Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/20/2023 6:00:00 AM

First name: Robyn Last name: Cascade

Organization: Great Old Broads for Wilderness, Northern San Juan Chapter

Title: Volunteer

Comments: See attachments.

This objection is both from Great Old Broads for Wilderness (Broads,) Northern San Juan chapter and as part of the objection submitted by Rocky Smith et al on behalf of a coalition in which Broads participates. Collectively, we submitted the Community Conservation Proposal and have commented throughout this plan revision process starting with Scoping and including the Wilderness Inventory and Wild and Scenic Rivers evaluation.

USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region, Attn: Frank Beum, Reviewing Officer, C/O Director of Strategic Planning, 2nd floor,1617 Cole Blvd. Building 17,Lakewood, CO 80401submitted electronically to http://www.fs.usda.gov/goto/gmug/forestplan_objectionsOctober 20, 2023Thank you for the opportunity to object to the GMUG National Forest Plan and FEIS. Pleaseaccept this objection on behalf of Great Old Broads for Wilderness (Broads) - Northern San Juanchapter - who has been engaged in this plan revision process since Scoping. In addition, pleaseinclude this objection with the objection filed by Rocky Smith et al. on behalf of theconservation coalition that submitted the Community Conservation Proposal and other commentsthroughout the NEPA process. Since this objection letter is specific to designations, Broads thanks you for finding Bear Creekand Cow Creek and its tributaries eligible for Wild and Scenic River classification.THE EVALUATION OF BEAR CREEK AS RECOMMENDED WILDERNESS(ADDITION TO THE EXISTING UNCOMPAHGRE WILDERNESS) IS INADEQUATEAND UNDERVALUES THE WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS OF THELANDSCAPE. Objector raised this issue in our comments (on the draft plan) dated November 23, 2021beginning on page 2 "Bear Creek Watershed Recommended Wilderness." Northern San Juanchapter of Great Old Broads for Wilderness (Broads,) also commented on Bear Creek duringscoping (June 1, 2018) and during the working draft comment period (June 28, 2019) as well ascontributing to the Community Conservation Proposal (CCP.)A. INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND INFORMATION. Broads, in collaboration with the conservation coalition which submitted the Community Conservation Proposal during the Wilderness Inventory process (Chapter 70,) recommended four landscapes for protection in Ouray County - two recommended wilderness additions to the Uncompangre Wilderness -BearCreek and Baldy- and two special interest areas -Hayden Mountain and Abrams Mountain/

Brown Mountain. None of these landscapes was afforded these proposed protective classifications in the preferred alternative. However, Baldy (called High Mesa/Baldy byGMUG) was categorized as a Wildlife Management Area (WMA) in the preferred alternative. The objector finds it notable that the High Mesa/Baldy WMA is the ONLY Roadless Area (RA)of the four landscapes which is one reason the history below is significant in the objectionreview. Per the history below, Bear Creek and Hayden Mountain were never inventoried duringany roadless area analysis dating back to the 1970s and including the Colorado Roadless Areainventory. (https://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb5365960.pdf)91% of recommended wilderness in the preferred alternative overlap with RAs (ROD page 18) and 56.3 % of acreage classified as WMAs in the preferred alternative overlap partially or totally with RAs. (Volume 1, Chapter 2, Table 6, page 76.) In addition, by analyzing the GIS databaseand excluding Fossil Ridge SRA, objectors determined that 36 of the 56 WMAs (64.3%) in thepreferred alternative overlap partially or totally with RAs. Given these metrics, it appears thatidentification as a RA was significant in the review and selection of recommended wildernessdesignations and WMAs. Perhaps Bear Creek and Hayden Mountain did not receive the samescrutiny as landscapes classified as RAs.Between the City of Ouray and Red Mountain Pass, along the spectacular scenic Million DollarHighway (aka Highway 550.) the preferred alternative provides no protective classifications forthis region that offers valuable wildlife habitat, extraordinary recreation opportunities including hunting, and according to the

forest plan, High Scenic Integrity Objectives. (SIO preferredalternative map.) This stretch of road is a section of The San Juan Skyway Scenic and HistoricByway, which was designated as a National Forest Scenic Byway in September 1988, laternamed a Colorado Scenic and Historic Byway in 1989 and then an All-American Road inSeptember 1996. Clearly, this view shed warrants a classification other than General Forest.Some history of this landscapes - particularly perhaps why the Bear Creek basin and HaydenMountain (both located in Ouray County) are not Colorado Roadless Areas - is worthy ofconsideration. This account was obtained from Mark Pearson via personal communication inFebruary 2018. 1"Essentially all of the Colorado Roadless Areas track directly back to the 1970s era ForestService Roadless Area Review and Evaluation (RARE) processes. There are very few if anyroadless areas designated in the Colorado Roadless Rule that were not first identified in the1970s. But during the second RARE process that ended in 1979 (RARE II), the UncompahgrePrimitive Area still existed and because it encompassed all of the area from basicallyUncompahgre Peak on the east to Mount Sneffels on the west, and straddled Highway 550,

