# Dead Wood and the Richness of Small Terrestrial Vertebrates in Southwestern Oregon<sup>1</sup>

## Chris C. Maguire<sup>2</sup>

#### Abstract

In southwestern Oregon, 24 mature forest stands were used to test the hypothesis that species richness of small terrestrial vertebrates is positively correlated with dead wood volume, and to compare dead wood loads between capture and non-capture sites for species encountered. Dead wood was separated into two components: coarse woody debris (CWD)-defined as down wood of any length  $\geq 10$  cm in diameter—and snags, defined as standing dead wood  $\geq$ 0.5 m in height and  $\geq$  25 cm in diameter. The volume of CWD in stands ranged from 50 to 860 m<sup>3</sup>/ha and snag volumes ranged from 10 to 240 m<sup>3</sup>/ha. Small terrestrial vertebrates numbered between 8 and 20 species per stand based on a pitfall sampling effort of approximately 3,600 trap nights per stand over 2 years. Regression analysis revealed that the species richness of all terrestrial vertebrates taken as a single group increased with increasing volumes of CWD. Viewed as separate taxonomic groups, species richness of small mammals, insectivores, and amphibians all correlated positively with CWD volume; rodent richness showed no significant relationship with CWD. None of the vertebrate groups disclosed significant correlations between species richness and snag volume. Although some individual species at the stand scale did not appear to associate with dead wood, the study results do not preclude the importance of dead wood as a microhabitat feature. The results of this study predict that if all stands are managed to Federal CWD targets in southwestern Oregon, the full component of small terrestrial vertebrates typical of Pacific Northwest forests will not be realized.

## Introduction

Many species of wildlife use dead wood as breeding habitat, for cover, or as a source of prey (Bartels and others 1985). In the Pacific Northwest, regulations exist for the management of dead wood on forestlands for the purpose of accommodating wildlife (Oregon Department of Forestry 1991, USDA and USDI 1994). Despite specific number, dimensions, and decay class requirements, dead wood targets frequently vary little for vastly different forest community types partly because of limited available data on natural dead wood conditions and threshold levels of snags and down wood needed to maintain species diversity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> An abbreviated version of this paper was presented at the Symposium on the Ecology and Management of Dead Wood in Western Forests, November 2-4, 1999, Reno, Nevada.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Forest Science, Richardson Hall, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331 (e-mail: chris.maguire@orst.edu)

Appropriate amounts of dead wood for managed forests continue to be debated. Safety and economic concerns often are at odds with wildlife needs particularly when snags and down wood are created from green trees to meet management objectives, thereby compromising potential revenue (Hope and McComb 1994, Wick and others 1985). Compounding the issue is a poor database correlating wildlife diversity and abundance with varying levels of dead wood, and hence, a lack of basic information on which to base reliable dead wood goals (McComb and Lindenmayer 1999). Therefore, research is necessary to identify critical levels of dead wood needed to support diverse forest wildlife communities, ascertain the level of dead wood above which diversity is no longer served, and evaluate whether current dead wood guidelines are adequately meeting the needs of the wildlife for which they were created. In short, quantification of the relationship between amount of dead wood and small terrestrial vertebrates will allow explicit recognition of the biological costs and benefits of establishing a given dead wood target.

The objectives of this study were to test the hypothesis that species richness of small terrestrial vertebrates is positively correlated with dead wood volume, and to shed light on richness trends by comparing dead wood loads between capture and non-capture sites for species encountered. These relationships were tested with data from upland forest stands in southwestern Oregon.

## **Methods**

#### Study Area

Twenty-four 13-ha Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) dominated mature upland stands from the Umpqua National Forest in southwestern Oregon were selected for study (*table 1*) (see Aubry and others [1999], and Abbott and others [1999] for site selection criteria). Six stands are located in each of four separate areas with stands within areas frequently adjacent. The stands generally have southeast to southwest aspects and slopes ranging from flat to approximately 60 percent. Most stands have a history of thinning or salvage logging. The stands make up the Oregon component of DEMO (Demonstration of Ecosystem Management Options), a USDA Forest Service funded study initiated in the 1990s to examine the responses of diverse groups of forest organisms and processes to variation in the amount and/or pattern of residual live trees after harvest (Halpern and Raphael 1999). Information presented represents pre-harvest conditions in the overall DEMO study plan.

## Vertebrate Sampling

An 8 x 8 or 7 x 9 grid with 40-m spacing between grid points was established as the template for animal trapping and dead wood sampling (see Aubry and others [1999] for grid spacing justification). Pitfall traps constructed of 2 number 10 cans (final dimensions: 15-cm diameter x 72-cm length) were sunk into the ground at each grid point and operated as removal traps for 28 consecutive days in October and November 1995 and 1996 (approximately 1,800 trap nights per stand per year) to assess small terrestrial mammal and amphibian abundance. Although time constrained searches (TCS) often are recommended for sampling amphibians directly utilizing down wood, pitfall traps have the benefit of more successfully sampling the array of species present (Bury and Corn 1988). In addition, TCS are not always more effective than pitfall traps (Maguire, pers. observ.). Captured animals were transported to the laboratory and identified.

