Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/2/2025 7:00:00 AM

First name: Rob Last name: Frank

Organization: Harney County Court

Title:

Comments: United States Forest Service (USFS), The Harney County Court is excited by the prospect of a more reasonable management tool as offered by the Blue Mountain Forest Plan revision, and was proud to be actively involved in the Blues Intergovernmental Council (BIC) guidance of this document. In general, the Court believes that grazing and timber harvest are tools that must be used to actively manage our forest land to be more ecologically sound and wildfire resilient, regardless of factors not directly pertaining to overall landscape wellbeing such as economic viability(i.e., fine fuels and timber must be removed to prevent overgrowth and fire hazard, even if it falls outside of normal allotment grazing windows or timber sales receive no bids). The Court also does not support reduction of access on public lands. We have attached two documents for reference: a table inspired by Harney Natural Resources Advisory Committee (NRAC) discussions that focuses primarily on grazing, and the comments which will be submitted by High Desert Partnership's (HDP) Forest Restoration Collaborative focusing primarily on forestry. The Court supports the particular technical recommendations made in these documents. We look forward to working with USFS to sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the Nation's forests and grasslands to meet the needs of present and future generations. Respectfully submitted, Harney County CourtSee attachment for table. Note: Comments shown below address the section of the Forest Plan in relation to the Malheur National Forest, though principles also apply to sections of the Wallowa-Whitman and Umatilla National Forests.1.) Wildland Fire: Page 26Summary Statement: We agree with the desired characteristics for wildland fire. Wildfire is indeed a natural phenomenon in our Blue Mountains Forests, and fire has played a key ecological role for Dry Pine Forests east of the Cascades, which have historically been fire-resilient landscapes. We also agree with the objectives in principle and the emphasis being put on firefighter safety and expanding partnerships with local entities; however, in relation to the Malheur National Forest (MNF), 30,000 acres of fuels mitigation annually is inadequate. Given that this objective is related to what the Malheur National Forest could ecologically sustain, the Harney County Forest Restoration Collaborative believes this figure should be closer to 85,000 acres annually. This is especially true when including natural fire in this figure. This 85,000-acre figure also aligns with the historical 20- year fire return interval on the Malheur National Forest.Desired Conditions (FW-WF-DC)Add a desired condition that Mosaic-like patterns will exist on the landscape when wildfire works its way across a forest system in the Blue Mountains National Forests. A mosaic pattern is closely associated with lower severity fire, and allows for seed banks to restore burned areas at a quicker rate, leading to broader landscape recovery. See this article published in the ESA open-access journal that highlights the benefits of mosaic fire patterns in Dry Pine forests. Objectives (FW-WF-OBJ)The figures listed in the objective section should be much higher than currently listed. On the Malheur National Forest, 30,000 acres of fuels mitigation treatments annually is not sufficient to make a meaningful difference in wildfire severity on the landscape, especially when including natural fire in this footprint. According to the Property and Environment Research Center's interactive wildfire risk map, approximately 44% of Forest Service lands in the Western United States are at "severe wildfire risk". In Oregon Congressional District 2, this figure is around 54%. This would mean approximately 918,000 acres on the Malheur National Forest are at "severe wildfire risk", and this may be higher as this statistic is for the entirety of Oregon CongressionalDistrict 2. With 30,000 acres treated annually, we would treat only around 1/3rd of fire fire-prone acreage on the Malheur National Forest. Therefore, this figure should be around 85,000 acres annually, which would align closer to the amount of acreage on the forest at severe wildfire risk in accordance with the 20-year fire return interval. Examples of effective fuels mitigation treatments and practices supported by the Harney County Forest Restoration Collaborative include:? Widening the prescribed burn window by starting prescribed fires earlier in the fall.? Being proactive in seeking additional funds to pay for mechanical treatments, and utilizing non-federal partners to do so.? Setting a quota in acres (or miles of road) for strategic fire breaks. Management Approach (FW-WF-MAPR) We support the focus on community protection areas and promoting fire-adapted landscapes and communities. We also applaud the move towards coordination with local entities to achieve these objectives. 2.) Water Quality & Day and Ecosystems/Riparian Management: Pages 31, 112 Summary Statement: On the forest-wide scale for water quality and aquatic ecosystems, we appreciate the connections made to promote water quality that support healthy riparian, aquatic, and wetland systems. We support the mindful approach of building infrastructure near key aquatic areas, and we tilt our hats toward the collaborative approach suggested by working with state, tribal, and local groups via partnerships. Regarding Riparian Management, we appreciate the comprehensive approach that the section suggests. For objectives, 6,000 acres of Riparian restoration/maintenance on the Malheur National Forest over the next decade provides a good footprint for work to get done at a meaningful scale. Actions such as silvicultural thinning and seeding/planting are particularly important for the recovery of native riparian species. We also like the adaptive approach to livestock management in Riparian Areas, specifically to maintain quality Riparian habitat and reduce streambank erosion. Using vegetation conditions and indicators as tools to guide livestock management provides a good approach that balances ecological health with economic benefits.3.) Forested Vegetation: Page 36Summary Statement:We support the general principles of this section in promoting diverse forest vegetation and structure, and maintaining ecological integrity for wildlife while at the same time acknowledging human use and footprints on the landscape. We believe that promoting mechanical treatments and wildlife habitat goes together, as reducing canopy cover allows for shrubs and understory vegetation to thrive, therefore supporting ungulates and other wildlife (as stated in Forrester et. al., 2024). Similar to the section on Wildland Fire, we feel that 130,000 acres of mechanical treatment on the Malheur National Forest is on the low side, and may not sufficiently tackle the problem of degrading forest health, composition, and ecological integrity. We believe this figure should be a minimum of 25,000 acres annually, in order to transition the forest closer to the historical range of variability.Objectives (FW-FOR-OBJ):We believe that 130,000 acres of mechanical treatment on the Malheur National Forest would not be enough to meaningfully improve forest health, composition, and structural/ecological integrity. Published in 2024, one study on the Malheur National Forest analyzed basal areas at locations near Crawford Creek and Lookout Mountain. Researchers found pre-treatment basal areas of 179 and 240 square feet/acre at the plot study locations. Though variable on a forest-wide scale, these metrics indicate that vast portions of the forest have catastrophically high-density levels. Thus, to achieve management targets, the objective should be to treat a minimum of 25,000 acres via mechanical treatments annually.4.) Aspen, Woodland, Shrubland, Grassland, Meadow, other non-forested habitats: Page 50Summary Statement: We appreciate this section emphasizing non-forested habitats. Though small in landmass compared to general forest habitats, they are crucial in providing biodiversity and variable cover types for wildlife. We support the general objectives of maintaining these non-forested habitats in accordance with historical levels, and preventing either expansion or encroachment of undesired species within or outside these habitats. The objectives for the section should be considerably higher in acreage than currently listed. Objectives (FW-VEGNF-OBJ):Overall, we view the objectives of the section as quite meager, and believe the restoration footprint should be considerably higher. For instance, 200 acres of Aspen stands restoration on the Malheur National Forest seems inadequate, given the level of deterioration the habitat type is seeing (according to a 2017 study done by BlueMountains Forest Partners, Aspen occupies only 20-50% of their former range on the Malheur National Forest). In 2010, the Damon Project on the Malheur National Forest authorized 619 acres of Aspen restoration across 190 sites, consisting of both commercial and non-commercial thinning of encroaching conifer. This figure shows it is possible to restore more lofty amounts of Aspen stands over the next decade. Therefore, in order for us to make progress towards the historical range of variability where Aspen and hardwoods made up around 5% of forest vegetation, we believe 1,000-1,700 acres per year of Aspen restoration should take place. Reducing Juniper cover to less than 10% in Sagebrush habitat on the Malheur National Forest, on 800 acres per decade seems low given the degree of Juniper encroachment across the forest. In one project implemented near Venator Creek on the Emigrant Creek Ranger District, 77 acres of Juniper thinning were conducted across two separate units in 2011. Therefore 1,200 acres would be achievable, and a more meaningful footprint for Juniper Reduction work over the course of a decade. We are also concerned with the lack of mention of juniper outside of sagebrush habitat, within forested vegetation and riparian areas. We believe juniper in these areas should also be addressed and included in the above acreage figure. Furthermore, maintaining only 5 meadows on the Malheur National Forest through conifer thinning seems meager, given the projection of 6,000 acres of riparian restoration over the next decade, and the prominence of wet meadows throughout the forest. Therefore, we believe 10% of wet meadow acreage should be treated or maintained annually, in order to conserve and grow

