

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/15/2020 6:00:00 AM

First name: Michael P G

Last name: Yerby

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am writing to express my full support of Midas Gold Idaho and the Stibnite Gold Project. Over the past several years Midas Gold has shown its commitment to Valley County and the state of Idaho and I encourage you to permit the proposed activities outlined by Midas Gold under Alternative 2.

Having compared Alternative 2 with Alternative 3, I believe that Alternative 2 is better from an environmental perspective, having less area, less impact on wetlands based on functional units, less impact on stream reach and avoiding a costly two-year delay to the project. Further, I also believe that Alternative 2 is lower risk and environmentally less impactful and risky than Alternative 4 given the proximity of the Alternative 4 transportation route to major fish-bearing waterways where construction would pose a significant risk, and the delay the project unnecessarily for two additional years at considerable cost. Finally, Alternative 5 is the worst of all alternatives as it means no environmental restoration, no jobs, no capital investment and leaves environmental issues at site unresolved.

This project is a huge opportunity for Idaho. I encourage the U.S. Forest Service to permit Alternative 2 so this brownfield site can finally be restored. And I encourage you to do it as quickly as possible. The comment period has already been extended once, it does not need to be delayed yet again.