

Barrier effects of roads on an endangered forest obligate: influences of traffic, road edges, and gaps

Item Type	Article
Authors	Chen, Hsiang Ling; Koprowski, John L.
Citation	Hsiang Ling Chen, John L. Koprowski, Barrier effects of roads on an endangered forest obligate: influences of traffic, road edges, and gaps, Biological Conservation, Volume 199, July 2016, Pages 33-40, ISSN 0006-3207, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.biocon.2016.03.017.
DOI	10.1016/j.biocon.2016.03.017
Publisher	ELSEVIER SCI LTD
Journal	BIOLOGICAL CONSERVATION
Rights	© 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd.
Download date	17/03/2025 21:34:26
Item License	http://rightsstatements.org/vocab/InC/1.0/
Version	Final accepted manuscript
Link to Item	http://hdl.handle.net/10150/620864

1 Barrier effects of roads on an endangered forest obligate: influences of traffic, road edges,

2 and gaps

- 3 Hsiang Ling Chen^{1*}, John L. Koprowski¹
- ⁴ ¹School of Natural Resources and the Environment, The University of Arizona, N335
- 5 Environment and Natural Resources 2, 1064 East Lowell Street, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA.
- 6 * Corresponding author
- 7 E-mail: <u>cherlene@email.arizona.edu</u>
- 8 Tel: +1 520-465-0436

9 Abstract

- 10 Habitat fragmentation and destruction caused by development of infrastructure such as roads
- 11 threaten biodiversity. Roads act as barriers by impeding animal movements and restricting space
- 12 use. Understanding factors that influence barrier effects is important to discern the impacts of
- 13 habitat fragmentation and to develop appropriate mitigations. We combined telemetry and
- 14 demographic data in 2008 to 2012 with remote sensing imagery to investigate barrier effects of
- 15 forest roads and assess effects of traffic, road edges, and canopy gaps on space use of an
- 16 endangered, endemic forest obligate, the Mt. Graham red squirrel (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*
- *grahamensis*). We mapped low to high traffic roads, road edges, canopy gaps, and random lines
- in forests to serve as references. We determined if red squirrels included these linear features in
- 19 their total and core home ranges, and used this metric as an indicator of crossing and preference
- 20 for habitat adjacent to the linear features. Forest roads acted as barriers regardless of traffic
- volume and had long-term impacts on animal space use. Animals did not avoid entering roadside
- areas, and probability of crossing linear features in the forest was not affected by distance to
- roads. In contrast, greater canopy cover increased probability of crossing, and gaps in canopy
- impeded animal movements. Higher likelihood of road crossing was associated with more
- variable tree height and mating activity. We demonstrated that narrow forest roads with low
- traffic volume were barriers for forest dependent species, and suggest that gap avoidance inhibits
- 27 road crossings.
- 28 Keywords: habitat fragmentation, gap avoidance, forest roads, forest structure, small mammals,
- 29 road impacts

30 **1. Introduction**

31 Habitat fragmentation and destruction caused by development of infrastructure such as

- roads and bridges are recognized as major threats to biodiversity (Czech and Krausman, 1997;
- Forman and Alexander, 1998). To maintain habitat connectivity, genetic variability, and
- population persistence, the facilitation of movements of animals through landscapes is critical
- 35 (Frankham, 1996; Hanski and Gilpin, 1991). Roads and traffic can serve as barriers that impede
- animal movements, decrease accessibility of resources such as food, shelter or mates, lead to
- reduction in reproductive success and gene flow, and ultimately threaten population persistence
- 38 (Strasburg, 2006; Trombulak and Frissell, 2000). Barrier effects of roads have been documented
- in a diversity of terrestrial fauna, including insects (Bhattacharya et al., 2003), reptiles (Shepard
- 40 et al., 2008), amphibians (Marsh et al., 2005), birds (Laurance et al., 2004) and mammals
- 41 (Burnett, 1992), but the causes and mechanisms of road avoidance are not fully understood
- 42 (Bissonette and Rosa, 2009; Chen and Koprowski, 2013; Roedenbeck et al., 2007).
- 43 The barrier effects of roads are driven by several distinct but not mutually exclusive
- 44 mechanisms that include traffic, edge, and gap avoidance (Barber et al., 2010; Forman et al.,
- 45 2003; Greenberg, 1989; Jaeger et al., 2005). Traffic avoidance includes avoidance of vehicles as
- 46 well as traffic disturbance that arises from vehicular noise, movements, vibration, exhaust fumes,

47 dust, headlight illumination and human presence, and has been related to reduction in animal

abundance at roadside areas (Barber et al., 2010; Goosem, 2002). Edge avoidance results when

49 animals avoid entering roadside areas due to physical and biotic changes caused by an abrupt

transition of ground surface or vegetation (Ford and Lenore, 2008; Forman et al., 2003). Edge

51 effects due to roads can affect the distribution, density and abundance of wildlife in adjacent

habitat (Goosem, 2000). Yet, how road edges impact animal movements and space use has been
 assessed less frequently. Gap avoidance occurs when species avoid clearings with low canopy or

understory closure such as roads and forest clearcuts, perhaps because of increased predation risk

55 (Greenberg, 1989) and evolutionary constraints (Laurance et al., 2004).

One fundamental question in road ecology is "what is the relative importance of the 56 different mechanisms by which roads affect population persistence?" (Roedenbeck et al., 2007). 57 Effects of roads on animal populations depend on species life history traits as well as behavioral 58 59 responses to roads (Benítez-López et al., 2010; Jaeger et al., 2005; Rytwinski and Fahrig, 2012). Previous research on barrier effects has focused on one or two of these potential mechanisms 60 contributing to road avoidance. However, to comprehensively understand barrier effects of roads 61 and develop appropriate mitigation, studies that simultaneously address the relative importance 62 of these different mechanisms are needed. For example, barrier effects of roads due to road 63 avoidance should be distinguished clearly from the effects due to road mortality, as both causes 64 lead to reduced individuals cross roads, but the mechanisms are fundamentally different and 65 66 require different mitigation (Fahrig and Rytwinski, 2009). Both avoidance of vehicles and avoidance of traffic disturbance result in a decreased rate of road crossings, but avoidance of 67 traffic disturbance can also lead to reduction in animal abundance at roadside areas (Forman and 68 69 Alexander, 1998; Jaeger et al., 2005).

Tree squirrels (*Sciurus* and *Tamiasciurus*) are an ideal group for assessing the impacts of 70 roads on forest dependent species. Arboreal squirrels are widespread, common, and are readily 71 sampled and tracked by radio telemetry because of moderate home range size (Gurnell and 72 Pepper, 1994; Koprowski et al., 2008). Previously, barrier effects of roads have been assessed 73 primarily by capture-recapture methods and translocation (e.g. McDonald & St Clair 2004). 74 Although such techniques increase understanding of road crossing behavior by highly motivated 75 individuals, the pattern of spontaneous movements or the relationship between home range 76 boundaries and roads is difficult to discern (Ford and Lenore, 2008; Laurance et al., 2004). 77 78 Techniques like radio telemetry that quantify individual movements can alleviate these issues (Clark et al., 2001). Herein, we combine long-term radio telemetry data and traffic monitoring 79 with high-resolution remote sensing data to examine barrier effects of roads and traffic on animal 80 space use and movements. We use an endangered, endemic forest obligate - the Mt. Graham red 81 squirrel (Tamiasciurus hudsonicus grahamensis) as a model to (1) investigate whether forest 82 roads are barriers and assess the relative importance of traffic, edge, and gap avoidance, and (2) 83 examine factors that influence animal movements and identify environmental features and road 84 85 characteristics that may improve road permeability.

