Vegetation Baseline Study

Stibnite Gold Project Midas Gold Idaho, Inc.





November 2013, rev. April 2017

Prepared by:



HDR, Inc. 412 E. Parkcenter Blvd., Ste. 100 Boise, ID 83706

Table of Contents

SECTIO	ON 1: INTRODUCTION1-1
1.1 1.2	Purpose of Study
1.3	1.2.1 Project Area Description
SECTIO	DN 2: RESOURCE STUDY AREA
2.1	Description of Study Area2-1
SECTIO	ON 3: VEGETATION STUDY METHODOLOGY
3.1	Literature Review
3.2	Regulatory Environment and Current Management Direction
	3.2.1 Regulatory Environment
	3.2.2 Current U.S. Forest Service Management Direction
3.3	Review of Botanical Resources
	3.3.1 ESA-Listed Plants
	3.3.2 Forest Service Region 4 Sensitive or Forest-watch Species
3.4	Performance of Field Surveys
	3.4.1 Evaluation and Compilation of Data
	3.4.1.1 Botanical Resources
	3.4.1.2 Non-Native Plants
SECTIO	DN 4: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT4-1
4.1	Introduction
4.2	Inventory of Existing Vegetation
	4.2.1 General Vegetation
	4.2.1.1 Upland Vegetation
	4.2.1.2 Wetland Vegetation
	4.2.2 Botanical Resources
	4.2.2.1 ESA-listed Species
	4.2.2.2 Forest Service Region 4 Sensitive or Forest-watch Species
	4.2.3 Non-native Plants
4.3	Summary of Affected Environment
SECTIO	DN 5: REFERENCES
5.1	References Cited
5.2	Plant Identification References Used
5.3	Abbreviations and Acronyms 5-2
	Abbreviations and Actonyms

List of Figures

Figure 1-1. Vicinity Map	1-3
Figure 1-2. Project Area Map	1-5
Figure 2-1. Study Area Map	2-3
Figure 4-1. Vegetative Cover Types in Northern Portion of Study Area	
Figure 4-2. Vegetative Cover Types in Southern Portion of Study Area	
Figure 4-3. Areas Impacted by Wildfires in Northern Portion of Study Area	
Figure 4-4. Areas Impacted by Wildfires in Southern Portion of Study Area	
Figure 4-5. Botanical Resources in Northern Portion of Study Area	
Figure 4-6. Botanical Resources in Southern Portion of Study Area	
Figure 4-7. Non-native Plants in Northern Portion of Study Area	
Figure 4-8. Non-native Plants in Southern Portion of Study Area	

List of Photos

Photo 4-1. Typical wildfire disturbed area	4-1
Photo 4-2. Typical upland forest plant community	4-2
Photo 4-3. Typical sparsely vegetated plant community	4-2
Photo 4-4. Typical whitebark pine stand	4-11
Photo 4-5. Bentflowered milkvetch	4-12

List of Tables

Table 3-1. USFS Botanical Resources Goals, Objectives, Standards and Guidelines Applicable	to
the Stibnite Gold Project	3-3
Table 3-2. USFS Non-Native Plant Management Goals, Objectives, Standards and Guidelines	
Applicable to the Stibnite Gold Project	3-4
Table 3-3. Federally-listed Threatened and Candidate Plants Previously Addressed by PAF or	
BOI and/or Consulted on with USFWS	3-5
Table 3-4. PAF Region 4 Sensitive and Forest-Watch Species Emphasized for Conservation an	d
Recovery in Forest Plan (Updated 2012) (Species found in study area shown in bold type	e)
	3-6
Table 3-5. BOI Region 4 Sensitive and Forest-Watch Species Emphasized for Conservation and	d
Recovery in Forest Plan (Updated 2012)	3-9
Table 4-1. Summary of Botanical Resources in Study Area4-	12
Table 4-2. Summary of Non-Native Species in Study Area4-	17
Table 6-1. List of Preparers	5-1

Appendices

Appendix A – Plant Species List	
Appendix B – USFWS Rare Plant Observation Repor	t
Appendix C – Rare Plant Survey Site Photographs	

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of Study

The purpose of the vegetation baseline study is to characterize existing conditions prior to the start of proposed mining operations at the Stibnite Gold Project in central Idaho. The study describes the existing vegetation in the project study area and will be used to support the U.S. Forest Service (USFS) environmental impact statement (EIS) on future exploration and mining projects.

1.2 Background

Figure 1-1 shows the location of the Stibnite Gold Project. The project is located in the Stibnite-Yellow Pine Mining District in central Idaho, near the village of Yellow Pine. Located in Valley County, the district is characterized by historic mining activities and unpatented (federal land) and patented (private land) mining claims with known deposits of gold, silver, tungsten, and antimony. The Stibnite-Yellow Pine Mining District is in the Boise National Forest (BOI), but administered by the Krassel Ranger District of the Payette National Forest (PAF).

Mining began in the district in the late 1800s and continued on and off through 1997. Beginning in 2009, Midas Gold Idaho, Inc. (MGII), a subsidiary of Midas Gold Corporation, began to acquire mining claims throughout the district from prior owners or by staking claims on its own behalf. With federal and state approval, MGII initiated mineral exploration activities in 2009 as part of the Stibnite Gold Project to better define the mineral deposit potential for the area. This work included using the existing road network and construction of several temporary roads to access drill sites, build drill pads, drill on both National Forest System (NFS) and private lands, and access disturbed areas for reclamation when exploration work ends.

The PAF Krassel Ranger District has jurisdictional authority over surface disturbance associated with mining and exploration activities on NFS land in the Stibnite-Yellow Pine District. The Payette Lakes Supervisory Area of the Idaho Department of Lands (IDL) has jurisdictional authority over exploration and mining-related activities on private lands within its administrative area (Idaho Administrative Procedure Act [IDAPA] 20.03.02).

In addition to the mining activities occurring in the Stibnite-Yellow Pine Mining District, future mine plans may include proposed access roads that provide transportation routes to and from the project. Proposed access roads would be on land located in the BOI and administered by the Cascade Ranger District as well as PAF land administered by the Krassel Ranger District.

1.2.1 Project Area Description

Figure 1-2 shows the project area. The terrain within the project area consists of narrow valleys surrounded by steep mountains. Elevations along valley floors range from 6,000 to 6,600 feet above mean sea level (msl). The surrounding mountains reach elevations over 8,500 feet above msl. The main drainage basin in the project area is the East Fork of the South Fork of the Salmon River (EFSFSR).

The EFSFSR joins Johnson Creek 16 miles downstream near the village of Yellow Pine. The project area is encompassed by the watersheds of tributaries of the EFSFSR, including Sugar Creek, Meadow Creek, Johnson Creek, Riordan Creek, Burntlog Creek, and Trout Creek. The project area includes Cabin Creek and Warm Lake Creek which are tributary streams to the South Fork of the Salmon River. The primary uses or activities in the area have been mineral exploration, mining, logging, and dispersed recreation.

During non-winter conditions (roads clear of snow), the project site can be accessed from the City of Cascade by traveling northeast on Warm Lake Road (Forest Service road 579 [FS 579]/Forest Highway 22 [FH 22]) for about 37 miles to Landmark, then north on Johnson Creek Road (FS 413) for 28 miles to the village of Yellow Pine, and 14 miles east on Stibnite Road (FS 412) (**Figure 1-1**). The site can also be accessed from McCall during non-winter conditions by traveling east on Lick Creek Road (FS 412) for 33 miles to East Fork Road (FS 412), then 16 miles to the village of Yellow Pine, and 14 miles on Stibnite Road.

During winter, the site can be accessed only from Cascade by traveling 24 miles northeast on Warm Lake Road to the intersection with South Fork Road (FS 474/674), then north on South Fork Road for 32 miles to East Fork Road, 16 miles east on East Fork Road to the village of Yellow Pine, and 14 miles on Stibnite Road.

1.3 Organization of Report

- Section 1, the introduction, explains the purpose of the baseline study and provides background information on the project area and surrounding areas.
- Section 2 provides an overview of the vegetation study area.
- Section 3 discusses the regulatory environment and summarizes the methodology used to characterize the existing vegetation.
- Section 4 is a discussion of the affected environment as it relates to vegetation.
- Section 5 contains references and abbreviations and acronyms.
- Section 6 includes the list of preparers.



Figure 1-1 Vicinity Map Stibnite Gold Project



Legend



Figure 1-2 Project Area Map Stibnite Gold Project

SECTION 2: RESOURCE STUDY AREA

2.1 Description of Study Area

The vegetation study area encompasses land that could potentially be affected by the Stibnite Gold Project, including locations where proposed access roads to the facility could be modified or constructed. The exact extent of potential impacts on vegetation resources will depend on future mine plans and associated features.

The study area, shown in **Figure 2-1**, is bounded on the north by the north side of the EFSFSR and Stibnite Road from the village of Yellow Pine to Sugar Creek, on the east by the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness Area, on the south by Warm Lake Road, and on the west by the ridgeline above Cabin Creek Road (FS 467) and north along the ridgeline directly above the west side of Johnson Creek Road. The resource study area for vegetation totals 157,891 acres, including 33,140 acres (21 percent) within the PAF and 124,751 acres (79 percent) within the BOI.





Figure 2-1 Study Area Map Stibnite Gold Project

SECTION 3: VEGETATION STUDY METHODOLOGY

3.1 Literature Review

Before beginning vegetation field studies, the HDR, Inc. (HDR) team reviewed existing information from past studies and site characterization reports to develop an understanding of the baseline vegetation at the Stibnite Gold Project site. The team also reviewed reports on biological evaluations written by the PAF botanist in 2011 and 2012 that describe possible effects of the project on threatened and endangered (T&E) and sensitive plants in the PAF. These reports, created for previous and proposed mining activities, are summarized below:

URS Corporation/Woodward-Clyde Group, Inc.

1998-2000 Stibnite Area Site Characterization/ Stibnite Area Risk Evaluation

These reports prepared for the Stibnite Area Site Characterization Voluntary Consent Order Respondents describe the results of 1997 and 1999 site characterization field investigations, including physical habitat characterization for the EFSFSR drainage in the vicinity of the Stibnite Mine facility (September 1997). The HDR team reviewed these documents to develop an understanding of the baseline vegetation resources that were present in the Stibnite area between 1998 and 2000.