nothing within it was inventoried as a roadless area in 1979, and hence nothing ever carriedthrough into subsequent inventories. "To go back further in time, in 1929 the Forest Service created its system of primitive areas, which was an attempt to highlight areas with scenic and recreational appeal, but did not have anyteeth in terms of prohibiting development. The Uncompangre Primitive Area was created inabout 1932 by an administrative decision. "Then in 1939, the Forest Service created a new set of regulations that are very similar towilderness today, and those banned new roads, logging, etc. The direction to agency managerswas to go through all of the then existing primitive areas and recategorize those into so-called "wilderness areas," which was an administrative term. However, with the advent of World War Ilin the 1940s, progress was slow and the task not completed, so the Uncompangre Primitive Areawas not recategorized."In 1964, when the Wilderness Act was passed, all of the reclassified "wilderness areas" wereinstantly designated as Wilderness by Congress -- this included only 5 areas in Colorado. The Uncompandre Primitive Area had not vet been reviewed or reclassified, so it was left hanging as a Primitive Area. "The Wilderness Act directed the Forest Service to study and make recommendations for theremnant primitive areas. The Uncompangre Primitive Area study was completed in 1974(https://www.fs.usda.gov/land/staff/lar/LAR-documents/LAR_1974.pdf page 37) but Congresstook no action to designate wilderness."When RARE II was conducted by the Forest Service in the late 1970s, the landscape thatincluded Bear Creek and Hayden Mountain was still within the original primitive area boundary(but not proposed for wilderness into the Uncompangre Wilderness -- then called Big Blue).RARE II only applied to lands not under study at that time, not the primitive areas, so BearCreek and Hayden Mountain never showed up as independent areas."In the 1980 Colorado Wilderness Act, Uncompahgre (Big Blue) was designated wilderness byCongress and the Uncompangre Primitive Area was dissolved. But by then, the die was cast interms of what was considered roadless and because Bear Creek and Hayden Mountain were notin the roll call of RARE II roadless areas, they were overlooked and not analyzed duringsucceeding years, in particular, during the 2012 Colorado Roadless Rule. "B. THE HISTORY DESCRIBED ABOVE JUSTIFIES CCP'S BEAR CREEK BEINGCONSIDERED FOR RECOMMENDED WILDERNESS. Given:[bull] the fact that landscapes in the Uncompangre Primitive Area (including Bear Creek) wereoverlooked at various stages of analysis for protected status as roadless and wilderness;[bull] that the USFS Land Area Report of 1974 on page 35 (https://www.fs.usda.gov/land/staff/lar/LAR-documents/LAR_1974.pdf) clearly states "The Wilderness Act (P.L. 88-577) also

directed that the 23 National Forest Primitive Areas be managed to maintain their primitivecharacter until the Secretary of Agriculture has presented recommendations to the Presidentand to Congress as to their suitability or non-suitability for Wilderness and Congress hasacted on these recommendations;" and[bull] that Bear Creek was not even inventoried (and therefore not classified as a Roadless Area)in the 2012 Colorado Roadless Rule (https://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb5365960.pdf) when clearly it qualifies as roadless; therefore, the revised GMUG Forest Plan constitutes a unique opportunity to rectify theseomissions in history and classify Bear Creek as proposed in the CCP as recommended wildernessor at a minimum to classify it as a Special Interest Area or Special Management Area. Thisobjection urges the GMUG to seize this opportunity to protect this landscape so important towildlife, recreation, scenery, and Ouray County.C. INHOLDINGS HAVE BEEN ACQUIRED AND TRANSFERRED TO THE USFS.In addition to the history detailed above, it is significant that prior to the 21st century, the BearCreek watershed and surrounding areas (included in