86 2. Material and methods

87 2.1 Study area and study species

88 Our study was conducted in 342 ha of mixed-conifer forest >3,000 m elevation in the
 89 Pinaleño Mountains (Graham Mountains), Graham County, Arizona, USA

- 90 (32° 42′ 06″ N, 109° 52′ 17″ W). We used bi-directional traffic counters (TRAFx Vehicle
- 91 Counter Model G3, TRAFx Research Ltd, Canmore, Alberta, Canada) to monitor 6.6 km of 4
- 92 graded dirt roads (Fig.1a): Arizona State Highway 366 also known as Swift Trail (6 to13-m wide,

annual average daily traffic [AADT]: 50 vehicles, hereafter, high traffic), the access road to the 93

- 94 Mount Graham International Observatory (4 to10-m wide, AADT: 23 vehicles, hereafter,
- medium traffic), the Bible Camp Road (4 to 9-m wide, AADT: 25 vehicles, hereafter, medium 95
- 96 traffic), and Soldier Trail (3 to 24-m wide, AADT: 7 vehicles, hereafter, low traffic). Speed limit
- was 40 km/h. Roads were closed to the public from 15 November to 15 April annually. No 97
- 98 wildlife road crossing structures were installed in the study area. The forest is dominated by
- 99 Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), southwestern white pine (*Pinus strobiformis*), and corkbark
- 100 fir (Abies lasiocarpa var. arizonica) interspersed with Engelmann spruce (Picea engelmanii), aspen (Populus tremuloides) and ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa, Sanderson & Koprowski
- 101
- 2009). 102 103

The North American red squirrel is a small (<300 g), diurnal tree squirrel with a wideranging distribution in Canada and the United States (Steele, 1998). Red squirrels are territorial 104 and center their territories on conspicuous cone-scale piles with cones in caches known as 105 middens (Gurnell, 1987; Steele, 1998). Middens are typically located in forests with dense 106 canopy and understory cover and provide a cool and moist microclimate that prevents cones 107 from opening and releasing seeds (Merrick et al., 2007; Smith and Mannan, 1994; Zugmeyer and 108 Koprowski, 2009). Mt. Graham red squirrel is a subspecies that is isolated and endemic to high 109 elevation forests (>2,000 m) of the Pinaleño Mountains, which are surrounded by desert and 110 grassland, and represents the southernmost population of red squirrels (Brown, 1984; Steele, 111 1998). Because of geographic isolation, declining and low population numbers (~300 individuals, 112 Sanderson & Koprowski 2009), and habitat destruction, Mt. Graham red squirrels were listed as 113 federally endangered in 1987 (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1987). In addition to habitat loss, 114 severe fire, and insect damage, a potential threat to Mt. Graham red squirrels is human 115 disturbance from recreation, road traffic, and habitat modification associated with road 116 improvement (Buenau and Gerber, 2004; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2011; Zugmeyer and 117

- Koprowski, 2009). 118
- 2.2 Animal space use 119

We used standard methods to trap, fit unique ear tags and affix radio collars on red 120 squirrels, and located red squirrels during daylight hours and estimated the location of each 121 animal via biangulation (Koprowski et al., 2008). We used radio telemetry data to estimate 95% 122 (total) and 50% (core) fixed kernel home ranges for individual red squirrels each season (spring: 123 March-May, summer: June-August, fall: September-November, winter: December to January, 124 Koprowski et al. 2008). For this study, we used home ranges from December 2008 (when 125 airborne LiDAR data were collected) to November 2012 during which no major forest 126 disturbance occurred. During natal dispersal, movements patterns of juvenile red squirrels are 127 different from adults (Larsen and Boutin, 1994), so we only included adult and subadult red 128 squirrels that have completed natal dispersal in our analyses. Home ranges estimated with <15 129 fixes were excluded. Mean number of locations per home range was 40 fixes (SE 0.60, n = 307). 130

131 2.3 Linear features

We mapped low to high traffic roads with high-resolution aerial imagery obtained from 132 the National Agriculture Imagery Program (NAIP) in 2007 (Fig. 1a). We defined road edges that 133 were parallel to roads with a distance of 25 m from roads as boundaries of roadside areas (Fig 134 1a.). We chose 25 m because edge effects of roads usually decrease within the first 50 m of 135 forests (Murcia, 1995). To resemble linear gaps in canopy cover created by roads in roadless 136 areas, we used the GIS layer (25-m resolution) derived from three-dimensional LiDAR (Light 137 Detection and Ranging) data (Mitchell et al., 2012) to map linear areas with low to high canopy 138

- cover: 0-25% (n = 9), 25-50% (n = 10), 50-75% (n = 14), and 75-100% cover (n = 13). Mean 139 140 length of linear areas was 242.4 m (SE 20). We considered areas with canopy cover <50% as gaps for red squirrels on the basis of the minimum documented canopy cover at red squirrel 141 142 middens (Smith and Mannan, 1994). To create random lines in forests that serve as references with similar density of roads (1.93 km/km²), we used ArcGIS Desktop 9.3 (Environmental 143 Systems Research Institute) to generate 20 random points and create 300-m straight lines from 144 each point in a randomly selected direction (Fig. 1a). We chose 300 m on the basis of the mean 145 size of red squirrel 95% fixed kernel home ranges from 2009 to 2012 (mean [SE] = 2.65 [0.23]146 ha). If we consider the home range as a circle, the diameter would be about 200 m, thus a 300 m 147 segment is appropriate to match the spatial scale of red squirrel space use. We also divided roads 148 and road edges into 300-m long sections. Mean length of canopy gaps was 167.1 m (SE 20). 149
- 2.4 Data analysis 150

2.4.1 Barrier effects of roads- traffic, edge, and gap avoidance 151

For each linear feature, we selected red squirrels with residential middens <100 m from 152 the linear feature and determined if red squirrels included these linear features in their total and 153 core home ranges and used this metric as an indicator of crossing and preference for habitat 154 adjacent to the linear features. We based 100 m on the size of home range and mobility of red 155 squirrels (Koprowski et al., 2008). Depending on the location of the residential midden, a red 156 squirrel may encounter >1 linear features. We used generalized linear mixed modeling (GLMM) 157 with a logit link function and binomial error distribution to compare the probability of total and 158 core home range including linear features with 'include' as a binary response variable (include = 159 1, not include = 0). We included types of linear features (low to high traffic roads, road edges, 160 linear areas with low to high canopy cover, random lines, Table 1), sex, season (spring, summer, 161 fall, winter) and body mass (g) as fixed effects, and individual squirrels, individual linear 162 features and seasons (16 seasons in 4 years) as random effects. Body mass was calculated as the 163 mean of masses recorded during a season. When seasonal body mass was not available, we 164 estimated body mass as the mean mass during the year. 165