U.S. Forest Service

1994 Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Stibnite Mine Expansion Project

This draft environmental impact statement documents the analysis of the five alternatives, including the No Action Alternative, that were developed for the proposed Stibnite Mine Expansion Project, which would expand the size and time period for mining at the existing Stibnite Mine. The HDR team reviewed this document to gain an understanding of the baseline vegetation that existed at the Stibnite Mine in 1994.

2003 Land and Resource Management Plan, Payette National Forest

This plan describes USFS management goals and objectives, resource protection methods, desired resource conditions, and the availability and suitability for resource management on land managed by PAF.

2010 Land and Resource Management Plan, Boise National Forest

This plan describes USFS management goals and objectives, resource protection methods, desired resource conditions, and the availability and suitability for resource management on land managed by BOI.

2011 Biological Evaluation for Sensitive Plants, Payette National Forest

This document was reviewed to develop an understanding of the possible effects of the Stibnite Gold Project on botanical resources.

2012 Biological Evaluation for Sensitive Plants, Payette National Forest

This document was reviewed to develop an understanding of the possible effects of the Stibnite Gold Project on botanical resources. This document includes an

evaluation of the potential effects on whitebark pine, which was added as a candidate species under the ESA.

The HDR team evaluated the following existing vegetation information to identify general plant community types, potential habitat for botanical resources, and the presence of non-native plant species:

- Botanical resource plant lists maintained by PAF and BOI botanists.
- Past USFS geographical information systems (GIS) mapping that documented locations of botanical resources, non-native plant species, and forest cover types in the study area.
- Federally-listed plant species listed under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973 for Valley County and more recent listings by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) from 1995 to 2002.

3.2 Regulatory Environment and Current Management Direction

3.2.1 Regulatory Environment

USFS is the lead agency overseeing the National Environmental Policy Act process for the EIS. The agency manages vegetation resources (also referred to as botanical resources by the USFS) in national forests, including USFS Region 4 sensitive, forest-watch, and ESA plant species in the PAF and BOI that are protected under the ESA of 1973, as amended. (The PAF and BOI are in Region 4 Intermountain Region).

USFWS is the federal agency that manages the listing of ESA species. All vegetation species listed under ESA for Valley County, Idaho, have been analyzed for this baseline study. Animal species listed under the ESA are included in the *Terrestrial Wildlife Baseline Study* (2013).

Section 7 of the ESA directs federal agencies to use their legal authority to carry out conservation programs for listed species. It also requires these agencies to ensure that any actions they fund, authorize, or carry out are not likely to jeopardize the survival of any T&E species or adversely modify their designated critical habitat (if any).

Botanical Resource Descriptions

Endangered – Any species which is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range and listed as endangered under the ESA.

Threatened – Any species which is likely to become endangered in the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range and listed as threatened under the ESA.

Candidate Species – A species about which USFWS has enough information to propose them for listing as endangered or threatened. Candidate species are not protected by the ESA, but are often considered for planning purposes.

Region 4 Sensitive Species – Any plant species identified by the regional forester (Region 4) as being at risk where there are concerns about quantity and quality of habitat needed to support viability.

Forest-watch Species – Any plant species designated important by the USFS(PAF or BOI) and listed in the Forest Plan (See sections 3.2.2 and 3.3).

Non-native Species – Any introduced plant species (typically weed infestations) in an environment outside a plant's normal distributional range.

3.2.2 Current U.S. Forest Service Management Direction

The PAF *Land and Resource Management Plan* and the BOI *Land and Resource Management Plan*, commonly called forest plans, emphasize conservation and recovery of Region 4 sensitive and forest-watch species, and other species at risk, where quantity and quality of habitat needed to support viability is a concern (USFS 2003, p. III-32; 2010, p. III-34). This baseline study

addresses T&E species, sensitive plant species included in the regional forester's sensitive list, and the forest-watch plant species included in the forest plans.

The forest plans also direct USFS to continue to map locations of suitable, occupied habitat for Region 4 sensitive plant species, forest-watch plants, and globally-rare plant communities as ranked by the Natural Heritage Program and the Idaho Native Plant Society. This information is incorporated into a GIS database compiled by the Idaho Conservation Data Center (ICDC), which maintains records of documented plant occurrences for both forests (USFS 2003, 2010). These records and references are consulted during the pre-field analysis to determine if known or suspected sensitive species or their habitats occur in the project area of proposed projects.

The PAF and BOI forest plan goals, objectives, standards and guidelines provide a framework for the analysis of impacts on botanical resources. **Table 3-1** lists the applicable botanical resources goals, objectives, standards and guidelines for the Stibnite Gold Project (USFS 2003, 2010).

Table 3-1. USFS Botanical Resources Goals,	Objectives, Standards and Guidelines Applicable to the
Stibnite Gold Project	

Number (See acronym definitions in notes)	Management Direction Description
BTGO06	Manage plant community habitats to provide for: a) The desired amount, quality, and distribution of habitats b) Reduced fragmentation within habitats c) Juxtaposition and connectivity to other habitats d) Ecosystem processes that shape habitat
BTOB08	During fine- and site/project-scale analyses, identify and map areas of non-native plant invasions within rare-plant habitat.
BTST01	Management actions that occur within occupied sensitive plant species habitat must incorporate measures to ensure habitat is maintained where it is within desired conditions, or restored where degraded.
BTGU01	For site/project-scale analysis, suitable habitat should be determined for sensitive species within or near the project area. Conduct surveys for those species with suitable habitat to determine presence. Document the rationale for not conducting surveys for other species in the project record.

Source: USFS 2003, 2010.

BTGO=botanical resource goal; BTOB=botanical resource objective; BTST=botanical resource standard; BTGU=botanical resource guideline

In addition to managing botanical resources, the PAF and BOI forest plans emphasize the control of non-native plant populations (weed infestations) on USFS lands. The PAF and BOI forest plan goals, objectives, standards and guidelines provide a framework for management of undesirable non-native plant species. **Table 3-2** lists the applicable non-native plant management goals, objectives, standards and guidelines for the Stibnite Gold Project (USFS 2003, p. III-35; 2010, p. III-37).

Number (See acronym definitions in notes)	Management Direction Description
NPGO01	Manage noxious weeds with an integrated weed management approach that uses prevention, education, eradication, containment, and control treatment strategies in a coordinated effort that includes potentially affected resources, users, funding sources, and activities.
NPGO02	Prevent new infestations of undesirable non-native plants or noxious weed species, with emphasis on areas of high susceptibility where those species have a strong probability for establishment and spread.
NPOB01	Maintain and use current field data to update the forest-wide database and map library of current status of noxious weed infestations, treatment activities, and locations of newly established infestations.
NPOB05	Cooperatively work with holders of special use authorizations to identify and manage noxious weed infestations within areas of use to prevent further expansion or reduce existing densities.
NPST10	Projects that may contribute to the spread or establishment of noxious weeds shall include measures to reduce the potential for spread and establishment of noxious weed infestations.
NPST11	Integrated weed management shall be used to maintain or restore habitats for sensitive plants and other native species of concern where they are threatened by noxious weeds or non-native invasive plants.

Table 3-2. USFS Non-Native Plant Management Goals,	, Objectives, Standards and Guidelines Applicable to
the Stibnite Gold Project	

Source: USFS 2003, 2010.

NPGO=non-native plant goal; NPOB=non-native plant objective; NPST=non-native plant standard

3.3 Review of Botanical Resources

Prior to beginning vegetation field surveys, the HDR team reviewed botanical resource plant lists maintained by PAF and BOI botanists. Following is an overview of the ESA-listed, sensitive and forest-watch species for the PAF and BOI.

3.3.1 ESA-Listed Plants

Table 3-3 shows the federally-listed threatened and candidate plants previously addressed by PAF or BOI and/or consulted on with USFWS, along with their global and state rarity ratings and global distribution. Four species, Ute ladies'-tresses (*Spiranthes diluvialis*), water howellia (*Howellia aquatilus*), MacFarlane's four o'clock (*Mirabilis macfarlanei*), and Spalding's silene (*Silene spaldingii*) are listed as threatened by USFWS and ranked as critically-imperiled in Idaho and imperiled globally (USFS 2011). Slickspot peppergrass (*Lepidium papilliferum*), a proposed endangered species, is imperiled in Idaho and globally.

Past surveys found no populations or habitat for any T&E plant species within the study area (USFS 2011). These include the following:

- Ute ladies'-tresses, which occur about 300 miles from McCall near the Palisades Dam in southeast Idaho.
- Water howellia, found about 150 miles from the PAF near Moscow in northern Idaho.

- MacFarlane's four o'clock, which occur approximately 35 miles northwest the PAF boundary in Hells Canyon.
- Spalding's silene, the nearest known population of threatened plant, occurs about 30 miles north of the PAF near the confluence of the Snake and Salmon rivers.
- Slickspot peppergrass, which occurs on the Snake River Plain in southwest Idaho.

Two plants are listed by the USFWS as candidate species. One of them, whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis*), is found in the study area. Slender moonwort (*Botrychium lineare*) occurs in the Sawtooth National Forest, about 100 miles from the study area (USFS 2011).

Table 3-3. Federally-listed Threatened and Candidate Plants Previously Addressed by PAF or BOI and/or Consulted on with USFWS

Species Name	Common Name	Global ¹	bal ¹ State ² USFWS		Global Distribution ³
Botrychium lineare	Slender moonwort	C –G1	SH	Candidate	sd
Howellia aquatilus	Water howellia	T- G2	S1	Fed. Listed	sd
Lepidium papilliferum	Slickspot peppergrass	PE-G2	S2	Fed. Listed	
Mirabilis macfarlanei	MacFarlane's four-o-clock	T - G2	S1	Fed. listed	le
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark pine	С		Candidate	
Silene spaldingii	Spalding's silene	T - G2	S1	Fed. listed	re
Spiranthes diluvialis	Ute Ladies'-tresses	T - G2	S1	Fed. listed	sd

¹Global rankings as assigned by Natural Heritage Program and Idaho Native Plant Society: C-G1 = Candidate-Globally Critically Imperiled, **PE-G2** = Proposed Endangered-Globally Imperiled, **T-G2** = Threatened-Globally Imperiled, **C** = Candidate.

²Idaho State Rankings as assigned Idaho Native Plant Society: **SH** = State Historical Occurrence, **S1** = State Critically Imperiled, **S2** = State Imperiled.

 3 Global Distribution: sd = sparsely distributed (isolated populations), le = local endemic (< 100 square miles), re = regional endemic (distribution 100-10,000).

-- indicates there was no available data.

