

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/26/2018 12:14:55 AM

First name: Dick

Last name: Artley

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Please accept and consider these comments on the Initial Proposed Action for the Integrated Invasive Plants Treatment Project. You need to make a choice ... either serve 323 million American citizens, or serve the USFS. In spite of what you have been taught, it is impossible to do both simultaneously. As a retired USFS employee with 31 years of service I know change is needed. The USFS must start serving the American public and stop providing corporate profit opportunities on national forests at public expense. The future generations of kids deserve it. Perhaps you have heard of the 4 men quoted below.

"It is horrifying that we have to fight our own government to save the environment."

Ansel Adams

"We must protect the forests for our children, grandchildren and children yet to be born. We must protect the forests for those who can't speak for themselves such as the birds, animals, fish and trees."

Chief Edward Moody

"God has cared for these trees, saved them from drought, disease, avalanches, and a thousand tempests and floods. But he cannot save them from fools.

John Muir

"The Eyes of the Future are looking back at us and they are praying for us to see beyond our own time."

Terry Tempest Williams

Supervisor Dunkelberger, I appreciate you taking action to eradicate invasive plants from the Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest.

Table 1 (pg 2) of your undated Initial Proposed Action document indicates you plan to apply herbicides that contain the chemical glyphosate to eradicate invasive plants in the Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest. Invasive plants are a major threat to many natural resources in the forest. However, I suggest you reevaluate your proposal unless you want to risk killing human visitors to the Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest.

At page 5 you say:

New herbicides would be considered if they are:

*Permitted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

*Have a human health and environmental risk assessment completed per direction of Forest Service Handbook (FSH) 2109.14, Chapter 10. These assessments could be completed by the Forest Service, the Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA Agriculture Research Station, EPA, or other authorized agency.

*Registered for use by the Nevada Department of Agriculture.

*New herbicides or formulations registered and approved by the EPA would be applied according to label specifications.

You are either naïve or a sadist.

It makes no difference whether glyphosate use is approved by the EPA, has a USFS human health and environmental risk assessment, or is registered for use by the Nevada Department of Agriculture. As you know government agencies are sometimes influenced by corporate lobbyists. As I will show, the USDA and EPA have been sleeping with Monsanto for decades. Indeed, a corporation with a net worth of 66 billion \$\$\$ can afford skilled lobbyists.

Who are you people? Your "human health and environmental risk assessment completed per direction of Forest Service Handbook (FSH) 2109.14" was done by Syracuse Environmental Research Associates, Inc. (SERA). You all mindlessly embrace thos dubious, clearly fraudulent glyphosate toxicity study conducted by Syracuse Environmental Research Associates, Inc. (SERA) in spite of the fact hundreds of unbiased independent scientists' research conclusions clearly show the chemical causes cancer.

SERA's so-called safety study conclusions were strongly influenced by Monsanto. After reading in information below and the Glyphosate kills attachment I challenge you to drink a shot-glass of Roundup. If you would not do so then don't expose the public to something you want to stay away from. There are alternatives to glyphosate use. Use them!!!!!!

Unless your DEIS specifically states "Herbicides containing the chemical glyphosate will not be applied I will write LTEs to the following newspapers explaining the lethal nature of glyphosate and suggest the public inquire about glyphosate before entering the forest. My letters will describe how children are especially susceptible.

Sparks Tribune
Reno Gazette Journal
Carson City Nevada Appeal
Ely Daily Times
Elko Daily Free Press
Winnemucca Inside Northern Nevada, and the
Las Vegas Tribune

Supervisor Dunkelberger, you have knowingly proposed to apply a known carcinogen to public land where families with children might be. Your IDT members have enthusiastically assisted you. I ask you and your IDT members to have the intelligence and courage to read the science conclusions in the Glyphosate kills attachment.

If glyphosate is safe why would herbicides that contain the chemical be bannedDenmark, England, Italy, El Salvador, Sri Lanka, France, Holland, Austria, Bulgaria, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Japan, Chile, South Africa, Luxembourg, Madeira, Cameroon, New Zealand, Peru, South Australia, Russia, France, Switzerland, Columbia, and Costa Rica?