2.4.2 Predictors of crossing random lines 166

To understand factors that influence animal movements in forests, we explored how 167 environmental characteristics of random lines affect probability of crossing. For each random 168 line, we used the Geospatial Modelling Environment (GME, Beyer 2012) to calculate mean, 169 maximum and minimum value of slope, aspect (degree to north), distance to recent fire 170 boundaries (Clark Peak Fire in 1997 and Nuttall Complex Fire in 2004, m), distance to the 171 nearest road (m), and measures of forest structure extracted from LiDAR data, including mean 172 tree height (m), standard deviation of tree height (m), live and total basal area (m^2/ha), and 173 canopy cover (%). To quantify rate of crossing random lines, we established a buffer of 100 m 174 around each random line and recorded number of squirrel locations within the buffer on both 175 sides of the line (Fig. 1b). We referred to locations on the same side of the line with the 176 residential midden as fix-proximate, and locations on the opposite side as fix-distal (Fig. 1b). We 177 used GLMM with a logit link function and binomial error distribution to quantify probability of 178 crossing with fix-distal as cross and fix-proximate as not cross. We treated individual squirrels, 179 random lines and seasons as random effects and the remaining variables as fixed effects. When 180 collinearity occurred between variables (r > 0.7), we selected variables with lower p value. 181 2.4.3 Predictors of crossing roads 182

183 To identify important features that may improve road permeability, we investigated how roadside environment and road characteristics affect rate of road crossing. Road characteristics 184

included road width (m), road clearance (distance between forest boundaries, m), and traffic (low,

- 186 medium, high). We measured road width and road clearance every 50 m and calculated the mean,
- 187 maximum and minimum value for each 300-m long road section. Because the presence of red
- squirrels on the other side of roads may further affect decisions to cross roads (either negatively, such as avoiding conspecifics, or positively such as locating mates), we created a 100-m buffer
- such as avoiding conspecifics, or positively such as locating mates), we created a 100-m buffer surrounding road sections, and recorded presence or absence of a red squirrel on the opposite
- side of the road and number of squirrels of the same and different sex from the focal squirrel on
- both sides of the road, referred to as presence of squirrel-distal, presence or number of mates-
- 193 proximate or distal, presence or number of conspecifics-proximate or distal. Due to a high
- proportion of zeros for fixes-distal, we used zero-inflated generalized liner models (ZIGLMM)
 with a log link function and Poisson error distribution to quantify frequency of crossing with fixdistal as cross and fix-proximate as not cross. We included total number of fix (natural log
 transformed) as an offset in the model. We included individual squirrels, random lines and
 seasons as random effects and the remaining variables as fixed effects.
- We ran GLMM with the lme4 (Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and S4, Bates et al., 2013) package and ZIGLMM with the glmmADMB package (Generalized Linear Mixed Models using AD Model Builder, Skaug et al., 2013) in R (version 3.1.0 -"Spring Dance", R Development Core Team 2014). We standardized all continuous variables to mean = 0 and
- standard deviation = 1 to improve numerical convergence.

204 **3. Results**

- We included 307 home ranges that estimated each season for 77 squirrels (39 male, 39 female) in our analyses. No mortality of red squirrels duo to wildlife-vehicle collision was detected. Middens were present on both sides of roads along 92.9% of road sections (n = 14), and 64.4% of middens censused (n = 101) were occupied by red squirrels at least one season from 2008 to 2012. Mean distance from middens to roads was 62.2 m (SE 4.4, n = 38) and to random lines was 44.8 m (SE 3.4, n = 64, $t_{100} = -3.12$, p = 0.002).
- 211 3.1 Barrier effects of roads traffic, edge, and gap avoidance

Roads were barriers for red squirrels. Odds of red squirrels crossing random lines were 212 4.8 times of odds of crossing roads, and odds of including random lines in core home ranges was 213 12.5 times of odds of including roads (Table 1). Increased traffic on roads did not decrease 214 probability of crossing (Fig. 2). Probability of road crossing was lowest on low traffic roads, 215 followed by high traffic roads and medium traffic roads (Fig. 2). The odds of red squirrel core 216 home ranges including roads were similar among low to high traffic roads (Table 1). Red 217 squirrels crossed roads more often during the period when roads were open to traffic than road 218 closure. The percentage of total home ranges that included roads decreased by 84.9% from 219 63.9% (n = 36) in summer when the road was open to 9.7% (n = 31) in winter when road was 220 closed, whereas we observed only a 20.7% decrease in percentage of total home ranges included 221 random lines, from 81.3% (n = 64) in summer to 64.4% (n = 59) in winter. Red squirrels did not 222 avoid road edges as near as 25 m from roads. Odds of red squirrel including road edges in their 223 total and core home ranges were 3.3 times and 1.1 times respectively odds of including random 224 lines (Table 1). In contrast, red squirrels avoided gaps (canopy cover <50%). Probability of 225 crossing linear areas with canopy cover > 50% (0.7, Fig. 3) was higher than probability of 226 crossing gaps (0.2, Fig. 3). Odds of red squirrels crossing random lines was 5.1 times that of 227 crossing gaps and odds of including random lines in core home ranges was 4.6 times of odds of 228

including gaps (Table 1).

230 3.2 Predictors of crossing random lines

Probability of crossing decreased as distance from middens to linear features increased,

- and increased as body mass increased (Table 2). Rate of crossing increased as the maximum
 canopy cover recorded along random lines increased, and was not affected by distance from
- roads. Each percentage increase in maximum canopy cover of random lines increased the odds of
- crossing by 33% (Table 2).
- 236 3.3 Predictors of crossing roads

Forty-three red squirrels occupied middens <100 m from roads (23 male, 20 female), and 237 67.4% of individuals had home ranges that overlapped roads in at least one season from 2008 to 238 2012, which means 32.6 % of individuals were never detected to cross roads in 4 years. 239 Reproductive activities were the most important factors in predicting road crossings. Rate of road 240 crossing by red squirrels was 2.1 times larger in the mating season and increased number of 241 potential mates on the proximate side of roads increased rate of road crossing (Table 3). Presence 242 of potential mates on the opposite side of roads increased the rate of crossing by 3.7 times. Rate 243 of crossing also increased as the maximum standard deviation of tree height recorded along roads 244 increased. Each meter increase in maximum standard deviation of tree height increased the rate 245 of crossing by 2.7 times (Table 3). Effect of traffic volume was not significant after accounting 246

for road and environmental characteristics and squirrel activity (Table 3).

