

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 2/24/2025 9:00:00 AM

First name: Richard

Last name: Birdsey

Organization: Woodwell Climate Research Center

Title:

Comments: To: USDA Forest Service February 24, 2025

Re: Comments on the Tongass National Forest Land Management Plan Revision #64039

The Tongass National Forest (TNF) is the largest in the National Forest system managed by the USDA Forest Service (FS), contains one of the largest expanses of temperate rainforest in the world, and has the largest area of old-growth forest in the National Forest System. It is truly a remarkable ecosystem that must be carefully managed to protect its many values such as wildlife habitat, recreation, climate mitigation potential, and biological diversity. The Woodwell Climate Research Center (Woodwell) welcomes the opportunity to comment on the draft assessment, which will provide important context for a new forest plan, and establish the state of the science regarding the TNF's ecosystem function, resource use, and climate change. Woodwell has recently published peer-reviewed research papers and commentaries on climate, the carbon cycle, and management approaches to protect and enhance carbon stocks and biodiversity. Some of these studies are specific to the TNF. Our comments focus on two sections with the intent to improve the depiction of "best available science": terrestrial ecosystem and carbon stocks, although the comments are relevant to other sections as well.

Thanks again for the opportunity to provide comments on this important step in the planning process. Detailed comments are contained in the attached document.

Sincerely,

Richard A Birdsey, Senior Scientist
Woodwell Climate Research Center

To: USDA Forest Service February 24, 2025

Re: Comments on the Tongass National Forest Land Management Plan Revision #64039

The Tongass National Forest (TNF) is the largest in the National Forest system managed by the USDA Forest Service (FS), contains one of the largest expanses of temperate rainforest in the world, and has the largest area of old-growth forest in the National Forest System. It is truly a remarkable ecosystem that must be carefully managed to protect its many values such as wildlife habitat, recreation, climate mitigation potential, and biological diversity. The Woodwell Climate Research Center (Woodwell) welcomes the opportunity to comment on the draft assessment, which will provide important context for a new forest plan, and establish the state of the science regarding the TNF's ecosystem function, resource use, and climate change. Woodwell has recently published peer-reviewed research papers and commentaries on climate, the carbon cycle, and management approaches to protect and enhance carbon stocks and biodiversity. Some of these studies are specific to the TNF. Our comments focus on two sections with the intent to improve the depiction of "best available science": terrestrial ecosystem and carbon stocks, although the comments are relevant to other sections as well.

Terrestrial Ecosystems

This assessment is relatively well written with an emphasis on "ecological integrity" as a means to ensure continued provision of ecosystem services and multiple uses, following guidance from

the FS 2012 Planning Rule. Public input to date has highlighted concerns about development, pollution and climate change impacting fisheries and watersheds, among other concerns. In reviewing this assessment, some sections apparently failed to consider the most recent peer-reviewed literature, and so comments are focused on suggestions for including the findings of additional studies at various places in the document.

The assessment of ecological integrity is based primarily on the relative impact of stressors such as insect outbreaks and wildfire that occur at significantly higher or lower rates or severities than occurred historically. This approach assumes that ecosystems that have not been subject to natural disturbances have high ecological integrity, but this inappropriately blames natural disturbances for declines in ecological integrity while ignoring the impact of logging, which has significantly greater impacts, and ignores the differences between logging disturbances and natural disturbances. We request that you describe how ecosystems respond differently to logging and road building vs. natural disturbances like blowdowns, wildfires, insects and disease outbreaks, etc., that in many if not most cases are beneficial ecologically (DellaSala et al. 2022 a, 2025).