Most normal, civilized, mentally stable people who aren't sadists would never take action if there were even a small chance it might kill someone. Your proposal to apply poison where children might play says something about you doesn't it?

The Public Reads about the Dangers of Glyphosate
and Still you Propose to Apply the Poison. Do you
Think they don't know you will Risk Killing them
Rather than Offend the USDA and Affect your
Promotion Possibilities?

Article Title: Monsanto's Toxic Legacy: An Investigative Reporter Talks Glyphosate

Excerpts:

"Editor's Note: In the following interview, for Acres U.S.A., Tracy Frisch interviews Carey Gillam about her first book: Whitewash: The Story of a Weed Killer, Cancer, and the Corruption of Science."

"As I've stressed, glyphosate is the most widely used herbicide in history, but it's the only pesticide that neither the USDA nor the FDA have tested for."

"On top of that, didn't the federal government bump the maximum residue level for glyphosate up many times higher than it used to be?"

Yes. Over the years, the legal limits for residues of glyphosate have been raised many times, for many different foods and feed crops."

Published by In these Times, March 23, 2018

Link to article: <https://www.alternet.org/environment/glyphosate-monsantos-toxic-legacy?akid=16860.303761.X2S1xb&rd=1&src=newsletter1090183&t=27>

Article Title: Link between Monsanto's Roundup weed killer and cancer 'cannot be ignored'

Excerpts:

"Last week eight scientists told two California judges that the evidence points them in one direction: Exposure to Monsanto's Roundup weed killer can cause non-Hodgkin lymphoma (a potentially deadly cancer) in humans.

It's now up to U.S. District Court Judge Vince Chhabria and California State Court Judge Ioana Petrou to decide whether to believe the scientists and allow hundreds of lawsuits against Monsanto go to trial-or deny cancer victims their day in court, based on Monsanto's claims that Roundup is safe."

Published by Organic Consumers Association, March 18, 2018

Link to article: https://action.organicconsumers.org/content_item/oca-email?email_blast_KEY=1386813

Article Title: European Authorities Violated Own Rules to Conclude Glyphosate is Not Carcinogenic

Excerpts:

"A new peer-reviewed analysis shows that EFSA and ECHA twice watered down the statistical strength of evidence linking glyphosate with tumor increases."

"The new analysis shows that if the European authorities had properly applied their own benchmarks and "weight of evidence" approach, they would have inevitably concluded that glyphosate is carcinogenic. The analysis includes a science-based rebuttal of ECHA's claim that it adequately addressed concerns about how it applied statistical analyses and the weight of evidence approach.[2]"

Published by Sustainable Pulse, March 17, 2018

Link to article: https://sustainablepulse.com/2018/03/17/european-authorities-violated-own-rules-to-conclude-glyphosate-is-not-carcinogenic/?utm_source=newsletter&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=gmos_and_pesticides_global_breaking_news&utm_term=2018-03-21#.WrMVMWrwa1s

Article Title: New Study Links Glyphosate to Shortened Pregnancy Lengths in American Women

Excerpts:

"A new peer-reviewed study published in Environmental Health on Friday has linked glyphosate levels with shortened pregnancy lengths, in the first ever study of glyphosate exposure in pregnant women in the U.S.. This study adds to the pressure on regulatory authorities to take action on the world's most used herbicide."

Published by Sustainable Pulse, March 11, 2018

Link to article: https://sustainablepulse.com/2018/03/11/new-study-links-glyphosate-to-shortened-pregnancy-lengths-in-american-women/?utm_source=newsletter&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=breaking_new_study_links_glyphosate_to_shortened_pregnancy_lengths_in_american_women&utm_term=2018-03-11#.WqXPh2rwa1t

Article Title: Monsanto's Toxic Legacy: An Investigative Reporter Talks Glyphosate

Published by In these Times, March 9, 2018

In the following interview, for Acres U.S.A., Tracy Frisch interviews Carey Gillam about her first book: *Whitewash: The Story of a Weed Killer, Cancer, and the Corruption of Science*.

Interview excerpts:

"In *Whitewash*, you write about the failure of government agencies to test food for glyphosate residues, despite the existence of monitoring programs for many types of pesticides. How did they manage to avoid testing for glyphosate?"

