Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/7/2023 7:00:00 AM First name: Lois Last name: Ziemann Organization: Title: Comments: See attached file.

November 8, 2023Comments on the Blue Lakes Visitor Use Management Plan Environmental AssessmentThank you for addressing the multitude of issues plaguing the Blue Lakes planning area. lapplaud the Ouray RD for tackling this complex project. A general comment about data: Clearly, the increasing negative resource impacts in the 5 zones need to be addressed. Thedata collected and presented to support the proposed management actions for the Wildernessand Lower East Dallas Zone (e.g. Proffitt[rsquo]s 2022 study and the sources he cites; maps of campsite monitoring shared at the 11/6/23 Ridgway Open House), seem sufficient to justify these actions. I did not see supporting data for Blaine Basin, Mt. Sneffels and Yankee Boyzones that show trends, only baseline numbers from Proffitt[rsquo]s OHV study results for motorizeduse. From my personal observations, I[rsquo]d agree that there are significant negative resourceimpacts in those zones that should be addressed. Hopefully, the implementation of this plan willinclude further monitoring to better justify and defend management actions in these zones.A general comment about language:Please clarify throughout the EA that the proposed permit limit of 40 refers to 40 groups rather than 40 people. This has caused significant consternation within my circle of friends.Also, I noticed that you[rsquo]ve used the term [Idquo]campground[rdquo] along with [Idquo]campsites[rdquo] in the EA. Whilethere may be a campground feel to the Lower East Dallas Zone, a more accurate term might be[ldguo]dispersed camping area[rdguo] so as not to confuse readers that you[rsguo]re referring to an actualdeveloped campground.Comments about proposed management actions by zone, beginning in section 2.2.3 of the Draft EA (page 39):2.2.3.2: I support the implementation of a permit system for the Wilderness Zone as a tool toachieve your desired conditions. Given the generous commitment of time on the part of SJMAand other volunteers, monitoring of the system at the Blue Lakes trailhead seems doable.Enforcement, of course, is another issue and it may be a good idea to articulate yourenforcement plan to the public when you[rsquo]re ready to unveil the permit system.2.2.3.3, Tables 1-3: All indicators seem appropriate. But I don[rsquo]t see an indicator that addresses the effectiveness of the implementation of the permit system itself. How will you know if thevisitors are being adequately informed about the requirement? Is the rec.gov system workingaccurately? How many visitors have to show up at the trailhead without a permit before athreshold is reached and a management action is triggered?Regarding thresholds that state [ldquo]...no more than two violations would be observed over anentire season of monitoring (assumption: there would be at least one patrol/week)[rdquo]: I really hope1your management actions are successful, but I[rsquo]m concerned that establishing that thresholdmight work against you. My pessimism stems from two issues:? Two violations feels like an extremely low threshold. With waste disposal in particular, Ithink it will take more than two years of info/education to adequately address thisproblem. With designated campsites, compliance may be higher, but I suspect a weeklypatrol would find more than 2 violators over the course of the season, even with only 40permits.? Can the Forest Service realistically conduct monitoring in all four zones (wheremonitoring is specified) at least once a week? I[rsquo]d like to think so, but even if you ignoredall other recreation management needs on the District for the summer, I think you[rsquo]re stillaiming awfully high to think your staff could adequately monitor at this level, and ideally, year after year. And what are the consequences of not patrolling once/week? Forexample, if you[rsquo]re able to document 2 violations, but you were only able to patrol twiceduring the season, will you conclude that the threshold was not exceeded?Perhaps a more manageable approach would be to monitor for trends rather than absolutenumbers. For example, let[rsquo]s say you document 16 violations of the solid waste containmentrequirement in 2024 at Blue Lakes. Then, as a result of a targeted and comprehensiveeducation campaign, you only document 8 violations in 2025. You[rsquo]ve reduced yournon-compliance by 50% in one year - a success story if ever there was one! But 8 violationswould still trigger an adaptive management action that probably isn[rsquo]t needed.(A comment here about the use of volunteers to assist with monitoring: Perhaps thesewell-meaning folks are key to your monitoring assumptions? I recognize

their substantial valuein some key roles (such as trailhead ambassadors), but hope that when it comes to monitoring, you[rsquo]re able to use paid staff that are well-trained and accountable to the agency as well as thepublic for their results. You[rsquo]II need to be able to defend the accuracy of your monitoring reportswhen a management action is triggered - a defense that is hard to muster with a revolving cadreof volunteers who may or may not have their own agendas.)Regarding the indicator for [Idquo]Actual Encounter Rate During the Permitted Season:[rdguo] Themanagement action of increasing the number of permits to 75 if the 20 group encounters areexceeded is like telling your teenager that [Idguo]Since you[rsquo]ve missed your 10:00 curfew twice thisweek, I[rsquo]m going to extend it to 11:00.[rdquo] It doesn[rsquo]t seem logical to change the permit system tomatch on-the-ground conditions.Regarding Adaptive Management Action 3 for human waste: [ldquo]Install pit toilet(s) at the lowerlake.[rdquo] Aside from the myriad operations and maintenance issues - and additional signing - thatwould accompany a pit toilet, there is also the [Idquo]...primeval character and influence, withoutpermanent improvements[rdquo] ideal that the Wilderness Act calls for. I know that structures aresometimes authorized in Wilderness, but it seems like overkill when you[rsquo]re only 3 miles from thetrailhead. Let[rsquo]s hold the line on structures.2.2.3.3, Table 4: Perhaps the Ouray SAR Team could provide some input and guidance to helpyou determine safe numbers of recreationists in this zone?Overall, I think the Visitor Use Management Plan is comprehensive and appropriate. I wish youall the best in working through your next steps.