

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/11/2020 11:00:00 AM

First name: Andrew

Last name: Herman

Organization:

Title:

Comments: With regard to the Apache-Sitgreaves Forest Service Scoping Document pertaining to the development of a Territory Plan for Heber wild horses it is important that:

1. There should be no defining boundary fence for the Heber Territory.
2. Horses must be able to ingress and egress from the boundaries of the Heber Territory onto adjacent US Forest Service lands on the Black Mesa Ranger District (BMRD).
3. Horses were on the BMRD prior to 1971 and should be able to continue to use this area.
4. The Forest Service cannot remove any wild horses until they are proven [ldquo]excess[rdquo] by the law.
5. Managing by setting a number of 104 wild horses is illegal and not in compliance with the definition of [ldquo]excess.[rdquo] The Forest Service cannot set arbitrary numbers of horses in the territory.
6. I do not support giving birth control (PZP) but insist that the law be followed by supporting the phrase in the law, management should be [ldquo]minimal feasible management.[rdquo] PZP is an endocrine disrupter and causes permanent sterility within four years and not seven as stated by the drug producers. It is intrusive management that is not in compliance with the law.
7. Wild horses have a [ldquo]right[rdquo] to their territory whereas livestock have only a [ldquo]privilege.[rdquo]