A number of years ago this became a pet peeve of mine. Every year the USDA and the FDA monitor food for pesticide residues. They're required to do this testing and keep track of it in a database. This allows government agencies to determine if food producers are violating the allowable levels determined by the EPA for particular pesticides. The monitoring program also enables us to understand what we're taking into our bodies. As I've stressed, glyphosate is the most widely used herbicide in history, but it's the only pesticide that neither the USDA nor the FDA have tested for. (The USDA did one special project in 2011 looking at glyphosate residues in soybeans and found residues of the weed killer in more than 90 percent of the samples.) For decades they have refused to test for it. Many years ago I started asking them why they didn't test for glyphosate. They told me that, first, it's so safe so it doesn't matter if they test for it. Second, they would say that it's really expensive to test for. The third reason was, "We know that if it's in the food, it's probably not in very high amounts." I found that laughable.

Link to Article: http://inthesetimes.com/rural-america/entry/20981/carey-gillam-monsanto-glyphosate-roundup-cancer-whitewash-pesticides?link_id=11&can_id=f5b503c97d8ff0adc2865a8c2b98e655&source=email-a-new-movement-for-rent-control-is-spreading-across-the-us-where-are-the-major-human-rights-orgs-on-the-us-war-on-yemen&email_referrer=email_315469&email_subject=a-new-movement-for-rent-control-is-spreading-

across-the-us-where-are-the-major-human-rights-orgs-on-the-us-war-on-yemen

Article Title: Spinning Science and Silencing Scientists on the Dangers of Glyphosate

Published by Organic Consumers Association, February 7, 2018

Excerpts:

"What happens when Monsanto doesn't like what the World Health Organization (WHO) has to say about its flagship product, Roundup weedkiller?

The chemical company convinces U.S. lawmakers to hold a "smoke and mirrors" Congressional hearing, under the guise of "defending scientific integrity," but really to undermine the unanimous determination by 17 international scientists, based on their analysis of independent, peer-reviewed science, that Roundup is "probably carcinogenic to humans." "

Link to Article: <https://www.organicconsumers.org/blog/spinning-science-and-silencing-scientists-dangers-glyphosate>

A Minority Staff Report Prepared for Members of the Committee on Science, Space & Technology U.S. House of Representatives, February 2018

Excerpts:

"What happens when Monsanto doesn't like what the World Health Organization (WHO) has to say about its flagship product, Roundup weedkiller?

The chemical company convinces U.S. lawmakers to hold a "smoke and mirrors" Congressional hearing, under the guise of "defending scientific integrity," but really to undermine the unanimous determination by 17 international scientists, based on their analysis of independent, peer-reviewed science, that Roundup is "probably carcinogenic to humans." "

Link to Report: <https://www.baumhedlundlaw.com/pdf/monsanto-documents/Final-minority-report-glyphosate-spinning-science-silencing-scientists.pdf>

Article Title: Ranking Member Bonamici's Opening Statement for Glyphosate Assessments Hearing

A February 6, 2018 Press Release

Excerpts:

"Attempts by industry to mischaracterize the scientific debate appear intended to undercut the scientific evidence regarding the possible dangers of glyphosate and its potential impact on human health. We must make sure any chemical review is not undone by undue corporate influence or misleading scientific studies."

Link: <https://democrats-science.house.gov/news/press-releases/ranking-member-bonamici-s-opening-statement-glyphosate-assessments-hearing>

Article Title: Ranking Member Johnson's Opening Statement for Glyphosate Assessments Hearing

A February 6, 2018 Press Release

Excerpts:

"Mr. Chairman, chemicals have the potential to greatly improve our quality of life, when developed and produced in a responsible manner. However, when produced or proliferated irresponsibly or without sufficient understanding of their potential impacts, chemicals can pose a grave and significant risk to every one of us. Unfortunately, by the time we realize the harm being caused by unsafe exposure to such toxic chemicals, the damage has often already been done, and we are left regretting the fact that there might have been preventative actions we could have taken to protect ourselves if we had a better understanding of the hazards."

"The Minority Staff has produced a staff report that documents some of the tactics Monsanto has used to undermine the IARC monograph and scientific findings on glyphosate in general. I am attaching this report to my statement."

