

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/4/2019 11:00:00 AM

First name: Kevin

Last name: Hoyem

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Forest Supervisor, Mary Erickson

We do not see the south Crazy Mountain land exchange of USFS sections 4 and 8 to CMR for sections 11,13 and 21 as a good proposal for the following reasons:

1. Section 8 is the only publicly accessible fishery on Rock Creek. All other public ground that this stream flows through is a dry stream bed for several months each year and is barren of fish for this reason.

2. Both Section 8 and 4 have the easiest accessibility for recreation in the Rock Creek drainage. We see numerous people taking advantage of being able to hike, hunt and fish on these public grounds every year by hiking in on trail 270 and then accessing road #199 to reach section 8 and also section 4.

3. This land exchange appears to be heavily in favor of the CMR value wise. The sections they offer have little or no grazing or timber and the only recreation is limited hiking and some fishing in Rock and Smeller lakes. There was a question asked at the public meeting in Livingston about timber sales and the answer was there are no sales pending. As long as this land remains USFS the possibility of future timber harvest always remains an option. This land exchange would give CMR a very lucrative timber crop should they choose to do so in the future.

Our family is in the fifth generation of hiking, hunting and fishing these 2 sections and we hope that our future generations will continue to enjoy these opportunities on this public land.

This land exchange affects the Hoyem family access to our property and cabin. We currently have an easement through CMR on road #199 through sections 24,19,18 and 17 then we continue through USFS section 8. We resume access through CMR section 9. In this proposed land exchange, has there been consideration of our easement if this current USFS land becomes private (CMR)? This would need resolution prior to any exchange of land.

The other 2 south Crazy Mountain proposed land exchanges appear to be fair for both the private land owners as well as the public (USFS). This proposed exchange of USFS and CMR is not a fair exchange and should not be considered as proposed.

Thank You

Kevin and Denise Hoyem

Bryan, Flavia and Aryanna Hoyem Andrew Hoyem

Tami Hoyem

Jeff Hoyem

Jeff and Wendy Swendseid

John Swendseid

Sarah Swendseid

Todd Hoyem

Joe Hoyem

Matt Young

Mike and Jo Young Jace Young  
Rylan Young Kellan Young

EASEMENT INFORMATION ATTACHED

EASEMENT INFORMATION ATTACHED