Data Submitted (UTC 11): 8/13/2020 7:00:00 AM

First name: Erin Last name: Huston

Organization: California Farm Bureau Federation

Title:

Comments: Please see the attached comments from California Farm Bureau Federation on the proposed Social and Ecological Resilience Across the Landscape (SERAL) project. Thank you.

Dear Supervisor Kuiken: Thank for the opportunity to comment on the Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental ImpactStatement (EIS) for the Social and Ecological Resilience Across the Landscape (SERAL) project. CaliforniaFarm Bureau Federation (Farm Bureau) members are directly impacted by the condition of our NationalForests and strongly supports a landscape scale approach to increasing resilience to natural disturbancesincluding fire, drought, insects and disease. Farm Bureau is a non-governmental, non-profit, voluntary membership California corporation whosepurpose is to protect and promote agricultural interests throughout the state of California and to findsolutions to the problems of the farm, the farm home and the rural community. Farm Bureau isCalifornia[rsquo]s largest farm organization, comprised of 53 county Farm Bureaus currently representingapproximately 34,000 agricultural, associate and collegiate members in 56 counties. After reviewing the Notice of Intent, it is our understanding that the proposed treatments will take placewithin a 116,000-acre project area and consist of a prescribed fire, hand thinning, mastication, variabledensity thinning, and limited salvage of insect, disease, drought and fire-kill trees. These treatments are being designed to implement approaches consistent with the Conservation Strategy for the CaliforniaSpotted Owl in the Sierra Nevada. Additionally, the project would also use a combination of control andrestoration treatments to address invasive plant infestations on the forest. Farm Bureau is supportive of the project purpose and need, which generally speaking, is to increase thelandscape[rsquo]s resilience to natural disturbances such as fire, drought, insects and disease by both restoringforest structure and composition to its natural range of variation. The Stanislaus National Forest is asignificant contributor to the wood supply for Tuolumne County wood processing infrastructure. Tomaintain the viability of this infrastructure and address increased tree density on the forest, it isessential that the Forest Service conduct a landscape scale SERAL project to increase fuels reduction. Forthis reason, we offer the following specific comments on the proposed action for your consideration: Vegetation ManagementIn regard to Table 4 (Page 11) [ndash] Diameter at breast height limitations, exemptions and other constraintspertaining to variable density thinning treatments, Farm Bureau does not believe application of arbitrarydiameter limits is appropriate to achieve the desired objective. Instead, we suggest the Forest Service instead consider retaining the largest trees on-site that support the objective be retained. Additionally, reducing overall tree density in the Owl Protected Activity Centers (PACs) should be added as anobjective as this would likely assist with reducing tree mortality within PACs. Strategic Fire ManagementWhile Farm Bureau is generally supportive of strategic fire management and appreciates the inclusion ofroadside fuelbreaks and defensible space, we are concerned with the prescriptive nature in which fuelbreaks can be achieved. Currently the proposed action includes the following:[Idquo]All live conifers 30 inches DBH or larger will be retained, except to meet needs for equipmentoperability or safety. All montane hardwood trees 12-inch DBH or greater, and all oak woodlandhardwood trees 8-inch DBH or greater are to be retained unless removal is required for safety orequipment operability. Continuous understory vegetation less than 8-inch DBH or 12 feet tall may be broken up into naturally appearing clumps or islands of varied size and shape.[rdquo]The above language is immensely specific and again includes diameter limits that we believe will greatlyreduce ability to create a functional, effective fuel break leaving rural communities at risk. Again, werecommend that the Forest Service instead consider retaining the largest trees to achieve the objective of creating a functional, effective fuelbreak.GrazingCurrently, the proposal does not incorporate public lands grazing within the scope of the proposal.Livestock grazing not only benefits ranchers in rural communities, but it also provides importantenvironmental benefits and can be a cost-effective tool for wildfire prevention. Public lands grazing canalso provide additional services such as facility maintenance, road maintenance (such as culvertclearing), and trash removal. Public lands grazing permittees are also an additional presence on theforest, serving as fire lookouts, law enforcement attentiveness, and public outreach at a time of limitedAgency funding and staffing. We recommend that the Forest Service consider the addition of publiclands grazing as an available tool to improve

landscape scale range conditions, fire reduction, andinvasive species control. Farm Bureau greatly appreciates the opportunity to comment on the proposed action and hopes thatour input will further enhance the resilience of the forest landscape. Sincerely, Erin Huston Federal Policy Consultant