248 **4. Discussion**

249 4.1 Forest roads serve as barriers

By integrating long-term demographic and telemetry data with remotely sensed 250 environmental characteristics, our study directly assesses effects of roads, traffic intensity, and 251 distance to roads simultaneously on space use and movements of small mammals. In addition, 252 we show how environment, seasonal variation in animal activities, and social interactions affect 253 probability of road crossing. We demonstrate that even a narrow (<10 m), gravel forest road with 254 low traffic volume (<10 vehicles/day) can restrict animal space use and inhibit movements. 255 Furthermore, we conclude that gap avoidance plays an important role in inhibition of road 256 crossings by forest dependent species. An alternative explanation for the low probability of road 257 crossing is lack of habitat on the opposite side of the road (Riley et al., 2006). However, given 258 that red squirrel territories were present on both sides of roads in our study area, we conclude this 259 was unlikely. The avoidance of roads by red squirrels was previously suggested through live 260 trapping studies, as red squirrels are scarce at culverts despite being the most abundant species in 261 the adjacent forest (Clevenger et al., 2001). Small mammals are known to avoid crossing narrow, 262

- unpaved roads (Oxley et al., 1974; Swihart and Slade, 1984). Our research provides insight on
- the causes and mechanisms contributing to barrier effects of roads and helps anticipate how
- forest obligates respond to anthropogenic disturbance in fragmented landscapes (Burnett, 1992;
 Koprowski, 2005; Laurance et al., 2009).
- 4.2 Traffic volume and road edges have little effect on road crossing and space use

268 Increasing traffic intensity can reduce success of road crossing (Gagnon et al., 2007;

- 269 Richardson et al., 1997). However, effect of traffic on animal movements is difficult to
- 270 disentangle from the influence of road characteristics, because of temporal variation in traffic
- volume and positive correlation with road width (Goosem, 2002; McGregor et al., 2008). We
- demonstrated that low traffic volume (<100 vehicles/day) has little effect on probability of road
- 273 crossing after accounting for effects of road and environmental characteristics. Previous studies
- suggest that traffic volume does not influence rate of road crossing by small mammals, and
- increasing traffic intensity up to 15,000 vehicles/day does not decrease the success of return by

276 small rodents after translocation (Ford and Lenore, 2008; Goosem, 2002; McGregor et al., 2008). 277 Yet, animals may cross high traffic roads during low traffic periods, and result in animal space use that appears similar between high and low traffic roads (McGregor et al., 2008). Besides rate 278 279 of road crossing, traffic may affect animal movements patterns near roads, including distance from roads, travel speed, and tortuosity. Fine scale records of traffic and animal movements are 280 required to further understand effects of traffic intensity on barrier effects of roads. 281

Road edges, differ from natural edges or edges produced by clearcuts in their linear 282 configuration, length, and spatially extensive effects driven by associated anthropogenic 283 disturbance (Forman and Alexander, 1998; Saunders et al., 2002). Consequently, forest 284 fragmentation and edges introduced by roads are widely distributed, tend to exist for long 285 periods of time and are exacerbated by frequent disturbance (Coffin, 2007; Pohlman et al., 2007; 286 Reed et al., 1996). We did not find evidence that road edges affect animal movements and space 287 use, since individuals lived at roadside areas did not avoid approaching roads, and distance from 288 linear features to roads did not affect probability of crossing. Roads affect animal population 289 density and community structure, and the influences can extend to several kilometers from the 290 road (Benítez-López et al., 2010; Fuentes-Montemayor et al., 2009). We documented effects of 291 traffic volume and road edges on movements and space use of red squirrels, but effects of traffic 292 disturbance and roadside environment on distribution and abundance remain unknown. 293 Environmental changes in forest structure, microclimate, and forest dynamics near road edges, 294 including lower forest density, increased solar radiation, wind velocity and light availability, 295 extreme temperature (Goosem, 2007; Murcia, 1995), may influence animal populations and 296 distribution, especially for species like red squirrels whose habitat is limited to forest interior and 297 are sensitive to forest fragmentation (Koprowski, 2005; Laurance et al., 2009). 298

4.3 Gaps in canopy cover inhibit animal movements 299

Animals tend to recognize linear features as territory boundaries, which may restrict an 300 individual's movements to one side of a road and result in changes in space use (Burnett, 1992; 301 Trombulak and Frissell, 2000). Road clearance, the distance an animal has to move between 302 forest margins to cross the roadways (Oxley et al., 1974), has been suggested as the main factor 303 that causes inhibition of road crossing by small mammals. We propose that the avoidance of 304 gaps in cover created by roads is the primary reason. We have 3 lines of evidence that support 305 this conclusion: (1) red squirrels were less likely to cross gaps with <50% canopy cover 306 compared to random lines in forests; (2) probability of crossing random lines in forests was 307 affected positively by canopy cover; (3) probability of road crossing increased with increased 308 standard deviation of tree height that was positively correlated with canopy cover. 309

Forest specialists like tree squirrels often avoid entering gaps with low canopy or 310 understory cover, and rarely cross roads spontaneously, and therefore are especially vulnerable to 311 barrier effects of roads (Clevenger et al., 2001; Laurance et al., 2009; Oxley et al., 1974). Red 312 squirrels strongly avoided clearcuts, and only cross forest gaps if a detour through forest is 313 relatively energy inefficient (Bakker and Van Vuren, 2004). However, alternate routes of 314 crossing roads are usually not available. Predation risk is higher in more open microhabitats 315 (Barbosa and Castellanos, 2005). Tree squirrels rely on canopy cover to provide shelter and use 316 arboreal escape routes when encountering aerial or ground predators (Temple, 1987). Red 317 squirrels travel more slowly through open areas, likely due to high predation risk (Bakker and 318 Van Vuren, 2004). On Mt. Graham, the major source of mortality in red squirrels is avian 319 predation (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2011), and mortality is higher in more open forests 320

(Zugmeyer and Koprowski, 2009). Open areas created by roads may increase risk of predation or 321

322 mortality caused by vehicle collisions. Besides greater predation risk, lack of connectivity in 323 canopy over roads also impedes arboreal movements. Strong influence of standard deviation of tree height on road crossing suggests that physical structure of forest is important. Forests with 324 325 higher variation in tree height may provide animals cover and assist arboreal movements when animals descend to ground to cross roads. The northern flying squirrels (*Glaucomys sabrinus*) 326 rely on forest structure in old-growth forests, including high canopy and relatively open under 327 and mid story layers to provide launch point and space for glide (Scheibe et al., 2006). The 328 Siberian flying squirrels (*Pteromys volans*) cross completely open areas only when gaps can be 329 crossed in a single glide (Selonen and Hanski, 2003). A similar pattern also occurs in other 330 arboreal species such as squirrel gliders (Petaurus norfolcensis, van der Ree et al. 2010) and 331

ringtail possum (*Hemibelideus lemuroides*, Wilson et al. 2007).

333 4.4 Mating activity increases road crossing

Seasonal variation in activity affects probability of road crossing (Fahrig and Rytwinski, 334 2009). For instance, moose (Alces alces) cross roads more frequently in summer with increased 335 movements range (Beyer et al., 2013). Some species seldom crossed roads during daily 336 movements, but appear to be more likely to cross roads under situations of high motivation, for 337 example in the breeding season (Steen et al., 2006), after translocation (Clark et al., 2001) or 338 during dispersal (deMaynadier and Hunter, 2000). Male mammals often increase their home 339 range in mating season to search for potential mates (Clark et al., 2010; Edelman and Koprowski, 340 2006; Koprowski et al., 2008). The positive relationship between presence of a potential mate on 341 both sides of roads and rate of road crossings also suggests the influential role of mate searching 342 behavior on crossing events. Avoidance of conspecifics and territorial defense by residential red 343 squirrels could lead to reduced rate of road crossing (Bakker and Van Vuren, 2004). Although 344 we did not detect seasonal variation in effects of presence of red squirrels on the opposite side of 345 roads, avoidance of conspecifics may contribute to the observed difference of probability of road 346 crossing between mating and non-mating season. Our findings suggest that the permeability of a 347 barrier changes with motivation and increases with the availability of receptive potential mates. 348 However, even during mating season, probability of road crossing was lower than crossing 349 random lines. About 75% of red squirrel home ranges included random lines, whereas 53% of 350 home ranges included roads. Presence of roads impairs male snakes' ability of locating mates 351 (Shine et al., 2004). Gene flow between populations bisected by roads is reduced, likely due to 352 353 fewer mating between individuals separated by roads than individuals at one side of roads (Clark et al., 2010; Riley et al., 2006). We show forest roads affect animal daily movements in home 354 range, and seasonal space use. As a result, forest roads can have negative effects on population 355 through impede reproductive activity, dispersal, and survivorship. Although increased distance 356 between patchy habitats and long dispersal distance does not necessarily decrease success of 357 settlement and survivorship (Larsen and Boutin, 1994; Selonen and Hanski, 2012), this might not 358 be the case when animals need to cross roads to settle as risk of road mortality may be too high 359 360 to cross and alternate routes may not be available.