the CCP proposal) were riddled with privatemining claims. Starting in 2002, the non-profit Red Mountain Project purchased nearly 30mining claims in and around the Bear Creek drainage using Land & Dry Water Conservation Fund(LWCF) dollars and transferred these mining claims to the USFS. (Personal communication, BobRisch, founder Red Mountain Project, October 12, 2023.) The Bear Creek NRT, in fact, crossesnine of these transferred mining claims. As a result, what might have been an issue of concernrelated to private inholding in previous analyses, is no longer relevant to the Bear Creek area, asdefined by CPP boundaries.D. BEAR CREEK MEETS THE CRITERIA FOR RECOMMENDED WILDERNESSUSED IN THE ROD. The Record of Decision (page 18) explains the rationale for the selection of recommended wilderness landscapes in the preferred alternative as follows: "Each of the recommended areas is adjacent to existing congressionally designated Wildernessareas. Most of the recommended areas (91%) overlap with Colorado Roadless Areas, and morethan half of that (57%, or 26,400 acres) overlaps with the more restrictive classification of Upper-Tier Colorado Roadless Areas. Both the proximity to existing congressionally designatedWilderness and present management as non-Upper-Tier and Upper-Tier Roadless Areas wouldfacilitate the administrative transition of these recommended areas into the National WildernessPreservation System, if subsequently designated by Congress. [hellip] The recommended areas in the preferred alternative rated high or moderate for wilderness characteristics in our wildernessinventory and evaluation. In all phases of the plan revision, much of the public communicatedhigh support for these areas to be managed as Recommended Wilderness. "Be it so noted:1. The CCP proposed Bear Creek recommended wilderness is adjacent to the existingUncompangre Wilderness.2. Though no one can know what might have been decided had the Uncompander PrimitiveArea been analyzed during either RARE or RARE II, and/or had the landscape been analyzed in the 2012 Colorado Roadless Rule, the fact remains that Bear Creek withinthe CCP boundaries contains no roads. Given its wilderness characteristics andidentification as "primitive" in the historic record, there exists a high likelihood that BearCreek would have been identified as a roadless area. Given that the ROD states 91% of the recommended wilderness areas in the preferred alternative overlap with ColoradoRoadless Areas (CRA,) Bear Creek may have been given greater attention during the Wilderness Inventory process had it been an identified CRA. For example, nearby BaldyRoadless Area is a Wildlife Management Area in the preferred alternative.3. Amphitheatre polygon (03) received a rating of moderate during the WildernessInventory and that analysis was conducted on a larger landscape that included areas withless wilderness characteristics than the landscape proposed by CCP. (See J. below.)4. Bear Creek recommended wilderness is supported by Ouray County, Town of Ridgway, several local non-profits, businesses and over 60 local residents who submittedcomments during the draft comment period. (See GMUG reading room webpage and sections M. and N. below.)Therefore Bear Creek as proposed in the CCP meets the qualifications (with some speculationrelated to the roadless/wilderness) for every criteria mentioned in the ROD. This reality onlyfurther evinces our argument that Bear Creek is worthy of classification as recommendedwilderness in the preferred alternative.E. BEAR CREEK QUALIFIES FOR WILDERNESS, AND MANAGEABILITY CANBE ADDRESSED. As per our previous comments, Bear Creek meets or exceeds thecharacteristics necessary to qualify as recommended wilderness:[bull] greater than 5000 acres or an addition to an existing wilderness - Bear Creek isrecommended as an addition to the Uncompangre Wilderness and encompasses nearly 6,000acres.[bull] naturalness - The vast majority of the watershed and surrounding landscape recommendedfor wilderness is in its natural state with the exception of two historic mine sites along theBear Creek National Recreation Trail (NRT) - Grizzly Bear and Yellowjacket Mines.[bull] opportunities for solitude or unconfined primitive recreation - The NRT is steep with significant exposure along many stretches limiting its use to the more adventurous hiker. Though the first 2.4 miles of the NRT to Grizzly Bear Mine receive moderate use during thesummer months, the rest of the trail and definitely the landscape off trail afford exceptional opportunities for solitude. This objection argues that Bear Creek does not experience highuse as indicated in the Plan (GMUG Forest Plan, appendix 3, page 6, Table 43.) The vastexpanse of lands lacking any trails or improvements afford outstanding opportunities forunconfined primitive recreation as personally experienced by several of our members duringcitizen science bighorn sheep monitoring.[bull] manageability as wilderness - The FEIS in Volume 1 (chapter 3, page 658) referencesconcerns regarding maintenance of the NRT potentially requiring the use of motorized tools

and the Hardrock 100 Race - an annual competitive foot race (incompatible with wilderness)whose race course uses the 7.1 miles of S. Fork Bear Creek and Bear Creek Trails between Engineer Pass and Highway

