

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/20/2020 7:00:00 AM

First name: allan

Last name: scott

Organization:

Title:

Comments: response to forest service environmental statement from scott properties lp .

ATTACHMENT BELOW

Allan J. Scott Scott Properties 105 Bridge St Harpster Id 83552208-983-0065 Scott Properties is a grazing permittee on the Nez Perce Forest. We support and agree with all of the comments made here by the Idaho Cattlemans Assotiation. [illegible] Allan J. Scott [illegible] Robin E. Scott [illegible] [illegible] April 16, 2020 Zach Peterson, Forest Planner Nez Perce- Clearwater National Forests Supervisor's Office 903 3rd Street Kamiah, ID 83536 Submitted via email to : sm. fs.fpr-npclw@ us da.gov RE: Nez Perce-Clearwater National Forest Plan Revision Draft Environmental Impact Statement To Whom It May Concern: The Idaho Cattle Association (ICA) submits the following comments regarding the Nez Perce-Clearwater National Forest Plan Revision Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) on behalf of the ranchers who have permits to graze livestock within the Nez Perce Clearwater National Forest and whose livelihoods are dependent upon the ability to continue grazing on public lands. Beyond our dependency on use of the public lands to sustain our industry and our many livestock operations, we, along with our members and employees, rely upon the public land within the Nez Perce-Clearwater National Forest area to maintain the custom and (illegible) public land livestock grazing, to recreate, and to otherwise enjoy the open space and of life that the public lands and associated private and state lands provide us, as well members and employees. General Comments In general, continued grazing use of public lands is critical to the continued functioning the livestock industry in Idaho and across the west. Concurrently, livestock grazing (illegible) provide great benefit to the landscape on which it is applied and to the surrounding communities. The Forest Service is mandated, through the Federal Land Policy and Management Act, [FLPMA], to manage the land for multiple use and sustained yield, protect valid existing rights, and consider the needs of the adjacent communities. Livestock grazing is a vital component of both multiple use and sound land management. Thus, the forest plan should be more complete in acknowledging its value. Rather than approaching the management of the allotments contained within the Nez Perce Clearwater Forest from the erroneous assumption that livestock grazing has negative effects on the landscape, the Forest Service should instead be considering ways in which it may more efficiently manage grazing cooperation with the permittees to maximize available AUMs, which can be done effectively, in harmony with other landscape values, and can particularly provide fuels reduction benefits-which are so necessary across this landscape. Below are more detailed cor on how this can be accomplished. Value of Grazing The forest plan should facilitate continued livestock grazing use across the landscape of the Nez-Perce Clearwater National Forest. Livestock grazing provides many benefits. Ranchers are the stewards of the ecosystem on both the private and public land they (illegible). They provide an effective line of defense against fire and noxious weeds, manage for optimum production, and are the primary protectors of open space in the private land in the west. Without the presence of livestock grazing, and the permittees who own and manage the livestock, large areas of wildlife habitat would be in jeopardy. The benefits provided by ranching relate directly to several identified concerns including wildfire invasive plants, and urbanization and development. Fuels Management Livestock grazing can be an effective tool in managing the threat of catastrophic wild is documented that any reduction in grazing intensity, such as a reduction in the utilization level or total AUMs to be utilized in an area, will cause a relative increase in the fine fuel load. This increases the risk of fire ignition and the likelihood that a fire will burn with greater intensity, cause more damage, and encompass larger areas. The forest plan s acknowledge the benefit of continued livestock grazing to fuels reduction. The plan should provide methods for incorporating the use of grazing as a tool for fuels management. Flexibility A forest plan should be a guidance document that promotes sound management but (illegible) on-the-ground decisions to be made at the local level and not pre-determined on a (illegible) basis. The DEIS lists the current amount of AUMs within the Forest. We encourage the incorporation of language that states

that AUM levels are determined based on range production values and can increase as needed. The forest plan should not have an upper limit but should allow the AUM level to be modified over time based on site-specific conditions. **Stubble Height Requirements** It is not appropriate at this level for the Forest Service to dictate specific management such as stubble height requirements. Those decisions should be left to the land manager at the local level where determinations about impacts and the appropriate prescription are made at the localized site-specific level. The six-inch stubble height requirement in riparian areas is particularly concerning. While various studies have identified varying ranges of stubble height to meet specific objectives in specific riparian areas, there is no peer-reviewed or accepted research that a six-inch stubble height is required to manage healthy stream banks across the forest. Appropriate stubble height varies by plant spacing and herbaceous species may not be present on all stream reaches due to site potential. The forest must also include clarification that "end of season" stubble height should be monitored at the end of the growing season and be based on site potential. It is imperative that this guideline is removed and that stubble height requirements are managed at the specific scale based on management objectives and site potential. **Grazing Restrictions in Fish Habitat** The DEIS requires the implementation of measures to be included in grazing annual operating instructions. This broad guideline must be removed. The Forest Service is required to apply measures for all native fish, therefore making this guideline arbitrary and unwarranted. This would have a significant and unwarranted impact on grazing permits within the forest. Any measures implemented should only be initiated through the (illegible) Section 7 ESA consultation during the authorization or reauthorization of grazing permits. If concerns regarding ESA fish species arise in Section 7 consultation, guidelines for Endangered species should be implemented at that time and on the site-specific scale.

Socio-Economic Impacts The Forest Service must consider not only the environmental consequences in their analysis, but also the impacts to the human environment and economy, including (illegible) grazing permits are reduced, the negative economic impact to the surrounding area would be significant and it is important that the final EIS acknowledge this. The limited socio-economic review in the DEIS entirely fails to adequately consider the value of a rancher's local economy and the trickle-down effect that a lost AUM causes to an entire rural community. In Idaho, where well over half of the land is federally-owned, countless (illegible) communities rely on public lands grazing for their tax base, commerce, and jobs. Few industries in western rural communities are as stabilizing and longstanding. Ranchers provide seasonal and year-round jobs, bring steady, reliable business to local supply and other services, and provide a tax base for rural communities that have little other economic activity. In a study of one western rural community, for example, a 25% reduction in federal grazing led to a 7.3% decrease in sales and a 6.4% loss of jobs. **Conclusion** Thank you for providing the opportunity to comment on this DEIS. We hope that you are able to incorporate and address our concerns prior to the document becoming final. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you need further information or have questions about the issues. Sincerely, Dawn Anderson, President Idaho Cattle Association Scott Properties is a grazing permittee on the Nez Perce Forest. We support and agree with all of the comments made here by the Idaho Cattlemen's Association. Allan J. Scott Robin E. Scott Sandy Scott Kapple 105 Bridge St Harpster Id 83552 208-983-0065