

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/1/2020 6:00:00 AM

First name: Janet C

Last name: Davis

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on the Stibnite Gold Project. Public participation is a huge part of the permitting process and I appreciate the opportunity to share.

What a well thought out plan of checks and balances to ensure Midas Gold Idaho follows through on its promises to restore the site. In fact, the company is required by law to set aside all of the money it needs for restoration before mining can begin. However, I am not worried about Midas Gold Idaho staying true to its word. The company has already started restoration work at the site. They have planted more than 60,000 trees to help reduce the amount of sediment going into the river, installed solar energy panels at site to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improved miles of road along the river to protect fish habitat. Alternative 2 appears to be the best option for the project moving forward. In that alternative, the company decreased traffic during operations by 26 percent by generating time on site (table 2.3-2, 2.4-3, 2.3-8). The company would also reprocess legacy materials in the Meadow Creek Basin, SODA and Hecla Heap, which remove them as a source for leaching arsenic and antimony into the ground and surface water. The analysis in the document (4.9-17) shows this will make further water degradation from these sources less likely in the future. Removing legacy tailings waste will also improve water quality in Meadow Creek Valley (4.12). Alternative 2 is the best choice for the environment!!

I urge you to approve the project as outlined by Midas Gold in alternative 2. The Stibnite Gold Project will provide a huge benefit to our community and the local environment.