Link: <https://democrats-science.house.gov/news/press-releases/ranking-member-johnson-s-opening-statement-glyphosate-assessments-hearing>

Article Title: Shocking Study Shows Glyphosate Herbicides Contain Toxic Levels of Arsenic
Published by Sustainable Pulse, January 8, 2018

Excerpts:

"Prof. Gilles-Eric Seralini from the University of Caen Normandy, France, and his colleagues Dr. Nicolas Defarge and Dr. Joël Spiroux, have discovered several new findings which crush the pesticide industry's claim that the 'inert' ingredients in glyphosate-based herbicides do not need regulating:

"Seralini and his team also searched for other known toxic and endocrine-disrupting elements in 22 pesticides, including 11 glyphosate-based herbicides. They discovered several heavy metals in most formulations, in particular arsenic, chromium, cobalt, lead and nickel, which are known to be toxic and endocrine disruptors. All diluted formulations except one contained a cocktail of these metals."

Link to Article: <https://sustainablepulse.com/2018/01/08/shocking-study-shows-glyphosate-herbicides-contain-toxic-levels-of-arsenic/#.WlfZIKvTm1s>

Article Title: The Monsanto Papers: MDL Glyphosate Cancer Case Key Documents & Analysis
Published by US Right to Know, November 2017

Excerpt:

"More than 270 lawsuits are pending against Monsanto Co. in U.S. District Court in San Francisco, filed by people alleging that exposure to Roundup herbicide caused them or their loved ones to develop non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and that Monsanto covered up the risks. The cases have been combined for handling as multidistrict litigation under Judge Vince Chhabria. The lead case is 3:16-md-02741-VC. Additionally, at least 1,100 plaintiffs have made similar claims against Monsanto in state courts. The first trial in the Roundup litigation is set for June 18, 2018 in the Superior Court for the County of San Francisco."

Link to Article: <https://usrtk.org/pesticides/mdl-monsanto-glyphosate-cancer-case-key-documents-analysis/>

Article title: How Monsanto captured the EPA (and twisted science) to keep glyphosate on the market

By Elizabeth Grossman

Published on Nation of Change, November 3, 2017

Excerpts:

"We have closely examined the publicly available archive of EPA documents from the earliest days of the agency's consideration of glyphosate. Significant portions of the relevant documents have either been partially redacted or omitted entirely. But this archived material reveals that EPA staff scientists, who found much of the data submitted by Monsanto unacceptable, did place great weight upon a 1983 mouse study that showed glyphosate was carcinogenic."

"Yet their interpretation was subsequently reversed by EPA upper management and advisory boards, apparently under pressure from Monsanto. In years to come, that pivotal 1983 mouse study would be buried under layers of misleading analysis to obscure its meaning. Today, the EPA and Monsanto continue to cite that study as evidence that glyphosate poses no public health risk, even though the study's actual evidence indicates otherwise."

Link to full article: <https://www.nationofchange.org/2017/11/03/monsanto-captured-epa-twisted-science-keep-glyphosate-market/>

Article title: Excretion of the Herbicide Glyphosate in Older Adults Between 1993 and 2016

By Paul J. Mills, PhD¹; Izabela Kania-Korwel, PhD²; John Fagan, PhD²; et al

Published in the Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA), October 24/31, 2017

Excerpts:

"The herbicide Roundup is sprayed onto genetically modified crops and applied as a desiccant to most small non-genetically modified grains. Use of this herbicide has increased since 1994 when genetically modified crops were introduced in the United States. Glyphosate, the primary ingredient in the herbicide, is found in these crops at harvest.¹ Environmental exposure through dietary intake of these crops has potential adverse health effects and can be assessed by measuring urinary excretion.²⁻⁴ We measured excretion levels of glyphosate and its metabolite aminomethylphosphonic acid (AMPA) in participants from the Rancho Bernardo Study (RBS) of Healthy Aging."

Link to full article: <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/article-abstract/2658306>

Article title: Monsanto Secret Documents

Published by attorneys from Baum, Hedlund, Aristei & Goldman, September 2017

Excerpts:

"On June 30, 2017, attorneys from Baum, Hedlund, Aristei & Goldman, along with the leadership of the Roundup multidistrict litigation (MDL), challenged the protection of the documents below, in an effort to make them available to the public. In a meeting to discuss the matter, Monsanto told the plaintiffs' attorneys to "go away" and that the company would not voluntarily agree to de-designate any documents."

