

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/27/2020 6:00:00 AM

First name: Janis

Last name: Dixon

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Greetings Ms. Jackson and Staff,

Hey, I'm from New Zealand. I support the mining industry in USA. I want to see critical minerals produced, I want to see a site cleaned up. I support the mining industry. I have reviewed the Alternative 2 and communicated with members of Midas Gold Idaho to better understand the company's plans for the Stibnite Gold Project. Below are my comments on the plan to be included in the comment period.

Given the history of the region, I was a bit skeptical when I first heard about this project, but it is clear that Midas is going out of their way to put environmental safety and restoration front and center. For example, according to the DEIS, [ldquo]it is not anticipated that soils in most of these areas would recover naturally.[rdquo] However, Midas Gold can change the future of the site. The company is already composting and has further plans to help soils recover throughout the life of the project. After looking at the tools provided by the USFS, I feel strongly that Alternative 2 is the best option moving forward. It addresses the purpose and need of the agencies in a manner that provides environmental advantage and economic feasibility over the other analyzed alternatives. With so much promise for the sites future, I hope Alternative 5 is removed from the table. There is finally an opportunity to restore the site and it is an opportunity that shouldnt be passed up.

The comment period has been extended once already. It should not be delayed further. This only delays Idaho from recognizing the benefits of the project. The current USFS timeline provides plenty of time to revie the DEIS and comment. After reviewing the document, I encourage you to permit alternative 2 of the project.

Have a good day,

Name: Janis Dixon