

We request that you rescope this project and instead plan to prepare an environmental impact statement. There are substantial questions about the environmental impacts associated with glyphosate in particular. Glyphosate is a non-selective herbicide and kills all plants including native plants that may not be able to recolonize habitat once eradicated due to competitive disadvantages relative to other plant species.

In 2015, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has identified glyphosate as a human carcinogen and likely cause of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. The IARC report identified carcinogenic impacts on animals and other adverse effects to fish. Other recent studies have identified effects to insects and amphibians. We request that a full DEIS review these effects to humans and project area fish and wildlife populations.

Also, we note that the scoping letter provides little site-specific information. The approach to herbicide spraying across the large project area is similar to the "Landscape Level Analysis" strategies for other Tongass National Forest projects. Judge Sharon Gleason rejected this approach in March 2020 in SEACC et al. v. U.S. Forest Service as a violation of NEPA. Any further analysis should provide greater detail about when and where members of the public could face exposure to herbicides.

We also ask that you develop a broader range of alternatives, including alternatives that rely exclusively on mechanical or hand treatment methods. There also needs to be an alternative that address prevention accompanied by analysis of the causes of invasive weed infestations. Finally, we request that you refrain from spraying herbicides in federally designated Wilderness areas.

Sincerely,

Ally detteting

Sally Schlichting Environmental Policy Analyst