Currently, no consultation or project analysis is required by USFWS on any T&E plant species. However, USFWS has asked USFS to continue working with them on conserving these species wherever they may occur (USFWS 2002). Consultation with the USFWS for the candidate species, whitebark pine, is required.

3.3.2 Forest Service Region 4 Sensitive or Forest-watch Species

The HDR team also reviewed documented locations and potential habitat for plants that USFS listed as Region 4 sensitive or forest-watch species. **Table 3-4** and **Table 3-5** list the Region 4 sensitive and forest-watch species that are emphasized for conservation and recovery in the PAF and BOI.

Consultation for these species typically occurs annually and includes a meeting of Region 4 personnel, USFWS and the PAF and BOI botanists who maintain the lists. Due to the government shutdown in October 2013, the meeting was postponed until a later date, in 2014, and the 2012 species lists provided by the botanists have been used for this report.

Two sensitive species – bentflowered milkvetch and whitebark pine – are known to occur in the study area (see Section 3.4.1.1).

Table 3-4. PAF Region 4 Sensitive and Forest-Watch Species Emphasi	ed for Conservation and Recovery in Forest Plan (Updated 2012
(Species found in study area shown in bold type)	

Spacies Nama	Common Name	Global ¹	State ²	Forest Service Status ³		Clobal Distrib 4
species Name				Regional Sensitive	PAF Plan	Giobal Distrib.*
Allium madidum	Swamp onion	G3	S3	S	S	re
Allium tolmiei var. persimile	Tolmie's onion	G4/T3	S3	S	S	le
Allium validum	Tall swamp onion	G4	S3	Ν	W	W
Allotropa virgata	Candystick	G4	S3	S	S	d
Astragalus paysonii	Payson's milkvetch	G3	S3	S	S	re
Astragalus vexilliflexus var. vexilliflexus	Bentflowered milkvetch	G4/T4	S1	S	S	d
Botrychium lanceolatum var. lanceolatum	Lance-leaved moonwort	G5T4	S3	Ν	W	cb
Botrychium lineare	Linear-leaved moonwort	G2	SH	S	S	sd
Botrychium simplex	Least moonwort	G5	S2	S	W	cb
Buxbaumia viridis	Green bug moss	G3G4	S3	Ν	W	W
Calamagrostis tweedyi	Cascade reedgrass	G3	S2	S	S	re
Camassia cusickii	Cusick's camas	G4	S2	S	S	re
Carex aboriginum	Indian Valley sedge	G1	S1	Ν	W	le
Ceanothus prostratus ssp. prostratus	Mahala-mat ceanothus	G5/?	S1	Ν	W	d
Crepis bakeri ssp. idahoensis.	Idaho hawksbeard	G4/T2	S2	Ν	W	le
Douglasia idahoensis	Idaho dwarf-primrose	G3	S2	S	S	le
Draba incerta	Yellowstone draba	G5	S2	N	W	re
Eatonella nivea	White eatonella	G4G5	S3	N	W	d
Epilobium palustre	Swamp Willow Weed	G5	S3	Ν	W	W
Epipactis gigantea	Giant helleborine orchid	G3G4	S3	Ν	W	sd
Ericameria nauseosa ssp.nanus	Dwarf grey rabbitbrush	G5/T4	S3	Ν	W	re
Hackelia davisii	Davis' stickseed	G3	S3	Ν	W	le
Halimolobos perplexa var. perplexa	Puzzling halimolobos	G4/T3	S3	S	S	le
Helodium blandowii	Blandow's helodium	G5	S2	N	W	cb
Hierochloe odorata	Sweetgrass	G5	S1	N	W	W

Cranaliza Nama	Common Nome	Global ¹	State ²	Forest Service		
species Name	Common Name			Regional Sensitive	PAF Plan	Giobal Distrib.*
Howellia aquatilus	Water howellia	T-G2	S1	Ν	W	sd
Leptodactylon pungens ssp. hazeliae	Hazel's prickly phlox	G5/T2	S2	S	S	le
Lewisia sacajaweana	Sacajawea's bitteroot	G2	S2	S	S	re
Lobaria scrobiculata	Pored lungwort	G4	S1	Ν	W	cb
Mimulus clivicola	Bank Monkeyflower	G4	S3	S	S	re
Mirabilis macfarlanei	MacFarlane's four-o-clock	T-G2	S2	Ν	W	le
Peraphyllum ramosissimum	Wild crab apple	G4	S2	Ν	W	sd
Pilophorus acicularis	Nail lichen	G4	S2	Ν	W	sd
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark pine	C-G3G4	S3	S		
Polystichum kruckebergii	Kruckeberg's Sword-fern	G4	S2	Ν	W	re
Pyrrocoma radiata (Haplopappus)	Snake River golden weed	G3	S3	S	S	re
Ribes sanguineum	Red flowered currant	G5	S2	Ν	W	
Ribes wolfii	Wolf's current	G4	S2	Ν	W	d
Rubus bartonianus	Bartonberry	G2	S2	S	S	le
Salix glauca	Gray willow	G5	S2	Ν	W	D
Sanicula graveolens	Sierra sanicle	G4	S1	Ν	W	W
Saxifraga bryophora var. tobiasiae	Tobias' saxifrage	G2T2	S2	S	S	le
Schistostega pennata	Luminous moss	G4	S1	Ν	W	cb
Sedum borschii	Borch's stonecrop	G4?	S2	Ν	W	sd
Sedum valens	Salmon River sedum	G1G2	S1S2	Ν	W	le
Silene spaldingii	Spalding's silene	T-G2	S1	Ν	W	re
Spiranthes diluvialis	Ute Ladies'-tresses	T-G2	S1	Ν	W	re
Triantha occidentalis ssp. brevistyla	Short-style tofieldia	G5/T4	S1	S	S	d
Trifolium douglasii	Douglas' clover	G2	S2	N	W	re
Trifolium plumosum ssp. amplifolium	Plumed clover	G4T2	S2	Ν	W	

 Table 3-4. PAF Region 4 Sensitive and Forest-Watch Species Emphasized for Conservation and Recovery in Forest Plan (Updated 2012)

 (Species found in study area shown in bold type)

Table 3-4. PAF Region 4 Sensitive and Forest-Watch Species Emphasized for Conservation and Recovery in Forest Plan (Updated 2012)

(Species found in study area shown in bold type)

Species Name	Common Name	Global ¹	State ²	Forest Service	Clobal Distrib 4	
				Regional Sensitive	PAF Plan	Giobal Distrib."
Tripterocladium leucocladulum	Naked Rhizomnium moss	G3	S3	Ν	W	le

Source: USFS 2012a

¹Global rarity ratings: C = Candidate, G = Global rank indicator (based on rangewide status); see ranking scale below, T: Trinomial rank indicator (global status of infraspecific taxa).

2State rarity ratings: S: State rank indicator (based on status within Idaho); see ranking scale below.

Components global and state rankings:

- 1 = Critically imperiled because of extreme rarity or because some factor of its biology makes it especially vulnerable to extinction (typically 5 or fewer occurrences).
- 2 = Imperiled because of rarity or because other factors demonstrably make it very vulnerable to extinction (typically 6 to 20 occurrences).
- 3 = Rare or uncommon but not imperiled (typically 21 to 100 occurrences).
- 4 = Not rare and apparently secure, but with cause for long-term concern (usually more than 100 occurrences).
- 5 = Demonstrably widespread, abundant, and secure.
- H = Historical occurrence (i.e., formerly part of the native biota; implied expectation that it might be rediscovered or possibly extinct).
- ? = Uncertainty exists about the stated rank.

³Forest Service Status: S = Region 4 Sensitive, W = Forest Watch plants, N = No current status.

⁴Global Distribution: d = disjunct, le = local endemic (< 100 square miles), re = regional endemic (distribution 100-10,000),

sd = sparsely distributed (isolated populations), w = widespread, cb = circumboreal, circumpolar.

-- indicates there was no available data

Cranaliza Narra	Common Name	Cloball	Chata?	Forest Service Status ³		Global
species Name		Global	State	Regional Sensitive	BOI Plan	Distribution ⁴
Allium madidum	Swamp onion	G3	S3	W	W	re
Allium tolmiei var. persimile	Tolmie's onion	G4/T3	S3	S	S	le
Allium validum	Tall swamp onion	G4	S3	W	W	re
Allotropa virgata	Sugarstick	G4	S3	W	W	d
Ancistrocarphus filagineus (Stylocline filaginea)	Wooly stylocline	G5	S2		W	
Astragalus atratus var. inseptus	Mourning milkvetch	G4G5/T3	S3	N	W	le
Botrychium crenulatum	Scalloped moonwort	G3	S1		W	
Botrychium lineare	Slender moonwort	G2?	SH	S	W	sd
Botrychium Iunaria	Common moonwort	G5			W	
Botrychium multifidum	Leathery grapefern	G5			W	
Botrychium simplex	Least moonwort	G5	S2	N	W	W
Botrychium virginianum	Rattlesnake fern	G5			W	
Bryum calobryoides	Beautiful Bryum	G3	SH	S	S	W
Carex buxbaumii	Buxbaum's sedge	G5	S3	N	W	W
Carex flava	Yellow sedge	G5	S3		W	
Carex livida	Pale sedge	G5	S2	W	W	cb
Carex parryana var. brevisquama (C. aboriginum)	Indian Valley sedge	G1	S1		W	
Carex straminiformis	Mt. Shasta sedge	G5	S2	W	W	d
Cicuta bulbifera	Bulb-bearing water hemlock	G5	S2	W	W	d
Cypripedium fasciculatum	Clustered lady's slipper	G4	S3	N	W	d
Douglasia idahoensis	Idaho primrose	G2	S2	S	S	re
Drosera anglica	English sundew	G5	SNR		W	
Drosera intermedia	Spoon-leaved sundew	G5	S1	W	W	d
Drosera rotundifolia	Round-leave sundew	G5	SNR		W	
Epilobium palustre	Marsh willowherb	G5	S3	N	W	W
Epipactis gigantea	Giant helleborine orchid	G4	S3	S	W	sd
Helodium blandowii	Blandow's Helodium	G5	S2	N	W	cb
Hierochloe odorata	Sweetgrass	G4G5	S1	N	W	W

Table 3-5, BOI Region 4 Sensitive	and Forest-Watch Species Em	phasized for Conservation an	nd Recovery in Forest Plan	(Updated 2012)
				(