550. Objector recommend cherry-stemming a short portion of the NRT east from the CCP boundaryapproximately a half mile to the Grizzly Mine in order to address the need for motorized tools, thereby excluding this short section of trail from the recommended wilderness area. Objector also recommends rerouting the Hardrock 100 based upon the following two options:1.) From the Grouse Gulch trail intersection with CR 2 travel to California Gulch (ratherthan to Engineer Pass) (~2 mi) then to Hurricane Pass (4.4 mi) to Vernon Mine (2.3 mi) and dropinto Ironton Park via Gray Copper Trail (2.2). From Ironton, the course would cross Hwy 550and head north along the Mears Road (west of Ironton Fen and Crystal Lake) (2 mi) to the Hayden Trail to CR 361/Campbird Rd (5.6 mi) where it would meet up with the original racecourse for a total of ~18.5 miles.2.) An alternative route would travel from the Grouse Gulch trail intersection with CR 2to the 4WD road south of Treasure Mountain and north of Picayne Gulch to the trail for HansonPeak (~5 mi) to the intersection with the Hurricane Pass Road (~2.5 mi) to Vernon Mine (2.3 mi)and drop into Ironton Park via Gray Copper Trail (2.2 mi). Then the course would cross Hwy550 and head north along the Mears Road (west of Ironton Fen and Crystal Lake) (2 mi) to the Hayden Trail to CR 361/Camp Bird Rd (5.6 mi) where it would meet up with the original racecourse for a total of ~19.6 miles. The current race course is approximately 17.9 miles from Grouse Gulch trail intersection with CR 2 to the city of Ouray. There is some precedence for rerouting endurance race courses when the original race course isincompatible with a revised federal agency plan or Congressionally designated wilderness.(https://www.irunfar.com/a-trail-runners-primer-on-public-lands)[See figure 3. Trail Races & (amp; Ultramarathons Affected by Federal Policy in attachment.] No doubt such changes pose challenges, and federal agencies and race directors and participantsmust weigh the costs and benefits of protecting lands exhibiting wilderness characteristics. Our organization supports natural resource protection over recreation - especially with regards to onerace that takes place annually for a permitted duration of 48 hours.F. THE VIA FERRATA DOES NOT EFFECT WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS. The FEIS in Volume 1 (chapter 3, page 658) also references concerns regarding the via ferrataand shuttle services that detract from wilderness characteristics. The Gold MountainVia Ferratais accessed from Highway 550 and climbs to an elevation of approximately 9100 ft. ending onprivate property. The boundary proposed by the CCP above this private land lies at over 10,500and is not in proximity to the via ferrata. Nor does this recreation use negatively impact the wilderness characteristics of the landscape recommended for wilderness by the CCP. Any shuttleservice for the via ferrata is confined to Highway 550, county roads and/or private roads and isirrelevant to the proposed recommended wilderness.G. BEAR CREEK POSSESSES SIGNIFICANT WILDLIFE, SCENIC ANDRECREATION VALUES. The Bear Creek National Recreation Trail speaks for itself in terms of scenic and recreation values and this objector has hiked every trail in the region (and within the CCP-proposed boundaries) multiple times and extensively off trail and can personally attest tothese values. Furthermore, this region has important wildlife values as detailed in our previous comments. Objectors agree with the GMUG that this region is important habitat for bighorn sheep, elk, mule deer, bear, pika and raptors. Notably the area is bighorn winter and summerrange and reproduction area including an important seasonal migration corridor. The Bear Creekarea suggested for recommended wilderness by the Community Conservation Proposal isimportant habitat for the Tier 1 S-21 bighorn sheep herd. The map below from Mountain StudiesInstitute Bighorn Monitoring Project website is indicative of the many sightings of bighornacross this landscape over the four seasons. Red points indicate an observation of one or more individuals.[See unnamed map in attachment]H. BEAR CREEK AREA POSSESSES BOTANICAL VALUES. The Colorado NaturalHeritage Program (CNHP) Dexter Creek Potential Conservation Area (Moderate BiodiversitySignificance) lies within the Bear Creek recommended wilderness, and CNHP has documented 2occurrences of the state imperiled plant Monardella odorotissima (Mountain wild mint) G4/G5S2 (D ranked) in the area. Note: one of our members personally updated this record on7/28/2022.I. THE BEAR CREEK AREA HAS HISTORICAL RESOURCES. New information, gleaned by the objector on August 31, 2022, identifies several occurrences of arborglyphs alongthe Bear Creek Trail approximately 3.2 miles from the trailhead at Highway 550 providing additional cultural resource values to this landscape. [See unnumbered pictures of marked trees in attachment]J. BEAR CREEK HAS TRIBAL IMPORTANCE. The CCP-proposed Bear Creekrecommended wilderness lies within the Brunot Cessation Area providing valuable hunting andfishing rights to the Ute People. In addition, new information since Broads' draft comments reveal archeological evidence of Indigenous presence and activity in the watershed. The stonetool below was photographed by the objector on August 23, 2022 in the upper Bear Creekwatershed. [See unnumbered pictures of cultural artifacts in

