Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/17/2022 7:00:00 AM

First name: brian Last name: magee

Organization: Colorado Parks and Wildlife

Title: Energy Liaison

Comments: Please find attached CPW's comments on the Catamount Spring Creek Project.

Colorado Parks and Wildlife (CPW) has reviewed the scoping materials provided by the San JuanNational Forest (SJNF) - Columbine Ranger District for the above mentioned project. In addition, we visited the location for the proposed Catamount Spring Creek Pipeline and Associated FruitlandCoal Gas Drilling Project on November 2, 2022. The project is located in the western HDMountains of La Plata and Archuleta Counties, Colorado. The proposed pipeline is approximately 8.4 miles in length and will be constructed within a 40-foot Right-of-Way (ROW) resulting in 42.7acres of short-term surface disturbance. The proposed pipeline follows Spring Creek and SaltCanyon, which are identified as intermittent drainages. In addition, an existing well pad and accessroad will be expanded resulting in an additional 0.7 acres of long-term disturbance with anadditional 0.1 acres of long-term disturbance for pigging stations. The well pad and upper sections of the proposed pipeline are within mixed conifer forest, transitioning to pinyon/juniper and sagebrush dominated landscapes in the lower elevations. Habitat Quality and Habitat UseThe proposed pipeline and well pad fall within an area mapped as elk and mule deer WinterConcentration Areas, mule deer Migration Corridor, and Severe Winter Range for elk - HighPriority Habitats (HPHs). Deer and elk also migrate through this area from high elevation summerrange in the San Juan Mountains to the project area and further south into New Mexico. This areahas some of the highest concentrations of wintering mule deer anywhere in the San Juan Basin. The pinyon-juniper and ponderosa forest located on hillsides and ridge tops provide security andthermal cover to wintering big game animals adjacent to the proposed alignment. The sagebrushflats are heavily browsed along the project route. There is suitable nesting habitat for a variety ofraptor species, particularly on the ridge tops. CPW recommends pre-construction raptor nestsurveys be conducted prior to construction activities.Permitting CoordinationThe Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission (COGCC) recently overhauled the oil andgas rules and regulations in Colorado. CPW recommends that permitting activity be coordinated between the State and Federal permitting process pursuant to Memorandum of Understanding(2009). In addition; the COG CC regulations provide triggers for CPW consultation with the surface owners and operator. CPW desires to make consistent science based recommendations toboth the COGCC and the USFS within their respective permitting processes. Northern San Juan Basin Coal Bed Methane Project EISWe understand that these locations were analyzed in the Northern San Juan Basin Coal BedMethane Project EIS (NSJB FEIS 2006) and included in the FEIS of the Final San Juan NationalForest and Proposed T-res Rios Field Office Land and Resource Management Plans (LRMPSeptember 2013). Presumably, this project level NEPA will tier to these two documents and theprevious commitments and decisions by the Authorized Officers. The NSJB EIS and the LRMP outline general wildlife protection measures including seasonaltiming restrictions, buffer distances, and other best management practices to avoid and minimizeimpacts to wildlife resources (NSJB EIS 3-272 and LRMP Section 2.3). CPW recommendsfollowing the commitments to avoid; minimize and mitigate impacts to wildlife resources outlinedin these documents. However, some of these measures are dated and no longer represent the bestavailable science. We recommend comparing the NSJB EIS and LRMP measures with the recentlypublished CPW High Priority Habitat (HPH) recommendations. If there is an inconsistency withthe HPH recommendations, we recommend conducting appropriate NEPA analysis to incorporate and implement the species specific 2021 HPH recommendations for this project. The NSJB EIS states that removal of sagebrush should be avoided, and the well pads are locatedin sagebrush parks that are heavily utilized in the winter by deer and elk. The shrub browseavailability is critical for wintering big game animals. CPW recommends that the USFS and the COGCC evaluate the need to include native shrubs and forbs in the seed mix for interim and finalreclamation. Mitigation under the NSJB EISThe NSJB EIS section 3.9.6.4.2 details habitat enhancement requirements for operators in deerand elk winter range equal or greater to the acreage disturbed by development. The proposed actionincludes approximately 43 acres of surface disturbance, much of that disturbance is slated to occurin previously disturbed pipeline corridor. The

pipeline corridor in many places contains grasses, forbs and shrubs that provide forage for wildlife including elk and deer. Please include a discussionof loss of habitat and forage for wildlife. There is some uncertainty in the EIS regarding the frequency and duration of the compensatorymitigation habitat improvement/enhancement offsets. In our experience habitat enhancementprojects typically result in improved forage condition for 7-10 years post treatment for big game.CPW supports compensatory mitigation efforts that persist for the duration of the impact. In this case, since the oil and gas facility and ancillary facilities are expected to have a 30-year life, thehabitat enhancements should have a lifespan commensurate with the duration of the impact.Please provide clarification on the mitigation requirements described in the EIS.Additionally, we recommended that the USFS quantify the indirect impacts using the currentbest available science and mitigate the indirect impacts to support the LRMP Desired Condition 2.3.22. LMP Guidelines 2.3.62 and 2.3.63 support this Desired Condition to conductanthropogenic activities in these important habitats in a manner that does not reduce habitateffectiveness and maintains habitat connectivity. Finally, the COGCC has incorporated a compensatory mitigation framework within the 1200series rules within deer and elk winter concentration areas and migration corridors. The BLMrecently instated their Mitigation Policy. CPW desires to work cooperatively with the operator, USFS, BLM and COGCC to ensure that mitigation offsets the residual unavoidable impacts towintering elk and deer. We appreciate this opportunity to provide scoping comment on this project. If you havequestions, or would like to schedule a site visit to discuss possible alternative recommendations to those that we have provided, please contact me at 970-375-6707. We look forward to workingtogether with you to benefit wildlife. Sincerely, Brian MageeEnergy LiaisonSouthwest Region