

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 2/2/2024 5:00:00 AM

First name: Samuel

Last name: Kieffer

Organization: American Farm Bureau Federation

Title: Vice President, Public Policy

Comments: RE: Land Management Plan Direction for Old-Growth Forest Conditions Across the National Forest System; Federal Register Vol. 88, No. 243 88042-88048 Dear Acting Director Walker: The American Farm Bureau Federation appreciates the opportunity to comment on the U.S. Forest Service's Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement to amend all land management plans for units of the National Forest System to impose additional restrictions on the management of old-growth forests. AFBF is the nation's largest general farm organization, representing almost six million member families in all 50 states and Puerto Rico, working together to build a sustainable future of safe and abundant food, fiber, fuel and timber for our nation and the world. We are very concerned about the Forest Service's proposal to amend 128 land management plans in 12 months and urge the Forest Service to instead address old growth and mature forest conditions at the local level and with public participation, including that of our members who engage in public lands timber harvesting and grazing, as well as manage adjacent farm, range, and forest lands. It is imperative that our members understand, at a forest level, what is deemed old-growth forest and what activities may or may not be considered compatible in these areas and areas of [ldquo]connectivity of old-growth forest conditions.[rdquo] This kind of on-the-ground conversation can only be accomplished through the individual forest land management plan amendment process. According to the Federal Register notice, [ldquo]current data has identified approximately 2,700 land management plan components, across nearly all 128 individual plans, which provide direction on the management, conservation, or monitoring of old growth forest conditions across the National Forest System.[rdquo]<sup>1</sup> This data, outlining extensive existing provisions for old-growth forest management and conservation across the National Forest System, leads us to question whether there is a true need for change. Additionally, the Forest Service notes that [ldquo]the structure and composition of old-growth forests is highly place-based and can range from old, multi-layered temperate coniferous forests with high amounts of dead wood in the form of standing snags and coarse wood to old, single-storied pine forests or oak woodlands with open canopy structure and fire maintained herb and litter dominated understories.[rdquo]<sup>2</sup> It is contradictory to mandate a national standard for old growth forest management while at the same time acknowledging the unique nature of different types of forests across the country. We are also concerned about the impact this effort could have on other priorities of the Forest Service, primarily reducing fuel loads on the Forest System and protecting surrounding communities. Chief Moore has testified that [ldquo]the Forest Service's paramount focus continues to be combatting the threat and impact of destructive wildfire to our nation's communities, critical infrastructure, forests, rangelands, water supplies, and wildlife.[rdquo]<sup>3</sup> Two years ago, the Forest Service rightly announced efforts to dramatically increase fuels and forest health treatments across the West.<sup>4</sup> One year ago, the Forest Service added 11 landscapes to the effort.<sup>5</sup> This important and significant undertaking should remain the Forest Service's priority. Staff working on National Environmental Policy Act documents and other issues to support fuels reduction projects should be focused on that program, not encumbered with an additional task. The Forest Service's own documentation regarding threats to old-growth forests includes wildfire, and therefore the priority should remain on appropriate forest management to reduce wildfire.<sup>6</sup> The Forest Service has been given multiple use and sustained yield objectives by Congress, and this proposal is elevating one component of forest management over others on a national scale. The Federal Register notice articulates that the purpose of this amendment is [ldquo]to establish consistent plan direction to foster ecologically appropriate management across the National Forest System[hellip]within the context of the National Forest System's multiple-use mandate.[rdquo]<sup>7</sup> It is unclear how this will be accomplished without additional alternatives and specifics being made publicly available during the NEPA process. The Forest Service includes as a Desired Condition [ldquo]connectivity of old-growth forest conditions,[rdquo]<sup>8</sup> which could mean that non-old-growth areas become cordoned off from multiple uses such as timber harvest or even grazing. Guideline 1 further expands on seven [ldquo]priorities and purposes,[rdquo] all of which seemingly could expand the geographic restrictions on multiple use located near old-growth areas.<sup>9</sup> Information about the preliminary proposed action is too vague and high level to appropriately analyze the environmental impact of the proposed action, including the

increased risk of fire and disease in untreated areas of the Forest System. Farm Bureau encourages the Forest Service to continue its long-standing practice of amending land management plans on a local and forest-based scale. Farm Bureau also encourages the Forest Service to continue its focus on the wildfire crisis in our country. According to the Congressional Research Service, [ldquo]since 2000, an annual average of 70,025 wildfires have burned an annual average of 7.0 million acres[hellip]From 2013 to 2022, there were an average of 61,410 wildfires annually and an average of 7.2 million acres impacted annually. In 2022, 68,988 wildfires burned 7.6 million acres.[rdquo]<sup>10</sup> These burned acres devastate the same kinds of forest conditions the Forest Service is trying to protect, negatively impact air quality both locally and across the country, and harm local communities that rely on multiple uses of the forests.

ATTACHMENT: American Farm Bureau Federation Old Growth Forest Conditions Comments.pdf - Comments copy/pasted to text box; coded/completed.