Timber harvest is the most significant factor affecting forests on the TNF, though the area harvested has been declining in recent decades according to U.S. Forest Service data (USDA Forest Service 2016, 2024a). We support the recent management plans that reduced harvest of old-growth forest and concentrated better management and harvesting on young-growth forests, which are mainly forests that were previously harvested over the past few decades and are regrowing. From a climate perspective, it is important to note that better harvesting releases significant quantities of stored carbon even if accounting for carbon temporarily retained in wood products (Harmon 2019, Hudiburg et al. 2019, Law et al. 2018). It can take decades or even centuries to replace the carbon emitted from harvesting the large, carbon-rich trees in old growth forests. From the perspective of Alaska's arctic and boreal regions, the TNF is among the critical areas that are functioning as net carbon sinks, which are compensating for increasing carbon emissions from wildfires and other stressors (Verkkala et al. 2025). The draft assessment should clearly document, based on this most recent research, the significant role of the TNF in moderating regional emissions by protecting the mature and old-growth forests from increased timber harvest. In particular, the prohibition of removing trees for commercial harvest in designated roadless areas should be strengthened in order to protect this valuable function while maintaining the ecological integrity of these areas.

We support the trend towards less harvesting of well-drained forests that have been the target of significant harvesting in the past. As stated in the assessment, active management of young forests has very little effect on the ecosystem integrity of the re-growing forests. In contrast, there is a nearly instant reduction of ecosystem integrity upon harvest. Generally, in Tongass ecosystems that are rarely affected by significant or severe natural disturbances, high levels of ecosystem integrity can be sustained indefinitely without active management (Rogers et al. 2022). These topics are treated reasonably well in the draft assessment, and we encourage the revision to avoid weakening the rationale for further reduction in the volume of wood harvested.

Carbon Stocks

Carbon stocks on the TNF are the highest among all National Forests, totaling about 10 percent of the carbon on National Forest System (NFS) lands (Heath et al. 2011, Law et al. 2022). The Tongass is a carbon reservoir of national strategic significance (DellaSala et al. 2022 b, Law et al. 2022, D'Amore 2020). Much of this carbon is stored in mature and old-growth forests of 150 years age or more, which occupy around three quarters of the forest area (Birdsey et al. 2019), and have a very high average carbon density of more than 300 mg/ha according to the draft assessment.

The studies described in the draft assessment agree that the TNF is a net sink for carbon because it absorbs more carbon from the atmosphere than it releases, in large part due to the low occurrence of natural disturbances and low logging levels. Undisturbed forests in cool temperate ecozones have slow decomposition rates leading to an accumulation of carbon in woody debris and soils (D'Amore and McGuire 2020). The Forest also has a relatively small area that has been harvested, which emits significant carbon per hectare of logging (Harmon 2019, Hudiberg et al. 2019).

The section " Factors Influencing Potential Future Carbon Dynamics " fails to consider the main disturbance: harvesting. Harvesting is the most significant disturbance by far according to FS data presented in the draft assessment and Birdsey et al. (2019). The emissions from harvesting forests are far greater than the amount of C that is temporarily stored in harvested wood products (HWP; DellaSala et al. 2022a, Harmon 2019, Hudiberg et al. 2019). The draft assessment only mentions the amount of C in HWP, and ignores the more significant emissions from roundwood processing, the large quantity of logging residue left behind to decompose, and from loss of C stored on the forest floor and in disturbed soils. This is a significant accounting omission that should be corrected in the final draft.

There is a large body of literature highlighting options for managing forests to maintain or increase carbon stocks. Most peer-reviewed studies conclude that it is more effective to let forests grow without management interventions or harvesting (Birdsey et al. 2023, Cook-Paotton et al. 2021, Law et al. 2018, Moomaw et al. 2019). These studies indicate that it would take decades to centuries to restore the carbon stocks lost to harvesting live trees - particularly large trees -- even if fully accounting for the temporary storage of C in HWP, which is far less than the emissions. Although threats from wildfire and insects must be considered, especially in ecosystems that have short disturbance return intervals, the probability of natural disturbance in an area such as the Tongass with very high annual rainfall and high productivity is extremely low and therefore not a significant factor in the ability to protect future C stocks.

