

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 7/19/2016 12:00:00 AM

First name: Bobby

Last name: Gonzales

Organization:

Title:

Comments: The following text has been entered verbatim on behalf of the individual by FS personnel:

Draft Plan, entire doc and process: I have carefully reviewed the draft and the only plan that makes sense is no change Alternative "A" It actually considers more of or a better x section of the Public's Desires.

Meeting Intro/Violation of Open meetings? 1-19-16 Albuquerque: This entire process has been a manipulative and disingenuous Rouse I now find the "team" met in Secrecy to draft the plan being imposed without real consideration given to the public. I got to one of the ranges in the Dist. every weekend. I frequent the communities surrounding. I never saw one single effort by any of these so called Public Representation groups. You have insulted the publics intelligence. MOU How does this comply w/open meetings Act?

ADA: Plan A is the only option that would not be an egregious violation of the Act.

The Mining Act of 1872: "" Direct Violation

FLPM 1976 MU & S4A 1960: Plan A is the only alternate that would fully comply these Laws. There could be many other laws you are not considering.

Constitutional Considerations: Wilderness recommendations & road closures have fed land locked private property & Federally Registered Mining Claims. This amounts to taking of property w/out compensation. (Fifth Amend) Just like the citizens are req/ to know the laws and follow them, the USFS has a responsibility to acknowledge the existence of Federally registered Mining Clause & private property w/in the Plan.