Data Submitted (UTC 11): 7/29/2019 6:00:00 AM

First name: steve Last name: smith

Organization: American Rivers

Title:

Comments: wild & senic rivers, interim feedback

Good morning. Attached is a summary of earlier comments American Rivers and others submitted on the GMUG Draft Wild and Scenic River Eligibility Evaluation.

We offer this summary as part of your request for feedback on the Working Draft of the forest plan revision.

As noted in the summary, the full details of our wild & Details of our wild amp; scenic eligibility comments and recommendations are included in our original March 19 letter. (For your reference, I have also attached a copy of those original comments.)

Thank you very much for your continuing thoughtful work on the GMUG forest plan revision and for your careful attention to our comments.

Please let me know if we can provide any clarifications or additional information.

Steve Smith

Colorado

ssmith@rof.net

(970) 618-8264

Thank you very much for the opportunity to review the Working Draft Plan for the Grand Mesa-Uncompanded Gunnison National Forest land and resource management plan revision.

While we note that the working draft plan does not include any new information or decisions regarding potential wild & potential wild & scenic rivers, we take this opportunity to again commend to you comments we submitted March 16 regarding the GMUG Draft Wild and Scenic Rivers Eligibility Evaluation.

The final wild & Digital the recommendation and determinations should reflect the recommendations we included in those earlier comments. A brief summary of our comments includes:

[bull] All streams that meet the basic eligibility requirements in the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act[mdash]free-flowing stream with at least on outstandingly remarkable value[mdash]should be found eligible.

[bull] Regions of comparison used in wild & amp; scenic eligibility determinations should be employed carefully, ensuring that eligibility protections are provided for all streams and their related values that are important to the ecological and hydrological health of the GMUG itself.

[bull] Supplemental information should be considered only in the context of wild & Description of the context of the con

| evaluation. |
|--|
| [bull] All stream segments included in the draft wild & scenic eligibility evaluation should be found eligible in the final document: |
| - Oh-be-joyful Creek and tributaries |
| - West Elk Creek |
| - West Soap Creek |
| - Copper Creek and tributaries |
| - Roubideau Creek and tributaries |
| - Tabeguache Creek and North Fork |
| - San Miguel River |
| - North Fork Escalante Creek and Kelso |
| [bull] In addition, streams previously found wild & Department of the English of the Forest formally reconsiders that eligibility, presents detailed evidence of changed circumstances that have occurred relative to those streams, and provides opportunity for public review and comment on those asserted changes: |
| - Slate River |
| - East River |
| - Lower Taylor River |
| - Escalante Creek |
| - Bear Creek |
| - Bridal Veil Creek (falls) |
| - Ingram Falls |
| |

| - Cottonwood Creek |
|--|
| - Beaver Creek |
| - Fall Creek |
| - Horsefly Creek |
| |
| Details regarding each of these streams, and our recommendations for their wild & Details regarding each of these streams, and our recommendations for their wild & Details regarding each of these streams, and our recommendations for their wild & Details regarding each of these streams, and our recommendations for their wild & Details regarding each of these streams, and our recommendations for their wild & Details regarding each of these streams, and our recommendations for their wild & Details regarding each of these streams, and our recommendations for their wild & Details regarding each of these streams, and our recommendations for their wild & Details regarding each of these streams, and our recommendations for their wild & Details regarding each of the stream each of the strea |
| Thank you very much again for this opportunity to reinforce the importance of establishing the greatest possible number of wild & possible streams as part of the formal draft forest plan and final forest plan revision. |
| Fay Hartman, |
| Conservation Director, Colorado Basin Program |
| American Rivers |
| |

- Monitor Creek

- Potter Creek