

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/15/2020 6:00:00 AM

First name: Michael

Last name: Klanderud

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Stibnite Gold Project as part of the U.S. Forest Service's permitting process. This is an important project for Valley County and will have a significant impact on the community. As someone who explores, plays and cares about this region of Idaho's backcountry, I want to make sure the Stibnite Gold Project is done the right way.

Having compared Alternative 2 with Alternative 3, I believe that Alternative 2 is better from an environmental perspective, having less area, less impact on wetlands based on functional units, less impact on stream reach and avoiding a costly two-year delay to the project. Further, I also believe that Alternative 2 is lower risk and environmentally less impactful and risky than Alternative 4 given the proximity of the Alternative 4 transportation route to major fish-bearing waterways where construction would pose a significant risk, and the delay the project unnecessarily for two additional years at considerable cost. Finally, Alternative 5 is the worst of all alternatives as it means no environmental restoration, no jobs, no capital investment and leaves environmental issues at site unresolved.

Thank you for reviewing my comments. I also appreciate all of the resources you've provided in the virtual meeting room and on the project website. It has made it easy to review the DEIS and provide feedback, making it possible to participate within the 60-day timeframe. Again, I urge you to permit Midas Gold's carefully thought out plan as outlined under Alternative 2.