361 5. Conservation Implications

The ecological and genetic consequences of inhibition of movements and population isolation can be serious, particularly in limited habitat, especially for populations of species at the edge of their distribution range like Mt. Graham red squirrels (Fahrig and Paloheimo, 1988; Fitak et al., 2013; Leonard and Koprowski, 2009). Persistence of forest obligates in isolated fragments depends on their physiological and locomotor ability to cross gaps and the connectivity of fragments (Fahrig, 2007; Lees and Peres, 2009). Although forest roads did not

completely inhibit squirrel movements, the barrier effects of roads could be magnified for 368 369 individuals residing further from roads, if red squirrels that occupied middens near roads represent individuals with high tolerance to road impacts(Anderson and Boutin, 2002; Boon et 370 371 al., 2007). Moreover, forest roads can have long-term impacts as about one-third of the red squirrels that were resident near roads were never observed to cross roads in 4 years. Given that 372 Mt. Graham red squirrels have already suffered from habitat loss and destruction associated with 373 severe fire, insect damage, and development (Buenau and Gerber, 2004; U.S. Fish and Wildlife 374 Service, 2011; Zugmeyer and Koprowski, 2009), effective mitigation of barrier effects of roads 375 appears prudent. 376

The finding that maximum value of canopy cover and standard deviation of tree height 377 influences crossing decisions of red squirrels has important conservation implications. This 378 suggests that road permeability can be improved by maintaining canopy cover along short 379 sections of roads. Although increased canopy closure along the road may facilitate road crossing. 380 it may also increase road mortality (van der Ree et al., 2010). To minimize barrier effects of 381 roads while simultaneously reducing road mortality, a variety of wildlife passages have been 382 designed and installed to facilitate movements of wildlife and restore connectivity(Taylor and 383 Goldingay, 2010). Canopy bridges or rope bridges successfully restored animal movements near 384 roads and improved connectivity for several arboreal species (Laurance et al., 2009; Soanes et al., 385 2013), and can be another mitigation of road impacts on red squirrels. 386

Forest roads are thought to have reduced impacts on wildlife because roads are often 387 narrow, unpaved, and lightly traveled. However, ecological effects are substantial due to wide 388 distribution of forest roads and their facilitation of the introduction of human disturbance to 389 remote areas (Coghlan and Sowa, 1998; Forman and Alexander, 1998; Forman et al., 2003). 390 Several studies have demonstrated that even narrow roads <10-m wide with low traffic intensity 391 are barriers for many species (Forman and Alexander, 1998; Swihart and Slade, 1984). Not only 392 roads but also open clearings like powerline corridors can restrict the movements of small 393 mammals in forests (Goosem and Marsh, 1997). As we show gaps in canopy strongly inhibits 394 animal movements, forest management such as thinning operations and infrastructure 395 development that open forest canopy can increase barrier effects and level of fragmentation, and 396 should be implemented with caution. Human induced habitat fragmentation is one of the major 397 causes for the decline of biodiversity (Fahrig, 2003). In the U.S., forest road network has 398 expanded to >600,000 km and traffic intensity has grown 10 times since 1950s and reached to 399 1.7 million vehicles/day in 1998 (Coghlan and Sowa, 1998). Forest ecosystems worldwide have 400 been excessively fragmented through human activities, and primary forests have decreased by 401 >40 million ha since 2000, yet the degree of fragmentation is exacerbated by continuously 402 increasing demand for outdoor recreational activities and development as well as catastrophic 403 events driven by climate change (Allen et al., 2010; Food and Agriculture Organization of the 404 United Nations, 2010). Thus, forest species are facing challenging landscapes with more 405 fragmented and disturbed habitats. To maintain landscape connectivity, large areas of healthy 406 forests as well as connectivity among forested patches are of critical importance. 407

408 Acknowledgments

We thank the University of Arizona, Arizona Agriculture Experiment Station, and T&E
Incorporated's Grants for Conservation Research for providing funding, the University of
Arizona Statistics Consulting Lab in assisting with data analyses, and R. Gimblett, C. Schwalbe,
R. Steidl for review and feedback on study design.

413 **References**

- Allen, C.D., Macalady, A.K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M.,
- Kitzberger, T., Rigling, A., Breshears, D.D., Hogg, E.H. (Ted), Gonzalez, P., Fensham, R.,
 Zhang, Z., Castro, J., Demidova, N., Lim, J.-H., Allard, G., Running, S.W., Semerci, A.,
- 417 Cobb, N., 2010. A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals
- 418 emerging climate change risks for forests. For. Ecol. Manage. 259, 660–684.
- 419 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.001
- Anderson, E.M., Boutin, S., 2002. Edge effects on survival and behaviour of juvenile red
 squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*). Can. J. Zool. 80, 1038–1046. doi:10.1139/z02-087
- Bakker, V.J., Van Vuren, D.H., 2004. Gap-crossing decisions by the red squirrel, a forestdependent small mammal. Conserv. Biol. 18, 689–697. doi:10.1111/j.15231739.2004.00149.x
- Barber, J.R., Crooks, K.R., Fristrup, K.M., 2010. The costs of chronic noise exposure for
 terrestrial organisms. Trends Ecol. Evol. 25, 180–189. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2009.08.002
- Barbosa, P., Castellanos, I. (Eds.), 2005. Ecology of predator-prey interactions. Oxford
 University Press. Oxford. UK.
- Bates, D., M., Maechler, B.B., Walker, S., 2013. lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen
 and S4.
- Benítez-López, A., Alkemade, R., Verweij, P.A., 2010. The impacts of roads and other
 infrastructure on mammal and bird populations: a meta-analysis. Biol. Conserv. 143, 1307–
 1316. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2010.02.009
- 434 Beyer, H.L., 2012. Geospatial Modelling Environment.
- Beyer, H.L., Ung, R., Murray, D.L., Fortin, M.J., 2013. Functional responses, seasonal variation
 and thresholds in behavioural responses of moose to road density. J. Appl. Ecol. 50, 286–
 294. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12042
- Bhattacharya, M., Primack, R.B., Gerwein, J., 2003. Are roads and railroads barriers to
 bumblebee movement in a temperate suburban conservation area? Biol. Conserv. 109, 37–
 440 45. doi:10.1016/s0006-3207(02)00130-1
- Bissonette, J.A., Rosa, S.A., 2009. Road zone effects in small-mammal communities. Ecol. Soc.
 14, 27.
- Boon, A.K., Réale, D., Boutin, S., 2007. The interaction between personality, offspring fitness
 and food abundance in North American red squirrels. Ecol. Lett. 10, 1094–104.
 doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01106.x
- Brown, D.E., 1984. Arizona's tree squirrels. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix,
 Arizona, USA.
- Buenau, K.E., Gerber, L.R., 2004. Developing recovery and monitoring strategies for the
 endemic Mount Graham red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus grahamensis*) in Arizona.
 Anim. Conserv. 7, 17–22. doi:10.1017/S1367943003001124
- Burnett, S.E., 1992. Effects of a rain-forest road on movements of small mammals-mechanisms
 and implications. Wildl. Res. 19, 95–104.
- Chen, H., Koprowski, J.L., 2013. Effects of roads on wildlife in Arizona: how far have we
 traveled?, in: Merging Science and Management in a Rapidly Changing World: Biodiversity
 and Management of the Madrean Archipelago III and 7th Conference on Research and
- 456 Resource Management in the Southwestern Deserts. Proceedings. RMRS-P-67. Rocky
- 457 Mountain Research Station, U.S. Forest Service, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA., pp. 434–438.
- 458 Clark, B.K., Clark, B.S., Johnson, L.A., Haynie, M.T., 2001. Influence of roads on movements

