

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/5/2020 6:00:00 AM

First name: Donald

Last name: Doleneć

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I have reviewed the Alternative 2 and met with members of Midas Gold Idaho to better understand the company's plans for the Stibnite Gold Project. Below are my comments on the plan to be included in the comment period.

I am amazed of the concern of Midas Gold to be willing to clean up the mess of others. Who could object when Midas Gold will leave the land like it was 200 years ago. For hunting and fishing.

So the Salmon can run again after so many years Midas Gold should be applauded for their desire to make it better than when they found it.

Again having a rare earth metal for the security of the USA Midas Gold should be given fast approval to break our need for rare earth metals from China.

The above items should ensure immediate approval. Groups that watch out for damage to the earth should be very happy about restoring the land to its original habitat.

I am grateful for the tools the USFS has provided to review the document. One area I was particularly interested in taking a closer look at was the tailings storage facility. I was happy to see in chapter 4 of the DEIS it says, "Based on the slope stability analysis of the proposed design of the TSF dam, failure of the TSF dam from a seismic event is considered to have extremely low probability." This is good news considering the recent earthquakes Idaho has seen. Additionally, I am impressed with the location of the TSF under Alternative 2. This alternative uses the mountains to help secure the facility and would mean the dam would only cover 10% of the entire perimeter. Whereas Alternative 3 would move the TSF to a pristine area - a subpar option.

Reviewing Midas Gold's plans it is clear to me the company really believes in taking care of the environment and keeping the community safe. I urge you to permit the Stibnite Gold Project using alternative two and keep this project moving forward. The comment period has been extended by 15 days once already, please keep the comment period to 60 days, so we can prevent additional delays.