

Greetings,

I am writing in support of the Stibnite Gold Project and the benefits it will bring to Idaho. This project has the potential to put hundreds of Idahoans to work, bring a \$1 billion investment into our state and restore an area of Idaho's backcountry desperately in need of repair.

The Stibnite Gold Project, as presented in Alternative 2, could leave the historical Stibnite Mining District in better condition than it is today. It would result in better water quality in the East Fork of the South Fork of the Salmon River due to lower concentrations of antimony, mercury and arsenic (DEIS 4.9-70) and open an additional 26.5 km of habitat for anadromous salmonoids before mining begins (DEIS 4.12-13). When you compare Alternative 2 with the other options, the choice of how to proceed is obvious. Alternative 3 and 4 would delay the start of the project by two years. Alternative 3 has a larger project footprint size and Alternative 4 would put traffic to the site directly next to the East Fork of the South Fork of the Salmon River. Alternative 5 would leave the site exactly as it is today – in desperate need of repair. The site needs attention and Alternative 2 would make sure it finally gets it.

I encourage the USFS to move the Stibnite Gold Project forward and permit Midas Gold Idaho's project as outlined in their Modified Plan of Restoration and Operations, alternative 2 in the DEIS.

Best regards,

Name: VanWyck Russ