attachment]K. BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT WARRANTS A NEW INVENTORY. The objector requested that the original boundaries of polygon 03 Amphitheatre (8,598 acres) analyzed in thewilderness inventory (and rated as moderate) be modified and the polygon reduced to less than6,000 acres to include its wildest, more remote landscapes, eliminating acreage along Highway550 and the southern acres adjacent to Engineer Road. Though Volume 1 (chapter 3, page 657) of the FEIS references the acreage of polygon 03 Amphitheatre/Bear Creek as 5,938 acres in Alternative D, there is no indication that any re-evaluation of wilderness characteristics withinthis smaller, more pristine polygon was undertaken. Therefore, it appears the original inventory(and lower rating) is not applicable to the smaller polygon and this objection stronglyrecommends the GMUG revisit the inventory of the smaller landscape in consideration of wilderness characteristics.L. THE BEAR CREEK LANDSCAPE AS PROPOSED IN THE CCP WARRANTSRECOMMENDED WILDERNESS CLASSIFICATION IN ADDITION TO BEAR

CREEK'SELIGIBILITY FOR WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS. Bear Creek, found eligible for Wild andScenic Rivers with Wild and Recreational preliminary classifications as well as Geology,Scenery, and Recreation Outstanding Remarkable Values, (Forest Plan, Appendix 11, pages21-22) is duly noted and celebrated. This classification of Wild is further evidence of thewilderness values found in the watershed. The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 states inreference to river segments with the Wild classification, "These represent vestiges of primitiveAmerica." (page 907.) The Plan uses descriptors such as, "[hellip]exemplary scenery throughout theentire eligible segment[hellip]," "towering mountain walls, and deeply incised stream channel arepunctuated by numerous cascades and waterfalls[hellip]," and "[hellip]rugged and remote characteristics."This objection argues that these scenic, rugged, and remote qualities apply to the entire landscapeproposed in the CCP. However, protection afforded by Wild and Scenic eligibility only

encompasses the waterway and a limited acreage along its banks. This objection argues that thesurrounding watershed is also worthy of protection as recommended wilderness.M. COUNTY AND TOWN SUPPORT WILDERNESS DESIGNATION. Ouray Countyand the Town of Ridgway support Bear Creek area as recommended wilderness with a minorboundary adjustment. (See letters from County and Town dated November 26, 2021 andNovember 23, 2021 respectively.) Frequently the USFS indicates County support is essential forconsideration of designations, and this objection urges the GMUG to give these endorsementsfrom elected bodies the attention they deserve.N. ADDITIONAL ENDORSEMENTS. The proposed Bear Creek addition to theUncompahgre Wilderness also received the following endorsements from local and regionalorganizations:[bull] Ouray Backcountry Alliance - recommended wilderness (November 26, 2021)[bull] Ouray Climbers Alliance - recommended wilderness (November 25, 2021)[bull] Ouray Trail Group - Special Management Area (November 23, 2021)[bull] 140 Business supporters for the CCP (gmugrevision.com)REFERENCEShttps://www.fs.usda.gov/land/staff/lar/LAR-

documents/LAR_1974.pdfhttps://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb5365960.pdfhttps://ocs.fortlewis.edu/redmountainproject/default.asphttps://www.gmugrevision.comhttps://www.hardrock100.com/hardrockcourse.phphttps://www.irunfar.com/a-trail-runners-primer-on-public-

landshttps://www.goldmountainranch.com/gold-mountain-via-ferratahttps://basecampouray.com/gold-mountainranch/#gmr-

virtualhttps://www.mountainstudies.org/bighornhttps://www.arcgis.com/home/webmap/viewer.html?webmap=a75 9f5243ea54d798ca50048bcd58d7c&extent=-111.571,36.8515,-

