Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/5/2020 6:00:00 AM

First name: Jasper T Last name: Scott Organization:

Title:

Comments: Thank you for the opportunity to provide my feedback as part of Midas Gold Idaho's public permitting

process.

It is normal that people would be weary about a mining company going into a pristine area that has never been touched by mining, but this is not the case, this area has been mined for well over a 100 years. The Stibnite Project site was selected specifically because it has been mined previously, there are lots of resources still left and there are many legacy issues that remain from previous operators. Midas talks about restoring the area early on in the project and will restore as they mine, this is a win for all of us. The engineered fish tunnel that Midas has designed for the fish has proven to me that they care about the environment.

Midas is going out of their way to put environmental safety and restoration front and center. I feel strongly that Alternative 2 is the best option moving forward. It addresses the purpose and need of the agencies in a manner that provides environmental advantage and economic feasibility over the other analyzed alternatives. With so much promise for the site[rsquo]s future, I hope Alternative 5 is removed from the table. There is finally an opportunity to restore the site and it is an opportunity that shouldn[rsquo]t be passed up.