Voucher specimens from this study are housed in museums at Harvard in Cambridge, Massachusetts; Shippensburg University in Pennsylvania; Texas A & M in College Station; and the University of Alaska in Fairbanks. Museum staff verified species.

Block/stand	Stand	Elevation	<b>B</b> A <sup>1</sup>	Mean	Snags	CWD <sup>3</sup>
no.	age (yr)	(m)	(m²/ha)	DBH <sup>2</sup> (cm)	(m <sup>3</sup> /ha)	(m <sup>3</sup> /ha)
Watson						
Falls						
1	110	1,312	46.90	61	120.9	188.7
2 3	110	946	42.99	51	83.1	67.4
3	110	1,159	42.99	51	25.0	190.9
4	130	1,312	58.62	61	109.4	185.8
5	130	946	42.99	51	41.5	142.6
6	130	946	58.62	51	48.2	96.6
Little River						
1	400-520	1,281	128.74	122	193.2	547.9
2 3	250-300	1,373	91.95	91	175.3	205.4
3	300	1,281	103.45	97	70.9	219.0
4	200-250	1,312	80.46	114	240.1	183.2
5	300	1,251	103.45	97	100.0	261.7
6	225-325	1,312	74.71	86	25.7	94.1
Layng						
Creek <sup>4</sup>						
1	80	763	35.86	46	34.1	425.8
2 3	60	671	27.82	41	100.4	383.9
	80	763	35.86	46	38.3	565.2
4	60	671	30.34	43	54.0	862.1
5	65	488	27.82	41	11.0	262.6
6	80	671	28.05	48	38.6	374.5
Dog Prairie						
1	165	1,647	68.97	61	78.7	58.4
2	165	1,647	68.97	61	54.8	91.1
3	165	1,525	68.97	61	125.5	180.0
4	165	1,647	68.97	61	57.1	62.4
5	165	1,647	68.97	61	28.4	50.5
6	165	1,525	68.97	61	142.5	232.9

**Table 1**—Pre-harvest characteristics of the 24 DEMO stands in the Umpqua National Forest in southwestern Oregon.

 $^{1}$ BA = basal area

 $^{2}$  DBH = diameter at breast height

 $^{3}$  CWD = coarse woody debris

<sup>4</sup> All six stands are second growth.

## Dead Wood Sampling

Dead wood was sampled on an average of 42 (range: 32 to 64) grid points per stand during snow-free months in 1994 through 1996. Selection of points was based on planned harvest treatments (Halpern and others 1999). Snags were counted on a

circular 0.08-ha (15.96-m radius) plot centered on each of 1,037 sampling points, and diameters, height classes, and decay classes (Cline and others 1980) were recorded. Snags were defined as standing dead wood  $\geq 25$  cm in diameter and  $\geq 0.5$  m in height. Coarse woody debris (CWD) was measured (Brown 1974) at 851 sampling stations across the 24 stands using four 6-m transects radiating out at 90° angles 4 meters from each sample point. Diameter of CWD at the point of intersection with the line transects, length, and decay class were recorded. CWD was defined as down wood of any length  $\geq 10$  cm in diameter. Snag and CWD volume estimates were calculated for each stand.

#### Analytical Methods

The number of species found in a location is tied to the number of captures (Rosenzweig 1995), i.e., the likelihood of encountering rare species increases with increasing sample size. When comparing species richness across sites where captures are unequal, the sample issue can be overcome by standardizing counts as in the rarefaction method outlined in Krebs (1989). In this richness estimation method, the number of species within each stand is predicted from a set number of randomly sampled individuals taken from the population. In this study, the mid-value from the range of stand captures for each vertebrate group was used to compute expected richness estimates by the rarefaction method.

Relationships between dead wood volume across the 24 stands and species richness estimates for standardized captures were examined by regression analysis. Both linear and log model forms were explored. To examine individual species associations with CWD volume that contributed to the richness trends observed at the stand level, Welch's approximate-t for unequal variance (Zar 1984) was used to test for differences in CWD volumes between capture and non-capture sites of each species. Siskiyou and Townsend's chipmunks (*Tamias siskiyou* and *T. townsendii*, respectively), northern flying squirrels (*Glaucomys sabrinus*), and ermines (*Mustela erminea*) were excluded from t-test analysis because pitfall traps are not the best method for capturing these species; and other methods employed in the DEMO study showed that these species occurred on some sites additional to those on which they were captured in pitfalls (Lehmkuhl and others 1999). Significance was tested at  $\alpha \leq 0.05$ .