- 459 of small mammals. Southwest. Nat. 46, 338–344.
- Clark, R.W., Brown, W.S., Stechert, R., Zamudio, K.R., 2010. Roads, interrupted dispersal, and
 genetic diversity in timber rattlesnakes. Conserv. Biol. 24, 1059–69. doi:10.1111/j.15231739.2009.01439.x
- 463 Clevenger, A.P., Chruszcz, B., Gunson, K., 2001. Drainage culverts as habitat linkages and
 464 factors affecting passage by mammals. J. Appl. Ecol. 38, 1340–1349. doi:10.1046/j.0021465 8901.2001.00678.x
- Coffin, A.W., 2007. From roadkill to road ecology: a review of the ecological effects of roads. J.
 Transp. Geogr. 15, 396–406. doi:10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2006.11.006
- Coghlan, G., Sowa, R., 1998. National forest road system and use. USDA Forest Service
 Engineering Staff: Washington D.C. (Draft 1/30/98).
- Czech, B., Krausman, P.R., 1997. Distribution and causation of species endangerment in the
 United States. Science. 277, 1116–1117. doi:10.1126/science.277.5329.1116
- deMaynadier, P.G., Hunter, M.L., 2000. Road effects on amphibian movements in a forested
 landscape. Nat. Areas J. 20, 56–65.
- Edelman, A.J., Koprowski, J.L., 2006. Seasonal changes in home ranges of Abert's squirrels:
 impact of mating season. Can. J. Zool. 84, 404–411. doi:10.1139/z06-009
- Fahrig, L., 2007. Non-optimal animal movement in human-altered landscapes. Funct. Ecol. 21,
 1003–1015. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01326.x
- Fahrig, L., 2003. Effects of habitat fragmentation on biodiversity. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.
 34, 487–515. doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.34.011802.132419
- Fahrig, L., Paloheimo, J., 1988. Determinants of local population size in patchy habitats. Theor.
 Popul. Biol. 34, 194–213.
- Fahrig, L., Rytwinski, T., 2009. Effects of roads on animal abundance: an empirical review and
 synthesis. Ecol. Soc. 14, 21.
- Fitak, R.R., Koprowski, J.L., Culver, M., 2013. Severe reduction in genetic variation in a
 montane isolate: the endangered Mount Graham red squirrel (Tamiasciurus hudsonicus *grahamensis*). Conserv. Genet. 14, 1233–1241. doi:10.1007/s10592-013-0511-x
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2010. Global forest resources
 assessment 2010 Main report. Forestry Paper 163, FAO, Forestry, Rome.
- Ford, A.T., Lenore, F., 2008. Movement patterns of eastern chipmunks (*Tamias striatus*) near
 roads. J. Mammal. 89, 895–903.
- Forman, R.T.T., Alexander, L.E., 1998. Roads and their major ecological effects. Annu. Rev.
 Ecol. Syst. 29, 207–231.
- Forman, R.T.T., Sperling, D., Bissonette, J.A., Clevenger, A.P., Cutshall, C.D., Dale, V.H.,
 Fahrig, L., France, R.L., Goldman, C.R., Heanue, K., Jones, J., Swanson, F., Turrentine, T.,
 Winter, T.C., 2003. Road ecology: science and solutions, 2nd ed. Island Press, Washington,
- 496 D.C., USA.
- Frankham, R., 1996. Relationship of genetic variation to population size in wildlife. Conserv.
 Biol. 10, 1500–1508. doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.1996.10061500.x
- 499 Fuentes-Montemayor, E., Cuarón, A.D., Vázquez-Domínguez, E., Benítez-Malvido, J.,
- Valenzuela-Galván, D., Andresen, E., 2009. Living on the edge: roads and edge effects on
 small mammal populations. J. Anim. Ecol. 78, 857–865. doi:10.1111/j.13652656.2009.01551.x
- Gagnon, J.W., Theimer, T.C., Dodd, N.L., Boe, S., Schweinsburg, R.E., 2007. Traffic volume
 alters elk distribution and highway crossings in Arizona. J. Wildl. Manage. 71, 2318–2323.
 - 11