"The documents below allow people to see what is happening "behind the curtain" of secrecy that normally

shrouds ongoing litigation. Along with each document, you will find a short description, along with the document's relevance to the ongoing Monsanto Roundup litigation. These documents tell an alarming story of ghostwriting, scientific manipulation, collusion with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and previously undisclosed information about how the human body absorbs glyphosate. The following secret documents help us better understand the serious public health consequences of Monsanto's conduct in marketing the herbicide Roundup."

Link to full article: <https://www.baumhedlundlaw.com/toxic-tort-law/monsanto-roundup-lawsuit/monsanto-secret-documents/>

Please read about these recent lawsuits against
Monsanto related to glyphosate

Agricultural laborers and gardeners are filing lawsuits against Monsanto which allege that Roundup caused their non-Hodgkin's lymphoma

Source: Class Action .com,

Link: <https://www.classaction.com/roundup-weed-killer/lawsuit/>

Lawyers for Roundup Cancer Claims: Free Case Review

Source: Saiontz & Kirk, P.A.

Link: <https://www.youhavealawyer.com/roundup/>

Roundup and Lymphoma: What You Need To Know

Source: NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, Attorneys at Law

Link: <https://www.napolilaw.com/article/roundup-lymphoma-need-know/>

Thousands of people now have non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma due to glyphosate (Roundup) exposure, warns legal firm that's suing Monsanto

Source: Natural News

Link: <http://www.naturalnews.com/2017-03-23-thousands-of-people-now-have-non-hodgkins-lymphoma-due-to-glyphosate-roundup-exposure-warns-legal-firm-thats-suing-monsanto.html>

Roundup Cancer Lawsuit -- Get a Free Legal Consultation

Source: NastLaw LLC

Link: <https://nastlaw.com/roundup-lawsuit/>

Products Liability Litigation for Cancer and Lymphoma after Exposure to Roundup Weed Killer

Source: OnderLaw

Link: <http://www.onderlaw.com/products-liability/roundup-cancer.aspx>

Roundup Lawsuit

Source: Schmidt Firm, PLLC

Link: <https://www.schmidtlaw.com/roundup-lawsuit/>

Roundup Non-Hodgkins Lymphoma Lawsuit

Source: Matthews and Associates,

Link: <http://dmlawfirm.com/roundup-non-hodgkins-lymphoma-lawsuit/>

Roundup and Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Lawauit

Source: Brown & Crouppen, P.C.

Link: <http://www.browncrouppen.com/defective-products/roundup-non-hodgkin-lymphoma/>

Comment #: I have just presented the research conclusions of scores of independent scientists who all agree glyphosate exposure causes Non-Hodgkins Lymphoma which is a form of cancer. Supervisor Dunkelberger, you base your conclusion that this chemical is safe on a single questionable study done by Syracuse Environmental Research Associates. How can you justify using this chemical that on July 7, 2017 California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment listed as a known carcinogen under California's Proposition 65? How can you justify using this chemical that the World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer said was "probably carcinogenic" in a controversial ruling in 2015?

The USDA has been in bed with the Corporations that Manufacture Herbicides & Pesticides (including Monsanto) for Decades. Do you really think the USDA would direct the USFS not to use the glyphosate? Of Course Not, but you can Decide to be Responsible Human Being without being if you Want.

Obama's Highly Corrupt USDA: END Monsanto.
<http://www.thomhartmann.com/users/telliottmbamsc/blog/2013/10/obamas-highly-corrupt-usda-end-monsanto>

A Government of Monsanto, by Monsanto, and for Monsanto
<http://farmwars.info/?p=5860>

USDA to Give Monsanto's New GMO Crops Special 'Speed Approval'
<http://naturalsociety.com/usda-to-give-monsantos-new-gmo-crops-special-speedy-approval/>

Another Monsanto man in a key USDA post? Obama's ag policy's giving me whiplash
<http://grist.org/article/2009-09-24-usda-obama-monsanto-organic/>