100.8043,40.8454https://cnhp.colostate.edu/download/documents/pca/L4_PCA-

Dexter%20Creek_10-28-2022.pdfhttps://www.rivers.gov/sites/rivers/files/2022-10/Public%20Law%2090-542.pdfCONCLUSIONGiven the significant wilderness characteristics within the CCP's proposed Bear Creekrecommended wilderness, its numerous outstanding wildlife, scenic, recreation, geologic,botanical and other values and its cultural and historic resources, the Bear Creek landscapedeserves greater protection than afforded by the General Forest category in the preferredalternative. The identification in the preferred alternative of Bear Creek as eligible for Wild andScenic River designation with the highest preliminary classification of Wild further evinces thewildness of the region. Furthermore, the history of the Uncompahgre Primitive Area coupledwith Bear Creek not being inventoried during the 2012 Colorado Roadless Rule analysis evincesthe fact that Bear Creek has been overlooked in multiple inventories. The ability to reroute theHardrock 100 Race, address the need to adequately maintain the NRT with a short cherry stem, and dispel, based upon data, the

other concerns identified by the planning team noted above, thisplan revision process constitutes a critical opportunity to elevate protection for the Bear Creekwatershed and contiguous lands adjacent to the existing Uncompangre Wilderness. Theendorsement from local elected officials and numerous non-profit organizations mentionedabove is further evidence of the support, urgency and need for the GMUG to reconsider BearCreek as described by the CCP for protected status in the preferred alternative as recommendedwilderness.SUGGESTED REMEDIES:Designate Bear Creek as recommended wilderness in the final forest plan and reroute theHardrock 100 race. Cherry stem the National Recreation Trail approximately one-half (0.5) milesfrom the western CCP boundary in order to allow for the use of power tools to maintain thisstretch of trail.ORDesignate Bear Creek as a Special Interest Area or Special Management Area that is managed toprotect its wildlife, scenic and other values with two exceptions:1. Permit the Hardrock 100 Race to use the S. Fork Bear Creek and Bear Creek Trails fromEngineer Pass to Highway 550 for the annual footrace and

2. Allow motorized tools to be used from the proposed Bear Creek recommended wildernesswestern boundary along the subsequent approximately half mile of the Bear Creek in order tomaintain a safe and sustainable NRT.THE CATEGORIZATION OF HAYDEN MOUNTAIN AS GENERAL FOREST ISINADEQUATE TO PROTECT THE WILDLIFE, SCENIC AND OTHER NATURALVALUES OF THE LANDSCAPE AND SHOULD BE CHANGED TO SPECIALINTEREST AREA OR SPECIAL MANAGEMENT AREA. Objector raised this issue in our comments (on the draft plan) dated November 23, 2021 beginning on page 3 "Hayden Mountain Special Interest Area (SIA.)" Broads also commented on Hayden Mountain SIA during scoping (June 1, 2018) and during the working draft commentperiod (June 28, 2019) as well as contributing to the Community Conservation Proposal (CCP.)Note the plan and FEIS identify our proposal as a Special Management Area (SMA.)A. INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND INFORMATION. See letter subsection A.above regarding Bear Creek recommended wilderness. The same history applies to HaydenMountain as it was also part of the Uncompangre Primitive Area. Hayden Mountain also flanksthe western side of the San San Skyway Scenic and Historic Byway from the City of Ouray toRed Mountain Pass.B. THE HAYDEN MOUNTAIN AREA NEEDS A PROTECTIVE CLASSIFICATION. Given: [bull] the history detailed in the Introduction/Background information above, [bull] the fact that landscapes in the Uncompangre Primitive Area (including HaydenMountain) were overlooked at various stages of analysis for protected status as roadlessand wilderness,[bull] that the USFS Land Area Report of 1974 on page 35 (https://www.fs.usda.gov/land/staff/lar/LAR-documents/LAR_1974.pdf) clearly states "The Wilderness Act (P.L.88-577 also directed that the 23 National Forest Primitive Areas be managed tomaintain their primitive character until the Secretary of Agriculture has presentedrecommendations to the President and to Congress as to their suitability or nonsuitabilityfor Wilderness and Congress has acted on these recommendations." and[bull] that Hayden Mountain was not even inventoried (and therefore not classified as aRoadless Area) in the 2012 Colorado Roadless Rule when clearly it qualifies asroadless, (https://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb5365960.pdf)Note that the two roads leading to the Barstow and Greyhound Mines are excludedfrom the CCP Hayden Mountain SIA boundaries. Therefore, the revised GMUG Forest Plan constitutes a unique opportunity to rectify theseomissions in history and to classify Hayden Mountain as proposed in the CCP as a SIA or at a minimum to classify it as a Wildlife Management Area (WMA.) This objection urges the GMUGto seize this opportunity to protect this landscape so important to wildlife, recreation, scenery, and Ouray County.C.