- 505 doi:10.2193/2006-224
- Goosem, M., 2007. Fragmentation impacts caused by roads through rainforests. Curr. Sci. 93,
 1587–1595.
- Goosem, M., 2002. Effects of tropical rainforest roads on small mammals: fragmentation, edge
 effects and traffic disturbance. Wildl. Res. 29, 277–289. doi:10.1071/wr01058
- Goosem, M., 2000. Effects of tropical rainforest roads on small mammals: edge changes in
 community composition. Wildl. Res. 27, 151–163.
- Goosem, M., Marsh, H., 1997. Fragmentation of a small-mammal community by a powerline
 corridor through tropical rainforest. Wildl. Res. 24, 613–629. doi:10.1071/wr96063
- Greenberg, R., 1989. Neophobia, aversion to open space, and ecological plasticity in Song and
 Swamp sparrows. Can. J. Zool. 67, 1194–1199. doi:10.1139/z89-172
- 516 Gurnell, J., 1987. The natural history of squirrels. Christopher Helm, London, Great Britain.
- 517 Gurnell, J., Pepper, H., 1994. Red squirrel conservation field study methods. United Kingdom
- Forestry Commission Research Information Note 191 Edinburgh: Forestry Commission.
 Hanski, I., Gilpin, M., 1991. Metapopulation dynamics: brief history and conceptual domain.
- 520 Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 42, 3–16. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.1991.tb00548.x
- Jaeger, J.A.G., Bowman, J., Brennan, J., Fahrig, L., Bert, D., Bouchard, J., Charbonneau, N.,
 Frank, K., Gruber, B., von Toschanowitz, K.T., 2005. Predicting when animal populations are at risk from roads: an interactive model of road avoidance behavior. Ecol. Modell. 185, 329–348. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2004.12.015
- Koprowski, J.L., 2005. The response of tree squirrels to fragmentation: a review and synthesis.
 Anim. Conserv. 8, 369–376. doi:10.1017/S1367943005002416
- Koprowski, J.L., King, S.R.B., Merrick, M.J., 2008. Expanded home ranges in a peripheral
 population: space use by endangered Mt. Graham red squirrels. Endanger. Species Res. 4,
 227–232. doi:10.3354/esr00026
- Larsen, K.W., Boutin, S., 1994. Movements, survival, and settlement of red squirrel
 (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*) offspring. Ecology 75, 214–223. doi:10.2307/1939395
- Laurance, S.G.W., Stouffer, P.C., Laurance, W.E., 2004. Effects of road clearings on movement
 patterns of understory rainforest birds in central Amazonia. Conserv. Biol. 18, 1099–1109.
- Laurance, W.F., Goosem, M., Laurance, S.G.W., 2009. Impacts of roads and linear clearings on tropical forests. Trends Ecol. Evol. 24, 659–669. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2009.06.009
- Lees, A.C., Peres, C.A., 2009. Gap-crossing movements predict species occupancy in
 Amazonian forest fragments. Oikos 118, 280–290. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0706.2008.16842.x
- Leonard, K., Koprowski, J., 2009. A comparison of habitat use and demography of red squirrels
 at the southern edge of their range. Am. Midl. Nat. 162, 125–138.
- Marsh, D.M., Milam, G.S., Gorham, N.R., Beckman, N.G., 2005. Forest roads as partial barriers
 to terrestrial salamander movement. Conserv. Biol. 19, 2004–2008. doi:10.1111/j.15231739.2005.00238.x
- 543 McDonald, W.R., St Clair, C.C., 2004. The effects of artificial and natural barriers on the 544 movement of small mammals in Banff National Park, Canada. Oikos 105, 397–407.
- McGregor, R.L., Bender, D.J., Fahrig, L., 2008. Do small mammals avoid roads because of the
 traffic? J. Appl. Ecol. 45, 117–123. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2007.01403.x
- 547 Merrick, M.J., Bertelsen, S.R., Koprowski, J.L., 2007. Characteristics of Mount Graham red
 548 squirrel nest sites in a mixed conifer forest. J. Wildl. Manage. 71, 1958–1963.
- 549 doi:10.2193/2006-260
- 550 Mitchell, B., Walterman, M., Mellin, T., Wilcox, C., Lynch, A.M., Anhold, J., Falk, D.A.,

- 551 Koprowski, J., Laes, D., Evans, D., Fisk., H., 2012. Mapping vegetation structure in the
- Pinaleño Mountains using LiDAR–phase 3: forest inventory modeling. RSAC-10007-RPT1.
 Remote Sensing Applications Center, U.S. Forest Service, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA.
- Murcia, C., 1995. Edge effects in fragmented forests: implications for conservation. Trends Ecol.
 Evol. 10, 58–62. doi:10.1016/s0169-5347(00)88977-6
- Oxley, D.J., Fenton, M.B., Carmody, G.R., 1974. Effects of roads on population of small
 mammals. J. Appl. Ecol. 11, 51–59.
- Pohlman, C.L., Turton, S.M., Goosem, M., 2007. Edge effects of linear canopy openings on tropical rain forest understory microclimate. Biotropica 39, 62–71. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7429.2006.00238.x
- Reed, R., Johnson-Barnard, J., Baker, W., 1996. Contribution of roads to forest fragmentation in
 the Rocky Mountains. Conserv. Biol. 10, 1098–1106. doi:10.1046/j.15231739.1996.10041098.x
- Richardson, J.H., Shore, R.F., Treweek, J.R., Larkin, S.B.C., 1997. Are major roads a barrier to
 small mammals? J. Zool. 243, 840–846.
- Riley, S.P.D., Pollinger, J.P., Sauvajot, R.M., York, E.C., Bromley, C., Fuller, T.K., Wayne,
 R.K., 2006. A southern California freeway is a physical and social barrier to gene flow in
 carnivores. Mol. Ecol. 15, 1733–1741. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.02907.x
- Roedenbeck, I.A., Fahrig, L., Findlay, C.S., Houlahan, J.E., Jaeger, J.A.G., Klar, N., KramerSchadt, S., van der Grift, E.A., 2007. The Rauischholzhausen agenda for road ecology. Ecol.
 Soc. 12, 11.
- Rytwinski, T., Fahrig, L., 2012. Do species life history traits explain population responses to
 roads? a meta-analysis. Biol. Conserv. 147, 87–98. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2011.11.023
- Sanderson, H.R., Koprowski, J.L., 2009. The last refuge of the Mt. Graham red squirrel.
 University of Arizona Press, Tucson, Arizona, USA.
- Saunders, S.C., Mislivets, M.R., Chen, J., Cleland, D.T., 2002. Effects of roads on landscape
 structure within nested ecological units of the Northern Great Lakes Region, USA. Biol.
 Conserv. 103, 209–225. doi:10.1016/S0006-3207(01)00130-6
- Scheibe, J.S., Smith, W.P., Bassham, J., Magness, D., 2006. Locomotor performance and cost of
 transport in the northern flying squirrel Glaucomys sabrinus. Acta Theriol. (Warsz). 51,
 169–178. doi:10.1007/BF03192668
- Selonen, V., Hanski, I.K., 2012. Dispersing Siberian flying squirrels (*Pteromys volans*) locate
 preferred habitats in fragmented landscapes. Can. J. Zool. 90, 885–892. doi:10.1139/z2012 058
- Selonen, V., Hanski, I.K., 2003. Movements of the flying squirrel *Pteromys uolans* in corridors
 and in matrix habitat. Ecography (Cop.). 26, 641–651.
- Shepard, D.B., Kuhns, A.R., Dreslik, M.J., Phillips, C.A., 2008. Roads as barriers to animal
 movement in fragmented landscapes. Anim. Conserv. 11, 288–296. doi:10.1111/j.14691795.2008.00183.x
- Shine, R., Lemaster, M., Wall, M., Langkilde, T., Mason, R., 2004. Why did the snake cross the
 road? Effects of roads on movement and location of mates by garter snakes (*Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis*). Ecol. Soc. 9.
- Skaug, H., Fournier, D., Nielsen, A., Magnusson, A., Bolker, B., 2013. Generalized linear mixed
 models using AD Model Builder.
- Smith, A.A., Mannan, R.W., 1994. Distinguishing characteristics of Mount Graham red squirrel
 midden sites. J. Wildl. Manage. 58, 437–445.