Constant Norma	O annual Maria		Chata 2	Forest Service Status ³		Global
species Name		Global	state ²	Regional Sensitive	BOI Plan	Distribution ⁴
Lepidium papilliferum	Slickspot peppergrass	G2	S2		S	
Lewisia sacajaweana (kelloggii)	Sacajawea's bitterroot	G2	S2	S	S	re
Mimulus clivicola	Bank monkeyflower	G4	S3	W	W	re
Phacelia minutissima	Small Phacelia	G3	S2	S	S	re
Polystichum kruckebergii	Kruckeberg's sword-fern	G4	S2	Ν	W	re
Primula wilcoxiana	Wilcox's primrose				W	
Pyrrocoma insecticruris	Bugleg goldenweed	G3	S3		S	
Rhynchospora alba	White beakrush	G5	S2	W	W	cb
Sanicula graveolens	Sierra sanicle	G4G5	S1	Ν	W	W
Scheuchzeria palustris	Pod grass	G5	S2	W	W	W
Schoenoplectus subterminalis	Swaying bulrush	G4G5	S3		W	
Sedum leibergii	Leiberg stonecrop	G4?	S2		W	
Triantha occidentalis ssp. brevistyla (Tofieldia glutinosa ssp. brevistyla)	Sticky tofieldia	G5T4	S1	S	W	d
Vesicarpa (Sphaeromeria) potentilloides var nitrophilum Cinquefoil tansy)	Fivefinger chickensage	G5	S1		W	

Table 3-5, BOI Region 4 Sensitive	and Forest-Watch Species	Emphasized for Conservatio	n and Recovery	v in Forest Plan (U	pdated 2012

Source: USFS 2012b

¹Global rarity ratings: G = Global rank indicator (based on rangewide status); see ranking scale below, T: Trinomial rank indicator (global status of infraspecific taxa). ²State rarity ratings: S: State rank indicator (based on status within Idaho); see ranking scale below.

Components global and state rankings

- 1 = Critically imperiled because of extreme rarity or because some factor of its biology makes it especially vulnerable to extinction (typically 5 or fewer occurrences).
- 2 = Imperiled because of rarity or because other factors demonstrably make it very vulnerable to extinction (typically 6 to 20 occurrences).
- 3 = Rare or uncommon but not imperiled (typically 21 to 100 occurrences).
- 4 = Not rare and apparently secure, but with cause for long-term concern (usually more than 100 occurrences).
- 5 = Demonstrably widespread, abundant, and secure.
- H = Historical occurrence (i.e., formerly part of the native biota; implied expectation that it might be rediscovered or possibly extinct).
- ? = Uncertainty exists about the stated rank.

³Forest Service Status: S = Region 4 Sensitive, W = Forest Watch plants, N = No current status.

⁴Global Distribution: d = disjunct, le = local endemic (< 100 square miles), re = regional endemic (distribution 100-10,000), sd = sparsely distributed (isolated populations), w

- = widespread, **cb** = circumboreal, circumpolar.
- -- indicates there was no available data

3.4 Performance of Field Surveys

Prior to conducting vegetation field surveys, HDR personnel met on-site with the PAF botanist to confirm the location of botanical resources (bentflowered milkvetch and whitebark pine) known to occur in the study area. The HDR team conducted field surveys for botanical resources and non-native species between June 18, 2012 and August 17, 2012, and between June 10, 2013 and August 8, 2013. During the field surveys, the HDR team compiled an overall vegetation species list for the study area that included the scientific name, common name, family name, Western Mountain Valley and Coast Region wetland indicator status, and plant descriptions for each species documented. Appendix A includes the overall plant species list for the study area.

HDR's team mapped the approximate boundaries of botanical resources and non-native plant species (referred to as polygon areas) using a hand-held Trimble GeoExplorer XT global positioning system (GPS) unit, photographed the plants and surrounding environment observed, and recorded GPS coordinates. The team used the USFWS rare-plant observation report form to record population information on the botanical resources observed (see Appendix B).

3.4.1 Evaluation and Compilation of Data

Following the field surveys, the HDR team compiled field data and GPS polygon areas to develop a baseline characterization of vegetation for the Stibnite Gold Project study area; added polygon areas for botanical resources and non-native plant species to existing USFS mapping to show new plant species (see Section 4 of this report); and reviewed plant lists developed during the field surveys to help formulate a baseline description of general vegetation in the study area (also in Section 4).

3.4.1.1 Botanical Resources

Following are descriptions of the only two sensitive species that are known to occur in the study area as documented by USFS and verified by the HDR team: whitebark pine and bentflowered milkvetch.

Whitebark pine (see Photo 4-4 in Section 4.2.2.1) – Mortality data collected in multiple studies throughout the range of whitebark pine strongly suggest that this conifer species is in decline. In most cases, the cause is attributed to blister rust disease, which has become common in many pine species with five needles. The spread of the disease is likely tied to warmer temperatures and drier conditions within the range of whitebark pine (USFWS 2011). In the past, no botanical surveys were done specifically for whitebark pine in the project study area because past mining activities were typically located outside whitebark pine habitat in sites previously disturbed by mining, with little tree cover or in stands of lodgepole pine.

Bentflowered milkvetch (see Photo 4-5 in Section 4.2.2.2) – This is a herbaceous, multiplestemmed perennial that belongs to the pea family (*Fabaceae*) and grows to about 2 inches tall. Its stem leaves are 1.5 to 3.5 inches long and pinnately compound, with 7 to 15 oval- to wedgeshaped leaflets that are darker green above than below and slightly notched at the tips. The small pea-like flowers are white to lilac, arranged on racemes of 5 to 20 flowers. Fruit pods are narrowly crescent-shaped, about one-half to two-thirds of an inch long, glabrous or white-hairy. Each fruit has a distinct groove on the dorsal side and two separate locules (USFS 2011). Idaho populations occur on exposed, sub-alpine ridgelines, openings in forest canopies and other open mountainous sites at 5,000 to 7,000 feet in elevation. Plants flower in late June to August with fruits occurring in October. Associated plants include elk sedge (*Carex geyeri*), spreading phlox (*Phlox diffusa*), Drummond's rush (*Juncus drummondii*), thistle (*Cirsium sp.*), groundsel (*Senecio sp.*), and yarrow (*Achillea sp.*). Surrounding trees include sub-alpine fir (*Abies lasiocarpa*), lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*), and, infrequently, Ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*). Habitat for this bentflowered milkvetch is commonly associated with calcareous geology (fern marble, middle marble, Hermes marble, dolomite, lower and upper calc-silicates). Two populations occur in or near the Stibnite area (USFS 2011).

3.4.1.2 Non-Native Plants

Following the review of botanical resources, the HDR team reviewed USFS mapping that documented locations of a number of non-native plant species in the study area, particularly along the more heavily used roads. The main non-native species of concern is spotted knapweed (*Centaurea stoebe*), a highly invasive species that occurs in small, scattered populations. Two other weed species, Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*) and rush skeletonweed (*Chondrilla juncea*), were shown by USFS GIS mapping to occur within the study area. The HDR team reviewed these undesirable, non-native plant species and helped identify the types of weed species found in the study area and the areas that should be prioritized during the field survey for weeds and other non-native species.

SECTION 4: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

4.1 Introduction

This section summarizes the affected environment of the Stibnite Gold Project in terms of the impacts on vegetation resources. In addition to baseline GIS information provided by the USFS, it includes results of the 2012 and 2013 vegetation field surveys that provided a baseline characterization of the 157,891-acre study area.

4.2 Inventory of Existing Vegetation

4.2.1 General Vegetation

Vegetation in the study area generally consists of upland forest and wetland and riparian plant communities. **Figure 4-1** and **Figure 4-2** show the vegetative cover types in the study area that have been mapped in GIS by the USFS. To provide graphic clarity, the map was split into two parts. The northern portion of the study area is covered on **Figure 4-1** and the southern portion on **Figure 4-2**. The HDR team's vegetation field surveys confirmed that the most common communities are mixed forest, grassland, lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*), and Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*). Forest communities of subalpine fir (*Abies lasiocarpa*) and whitebark pine are found at higher elevations, interspersed with cliffs and talus slopes.

A list developed for all plant species observed during field surveys is included in Appendix A.

Summer wildfires have disturbed much of the overstory (tree canopy) and vegetation in the study area, including approximately 5 percent in 2000, 2 percent in 2003, 5 percent in 2006, and 59 percent in 2007. A fire near the village of Yellow Pine in 2004 burned less than 1 percent of the study area.

Although much of the understory (vegetation under the tree canopy) in these areas has started to regenerate, substantial erosion still occurs in highly impacted areas. The primary species that are emerging in the burned areas are grasses, some shrubs, and lodgepole pine. **Photo 4-1** shows a typical wildfire-disturbed area surveyed in 2012 by the HDR team.



Photo 4-1. Typical wildfire disturbed area

Figure 4-3 and **Figure 4-4** show the areas that have been impacted by wildfires. As with the vegetation map, the wildfire map was split into two parts to provide graphic clarity. The northern portion of the study area is covered on **Figure 4-3** and the southern portion on **Figure 4-4**.

4.2.1.1 Upland Vegetation

The coniferous forest (mostly needle-leaved and evergreen trees or shrubs) is the most common upland community HDR documented in the study area. This community usually includes Douglas fir, subalpine fir, lodgepole pine, and Engelmann spruce

(*Picea engelmannii*) in the overstory, with an understory of various shrubs, forbs, and grasses such as huckleberry (*Vaccinium spp.*), fireweed (*Epilobium angustifolium*), common yarrow (*Achillea millefolium*), elk sedge (*Carex geyeri*), and bluejoint reedgrass (*Calamagrostis canadensis*). **Photo 4-2** shows a typical upland forest plant community found in the study area during the vegetation field surveys in 2012.

The HDR team documented sparsely vegetated areas that occur on rocky slopes and outcroppings, and in many areas that have been disturbed by past mining-related activities, including access road construction near the northeast corner of the study area.



Photo 4-2. Typical upland forest plant community

Although many of these areas have been reclaimed, vegetation is typically sparse. Other sparse areas of vegetation are the result of wildfire and recreation features such as trails.

Sparse groundcover of stonecrop (*Sedum lanceolatum*), milkvetch (*Astragalus spp.*), phlox (*Phlox diffusa*), lichen, and various graminoids often occur near rocky areas and ridgelines throughout the study area. **Photo 4-3** shows a typical sparsely vegetated plant community in the study area during the vegetation field surveys in 2012.

