

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/20/2020 6:00:00 AM

First name: Michael John

Last name: Norris

Organization:

Title:

Comments: GREETINGS,

If Midas Gold Idaho is allowed to move forward with the Stibnite Gold Project, they will reclaim an area impacted by historical mining, create hundreds of well-paying jobs and provide a huge boost to our economy in the process. It is a win-win for Valley County. We need to permit the project. I found that the work that has been achieved so far in respect of the river and the salmon consideration is and will be much greater so ,as the project moves forward the value of all; this, managed together with all other opportunities it puts in place, this area will become a huge asset to IDAHO and more so for the local area and community.

I am grateful for the tools the USFS has provided to review the document. One area I was particularly interested in taking a closer look at was the tailings storage facility. I was happy to see in chapter 4 of the DEIS it says, "Based on the slope stability analysis of the proposed design of the TSF dam, failure of the TSF dam from a seismic event is considered to have extremely low probability". This is good news considering the recent earthquakes Idaho has seen. Additionally, I am impressed with the location of the TSF under Alternative 2. This alternative uses the mountains to help secure the facility and would mean the dam would only cover 10% of the entire perimeter. Whereas Alternative 3 would move the TSF to a pristine area [acirc][euro]" a subpar option.

The comment period has been extended once already. It should not be delayed further. This only delays Idaho from recognizing the benefits of the project. The current USFS timeline provides plenty of time to revise the DEIS and comment. After reviewing the document, I encourage you to permit alternative 2 of the project.