

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/18/2020 6:00:00 AM

First name: Willard G

Last name: Nelson

Organization:

Title:

Comments: DEAR MS JACKSON,

Midas Gold Idaho's Stibnite Gold Project presents Idaho, particularly rural Idaho, with a huge economic and environmental opportunity. I am writing to encourage you to permit the project as quickly as possible.

Alternative 2 provides a higher degree of safety for both the location of a new tailings storage facility as well the road options for accessing the site. Under this alternative, Midas Gold will locate its tailings storage facility in an area that is already impacted by past mining activity, whereas Alternative 3 would place it in an untouched area of the site. This unnecessarily exposes more of the surrounding environment to mining operations. For road safety, Alternative 2 reduces the risk of potential spills, minimizes sediment runoff to waterways, and avoids winter avalanche site whereas Alternative 4 would keep the access road where it is, adjacent to the river.

I have been impressed with the companies dedication to the principles of conservation and restoration and feel this is an especially good fit with Idaho as the company begins production at the stibnite site and corrects the misuse by others since WW2. I feel Midas Gold will be a good partner with our state and the economic impact will benefit us all.

Midas Gold Idaho wants to invest \$1 billion in our state, bring more than 1,000 jobs to rural Idaho and still provide access to Idaho's public lands. This is the type of project our state needs. And with the coronavirus, we need it now more than ever. The USFS has provided sufficient time to review the document and provide comments. It is time to move forward. I highly encourage the U.S. Forest Service to pick alternative 2 as the preferred alternative for the Stibnite Gold Project. As you can tell, as a native born Idahoan I am extremely supportive of this project.