Areas with low levels of natural disturbance are highly valuable as strategic C reserves, and help to compensate for areas that are trending toward increasing emissions from warming and natural disturbances (Law et al. 2022). The currently protected and persistent C sink in the Tongass is notable among terrestrial forest areas that help prevent arctic and boreal regions of North America from becoming net sources of stored C as the permafrost areas trend toward becoming sources of C (See et al. 2024, Virkkala et al. 2025). As described in the terrestrial ecosystem assessment, the draft carbon assessment should clearly document the significant role of rainforests such as the Tongass in moderating regional emissions by protecting the mature and old-growth forests from increased timber harvest (DellaSala et al. 2022b). We

recommend strengthening the protection of trees from commercial harvest in designated roadless areas in order to protect this valuable function as well as maintaining the ecological integrity of these areas.

Projections of increasing future timber demand combined with increasing tariffs on softwood imports are likely to increase pressure to harvest more timber from National Forests, including from mature and old-growth forests of the TNF, to help offset anticipated timber price increases in the U.S. (USDA Forest Service 2016, 2024b, Buongiorno 2018). Because of the exceptional value of the TNF as a strategic carbon reserve, its mature and old-growth forests should be more strongly protected. To address increasing timber demand and prices, improving management and productivity, and increasing harvest on private timberlands, would more effectively sustain commercial timber production while protecting strategic carbon reserves on public forest lands (Hoover and Heath 2011, Vasievich and Alig 1996).

References

- Birdsey, R.A.; Dugan, A.J.; Healey, S.P.; Dante-Wood, K.; Zhang, F.; Mo, G.; Chen, J.M.; Hernandez, A.J.; Raymond, C.L.; McCarter, J. Assessment of the Influence of Disturbance, Management Activities, and Environmental Factors on Carbon Stocks of U.S. National Forests; Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-402; U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: Fort Collins, CO, USA, 2019.
- Birdsey, R.A., DellaSala, D. A., Walker, W., Gorelik, S.R., Rose, G., Ramírez, C.E. 2023. Assessing Carbon Stocks and Accumulation Potential of Mature Forests and Larger Trees in U.S. Federal Lands. *Front. For. Glob. Change*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgc.2022.1074508>
- Buongiorno, A. 2018. Projected effects of US tariffs on Canadian softwood lumber and newsprint imports: a cobweb model. *Can. J. For. Res.* 48: 1351-1357 [dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2018-0153](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2018-0153)
- Cook-Paotton, S.C., Drever, C.R., Griscom, B.W. et al. Protect, manage and then restore lands for climate mitigation. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 11, 1027-1034 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01198-0>
- D'Amore, DV. 2020. Appendix A: Regional Summaries: Alaska. In: *Forest and Rangeland Soils of the United States under changing conditions: A comprehensive science synthesis*. Pouyat, R.V., D.S. Page-Dumroese, T. Patel-Weynand, L.H. Geiser, eds. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45216-2>
- D'Amore, D.; McGuire, A.D. Forestry as a Natural Climate Solution: The Positive Outcomes of Negative Carbon Emissions. USDA Forest Service PNW Research Station. 2020. Available online: <https://www.fs.usda.gov/pnw/science/scifi225.pdf>
- DellaSala, Dominick A., Bryant C. Baker, Chad T. Hanson, Luke Ruediger, William Baker. 2022a. Have western USA fire suppression and megafire active management approaches become a contemporary Sisyphus? *Biological Conservation* Volume 268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2022.109499>
- DellaSala, D.A.; Gorelik, S.R.; Walker, W.S. 2022b. The Tongass National Forest, Southeast Alaska, USA: A Natural Climate Solution of Global Significance. *Land* 11, 717. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land11050717>
- DellaSala, Dominick A., Brendan Mackey, Cyril F. Kormos, Virginia Young, Julee J. Boan, Jennifer L. Skene, David B. Lindenmayer, Zoltan Kun, Nuria Selva, Jay R. Malcolm, William F. Laurance. 2025. Measuring forest degradation via ecological integrity indicators at multiple spatial scales. *Biological Conservation*, Volume 302, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2024.110939>.
- Harmon, Mark E. 2019. Have product substitution carbon benefits been overestimated? A sensitivity analysis of key assumptions. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 14 065008 [DOI 10.1088/1748-9326/ab1e95](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab1e95)
- Heath, L. S., J. E. Smith, C. W. Woodall, D. L. Azuma, and K. L. Waddell. 2011. Carbon stocks on forestland of the United States, with emphasis on USDA Forest Service ownership. *Ecosphere* 2(1):art6 [doi:10.1890/ES10-00126.1](https://doi.org/10.1890/ES10-00126.1)
- Hoover, C. M., Heath, L.S. 2011. Potential gains in C storage on productive forestlands in the northeastern United States through stocking management. *Ecological Applications*, 21(4), 2011, pp. 1154-1161. <https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761-2011-0046.1>
- Hudiburg, Tara W. et al. 2019. Meeting GHG reduction targets requires accounting for all forest sector emissions. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 14 045004. [DOI 10.1088/1748-9326/ab28bb](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab28bb)
- Law, B.E., T.W. Hudiburg, L.T. Berner, J.J. Kent, P.C. Buotte, & M.E. Harmon. 2018. Land use strategies to mitigate climate change in carbon dense temperate forests, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 115 (14) 3663-3668, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1720064115>.