4.2.1.2 Wetland Vegetation

The study area contains three wetland communities – palustrine forested, palustrine scrub-shrub, and palustrine emergent marsh that typically occur along or near streams and tributary channels. Wetlands are also associated with numerous hillside seeps (a



Photo 4-3. Typical sparsely vegetated plant community

moist or wet location where water – usually groundwater – reaches the earth's surface). Wetland communities are described further in the Stibnite Gold Project *Wetland Resources Baseline Study* (HDR 2013).





^{···}**Figure 4-1** Vegetative Cover Types (Northern Portion of Study Area) Stibnite Gold Project



Figure 4-& Vegetative Cover Types (Southern Portion of Study Area) Stibnite Gold Project





Figure 4-3 Areas Impacted by Wildfires (Northern Portion of Study Area) Stibnite Gold Project



Areas Impacted by Wildfires (Southern Portion of Study Area) Stibnite Gold Project
4.2.2 Botanical Resources

4.2.2.1 ESA-listed Species

During field surveys, the HDR team found no populations or habitat for any T&E plant species in the study, but documented populations of whitebark pine, which is a candidate species. **Photo 4-4** shows a typical stand of whitebark pine that was documented during the 2012 vegetation field surveys. Appendix C contains additional photographs of whitebark pine taken during the HDR team's 2012 and 2013 field surveys.

Figure 4-5 and **Figure 4-6** show whitebark pine cover types mapped by USFS in the study area (approximately 4,617 acres) and whitebark pine polygon areas documented by the HDR team during the 2012 and 2013 vegetation field surveys (approximately 164 acres).



Photo 4-4. Typical whitebark pine stand

Generally, the most substantial populations of whitebark pine occur at higher elevations toward the east side of the study area. Other significant populations of whitebark pine were observed between Riordan Lake Road and Meadow Creek Lookout Road and along the existing Old Thunder Mountain Road and Burntlog Road. However, many of the whitebark pine trees in these areas are small saplings and seedlings due to wildfire impacts.

Additional whitebark pine populations likely occur on the ridgelines toward the western side of the study area above Johnson Creek Road. However, the HDR team did not field-verify these areas because they are difficult to access and it is not believed they would be impacted by mining activities and associated access roads. Further field documentation of whitebark pine stands may be necessary, depending on future mine plans.

Appendix B includes an Idaho rare plant observation report for whitebark pine completed by the HDR team. Appendix C contains photographs of whitebark pine taken during the HDR team's 2012 and 2013 vegetation field surveys.

4.2.2.2 Forest Service Region 4 Sensitive or Forest-watch Species

Bentflowered milkvetch and whitebark pine are the only sensitive species the HDR team documented and mapped in the study area during 2012 and 2013 vegetation surveys. **Photo 4-5** shows an example of bentflowered milkvetch in the study area.

Figure 4-5 and **Figure 4-6** shows polygon areas for bentflowered milkvetch in the study area that were documented by the HDR team during the 2012 vegetation surveys (approximately 62 acres).

Similar to the whitebark pine, bentflowered milkvetch also occurs at higher elevations toward the east side of the study area. Appendix B includes an Idaho rare plant observation report for bentflowered



Photo 4-5. Bentflowered milkvetch

milkvetch. Appendix C contains photographs of bentflowered milkvetch taken during the HDR team's vegetation surveys.

Table 4-1 summarizes botanical resources the HDR team documented in the study area.

Species Name	Common Name	Acres in Study Area	Notes
Astragalus vexilliflexus var. vexilliflexus	Bentflowered milkvetch	Approx. 62	Populations occur at the east side of the study area.
Pinus albicaulis	Whitebark pine	Approx. 164	Populations occur at higher peaks throughout study area. Largest populations documented on the east side of the study area.

Table 4-1. Summary of Botanical Resources in Study Area





(Northern Portion of Study Area) Stibnite Gold Project



Botanical Resources (Southern Portion of Study Area) Stibnite Gold Project

4.2.3 Non-native Plants

HDR's team found two new populations of Canada thistle in the northeastern portion of the study area during 2012 field surveys. In addition to non-native plant species mapped in GIS by USFS, a total of 31.5 acres of non-native plants have been documented and mapped in the study area (**Figure 4-7** and **Figure 4-8**). This includes a population of spotted knapweed along approximately 2 miles of Warm Lake Road and smaller, scattered populations of rush skeletonweed and Canada thistle at various locations within the study area.

Table 4-2 summarizes non-native species mapped in GIS by USFS and documented by the HDR team in the study area.

Species Name	Common Name	Acres in Study Area	Notes
Centaurea stoebe	Spotted knapweed	31.5	No new populations documented in 2012 or 2013 plant surveys
Chondrilla juncea	Rush skeletonweed	0.18	No new populations documented in 2012 or 2013 plant surveys
Cirsium arvense	Canada thistle	5.6	New populations documented on old access roads on east side of the study area

Table 4-2. Summary of Non-Native Species in Study Area

4.3 Summary of Affected Environment

This baseline study of vegetation resources will be used as a reference to support the USFS EIS on future exploration and mining projects associated with the Stibnite Gold Project.

Vegetation field surveys confirmed that upland forest, as mapped by USFS in GIS, is the most common vegetation community documented in the study area. Wildfires have disturbed much of the vegetation within the study area but new vegetation is emerging primarily grasses, shrubs and lodgepole pine HDR's team developed an overall plant species list for the entire study area, which is included in Appendix A.

The HDR team evaluated botanical resources and conducted field surveys for PAF and BOI species, confirming that no T&E plant species occur in or adjacent to the study area. The study area contains one candidate species (whitebark pine) and one sensitive species (bentflowered milkvetch). Additional botanical resources may also be present in the study area. Although the HDR team did not field-verify portions of the study area that are difficult to access, it is unlikely that they would be impacted by mining activities and associated access roads. Additional field surveys for botanical resources may be necessary depending on future mine plans.

The HDR team conducted field surveys and confirmed the locations of several non-native plant populations that USFS mapped in GIS and documented and mapped new populations of Canada thistle at various locations within the study area.





Figure 4-7 Non-native Plants (Northern Portion of Study Area) Stibnite Gold Project



Figure 4-8 Non-native Plants (Southern Portion of Study Area) Stibnite Gold Project

SECTION 5: REFERENCES

5.1 References Cited

HDR, Inc.

2013 Wetland Resources Baseline Study, Stibnite Gold Project. Rev. July.

USFS [U.S. Forest Service]

- 2012a Rare Plant Species List for Payette National Forest.
- 2012b Rare Plant Species List for Boise National Forest.
- 2011 Biological Evaluation for Sensitive Plants, Golden Meadows Exploration Project.
- 2010 *Land and Resource Management Plan* (Forest Plan). Boise National Forest. http://www.fs.usda.gov/detail/boise/landmanagement/?cid=STELPRDB5394192
- 2003 *Land and Resource Management Plan* (Forest Plan). Payette National Forest. Amended in 2010. <u>http://www.fs.usda.gov/detail/payette/landmanagement/planning/?cid=stelprdb50355</u> <u>89</u>

USFWS [U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service]

- 2012 Species List for Valley County, ID. <u>http://www.fws.gov/endangered/</u>
- 2011 Species Profile for Whitebark Pine (*Pinus albicaulis*). <u>http://www.fws.gov/mountain-prairie/species/plants/whitebarkpine/</u>. Accessed March 14, 2012.
- 2002 90-Day Species List Update (Sept). File #113.0000 1-4-02-SP-911. USFWS, Snake River Basin Office, Columbia River Basin Ecoregion, Boise, ID. 5 pages.

5.2 Plant Identification References Used

Conquest, Arthur, Arthur H. Holmgren, Noel H. Holmgren, James L. Reveal, and Patricia K. Holmgren

1977 Intermountain Flora, Vascular Plants of the Intermountain West, U.S.A. Volume Six. Columbia University Press, New York, NY. 584 pp.

Hitchcock, C.L., and A. Conquest

1978 Flora of the Pacific Northwest – An Illustrated Manual. University of Washington Press, Seattle, WA. 730 pp.

Hitchcock, C.L., A. Conquest, M. Ownbey, and J.W. Thompson

1977 Vascular Plants of the Pacific Northwest [five volumes]. University of Washington Press, Seattle, WA.

Kershaw, L., J. Pojar, P. Alaback

1998 Plants of the Rocky Mountains. Lone Pine Publishing. 384 pp.

Whitson, Tom D., Larry C. Burrill, Steven A. Dewey, David W. Cudney, B.E. Nelson, Richard D. Lee, and Robert Parker

1991 Weeds of the West. University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY. 630 pp.

5.3 Abbreviations and Acronyms

Abbreviation/Acronym	Definition
BOI	Boise National Forest
EIS	environmental impact statement
EFSFSR	East Fork of the South Fork of the Salmon River (proper name on maps: East Fork South Fork Salmon River)
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FS	Forest Service road
GIS	geographical information system
GPS	global positioning system
HDR	HDR, Inc.
ICDC	Idaho Conservation Data Center
IDAPA	Idaho Administrative Procedure Act
IDL	Idaho Department of Lands
MGII	Midas Gold Idaho, Inc.
msl	mean sea level
NFS	National Forest System
PAF	Payette National Forest
T&E	threatened and endangered
USFS	U.S. Forest Service
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

SECTION 6: LIST OF PREPARERS

Table 6-1. List of Preparers

Name	Title/Qualifications	Role
USFS		
Alma Hanson	Forest Botanist, Payette National Forest	Baseline study oversight/field assistance
Vizgirdas, Edna R.	Forest Botanist, Boise National Forest	Data facilitation
HDR, Inc.		
Robert Waldher	Registered Landscape Architect, Bachelor's in Landscape Architecture	Report author, field investigations
Manuel Rauhut	Professional Engineer/Hydrologist; Master's of Engineering, Environmental Engineering; 9 years experience	Field investigations
Jesse Tatum	EIT in Civil Engineering, Bachelor of Science, Civil Engineering	Field investigations
Matt Modlin	Wildlife Biologist; Bachelor of Science, Wildlife Resources	Field investigations
Diane Holloran	GIS Manager; Bachelor of Science in Wildlife Biology; 30 years experience in GIS and data management	Baseline study figures
Christine Whittaker	Registered Landscape Architect; Bachelor's in Landscape Architecture; 38 years experience in project management	Project management, QA/QC
Tom Menzel	Technical Editor, Bachelor's in Journalism	Technical editing
Lesley Thode	Project Assistant, Master's degree in technical communication; 20 years experience in technical communication	Report preparation

