

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/15/2020 6:00:00 AM

First name: Tara

Last name: Smith

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am writing to express my views on Midas Gold Idaho's Stibnite Gold Project. The opportunity presented by the Stibnite Gold Project is compelling for many reasons and it is a project I am committed to seeing come to fruition.

After reading through the alternatives proposed by the USFS, Alt 5 seems unacceptable . If private industry is willing to take on past legacies, what will happen to the environmental legacies at Stibnite if there is no action? What resources will the U.S. Government dedicate to solving the water quality and fish passage problems if Alt 5 is selected? I worry no resources will be directed to the site and arsenic and antimony will continue leaching into the groundwater and salmon will continue to be blocked from their native spawning grounds. However, if the USFS selects Alternative 2 the site would get the environmental attention that it needs. Under Alternative 2, Midas Gold will pick up and reprocess these legacy tailings, which will reduce long-term metal loading in the ground and surface water (DEIS 4.9).

The USFS has given Idahoans adequate time to weigh in on the project. While some people will always want more time , 60 days is enough to review the document and provide thoughtful feedback. Asking for extensions is a delay tactic that makes Idahoans and the environment suffer unfairly.

For the above reasons and many more, please move forward with alternative 2 of the Stibnite Gold Project as it is important to all Idahoans.