

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/22/2020 6:00:00 AM

First name: Breanna

Last name: Anderson

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Hello,

My name is Bre Anderson and I am resident of Valley County, ID. I am a river lover, a citizen, a cellphone user, above all an environmentalist. While I am a user and a benefactor of the minerals that will come from the Stibnite mining project I am still in direct opposition to this project, and I believe the comment period should be extended. I will list the reasons why.

1. RISK: This project is just too risky. Although Midas has made attempts to ensure the safety of the flora and fauna in the river system contamination is still a risk there is no way to be 100% sure a detrimental accident will not occur, as that is the nature of accidents. Even the slightest chance of poisoning this waterway with chemicals associated with the mining process should be avoided.

2. LINER: The draft EIS does not clearly indicate the type of liner that will be used to ensure contaminant leaching will not occur. How can we move forward without knowing this information? The public must know every step of the plan to make an informed decision.

3. WATER TEMP: I am not confident in the manmade stream channel that is slated to be created to promote salmonid spawning. From my understanding this stream channel lacks the proper shade requirements that will keep water temperatures ideal for Salmon survival. Salmonid species are very sensitive to warm temperatures. Without assurance that stream temperatures will be suitable for not only survival but a thriving salmon population I can not put my support behind this draft EIS.

4. TRANSPORTATION RISK: This draft EIS does not address the transportation risk associated with the project outside of the immediate mine site areas. Once trucks leave Valley county what are the risks to the communities trucks filled with poison will be traveling through. This needs to be addressed before this project can move forward.

I commend the efforts of the scientist who worked hard on this draft EIS, but this EIS points to NO. Vital information is lacking, and this project is just too risky.