Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/29/2024 5:00:00 AM

First name: Andrew Last name: Fullerton

Organization: Sierra Pacific Industries

Title: Procurement Forester

Comments: Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Forest Service's proposed amendment to 128 national forestland management plans to protect old growth. The Forest Service should take advantage of this opportunity toincrease the pace and scale of active management to improve forest health and resiliency to wildfire, insects, and disease that are responsible for the loss of millions of acres of mature and old growth on our nationalforests. I have worked in the forest products industry for over 5 years and have recreated in national forestsacross the Western United States my entire life. The forest fires have been catastrophic over public lands makingareas of these forests desolate and increasing human pressure on other areas that have not been impacted byforest fire, increasing the risk around non-managed forests. The Forest Service should reconsider the current proposal of amending 128 Land Management Plans through asingle Environmental Impact Statement developed in less than a year. This type of approach risks underminingpublic trust and confidence in the agency, the science it is relying on to inform its management approach, andany policy outcome around old growth. Also, major forest policy decisions should be accomplished throughrobust local engagement and public participation, not through a top-down directive from Washington, D.C. Ournational forests are dynamic systems, not static. Utilizing the knowledge gained by USFS employees over yearsof working on their respective forests or regions would benefit the forest and the public interest as well. Theforest is geographically and ecologically unique and require different approaches based on local conditions. TheForest Service, and the public, would be better served through individual plan revisions and amendments at the Regional and forest levels. Using a cookie cutter strategy across all forests will be less effective than anindividually based approach on the forests. The Forest Service has determined that the most significant threat to old growth is wildfire, insects, and disease. So, any national forest amendment process for old growth should focus on increasing science-based, activeforest management to address our wildfire crisis, and to make our forests healthier and more resilient. Any fina lamendment must provide clear direction and specific recommendations for active forest management withinand adjacent to existing old growth stands to protect them from these threats. The Forest Service has set an ambitious goal of expanding active management to reduce the threat of wildfirethrough its Wildfire Crisis Strategy. The agency should publicly document and clarify how this nationalamendment will assist the agency in achieving its Wildfire Crisis Strategy goals. While the NOi mentions theneed to reduce fuel loads near communities and the Wildland Urban Interface (WUI), most wildfires ignite and spread in the backcountry directly threatening old growth forests. This requires the Forest Service to focus onforest health and wildfire resiliency across the landscape and on the most fire-prone areas. By managing theunimpacted forests, it will encourage future growth, in a healthy forest, and create opportunities for restoration. The Forest Service should not lose our national forests for the trees and instead focus on overall sustainableforest management and forest health. Protecting old growth forests requires action. We urge the ForestService to describe and recommend, in detail, what specific actions it must take to achieve the agency's statedgoals. Sincerely, ATTACHMENT: Old Growth AF Burney.pdf is content of letter.