GMO Science Deniers: Monsanto and the USDA
<http://www.wanttoknow.info/a-gmo-science-deniers-monsanto-the-usda>

USDA Forces 'Whole Foods' To Accept Monsanto
<http://humansarefree.com/2012/02/usda-forces-whole-foods-to-accept.html>

Is the USDA Covering Up Potential Dangers That Affect Your Health?
<http://www.liveinthenow.com/article/is-the-usda-covering-up-dangers-that-affect-your-health>

USDA and Monsanto "Biotech" Industry Collusion
<http://www.truthwiki.org/usda-and-monsanto-biotech-industry-collusion/>

Corruption at the USDA
<https://newhomeeconomics.wordpress.com/2010/03/09/corruption-at-the-usda/>

USDA Admits Exterminating Birds, Crops, and Bees
<http://worldtruth.tv/usda-admits-extminating-birds-crops-and-bees/>

USDA: Stop Killing Bees and Butterflies (CCD) While Saving Monsanto (Round-Up)
<https://www.change.org/p/usda-stop-killing-bees-and-butterflies-ccd-while-saving-monsanto-round-up>

Are you aware that the USDA is attempting to corrupt organic standards?

http://www.carbonproduct.net/Health_and_Fitness/Are_you_aware_that_the_USDA_is_attempting_to_corrupt_or_angic_standards/_17096

NEW SCANDAL FOR USDA & MONSANTO: Whistle Blowers at USDA say MONSANTO Influences Agency Suppression of Critical Science.

<http://mauicauses.org/new-scandal-for-usda-monsanto-whistle-blowers-at-usda-say-monsanto-influences-agency-suppression-of-critical-science/>

USDA moves to let Monsanto perform its own environmental impact studies on GMOs

http://axisoflogic.com/artman/publish/Article_62860.shtml

Monsanto's GMO Crops Ravage US, USDA Ignores Dangers

<http://www.alipac.us/f19/monsanto%92s-gmo-crops-ravage-us-usda-ignores-dangers-247146-print/>

THE BITTER TRUTH ABOUT THE USDA AND MONSANTO SUGAR BEETS

<http://geneticallyengineeredfoodnews.com/monsanto-sugar-beets>

USDA Approves Toxic Herbicide Amidst Great Public Outcry

<http://healthimpactnews.com/2014/usda-approves-toxic-herbicide-amidst-great-public-outcry/>

USDA Gives Green Light to 2,4-D Resistant GM Crops

<http://sustainablepulse.com/2014/01/03/usda-gives-green-light-pesticide-promoting-gm-crops/#.VIIUtJbTm1s>

USDA refuses to test foods for glyphosate contamination, says pesticides are safe to eat.

http://www.naturalnews.com/048237_glyphosate_contamination_USDA.html

USDA Secretary Vilsack's proposal for product labeling: companies will voluntarily, use barcodes to tell consumers if their products contain GMOs. This would require you to scan the product, then be directed to the company's website where you'd have to wade through the advertising and search the fine print.

<https://www.organicconsumers.org/essays/%E2%80%98qr%E2%80%99-barcodes-latest-plot-keep-you-dark-about-gmos>

Herbicide Use To Increase Dramatically

<http://www.enn.com/agriculture/article/47711>

USDA Approval of Second-Generation of GMOs

<http://undergroundhealthreporter.com/usda-approval-of-second-generation-of-gmos/#axzz3sFaNPdRd>

US Department of Monsanto (a.k.a. USDA)

<http://www.anh-usa.org/us-department-of-monsanto-a-k-a-usda/>

USDA Drops Plan To Test For Monsanto Weed Killer In Food

https://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/usda-drops-plan-to-test-for-monsanto-weed-killer-in_us_58d2db4ee4b062043ad4af84

USDA okays Monsanto's herbicide-resistant GMO corn w/o permits

<https://www.rt.com/usa/337088-usda-deregulates-monsanto-corn/>

The FDA and USDA have become the legislative and executive branches of Monsanto, Dow, Bayer and the likes.

<http://peopleforethicaliving.com/fda-usda-monsanto-pharma-gmo/>

USDA Censoring Anti-Monsanto Science?