Law B.E., Berner L.T., Mildrexler, D.J., Bloemers, R.O., Ripple, W.J. 2022 Strategic reserves in Oregon's forests for biodiversity, water, and carbon to mitigate and adapt to climate change. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change* 5:1028401. doi: 10.3389/gff.c.2022.1028401

Moomaw, W. R., Masino, S.A., Faison, E.K. 2019. Intact Forests in the United States: Proforestation Mitigates Climate Change and Serves the Greatest Good. *Front. For. Glob. Change* 2:27. doi: 10.3389/gff.c.2019.00027

Rogers BM, Mackey B, Shestakova TA, Keith H, Young V, Kormos CF, DellaSala DA, Dean J, Birdsey R, Bush G, Houghton RA and Moomaw WR. 2022. Using ecosystem integrity to maximize climate mitigation and minimize risk in international forest policy. *Front. For. Glob. Change* 5:929281. doi: 10.3389/gff.c.2022.929281

See, C.R., Virkkala, AM., Natali, S.M. et al. Decadal increases in carbon uptake offset by respiratory losses across northern permafrost ecosystems. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 14, 853-862 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-024-02057-4>

USDA Forest Service. 2016. Tongass National Forest land and resource management plan, final environmental impact statement plan amendment, volume I. R10-MB-769e. USDA Forest Service, Alaska Region, Juneau.

USDA Forest Service. 2023. Future of America's forests and rangelands: Forest Service 2020 Resources Planning Act Assessment. Gen. Tech. Rep. WO-102. Washington, DC. 348 p. <https://doi.org/10.2737/WO-GTR-102>.

USDA Forest Service. 2024a. Natural Resource Manager - Forest Service Activities Tracking System (FACTS) database. USDA Forest Service.

USDA Forest Service. 2024b. Mature and Old-Growth Forests: Analysis of Threats on Lands Managed by the Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management. Report FS-1215c. https://www.fs.usda.gov/sites/default/files/fs_media/fs_document/MOG-threat-analysis.pdf

Virkkala, AM., Rogers, B.M., Was, J.D. et al. 2025. Wildfires offset the increasing but spatially heterogeneous Arctic-boreal CO uptake. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 15, 188-195.

2

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-024-02234-5>

Vasievich, J. M., and R. J. Alig. 1996. Opportunities to increase timber growth and carbon storage on timberlands in the contiguous United States. Pages 91 -104 in R. N. Sampson and D. Hair, editors. 1996. *Forests and global change. Volume 2. Forest management opportunities for mitigating carbon emissions.* American Forests, Washington, D.C

Sincerely,