## **Results and Discussion**

## Dead Wood

The volume of CWD in stands ranged from approximately 50 to 860 m<sup>3</sup>/ha (*table 1*). These amounts represent volumes approximately 5 to 80 times the amount mandated by the Northwest Forest Plan (USDA and USDI 1994) for the Umpqua National Forest, but they are within the previously recognized range for terrestrial forest ecosystems (Harmon and others 1986).

Despite past salvage and selective harvest in the stands, CWD volumes still tracked the chronosequence "U"-shaped trajectory predicted by Spies and Franklin (1988) and observed in a variety of vegetation types for naturally regenerated forests (e.g., Agee and Huff 1987, McCarthy and Bailey 1994, Spies and others 1988, Sturtevant and others 1997, Wells and Trofymow 1997); that is, the largest CWD

volumes are associated with both the youngest and the oldest stands (*fig. 1*). High numbers and volumes of down wood occur early in stand development after a catastrophic disturbance and in old-growth forests as dead wood accumulates (Spies and others 1988). Human disturbance in these forests did not significantly alter this pattern.



**Figure 1**—Mean stand volumes of coarse woody debris (CWD) and snags across stand ages for the 24 stands presented in *table 1*. Age groupings are 60/65, 80, 110, 130, 165, 275 (200-325), and 460 (400-520) years.

Snag volumes ranged from 10 to 240 m<sup>3</sup>/ha across stands. Spies and Franklin (1988) also predicted a "U"-shaped developmental trajectory for snags in addition to CWD, but this pattern was not observed in these stands *(fig. 1)*, possibly due to significant sacrifice or salvage of snags during past harvesting or the lack of snags during stand establishment. Nonetheless, similar non-"U" trends for snag volume have been found in other forest types (Sturtevant and others 1997, Tyrrell and Crow 1994). Snags and CWD volumes were poorly correlated ( $r^2 = 0.001$ , p = 0.87).

## Species Richness

Regression analysis revealed that the species richness of all terrestrial vertebrates taken as a single group increased with increasing volumes of CWD and all dead wood (snags and CWD), but had no significant relationship with snag volume *(table 2)*. Small mammals taken as a group, insectivores, and amphibians all reflected the same trends. The significance and linear relationship between richness and total dead wood volume was impacted by the substantial CWD component of total dead wood. Rodent richness was not correlated with any measure of dead wood volume.

**Table 2**—Best fit regression models for the relationship between a variety of dead wood volumes and species richness of small terrestrial vertebrates estimated from the rarefaction technique (Krebs 1989). Species richness was estimated for the sample sizes in parentheses; sample sizes represent the mid-value of captures across 24 stands in the Umpqua National Forest, Oregon.

Coarse woody debris volume (m <sup>3</sup> /ha)							
Species group	Model form <sup>1</sup>	$r^2$	р				
All vertebrates $(n = 326)$	$\log (SR) = 0.785 + 0.160 \log (CWD)$	0.42	0.0007				
All mammals $(n = 301)$	$\log (SR) = 0.797 + 0.101 \log (CWD)$	0.32	0.004				
Rodents ( $n = 117$ )	$\log(SR) = 0.451 + 0.105 \log(CWD)$	0.14	0.07				
Insectivores $(n = 212)$	$\log(SR) = 0.507 + 0.109 \log(CWD)$	0.28	0.009				
Amphibians $(n = 20)$	$\log (SR) = -0.152 + 0.289 \log (CWD)$	0.33	0.003				
	Snag volume (m <sup>3</sup> /ha)	-					
Species group	Model form	$r^2$	р				
All vertebrates $(n = 326)$	SR = 14.890 - 0.007 SNAG	0.02	0.48				
All mammals $(n = 301)$	SR = 11.203 - 0.006 SNAG	0.05	0.30				
Rodents $(n = 117)$	SR = 5.559 - 0.007 SNAG	0.12	0.09				
Insectivores $(n = 212)$	SR = 5.905 - 0.002 SNAG	0.01	0.59				
Amphibians $(n = 20)$	$\log(SR) = 0.311 + 0.103 \log(SNAG)$	0.04	0.33				
	2						
Total dead wood volume (m <sup>3</sup> /ha)							
Species group	Model form	$r^2$	р				
All vertebrates $(n = 326)$	$\log(SR) = 0.744 + 0.166 \log(DW)$	0.30	0.005				
All mammals $(n = 301)$	$\log(SR) = 0.786 + 0.099 \log(DW)$	0.20	0.03				
Rodents ( $n = 117$ )	$\log(SR) = 0.481 + 0.086 \log(DW)$	0.06	0.24				
Insectivores $(n = 212)$	$\log (SR) = 0.480 + 0.113 \log (DW)$	0.20	0.03				
Amphibians $(n = 20)$	$\log(SR) = -0.296 + 0.329 \log(DW)$	0.29	0.007				

 ${}^{1}SR$  = species richness = number of species estimated for a sample of n individuals; CWD = coarse woody debris volume; SNAG = snag volume; DW = total dead wood volume = CWD + SNAG volumes.