<https://www.foodandwaterwatch.org/news/usda-censoring-anti-monsanto-science-0>

USDA betrays American people, approves Monsanto's new GMOs

https://www.naturalnews.com/048751_GMOs_USDA_Monsanto.html

Six Reasons Why Obama Appointing Monsanto's Buddy, Former Iowa Governor Vilsack, for USDA Head Would be a Terrible Idea

<https://www.organicconsumers.org/news/six-reasons-why-obama-appointing-monsantos-buddy-former-iowa-governor-vilsack-usda-head-would>

Another Monsanto man in a key USDA post? Obama's ag policy's giving me whiplash

<https://grist.org/article/2009-09-24-usda-obama-monsanto-organic/>

Tell the Senate: Don't Let Monsanto Run the USDA and EPA!

https://action.organicconsumers.org/o/50865/p/dia/action3/common/public/?action_KEY=19679

Trump's top pick for USDA chief is a friend of Monsanto

<https://dcinfowarrior.wordpress.com/2016/12/02/trumps-top-pick-for-usda-chief-is-a-friend-of-monsanto-sambrownback/>

Sonny Perdue: In the Pocket of Big Ag

<https://medium.com/center-for-biological-diversity/sonny-perdue-in-the-pocket-of-big-ag-b127043dfea5>

5 Reasons Why Food Experts Are Worried About Trump's New Agriculture Secretary

<http://www.grubstreet.com/2017/01/5-reasons-experts-worry-about-trumps-agriculture-secretary.html>

Trump Makes His Pick for Agricultural Secretary - And It Looks Like Good News for Big Ag (and Monsanto For That Matter)

<https://www.march-against-monsanto.com/tag/monsanto-sonny-perdue/>

Please Sign: Dump Trump's Monsanto Man! NoPerdue! No SwampCabinet!

https://action.organicconsumers.org/content_item/oca-email?email_blast_KEY=1365277

Trump Meets With Leaders From Monsanto, Bayer

<https://www.chem.info/news/2017/01/trump-meets-leaders-monsanto-bayer>

Request for changes to be made to the draft EIS: Clearly indicate "herbicides that contain glyphosate will not be used anywhere, at any time, for any reason as part of this timber sale."

Failure to tell the public this chemical will not be applied to vegetation in your forest leaves the door open for you to apply glyphosate. This violates:

18 U.S.C. § 1001(c) because the Responsible Official relied on a single (emphasis added) research conclusion that glyphosate is safe made by a lab with possible ties to Monsanto (Syracuse Environmental Research Associates--SERA) knowing the research conducted by hundreds of independent scientists reveals glyphosate exposure may cause birth defects, miscarriages, premature births, cancer - non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and hairy cell leukemia, DNA damage, autism, irreparable kidney and liver damage, infertility, learning disabilities, ADHD and other neurological disorders (especially in children), mitochondrial damage, cell asphyxia, endocrine disruption, bipolar disorder, skin tumors, thyroid damage, decrease in the sperm count and chromosomal

damage

40 CFR 1501.2 (b), 40 CFR 1502.16(a) and (b), and 40 CFR 1508.8(b) because Chapter 3 omits important environmental effect disclosures related to glyphosate research (i.e. glyphosate exposure is statistically correlated to birth defects, miscarriages, premature births, cancer - non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and hairy cell leukemia, DNA damage, autism, irreparable kidney and liver damage, infertility, learning disabilities, ADHD and other neurological disorders (especially in children), mitochondrial damage, cell asphyxia, endocrine disruption, bipolar disorder, skin tumors, thyroid damage, decrease in the sperm count and chromosomal damage cancer)

40 CFR §1508.27(b)(2) because the intensity discussion fails to discuss the degree to which the proposed action affects public health or safety.

The Apr. 21, 1997 Executive Order No. 13045 because the Responsible Official does not ensure that this project will not disproportionately expose children to environmental health risks and safety risks.

40 CFR §1508.27(b)(2) because you will not discuss the degree to which the proposed action affects public health or safety in the FOIA intensity section.

A person who is guilty of reckless endangerment creates a substantial risk of death or serious physical injury to another person. Your witless need to please the USDA by embracing man-made chemical corporations shows your next promotion on the USFS is more important than human lives. Reckless endangerment is a felony.

Why take the chance? You are not required to use Roundup.