

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 8/30/2016 6:00:00 AM
First name: Rose
Last name: Noland
Organization:
Title:
Comments: Cibola National FS draft plan comments

To whom it may concern:

Here are my comments regarding the FS draft plan and maps for Sandia Ranger District. Thank you!

Cibola National Forest Mountain Ranger Districts
Comment Form for use with
Preliminary Draft Plan, Wilderness Process Paper, and all maps

Document Reviewed (draft plan, wilderness process paper, map)Resource SectionPage #Line #Comment
Map:

Alternative ASandia Ranger District No change: preferred option

Map:

Alternative B Sandia Ranger District The intent of the "Draft Management Area" 2016 is not specified clearly, and encompasses existing trail areas of the Sandia Mountains. There should be no new educational management areas that could potentially limit two wheeled travel in that or any existing areas that cater to mountain biking, hiking, and equestrian travel. This is a potentially limiting options in relation to recreational pursuits in these well used areas. There isn't a need for new management areas as there is difficulty in managing existing special use areas. There should be no changes made that will hinder the growth of mountain biking and hiking trails (recreation) in the area of the Sandia Mountains.

Map:

Alternative CSandia Ranger District

Map:

Alternative DSandia Ranger District The Management area proposed for the Cedro area is not appropriate either. Trail expansion for multi-use recreation is already planned in this area, (cedro restoration project) and it would be contradictory to manage these areas for special use. Any management that would limit two-wheeled recreation in this area (motorized or non-motorized) is inappropriate and limiting.

There should be no new Management areas due to the potential to limit recreational growth in the Sandias.

Map:

Alternative ESandia Ranger District There should be no new wilderness areas in the Sandia Mountains as this would limit potential for two-wheeled non-motorized recreation. This is not a desirable option and limits recreational growth and trail management.

The Management area proposed for the Cedro area (Manzanitas) is not appropriate either. Trail expansion for multi-use recreation is already planned in this area, (cedro restoration project) and it would be contradictory to manage these areas for special use. Any management that would limit two-wheeled recreation in this area (motorized or non-motorized) is inappropriate and limiting. This is a heavily used trail area, and special management could hinder two-wheels recreation in the future.

7/15/2016

--

Rose Consaul Noland

~Certified Equine Massage Therapist

~Licensed Massage Therapist

~Professional Mountain Bike Instructor