- Soanes, K., Lobo, M.C., Vesk, P.A., McCarthy, M.A., Moore, J.L., van der Ree, R., 2013.
 Movement re-established but not restored: inferring the effectiveness of road-crossing
- mitigation for a gliding mammal by monitoring use. Biol. Conserv. 159, 434–441.
 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2012.10.016
- 601 Steele, M.A., 1998. Tamiasciurus hudsonicus. Mamm. Species 586, 1–9.
- 602 Steen, D.A., Aresco, M.J., Beilke, S.G., Compton, B.W., Condon, E.P., Dodd, C.K., Forrester,
- H., Gibbons, J.W., Greene, J.L., Johnson, G., Langen, T.A., Oldham, M.J., Oxier, D.N.,
 Saumure, R.A., Schueler, F.W., Sleeman, J.M., Smith, L.L., Tucker, J.K., Gibbs, J.P., 2006.
- Relative vulnerability of female turtles to road mortality. Anim. Conserv. 9, 269–273.
 doi:10.1111/j.1469-1795.2006.00032.x
- Strasburg, J.L., 2006. Conservation biology roads and genetic connectivity. Nature 440, 875–
 876. doi:10.1038/440875a
- Swihart, R.K., Slade, N.A., 1984. Road crossing in Sigmodon hispidus and Microtus ochrogaster.
 J. Mammal. 65, 357–360.
- Taylor, B.D., Goldingay, R.L., 2010. Roads and wildlife: impacts, mitigation and implications
 for wildlife management in Australia. Wildl. Res. 37, 320–331. doi:10.1071/wr09171
- Temple, S.A., 1987. Do predators always capture substandard individuals disproportionately
 from prey populations? Ecology 68, 669–674. doi:10.2307/1938472
- Trombulak, S.C., Frissell, C.A., 2000. Review of ecological effects of roads on terrestrial and
 aquatic communities. Conserv. Biol. 14, 18–30.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2011. Draft recovery plan for the Mount Graham red squirrel
 (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus grahamensis*), First Revision. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
 Southwest Region, Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1987. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants;
 determination of endangered status for the Mount Graham red squirrel. Fed. Regist. 52, 20994–20999.
- van der Ree, R., Cesarini, S., Sunnucks, P., Moore, J.L., Taylor, A., 2010. Large gaps in canopy
 reduce road crossing by a gliding mammal. Ecol. Soc. 15, 35. doi:35
- Wilson, R.F., Marsh, H., Winter, J., 2007. Importance of canopy connectivity for home range
 and movements of the rainforest arboreal ringtail possum (*Hemibelideus lemuroides*). Wildl.
 Res. 34, 177–184. doi:10.1071/wr06114
- 628 Zugmeyer, C.A., Koprowski, J.L., 2009. Severely insect-damaged forest: a temporary trap for
- red squirrels? For. Ecol. Manage. 257, 464–470. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2008.09.020
- 630

631 **Figure Legends**:

- Figure 1. Illustration of linear features on Mt. Graham, Arizona. (a) Location of roads, road
- edges and random lines. SW: Arizona State Highway 366, AC: access road, BC: Bible Camp
- Road, SO: Soldier Trail. (b) Illustration of midden of Mt. Graham red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus*
- 635 *hudsonicus grahamensis*), 100-m buffer surrounding a road section, and examples of red
- squirrels locations on the proximal (fix-proximal) and distal side of the road (fix-distal).
- 637 Figure 2. Probability of 95% (total) and 50% (core) fixed kernel home ranges of Mt. Graham red
- 638 squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus grahamensis*) that include linear features: low (<10
- vehicles/day), medium (20-40 vehicles/day) and high (50-100 vehicles/day) traffic roads, road
- 640 edges, and random lines in a forest serve as references.
- 641 Figure 3.
- Probability of 95% (total) and 50% (core) fixed kernel home ranges of Mt. Graham red squirrels
- 643 (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus grahamensis*) that include linear areas with low to high canopy cover.

Table 1. Estimated coefficients of generalized linear mixed models for probability of 95% and 50
 % fixed kernel home ranges of Mt. Graham red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus grahamensis*)

	95% Kernel			50% Kernel		
Variables	Estimate	SE	Р	Estimate	SE	Р
Linear features						
Random line	0.29	0.52	0.56	-1.41	0.51	0.005
Low traffic road	-1.99	0.94	0.04	-3.71	0.96	< 0.00
Medium traffic road	0.19	0.97	0.85	-2.90	1.16	0.01
High traffic road	-1.35	0.79	0.09	-4.10	0.91	< 0.00
Road edges	1.47	0.60	0.02	-1.30	0.51	0.01
Canopy cover (0-25%)	-2.17	0.94	0.020	-3.75	1.28	0.003
Canopy cover (25-50%)	-0.76	0.72	0.286	-2.75	0.79	< 0.00
Canopy cover (50-75%)	0.96	0.56	0.088	-0.92	0.51	0.07
Canopy cover (75-100%)	0.80	0.60	0.187	-1.73	0.58	0.003
Sex (Male)	0.72	0.47	0.13	1.22	0.51	0.02
Season ^a \times sex (spring as reference)						
Summer	1.69	0.45	< 0.001	0.82	0.36	0.02
Summer × male	-0.75	0.55	0.17	-0.85	0.52	0.10
Fall	1.32	0.43	0.002	0.06	0.36	0.87
Fall \times male	-2.08	0.55	0.001	-1.00	0.57	0.08
Winter	0.67	0.42	0.11	0.19	0.35	0.59
Winter × male	-1.30	0.52	0.01	-1.25	0.54	0.02
Body mass (g) ^b	-0.15	0.13	0.24	-0.28	0.13	0.03

646 including linear features, 2008-2012, Mt. Graham, Arizona, USA

^aSpring: March-May, summer: June-August, fall: September-November, winter: December-

648 January

^b The amount of change in the logit of overlap with 1 SD change from its mean

Table 2. Effects of environmental characteristics and squirrel factors on probability of crossing

random lines in forests by Mt. Graham red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus grahamensis*),

Variables	Estimate ^a	SE	Р
Distance to midden (m)	-0.47	0.10	< 0.001
Maximum canopy cover (%)	0.89	0.37	0.02
Slope	-0.50	0.28	0.07
Distance to the nearest road (m)	0.05	0.31	0.88
Aspect (degree to north)	-0.01	0.07	0.88
Distance to fire boundaries (m)	0.03	0.24	0.90
Season ^b (spring as reference)			
Summer	0.48	0.19	0.01
Fall	0.27	0.19	0.17
Winter	0.32	0.19	0.10
Body mass (g)	-0.06	0.06	0.31
Sex (Male)	-0.18	0.35	0.61

652 2008-2012, Mt. Graham, Arizona, USA.

653 ^a For continuous variables, estimate shows the amount of change in the logit of crossing with 1

654 SD change from its mean

^bSpring: March-May, summer: June-August, fall: September-November, winter: December-

656 January

Table 3. Effects of road characteristics and squirrel factors on rate of road crossing by Mt.

658 Graham red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus grahamensis*), 2008-2012, Mt. Graham, Arizona,

659 USA.

Variables	Estimate ^a	SE	Р
Environment			
Traffic- medium (low as reference)	1.61	1.58	0.31
Traffic- high (low as reference)	1.48	2.93	0.61
Distance to midden (m)	-0.31	0.16	0.07
Mean slope	-0.37	0.38	0.34
Aspect (degree to north)	-0.06	0.29	0.83
Minimum road width (m)	0.17	1.19	0.88
Maximum SD of tree height (m)	0.80	0.11	0.01
Squirrel			
Sex (Male)	-0.28	0.34	0.42
Body mass (g)	0.23	0.14	0.11
Mating season (spring & summer)	1.15	0.50	0.02
Presence of mates-distal ^b	1.32	0.37	< 0.001
Number of mates-proximate ^c	0.42	0.16	0.008

^a For continuous variables, estimate shows the amount of change in the log transformed rate of

road crossing with 1 SD change from its mean

^bPresence of mates-distal: presence of potential mates on the other side of roads

⁶⁶³ ^cNumber of mates-proximate: number of potential mates on resident side of roads