

Added: 18 March 2025 Submission questions direct them to USFS Shasta Ferranto-(202) 805-3124 due to failed online electronic submission offered by the legal notice of record.

17 March 2025

Comment for Northwest Forest Plan Amendment DEIS 64745

Alternative A is the only presented alternative per the 27mb of needed downloaded material as the other alternatives are so focused on the opinions of the misdirected FACA committee the DFO depended on to garner appropriate and assumed legally supported recommendations; the 192 recommendations by this group came at their own personal and non-representative perspective of what their task was to perform, at the expense of the tax dollars spent to host their feel-good activity during and after the submission of the recommendations, let alone the public service salaries that were likely also a burden to the citizens' tax rolls.

It is clear that since the submission of issues, needs, and desires that were presented during the early 2024 public step in the process, were never responded to nor substantially considered to provide for something other than a preconceived determination long before anyone sat down at the table to pen the recommendations, both public and the private throne sat on is not defensible. (A point of objection)

This amendment completely fails to produce, produce as per the law, production that resources such as timber are not a byproduct of managing the forest, especially the Olympic National Forest. This amendment does not consider a single improvement to address the failures the original Bill Clinton plan created for the cultures, the communities, the economies, and the health of the Forest; resulting in a direct contribution to the enemies of the purpose for this forest system from such attacks as wildland fire as one example, and the failure to the supply chain this country is so dependent on within its boundaries, such as defined in this administration's Executive Order 14225—Immediate Expansion of American Timber Production. Much like what Bill Clinton so destructively conceived some 30 years ago should have mended all the forest wounds by now in the timber rotation, and we the people should be rewarded those resources in search of our life liberty and the pursuit of happiness NOW. We earned it.

Indigenous Knowledge and its insidious use by this government to mask the government's failure to perform its core constitutional duty to we the people just to continue the deferment actually created by the administration of the forest for the best benefit of the people, all the people equally. Late Successional Reserve and Adaptive Management have been the tool used to hide such do-nothing and lock it all up internal preference that dates all the way back to the Federal Forest Reserve that destroyed the core principals and implemented stewardship qualities created by the Homestead Act of 1862...then chasing we the people off the land, our land, and more illegal Federal

holdings. This amendment's process clearly reflects that destructive trait in the denial of the citizens' treaty rights when trying to wiggle another excuse and burden claimed under the disguise of non-scientific indigenous knowledge "Dreaming". Congress has not authorized the inclusion of Indigenous Knowledge as a scientific role nor an amendment to the treaties of such sovereign institutions; that is even those that are legally federally recognized as authorized by the Congress.

Robust Public Engagement was and is just a checklist item, resulting in without public discussion to produce valid engagement, equal time at the table in comparison to those government meetings held independently with county governments that we the people couldn't even listen in to that may have generated understanding and middle of the road compromise to our own desires and needs. It is not even known how much government to government with such entities especially all the independent sovereign tribes took place that we will likely never openly learn the back stabbing that took place causing injury to the people not allowed. To have a single long distance meeting for two major national forest that resulted in just giving a presentation and not sincere discussion and facilitating the impacts the suggested amendment would possibly or likely cause is not robust, it was indoctrination just like Bill Clinton started when this plan was created.

Since I do not have confidence or any level of expectation this proposed amendment will do what is best for me, I call for these alternatives be scrapped, the FACA officially dissolved and not allowed to continue in its failed balance plan representation and; that Alternative A be maintained and the Region produce a Robust assessment as mandated in EO 144225 and at a minimum get the adaptive management coded areas of Olympic National Forest set the short term goal of filling the community's supply chain with good timber products obtained locally and best quality as should always be the primary and not secondary benefit of the Federally locked up lands that could have been better steward by the homesteads of the late 1800's and early 1900's.

Give my national forest the tool of Matrix inventory and maximum operable acres management, and defend my treaty rights as strongly as the other treaty rights that are just as supreme but not more than. (A summary of a point of objection)

Ed Bowen
PO Box 111
Clallam Bay, WA 98326
yellowbanks@hotmail.com

Most northwestern corner of the Olympic National Forest, the most northwestern corner of Region 6, the most underserved and highly rural Environmental Justice thrown under the bus by Bill Clinton's failed NSO Dream.