Appendix A: Plant Species List

Scientific Name	Common Name	Family Name	WMVC Indicator Status ^a	Plant Description
Trees (Tree Stratum)				
Abies lasiocarpa	subalpine fir	Pinaceae	FACU	Native coniferous tree
Alnus incana	gray alder	Betulaceae	FACW	Native riparian tree/shrub
Alnus viridis sinuata	Sitka alder	Betulaceae	FACW	Native riparian tree/shrub
Picea engelmannii	Norway spruce	Pinaceae	FAC	Native coniferous tree
Picea glauca	white spruce	Pinaceae	FAC	Native coniferous tree
Pinus albicaulis	whitebark pine	Pinaceae		Native coniferous tree
Pinus contorta	lodgepole pine	Pinaceae	FAC	Native coniferous tree
Populus angustifolia	narrow-leaf cottonwood	Salicaceae	FACW	Native riparian tree
Populus tremuloides	quaking aspen	Salicaceae	FACU	Native riparian tree
Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas fir	Pinaceae	FACU	Native coniferous tree
Shrubs (Shrub Stratum)				
Acer glabrum	Rocky Mountain maple	Aceraceae	FAC	Native upland shrub
Amelanchier alnifolia	saskatoon serviceberry	Rosaceae	FACU	Native perennial shrub
Arctostaphylos uva-ursi	kinnikinnick	Ericaceae	FACU	Native upland shrub
Artemisia ludoviciana	white sagebrush	Asteraceae	UPL	Native upland perennial shrub
Betula glandulosa	bog birch	Betulaceae	OBL	Native wetland shrub
Cassiope sp.	mountain heather	Ericaceae	FACU	Native upland shrub
Ceanothus velutinus	snowbrush ceanothus	Rhamnaceae		Native perennial shrub
Cornus stolonifera	red-twig dogwood	Cornaceae	FACW	Native riparian shrub
Juniperus communis	common juniper	Cupressaceae	UPL	Native perennial shrub
Ledum glandulosum	western labrador tea	Ericaceae	OBL	Native perennial shrub
Lonicera involucrata	black twinberry	Caprifoliaceae	FAC	Native perennial shrub
Lonicera utahensis	Utah honeysuckle	Caprifoliaceae	FAC	Native perennial shrub
Mahonia repens	Oregon grape	Oregon grape Berberidaceae		Native perennial shrub
Phyllodoce empetriformis	pink mountainheath	k mountainheath Ericaceae FACU		Native perennial shrub
Potentilla sp.	cinquefoil	Rosaceae	FAC	Native perennial shrub
Prunus virginiana	chokecherry	Rosaceae	FAC	Native riparian shrub
Ribes aureum	golden currant	Grossulariaceae	FAC	Native riparian shrub
Ribes inerme	whitestem gooseberry	Grossulariaceae	FAC	Native riparian shrub
Ribes lacustre	prickly currant	Grossulariaceae	FAC	Native riparian shrub
Ribes montigenum	gooseberry currant	Saxifragaceae		Native riparian shrub
Rosa woodsii	Wood's rose	Rosaceae	FACU	Native riparian shrub
Rubus parviflorus	thimbleberry	Rosaceae	FACU	Native riparian shrub
Salix arctica	arctic willow	Salicaceae	FAC	Native riparian shrub
Salix boothii	booth willow	Salicaceae	FACW	Native riparian shrub
Salix drummondiana	drummond willow	Salicaceae	FACW	Native riparian shrub
Salix geyeriana	geyer willow	Salicaceae	FACW	Native riparian shrub
Salix lutea	yellow willow	Salicaceae	OBL	Native riparian shrub
Salix scouleriana	Scouler's willow	Salicaceae	FAC	Native perennial shrub
Salix wolfii	Wolf's willow	Salicaceae	OBL	Native riparian shrub
Sambucus racemosa	black elderberry	Caprifoliaceae	FACU	Native upland shrub
Spiraea betulifolia	white spiraea	Rosaceae	FACU	Native perennial shrub
Spiraea douglasii	rose spiraea	Rosaceae	FACW	Native perennial shrub

Scientific Name	Common Name	Common Name Family Name		Plant Description
Symphoricarpos longiflorus	snowberry	Caprifoliaceae	FAC	Native upland shrub
Vaccinium caespitosum	dwarf blueberry	Ericaceae	FACU	Native upland shrub
Vaccinium membranaceum	thinleaf huckleberry	Ericaceae	FACU	Native upland shrub
Vaccinium scoparium	grouse whortleberry	Ericaceae	FACU	Native upland shrub
Forbs (Herbaceous Stratum)				
Achillea millefolium	common yarrow	Asteraceae	FACU	Perennial forb
Aconitum columbianum	Columbian monkshood	Ranunculaceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Actaea rubra	red baneberry	Ranunculaceae	FACU	Perennial forb
Agastache urticifolia	nettleleaf giant hyssop	Lamiaceae	FACU	Perennial forb
Anaphalis margaritacea	pearly everlasting	Asteraceae	FACU	Perennial forb
Agoseris aurantiaca	orange agoseris	Asteraceae	FACU	Perennial forb
Angelica arguta	Lyall's angelica	Apiaceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Angelica pinnata	small-leaf angelica	Apiaceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Antennaria microphylla	littleleaf pussytoes	Asteraceae	FACU	Perennial forb
Apocynum cannabinum	Indian hemp	Apocanaceae	FAC	Perennial forb
Arnica cordifolia	heartleaf arnica	Asteraceae		Perennial forb
Arenaria aculeata	prickly sandwort	Caryophyllaceae		Perennial forb
Arnica chamissonis	Chamisso arnica	Asteraceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Arnica longifolia	longleaf arnica	Asteraceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Arnica mollis	hairy arnica	Asteraceae	FAC	Perennial forb
Aralia nudicaulis	wild sarsaparilla	Araliaceae	FACU	Perennial forb
Aster foliaceus	leafy aster	Asteraceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Astragalus vexilliflexus var. vexilliflexus	bentflowered milkvetch	Fabaceae		Perennial forb
Athyrium filix-femina	ladyfern	Dryopteridaceae	FAC	Perennial forb
Brassica sp.	mustard	Cruciferae	UPL	Weed species
Calachortus sp.	mariposa lily	Liliaceae		Perennial forb
Caltha leptosepala	elkslip marshmarigold	Ranunculaceae	OBL	Perennial forb
Castilleja miniata	Indian paintbrush	Scrophulariaceae	FAC	Perennial forb
Chaenactis douglasii	Douglas' dustymaiden	Asteraceae		Perennial forb
Comarum palustris	marsh cinquefoil	Rosaceae	OBL	Perennial forb
Delphinium glaucum	Sierra larkspur	Ranunculaceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Dodecatheon pulchellum	shooting star	Primulaceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Dodecatheon jeffreyi	Sierra shooting star	Primulaceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Cirsium arvense	Canada thistle	Asteraceae	FAC	Weed
Epilobium angustifolium	fireweed	Onagraceae	FACU	Perennial forb
Epilobium lactiflorum	milkflower willowherb	Onagraceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Epilobium palustre	marsh willowherb	Onagraceae	OBL	Perennial forb
Equisetum arvense	field horsetail	Equisetaceae	FAC	Perennial native forb
Equisetum fluviatile	water horsetail	Equisetaceae	OBL	Perennial native forb
Equisetum hyemale	rough horsetail	Equisetaceae	FACW	Perennial native forb
Equisetum pratense	meadow horsetail	Equisetaceae	FACW	Perennial native forb
Eriogonum sp.	buckwheat	Polygonaceae		Perennial forb
Eriogonum flavum	alpine buckwheat	Polygonaceae		Perennial forb
Erigeron compositus	cutleaf daisy	Asteraceae		Perennial forb
Erigeron glabellus	streamside fleabane	Asteraceae	FACW	Perennial forb

Scientific Name	Common Name Family Name		WMVC Indicator Status ^a	Plant Description
Fauria cris-galli	deer cabbage Menyanthaceae		OBL	Perennial forb
Fragaria virginiana	Virginia strawberry	Rosaceae	FACU	Perennial forb
Gentiana sp.	gentian	gentianaceae		Perennial forb
Geranium richardsonii	Richardson's geranium	Geraniaceae	FAC	Perennial forb
Geranium viscosissimum	sticky purple geranium	Geraniaceae	FACU	Perennial forb
Habenaria sp.	bog orchid	Orchidaceae		Perennial forb
Heracleum maximum	common cowparsnip	Apiaceae	FAC	Perennial forb
Hieracium sp.	hawksweed	Asteraceae	FACU	Perennial forb
lliamna rivularis	streambank wild hollyhock	Malvaceae	FAC	Perennial forb
Ipomopsis aggregata	scarlet gilia	Polemoniaceae		Perennial forb
Lemna minor	common duckweed	Lemnaceae	OBL	Perennial forb
Linanthus nuttallii	Nuttall's linanthus	Polemoniaceae	FACU	Perennial forb
Listera cordata	heartleaf twayblade	Orchidaceae	FAC	Perennial forb
Lupinus sp.	lupine	Fabaceae		Perennial forb
Marchantia polymporpha	liverwort	Marchantiaceae		Non-vascular plant
Maianthemum racemosum	false lily of the valley	Liliaceae	FAC	Perennial forb
Melilotus alba	white sweet clover	Leguminosae	FACU	Perennial forb
Melilotus officinalis	yellow sweet clover	Leguminosae	FACU	Introduced forb
Menziesia ferruginea	rusty menziesia	Ericaceae	FACU	Perennial shrub/forb
Mertensia paniculata	tall bluebells	Scropulariaceae	FAC	Perennial forb
Mimulus lewisii	Lewis' monkeyflower	Scropulariaceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Mimulus guttatus	seep monkeyflower	Scropulariaceae	OBL	Perennial forb
Mimulus tilingii	Tiling's monkeyflower	Scropulariaceae	OBL	Perennial forb
Mitella pentandra	fivestamen miterwort	Saxifragaceae	FAC	Perennial forb
Montia chamissoi	water minerslettuce	Portulacaceae	OBL	Perennial forb
Myosotis asiatica	Asian forget-me-not	Boraginaceae	FAC	Perennial forb
Osmorhiza berteroi	sweet cicely	Apiaceae	FACU	Perennial forb
Pedicularis groenlandica	elephanthead lousewort Scrophulariace		OBL	Perennial forb
Parnassia fimbriata	ta fringed grass of Parnassus Saxifragaceae		OBL	Perennial forb
Platanthera stricta	slender bog orchid	Orchidaceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Platanthera dilatata	scentbottle	Orchidaceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Penstemon sp.	penstemon	Scrophulariaceae		Perennial forb
Phlox diffusa	spreading phlox	Polemoniaceae		Perennial forb
Phacelia hastata	silverleaf phacelia	Hydrophyllaceae		Perennial forb
Polemonium occidentale	western polemonium	Polemoniaceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Potentilla gracilis	slender cinquefoil	Rosaceae	FAC	Perennial forb
Pyrola sp.	wintergreen	Pyrolaceae	FACU	Perennial forb
Ranunculus sp.	ranunculus	Ranunculaceae		Perennial forb
Sanguisorba sp.	burnet	Rosaceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Saxifraga Iyallii	redstem saxifrage	Saxifragaceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Senecio triangularis	arrowleaf ragwort	Asteraceae	FACW	Perennial forb
Sedum lanceolatum	spearleaf stonecrop	Crassulaceae		Perennial forb
Solidago canadensis	Canada goldenrod	Compositae	FACU	Perennial forb
Stenotus lanuginosus	wooly mock goldenweed	Asteraceae		Perennial forb
Taraxacum officinale	common dandelion	Compositae	FACU	Perennial forb
Thalictrum fendleri	fender meadowrue	Ranunculaceae	FAC	Perennial forb
Trillium ovatum	western trillium	Lilaceae	FACU	Perennial forb

