Data Submitted (UTC 11): 7/18/2020 6:00:00 AM

First name: Pat Last name: dauggard

Organization:

Title:

Comments: form objection:

I object to the Helena Lewis and Clark National Forest Plan for the followIn11 reasons:

The follow ng objection relates to issues that arose after all the formal comment periods closed.

36 CFR 21 .53 allows an individual to object to a plan based on an issue, or Issues, [bull] hat arose after the opportunity for formal comment."

219,53 Who may file an objection,

(a) individual and entities who have submitted substantive formal comments related lo a plan, plan amendment or plan revision during the opportunities/or public comment as provided In subpart A during the planning process for that decision may file an objection. Objections must be based on previously submitted substantive formal comments attributed to the objector unless the objection concerns an issue that arose after the opportunity for formal comment. The burden is on the objector to demonstrate compliance with requirements for objection. Objections that do not meet the requirements of the paragraph may be accepted; however, objections not accepted must be documented in the planning record.

Objection 1:

The Forest service has violated 36 CFR 219.52 In not providing an email address to submit objections. 36 CFR 219.S (c)(3) clearly stales the Forest Service must provide an email address. The Forest Service has provided a web site with comment form, but not an email address as required under 36 CFR219.52. The website form is identified as a comment form, not an objection. This has created confusion and denied the public a clear and defined process for submitting an objection.

[sect] 219.52 giving notice of a plan, plan amendment, or pion revision subject lo objection before approval,

- (c) The content of the public notice/or the beginning of the objection period/or a plan, plan amendment, or plan revision before approval ([sect]2J9.J6(a)(3)) must:
- (3) In under the name and address of the reviewing officer with whom an objection Is to be filed. The no/Ice must be specific, a street, postal, fax and an email address; the acceptable format(s) or objections filed electronically and the reviewing officer's office business hours/or those filing hand-delivered objections. An email a dress would allow an individual to submit an objection and receive confirmation the objection was receive. It would also allow an individual to draft their objection over time and attach the objection to their email sent to the Forest Service for submission.

I request the Forest Service follow the law in their process of accepting objections.

Objection:

On June 12, 2020, Secretary Purdue issued a memorandum to the Chief of the Forest Service with the purpose to establish vision, priorities, and direction on: [middot]

[bull] Increasing the productivity of National Forests and Grasslands

[bull] Valuing our Nation's grazing heritage and the National Grasslands

[bull] Increasing access to our National Forests

[bull] expediting environmental reviews to support active management

The Lewis and Clark was released after all opportunities for public comment have closed on the Helena Lewis national Forest Plan.

The memo highlights "Increasing access to National Forest System Lands" and specifically)' states: "It is imperative for the Forest Service to manage the National Forests and Grasslands for the benefit of the American people. These land, provide a multitude of public benefits, including diverse recreational opportunities, access to world class hunting and fishing, and forest products that support America's traditions and way of life, Accordingly, the Forest Service will:

[bull] Increase access lo Forest Service lands by slrea111/i11ing the permit process for recreational activities an embracing new technologies and recreation opportunities;

[bull] open public access to National Forest System lands with currently limited access where feasible with States, counties, and partners; and

The new Helena Lewis and Clark National Forest Plan contradicts the new directive from Secretary Purdue by in fact reducing access opportunities for recreation. The new plan proposes to close thousands of acres to winter snowmobile use and hundreds of miles currently open to motorized and mechanized use.

I request the proposed Forest Plan be remanded and the agency consider developing a plan that is consistent with the Ju e 12, 2020 directive from Secretary Purdue.

Objection:

The new pl n has false statements such as on page I of the FEIS Summary. Specifically it states "the Forest Plan doesn't authorize site-specific projects or activities" when in fact the plan on page 27 of the FEIS Record of decision it states: "! will initiate site-specific NEPA decision per the Plan's suitability direction to close the e uses within the recommended wilderness areas within 3 years from the date of this decision." In fact, the Ian has made site specific decisions by identifying areas of "Recommended Wilderness" and then removing the historic and established motorized and mechanized use in these areas. This is clearly a site-specific decision which has been included in the new Forest plan.

The public as told during the development of the FEIS that this Forest Plan would not make site-specific decisions. he public was told the Forest Plan was much like a zoning document and would not affect current use. The public was not aware the new Forest Plan would in fact make site-specific decisions.

Another false statement in the FEIS is found in Chapter 3.17, Recreation Opportunities.

3.17 Recreational opportunities

3.17.1 Introduction

Issues There were no issues raised for recreation opportunities during the scoping period for the proposed action

and comment period on the DEIS.

Many comments were submitted during the scoping and DEIS comment periods on the need to increase multiple us recreation access and opportunities, The Forest Service seems to have ignored these comments by including the above statement. The Forest Service must address the need for increased access and acknowledge the comments received requesting increased multiple use access.