this habitat under stress. See this article that describes the crucial importance of wet meadows in Dry Pine Forests for the health and diversity of understory vegetation. This article also highlights the importance of having variably sized wet meadows present within Dry Pine Forest systems. Citations: Coop, J. D., DeLory, T. J., Downing, W. M., Haire, S. L., Krawchuk, M. A., Miller, C., Parisien, M.-A., & Downing, Walker, R. B. (2019). Contributions of fire refugia to resilient ponderosa pine and dry mixed-conifer forest landscapes. Ecosphere, 10(7), e02809. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2809Sutherland, S., Edwards, E., & Dr., Strabo, F. (2025, February 25). PERC Wildfire Risk Map. Property and Environment Research Center (PERC). https://perc.org/2025/02/25/perc-wildfire-risk-map/Uzoh, Fabian C.C.; Finley, Kaelyn A.; Zhang, Jianwei. 2024. Ponderosa pine Levels-of-Growing- Stock study: Tree measurement and condition data for CrawfordCreek and Lookout Mountain in Oregon. Fort Collins, CO: Forest Service Research Data Archive. https://doi.org/10.2737/RDS-2024- 0062Blue Mountains Forest Partners. (2017, October). Aspen Restoration Zones of Agreement.https://www.bluemountainsforestpartners.org/s/BMFP-Aspen-Zones-of-Agreement-October-2017- FINAL-jzrj.pdfNational Wild Turkey Federation. (2024, September 9). NWTF, USDA Forest Service collaborate on critical aspen restoration in Oregon. https://www.nwtf.org/content-hub/nwtf-usda-forest-servicecollaborate-on-critical-aspen-restoration-in-oregonForrester, T. D., Spitz, D. B., Merems, J. L., Wisdom, M. J., Rowland, M. M., Johnson, B. K., Smith, J. B., Levi, T., Long, R. A., & Dr, Clark, D. A. (2024). Mule deer selection of fuel reductions is restricted by site fidelity and structured by circadian and seasonal patterns. Forest Ecology and Management, 569, 122005. USFS Research & Development+1U.S. Forest Service. (2011). Venator Creek Riparian Juniper Thinning: Decision Memo (Emigrant Creek Ranger District, Malheur National Forest, Harney County, Oregon). United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. Retrieved from https://www.fs.usda.gov/project/?project=34213Matonis, M. S., & Binkley, D. (2018). Not just about the trees: Key role of mosaic-meadows in restoration of ponderosa pine ecosystems. Forest Ecology and Management, 411, 120-131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.01.019