Scientific Name	Common Name	Family Name	WMVC Indicator Status ^a	Plant Description	
Urtica dioica	stinging nettle	Urticaceae	FAC	Weed	
Valeriana edulis	tobacco root	Valerianaceae	FAC	Perennial forb	
Valeriana sitchensis	Sitka valerian	Valerianaceae	FAC	Perennial forb	
Veratrum californicum	California false hellebore	Liliaceae	FAC	Perennial forb	
Veratrum viride	green false hellebore	Liliaceae	FAC	Perennial forb	
Veronica americana	American speedwell	Scrophulariaceae	OBL	Perennial forb	
Veronica wormskjoldii	alpine speedwell	Scrophulariaceae	FACW	Perennial forb	
Viola sp.	yellow violet	Violaceae		Perennial forb	
Xerophyllum tenax	common beargrass	Liliaceae		Perennial forb	
Zigadenus elegans	mountain deathcamas	Liliaceae	FACU	Perennial forb	
Grass and Grasslikes (Herbaceous S	tratum)				
Agrostis stolonifera	redtop	Poaceae	FAC	Perennial grass	
Bromus carinatus	California brome	Gramineae	UPL	Perennial grass	
Bromus inermis	smooth brome	Gramineae	FAC	Perennial grass	
Bromus tectorum	cheat grass	Gramineae	UPL	Introduced annual grass	
Calamagrostis canadensis	bluejoint reedgrass	Poaceae	FACW	Perennial grass	
Calamagrostis sp.	pinegrass	Poaceae		Perennial grass	
Calamagrostis stricta	northern reedgrass	Poaceae	FACW	Perennial grass	
Carex aquatilis	water sedge	Cyperaceae	OBL	Native sedge	
Carex athrostachya	slenderbeak sedge	Cyperaceae	FACW	Native sedge	
Carex geyeri	elk sedge	Cyperaceae	UPL	Native grass	
Carex lenticularis	lakeshore sedge	Cyperaceae	OBL	Native sedge	
Carex limosa	mud sedge	Cyperaceae	OBL	Native sedge	
Carex mertensii	Mertens' sedge	Cyperacea	FAC	Native sedge	
Carex microptera	smallwing sedge	Cyperaceae	FACU	Native sedge	
Carex nebrascensis	Nebraska sedge	Cyperaceae	OBL	Native sedge	
Carex pachystachya	thickhead sedge	Cyperaceae	FAC	Native sedge	
Carex praticola	meadow sedge	Cyperaceae	FACW	Native sedge	
Carex rostrata	beaked sedge	Cyperaceae	OBL	Native sedge	
Carex scopulorum	mountain sedge	Cyperaceae	OBL	Native sedge	
Carex simulata	analogue sedge	Cyperaceae	OBL	Native sedge	
Carex vesicaria	inflated sedge	Cyperaceae	OBL	Native sedge	
Deschampsia caespitosa	tufted hairgrass	Poaceae	FACW	Perennial grass	
Elymus elymoides	squirreltail	Poaceae	FACU	Perennial grass	
Thinopyrum intermedium	intermediate wheatgrass	Poaceae	UPL	Perennial grass	
Festuca idahoensis	Idaho fescue	Poaceae	FACU	Perennial grass	
Hordeum jubatum	foxtail barley	Poaceae	FAC	Perennial grass	
Juncus balticus	Baltic rush	Juncaceae	FACW	Native perennial grasslike	
Juncus effusus	common rush	Juncaceae	FACW	Native perennial grasslike	
Juncus ensifolius	swordfern rush	Juncaceae	FACW	Native perennial grasslike	
Juncus mertensianus	Mertens' rush	Juncaceae	OBL	Native perennial grasslike	
Juncus torreyi	Torrey's rush	Juncaceae	FACW	Native perennial grasslike	
Phleum pratense	timothy	Poaceae	FAC	Introduced perennial grass	
Poa glaucifolia	Swallens's bluegrass	Poaceae	FAC	Perennial grass	
Poa pratensis	Kentucky bluegrass	Poaceae	FAC	Perennial grass	

Scientific Name	Common Name	Family Name	WMVC Indicator Status ^a	Plant Description
Poa secunda	Sandberg bluegrass	Poaceae	FACU	Perennial grass
Trisetum spicatum	spike trisetum	Poaceae	UPL	Perennial grass

^a Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region indicator categories:

OBL = Obligate Wetland. Plants almost always occur (estimated probability >99% under natural conditions in wetlands.

FACW = Facultative Wetland. Plants usually occur in wetlands (estimated probability 67%-99%), but occasionally found in non-wetlands.

FAC = Facultative. Plants are equally likely to occur in wetlands or non-wetlands (estimated probability 34%-66%).

FACU = Facultative Upland. Plants usually occur in non-wetlands (estimated probability 67%-99%), but occasionally found in wetlands (estimated probability < 99%) in non-wetlands under natural conditions in the region.

UPL = Upland. Plants occur almost always (estimated probability >99%) in non-wetlands under natural conditions in the region.

---- = Not included or status not indicated on Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region plant list.

Appendix B: USFWS Rare Plant Observation Reports

IDAHO RARE PLANT OBSERVATION REPORT 2012

Please fill in as many fields as possible, but don't worry it (double click on box, and click 'checked'). E-mail complet If you need to mail maps or other materials that can't be Department of Fish and Game, PO Box 25, 600 S. Walnu Thanks for contributing to rare plant conservation in Idah	f you have to leave blanks. Many fields contain check boxes eted form to <u>plant@idfg.idaho.gov</u> sent electronically, send them to Botany Data Manager, Idaho ut St., Boise ID 83707-0025. o!			
Species: Astragalus vexilliflexus var. vexilliflexus – Bentf	owered milkvetch			
Observer(s): Robert Waldher (HDR Engineering)				
Agency/Organization/Company: HDR Engineering, Mida	s Gold, Inc.			
Address: 412 E Parkecenter Blvd. Ste. 100, Boise, ID 83	706			
E-mail: Robert.waldher@hdrinc.com	Phone: 208-387-7085			
Other knowledgeable individuals: Christine Whittaker, RL	A (HDR Engineering)			

If this observation is part of a	larger study or report,	, what is the study/report? N	Vidas Gold Vegetation	Baseline Study

Certainty of identification: 🗌 moderate 🛛 🖾 high 🖾 verified by: Alma Hanson, Botanist, Payette National Forest

no unsure

Element occurrence (EO) #, if known: 1

EO survey site name (e.g., a particular landmark or location): Cinnabar Peak

Is this an addition or update of an existing occurrence? X yes

Directions (please be specific so population/subpopulations can be relocated years from now by others):

The site is located in Valley, County, Idaho, within the Payette National Forest. The site lies within the Salmon River Mountains near the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness and can be accessed from Yellow Pine via Stibnite Road (FS 412) and continuing up Thunder Mountain Road (FS 375) toward Monumental Summit. Continue travel on old mining roads which lead northwest to the ridges between Cinnabar Creek and the East Fork South Fork Salmon River.

Landowner(s): \Box BLM \boxtimes USFS \boxtimes private \Box other:

If all or part of population is on private land, has the landowner provided consent for the data to be exported? Date of consent by private landowner, their contact info, and other pertinent comments:

General owner comments:

If location data are GPS data:
Format of GPS data: 🖂 shapefile 🛛 🗌 digital file (.dbf, .xls, .txt, etc.) 🛛 GPS points in subpopulation section
Method used to collect GPS data: 🛛 GPS unit 🛛 estimated on a paper map 🗌 other:
GPS unit was held: 🗌 directly over the plant of interest 🛛 🖾 in the general vicinity of the rare plant
Do the GPS points mark the boundary of a plant group? 🛛 yes 🗌 no 🗌 unsure
Accuracy of GPS unit (± m): ± 3m Datum: 🗌 NAD27 🖾 NAD83 🗌 WGS84 🔲 unknown
Coordinate system: 🛛 UTM zone 11 🔲 UTM zone 12 🔲 UTM zone unknown 🗌 Idaho Transverse Mercator
Decimal degrees, lat/long state plane township/range/section

Population Information (for entire population; information on subpopulations goes on next page)
Population area (extent of all subpopulations):
Do you feel you mapped the full extent of the population? \Box yes $oxed{a}$ no $oxed{a}$ unsure
Is there more potential habitat in the area that hasn't been surveyed? $oxedsymbol{\boxtimes}$ yes $oxedsymbol{\square}$ no $oxedsymbol{\square}$ unsure
Suggestions for other areas to survey: Old mining road running from Cinnabar Peak down into Cinnabar Creek.
The survey was: very thorough fairly thorough cursory incidental observation
Photo attached? 🛛 yes 🗌 no If photos are located elsewhere, where are they?
See photos in Appendix C of Baseline Study.
Monitoring or research needs for this population:
Management needs for this population: The forest plan emphasizes conservation and recovery of Region 4 Sensitive
Species that are at risk where quantity and quality of habitat needed support viability is a concern. Mitigation measures
and management requirements have been put in place to ensure the continued viability of bentflowered milkvetch
Additional population comments: The survey took place in areas associated with calcareous geology (Fern marble, middle
marble, Hermes marble, dolomite, lower and upper calc-silicates). Based on information from past surveys, it appears that
this population of bentflowered milkvetch continues to grow vigorously, with no weed invasion. Additional populations of
this species may be present on private land near Cinnabar Creek, especially along old roadbeds.
Native plant community <u>within</u> the population is:
A. intact with zero to low non-native plant cover and/or minimal anthropogenic disturbance.
\boxtimes B. intact with low to moderate non-native plant cover and/or low to moderate anthropogenic disturbance.
C. partially intact with moderate to high non-native plant cover and/or mod. to high anthropogenic disturbance.
D. almost gone with high non-native plant species cover and/or high anthropogenic disturbance.
Is this rank based on all known subpopulations? 🗌 yes 🛛 no 🛛 🖾 unsure
Additional comments on condition of the population: Old roadbeds in the area were never completely re-contoured. The species has spread vigorously to many of these previously disturbed areas.
Landscape surrounding the population is:
A. unfragmented, with ecological and hydrological processes intact.
B. partially fragmented, with ecological and hydrological processes intact.
C. moderately fragmented, with ecological and hydrological processes intact.
D. fragmented, with many ecological and hydrological processes no longer intact.
Is this rank based on all known subpopulations? 🗌 yes 🛛 no 🛛 🖾 unsure
Additional comments on landscape surrounding the population: The surrounding landscape has been impacted by past

mining activities and numerous access roads that exist throughout the area.