opportunity to protect this landscape so important to wildlife, recreation, scenery,and Ouray County.C. INHOLDINGS HAVE BEEN ACQUIRED AND TRANSFERRED TO THE USFS.It is also noteworthy in the history of the Hayden landscape that, since 2002, the non-profit RedMountain Project has purchased hundreds of mining claims in the Red Mountain Mining Districtusing Land & Districtuation Fund (LWCF) dollars and transferred these mining claims to the USFS. Numerous purchases are located within the CCP-proposed Hayden Mountain SIA.As a result, what might have been an issue of concern related to private inholding in previousanalyses, is no longer relevant to the Hayden Mountain area, as defined by CPP boundaries.D. SUMMER ROS FOR THE ENTIRE CCP-PROPOSED HAYDEN MOUNTAIN SIASHOULD BE SEMI-PRIMITIVE NON-MOTORIZED. Broads' vehemently objects to the Summer ROS of motorized from Spirit Gulch to McIntyre Gulch within the proposed SIAboundary. (See Summer ROS map.) The narrative for Hayden Mountain SIA in the CCP reads: "The motorized route up Commodore Gulch to the Barstow Mine and the jeep road to Greyhound Mine are also excluded from the proposed SIA as are the mine sites themselves. Locked gates at both access roads along highway 550 prevent motorized use by the public. Thejeep road between these two mines and

adjacent to Spirit Gulch is abandoned and undergoingnatural revegetation. It is a valued hiking route and included within the proposed SIA." Thisobjection recognizes that the private mining claims outside the proposed Hayden Mountain SIAhave motorized access for their owners. Public motorized use should be prohibited to these claims and absolutely no motorized use by anyone should be allowed between the Barstow and Greyhound Mines.E. THE HAYDEN MOUNTAIN AREA HAS SPECIAL AND

IMPORTANTRESOURCES/CHARACTERISTICS. As per our previous comments, Hayden Mountain, atapproximately 10,000 acres and spanning the west side of Highway 550 from Ouray CR 361 toBlack Bear Road at Red Mountain Pass, has many qualities characteristic of wilderness, howeveravalanche mitigation and one permitted recreational use make the region incompatible with awilderness recommendation, therefore Broads and the CCP proposed the next most protectivedesignation - Special Interest Area. The wildlife, scenic, botanical, geological and culturalvalues are worthy of protection beyond the General Forest category as classified in the preferredalternative. This vast landscape provides valuable wildlife habitat for Canada lynx, bighorn sheep, bear, elk,moose, deer, and cliff-nesting raptors among other species. In particular, we note the summerrange for elk where our members regularly witness 60-70 cows with calves, the regular sightingof a small but growing population of moose, a unique observation of a Canada lynx individual, and the occurrence of the Tier 1 S-21 bighorn sheep herd in the southern portion of the polygonaround Senator Beck Basin. The proposed Hayden SIA also affords a significant corridor fornorth-south migration providing connectivity between the San Juan National Forest and the