IDAHO RARE PLANT OBSERVATION REPORT 2012-2013

Please fill in as many fields as possible, but don't worry if you have to leave blanks. Many fields contain check boxes (double click on box, and click 'checked'). E-mail completed form to plant@idfg.idaho.gov If you need to mail maps or other materials that can't be sent electronically, send them to Botany Data Manager, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, PO Box 25, 600 S. Walnut St., Boise ID 83707-0025. Thanks for contributing to rare plant conservation in Idaho!

 Species: Pinus albicaulis – Whitebark pine

 Observer(s): Robert Waldher (HDR Engineering)

 Agency/Organization/Company: HDR Engineering, Midas Gold, Inc.

 Address: 412 E Parkecenter Blvd. Ste. 100, Boise, ID 83706

 E-mail: Robert.waldher@hdrinc.com
 Phone: 208-387-7085

 Other knowledgeable individuals: Christine Whittaker, RLA (HDR Engineering)

 If this observation is part of a larger study or report, what is the study/report? Midas Gold Vegetation Baseline Study

 Certainty of identification:
 □ moderate
 ☑ high
 ☑ verified by: Alma Hanson, Botanist, Payette National Forest

Is this an addition or update of an existing occurrence? \Box yes \Box no \boxtimes unsure

Element occurrence (EO) #, if known:

EO survey site name (e.g., a particular landmark or location):

Directions (please be specific so population/subpopulations can be relocated years from now by others):

The site is located in Valley, County, Idaho, within the Payette National Forest. The site lies within the Salmon River Mountains near the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness and can be accessed from Yellow Pine via Stibnite Road (FS 412) and continuing up Thunder Mountain Road (FS 375) toward Monumental Summit. Populations are located at sub-alpine elevations surrounding the Golden Meadows Project.

Landowner(s): \Box BLM \boxtimes USFS \boxtimes private \Box other:

If all or part of population is on private land, has the landowner provided consent for the data to be exported? Date of consent by private landowner, their contact info, and other pertinent comments:

General owner comments:

If location data are GPS data:
Format of GPS data: 🖂 shapefile 🛛 🗌 digital file (.dbf, .xls, .txt, etc.) 🛛 GPS points in subpopulation section
Method used to collect GPS data: 🖾 GPS unit 🛛 🗌 estimated on a paper map 🗌 other:
GPS unit was held: 🗌 directly over the plant of interest 🛛 🖄 in the general vicinity of the rare plant
Do the GPS points mark the boundary of a plant group? 🗌 yes 🛛 🖾 no 🗌 unsure
Accuracy of GPS unit (± m): ± 3m Datum: 🗌 NAD27 🖾 NAD83 🗌 WGS84 🔲 unknown
Coordinate system: 🛛 UTM zone 11 🔲 UTM zone 12 🔲 UTM zone unknown 🗌 Idaho Transverse Mercator
🗌 Decimal degrees, lat/long 🛛 state plane 🔲 township/range/section

Population Information (for entire population; information on subpopulations goes on next page)
Population area (extent of all subpopulations):
Do you feel you mapped the full extent of the population? ∐ yes ⊠ no □ unsure
Is there more potential habitat in the area that hasn't been surveyed? ⊠ yes □ no □ unsure
Suggestions for other areas to survey: Populations are likely located on steep slopes found at alpine tree lines and sub-
alpine elevations surrounding the Golden Meadows Project.
The survey was: 🗍 very thorough 🛛 fairly thorough 🖾 cursory 🗍 incidental observation
Collector/Collection #: Herbarium:
Photo attached? 🛛 yes 🔲 no 👘 If photos are located elsewhere, where are they?
See photos in Appendix C of Baseline Study.
Monitoring or research needs for this population:
Management needs for this population: The forest plan emphasizes conservation and recovery of USFWS candidate and
Region 4 Sensitive Species that are at risk where quantity and quality of habitat needed support viability is a concern.
Mitigation measures and management requirements have been put in place to ensure the continued viability of whitebark
pine.
Additional population comments: In addition to exposed sub-alpine slopes surrounding the Golden Meadows project, the
survey took place at areas where Forest Service mapping showed whitebark pine cover. Generally, whitebark pine
populations in the area appear to be mostly intact with some areas of disturbance from past wildfires.
Native plant community within the population is:
A. intact with zero to low non-native plant cover and/or minimal anthropogenic disturbance.
\boxtimes B. intact with low to moderate non-native plant cover and/or low to moderate anthropogenic disturbance.
C. partially intact with moderate to high non-native plant cover and/or mod. to high anthropogenic disturbance.
☐ D. almost gone with high non-native plant species cover and/or high anthropogenic disturbance.
ls this rank based on all known subpopulations? 🗌 yes 🛛 🗌 no 🛛 🖾 unsure
Additional comments on condition of the population: Some whitebark pine populations were disturbed from past wildfire
activity.
Landscape surrounding the population is:
A. unfragmented, with ecological and hydrological processes intact.
B. partially fragmented, with ecological and hydrological processes intact.
C. moderately fragmented, with ecological and hydrological processes intact.
D. fragmented, with many ecological and hydrological processes no longer intact.
ls this rank based on all known subpopulations? 🗌 yes 🛛 no 🛛 🖾 unsure
Additional comments on landscape surrounding the population: The surrounding landscape has been impacted by past

mining activities and numerous access roads that exist throughout the area.

Appendix C: Botanical Resource Site Photographs

Pinus albicaulis



Photo 1 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Ridgetop facing west.



Photo 3 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Ridgetop facing northwest.



Photo 5 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Ridgetop facing southwest.



Photo 2 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Ridgetop facing west.



Photo 4 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Ridgetop facing east.



Photo 6 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Ridgetop facing north.

Pinus albicaulis



Photo 7 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Saddle facing west.



Photo 9 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Saddle facing southwest.



Photo 11 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Doris K facing west.



Photo 8 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Saddle facing southwest.



Photo 10 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Saddle facing northwest.



Photo 12 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Doris K facing southwest.

Pinus albicaulis



Photo 13 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Doris K facing southwest.



Photo 15 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Doris K facing north.



Photo 17 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Doris K facing northwest.



Photo 14 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Doris K facing south.



Photo 16 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Doris K facing south.



Photo 18 – Whitebark pine stand near the drill area known as Doris K facing west.

Pinus albicaulis



Photo 19 – Whitebark pine stand on ridgeline above Fiddle Creek drainage facing west.



Photo 21 – Whitebark pine stand on ridgeline above Fiddle Creek drainage facing west.



Photo 23 – Whitebark pine saplings on ridgeline above Fiddle Creek drainage facing north.



Photo 20 – Whitebark pine tree on ridgeline above Fiddle Creek drainage facing west.



Photo 22 – Whitebark pine stand on ridgeline above Fiddle Creek drainage facing west.



Photo 24 – Whitebark pine stand on ridgeline above Fiddle Creek drainage facing north.
Pinus albicaulis



Photo 25 – Whitebark pine stand on ridgeline above West End Creek drainage facing northeast.



Photo 27 – Whitebark pine along Burntlog Road facing north.



Photo 29 – Whitebark pine saplings along Burntlog Road facing south.



Photo 26 – Whitebark pine stand on ridgeline above West End Creek drainage facing east.



Photo 28 – Close-up of whitebark pine needles.



Photo 30 – Whitebark pine saplings along Burntlog Road facing north.

Pinus albicaulis



Photo 31 – Whitebark pine saplings along Burntlog Road facing East.



Photo 33 – Whitebark pine saplings along Cabin Creek Road facing west.



Photo 32 – Whitebark pine stand observed on both sides of Cabin Creek Road facing south.



Photo 34 – Close-up of whitebark pine saplings adjacent to Cabin Creek Road.

Astragalus vexiliflexus var. vexiliflexus



Photo 1 – Bentflowered milkvetch population near Cinnabar peak.



Photo 3 – Close-up image of bentflowered milkvech.



Photo 5 – Populations of bentflowered milkvetch have spread to old cut/fill access roads.



Photo 2 – The population in this area was vigorous.



Photo 4 – Typical habitat for bentflowered milkvetch near Cinnabar facing southast.



Photo 6 – Bentflowered milkvetch population near Cinnabar peak facing northwest.

Astragalus vexiliflexus var. vexiliflexus



Photo 7 – Example of soils near a single bentflowered milkvetch plant.



Photo 9 – Bentflowered milkvetch on old roadbed near drill area known as Saddle.



Photo 8 – Bentflowered milkvetch on old roadbed near drill area known as Saddle, facing south.



Photo 10 – Bentflowered milkvetch habitat.



Photo 11 – Rocky soils near surrounding bentflowered milkvetch plants.



Photo 12 – Close-up image of bentflowered milkvetch.

Astragalus vexiliflexus var. vexiliflexus



Photo 13 – Soils near the drill area known as Doris K had a gray color.



Photo 15 – Bentflowered milkvetch habitat near the drill area known as Doris K.



Photo 17 – Bentflowered milkvetch was vigorous on portions of the access road out of Cinnabar Creek.



Photo 14 – Bentflowered milkvetch near drill area known as Doris K.



Photo 16 – Bentflowered milkvetch on access road leading out of Cinnabar Creek facing east.



Photo 18 – Close-up of bentflowered milkvetch along access road.