Weminuche Wilderness all the way to the Mount Sneffels Wilderness and the UncompangrePlateau. It also has value as linkage between the Uncompangre Wilderness and the west side of Highway 550 and Mount Sneffels Wilderness as evinced by the occurrence of S-21 bighornsheep throughout the region. Furthermore, Hayden provides for seasonal elevation movement fornumerous species. At a time of diminishing global and regional biodiversity coupled with thethreats of increased recreation impacts and climate change, the GMUG has both an opportunity and responsibility to protect this region for its species diversity, relatively unfragmented habitat, wildlife connectivity, and refugia potential.F. THE HAYDEN MOUNTAIN AREA HAS HIGH BIODIVERSITY SIGNIFICANCE.In 2017, CNHP identified four Potential Conservation Areas in the surrounding region all withVery High Biodiversity Significance (Imogene Pass, Ironton Park, Mineral Basin, & DurayCanyons.) CNHP has also reported the occurrence of three globally and/or state imperiled plantsin the proposed SIA: New Mexican cliff fern (Woodsia neomexicana) G4/S2 (B ranked;) Westernpolypody (Polypodium hesperium) G5/S1S2 (B ranked;) and San Juan Draba (Draba graminea)G2/S2 (A ranked) as well as one plant association in the adjacent Ironton Fen identified as Dwarfbirch/Sphagnum shrubland (Betula glandulosa/Sphagnum) G2/S2 (B ranked.) Note that the SanJuan Draba is listed on the Regional Forester's SCC. (SCC List and Process Rationale, page 1.)G. POTENTIAL RISKS IF PROTECTIVE CLASSIFICATION IS LACKING. Though the revised plan determines Hayden Mountain is not suitable for timber (see TimberSuitability map #4,) which is noted and celebrated, the potential for proliferation of trails acrossthis landscape would potentially impair its high scenic integrity (See Scenic Integrity Objectivemap #4) and negatively impact wildlife habitat. Furthermore, the potential for mechanized use inthe region would be deleterious to wildlife and wildlife corridors. Given the increasingpopularity of snow bikes, species who reside in this area all winter such as Canada lynx, elk, moose and weasel/ermine, could experience stress at a critical time of year or even be forced outof their usual habitat.H. HAYDEN MOUNTAIN AREA POSSESSES HISTORICAL AND CULTURALRESOURCES. New information, gleaned by the objector identifies several occurrences of arborglyphs (October 7, 2022) and possibly a culturally modified tree (July 6, 2021) along the Full Moon route providing cultural resource values to this landscape. [See unnumbered pictures of culturally modified trees in attachment]I. HAYDEN MOUNTAIN HAS TRIBAL IMPORTANCE. The CCP-proposed HaydenMountain SIA lies within the Brunot Cessation Area providing valuable hunting and fishingrights to the Ute People. In addition, the objector is aware of acreage within this area where Ligusticum porteri (Osha) grows in abundance. Objective FW-OBJ-CHR-03 indicates the importance of this botanical species to Tribes while the possibility of culturally modified trees onthis landscape aligns with objective FW-OBJ-CHR-02 (Plan, Chapter 2, page 59.)J. LOCAL GOVERNMENT SUPPORT. Town of Ridgway supports Hayden Mountainas a Special Interest Area. (See letter dated November 23, 2021.)K. ADDITIONAL ENDORSEMENTS. The proposed Hayden Mountain SIA received the following endorsements from local and regional organizations:[bull] Ouray Backcountry Alliance - SIA (November 26, 2021)[bull] Ouray Climbers Alliance - Special Management Area (November 25, 2021)[bull] Backcountry Hunters & Damp; Anglers - Wildlife Management Area (GMUG Wild Lands and Wildlife Report submitted to the GMUG in March 2021)[bull] Outdoor Alliance GMUG Vision - recommended wilderness (prior to CCP reclassification as SIA) (August 1, 2019)[bull] 140 Business supporters for the CCP

(gmugrevision.com)REFERENCEShttps://www.fs.usda.gov/land/staff/lar/LAR-

documents/LAR_1974.pdfhttps://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb5365960.pdfhttps://ocs.fortlewis.edu/redmountainproject/default.asphttps://www.gmugrevision.comhttps://cnhp.colostate.edu/projects/countv-survey-

reports/#Ourayhttps://www.backcountryhunters.org/grand_mesa_uncompahgre_gunnison_usfs_reportCONCLU SIONGiven the significant wilderness characteristics within the CCP's proposed Hayden MountainSIA, particularly its outstanding wildlife and scenic values, coupled with recreational, geologicand botanical values and cultural and historic resources, referenced above and in the CCP, theHayden Mountain landscape deserves greater protection than afforded by the General Forestcategory in the preferred alternative. If not for the two incompatible existing uses, Hayden meetsthe criteria for wilderness. Furthermore, the history of the Uncompangre Primitive Area in

addition to Hayden Mountain not being inventoried during the 2012 Colorado Roadless Ruleanalysis highlights the fact that Hayden Mountain has been overlooked in multiple analyses. Thiscurrent plan revision process constitutes a critical opportunity to elevate protection for HaydenMountain with its expansive wildlife habitat and stunning scenery. Protective status would alsoensure preservation of the scenic view shed along the Highway 550 corridor - a popular section of the San Juan Skyway Scenic and Historic Byway. The endorsement from local electedofficials and numerous non-profit organizations mentioned above is further evidence of theurgency and need for the GMUG to reconsider Hayden Mountain as described by the CCP forprotected status as an SIA in the preferred alternative.SUGGESTED REMEDIESDesignate Hayden Mountain, with boundaries consistent with the CCP, as a Special Interest Areaor Special Management Area in the final forest plan. Within three years of plan adoption, develop a management plan that protects to protect the area's values.ORAt a minimum, designate Hayden Mountain as a WMA to protect its wildlife values. Thank you for your attention to these objections on behalf of Great Old Broads for Wildernessand submission in a separate document from Rocky Smith et al. Sincerely, [See picture of signature in attachment] Robyn CascadeNorthern San Juan ChapterGreat Old Broads for Wildernessnorthernsanjuanbroadband@gmail.comFootnote 1:

Mark Pearson is the co-author (with John Fielder) of The Complete Guide to Colorado's Wilderness Areas and Colorado's Canyon Country: A Guide to Hiking and Floating BLMWildlands and has spent a lifetime exploring and advocating for public lands and watersincluding authoring the Citizens Plan for the Wild San Juans that was finalized in 1999. Great