The results indicate that CWD volume is a better predictor of species richness at the stand level for small terrestrial vertebrates than either snag or total dead wood volumes in southwestern Oregon, even though snags provide a future source of down wood (Spies and others 1988). Many amphibians and mammals exploit snag cavities, flaking bark on snags, or insect and fungal food resources inhabiting snags (Dupuis and others 1995); but for many small terrestrial vertebrates, the absence of snags does not appear to be a limiting factor (Bunnell and others 1997).

Mammals represent the largest number of terrestrial vertebrate species associated with down wood (Brown 1985). Although species abundance may increase as dead wood abundance increases, many mammalian species that use down wood are not believed to require it (Bunnell and others 1997). The exception may be the insectivores. Down wood and insect levels often are tightly linked (e.g., Torgersen and Bull 1995), and insect outbreaks frequently are associated with unnaturally high levels of dead wood resulting from active fire suppression (Campbell and Liegel 1996). Because of the insect/dead wood linkage, animals that primarily consume insects, such as the insectivores, often have close ties to CWD, as is evident in this study. Conversely, insects represent only a portion of most rodent diets, and rodent richness was not strongly tied to down wood.

Amphibians also feed on insects, and they too had richness levels significantly correlated with CWD volume. In addition, amphibians, particularly salamanders, require moist habitat conditions, and the stable, moist micro-environment provided by the space beneath logs or the decomposing interior of logs is well suited to their life requisites (Bury and Corn 1988, Corn and Bury 1991a, DeMaynedier and Hunter 1995).

#### Individual Species

The number and type of animal species encountered varied widely across the 24 stands *(table 3)*. Twenty-nine species were captured, including 7 salamanders, 2 frogs, 6 insectivores, 13 rodents, and 1 carnivore. The number of species in any stand ranged from 8 to 20, a richness range consistent with other studies (Bury and Corn 1988, Gomez and Anthony 1998).

With the exception of the rough-skinned newt (Taricha granulosa) and the spotted frog (Rana pretiosa)—species that both spend a major portion of their lives in or near water-previous studies suggest that the remaining seven species of amphibians associate with down wood when on land (Brown 1985, Bury and Corn 1988, Bury and others 1991, Corn and Bury 1991a, Stelmock and Harestad 1979). To evaluate the consistency of these relationships, CWD volumes between capture and non-capture stands for each species were tested for differences. Results did not always reflect the trends noted above. Dunn's salamander (Plethodon dunni) and western red-backed salamander (P. vehiculum) were captured on stands with greater volumes of CWD than non-capture stands. This positive association with down wood also extended to the rough-skinned newt. Conversely, Pacific treefrog (Pseudacris regilla) was located on stands with less CWD. Species that did not express significant relationships with down wood include clouded salamander (Aneides ferreus), northwestern salamander (Ambystoma gracile), and Pacific giant salamander (Dicamptodon tenebrosus). The spotted frog and ensatina (Ensatina eschscholtzii) were not analyzed because spotted frog was captured on only one stand while ensatina was captured on all stands but one (table 3).

Insectivores as a group were encountered more frequently than amphibians (table 3). Both the Trowbridge's and Pacific shrews (Sorex trowbridgii and S. *pacificus*, respectively) were present in all stands, and the vagrant shrew (S. vagrans) was located in all but one stand. Trowbridge's shrew is the most common shrew in Pacific Northwest forests west of the Cascade crest (Carey and Johnson 1995, Gomez and Anthony 1998); however, Dalquest (1941) stated that it is rarely found when the vagrant shrew is present. The results of the current study document the consistent overlap of Trowbridge's and vagrant shrews. Some research suggests that the vagrant shrew prefers moist open areas (Gomez and Anthony 1998, Hawes 1977) and is uncommon or absent in Douglas-fir forests (Terry 1981). These findings are inconsistent with the results of this study as all 23 sites where the vagrant shrew was found were upland Douglas-fir stands (table 1). Although some past research indicates that the vagrant shrew does indeed inhabit forested areas (e.g., Corn and Bury 1991b, Hooven and Black 1976), this earlier work has been met with skepticism because of the lack of voucher specimens (Verts and Carraway 1998) and earlier confusion concerning the taxonomic status of the species (Carraway 1990).

Table 3—Species of terrestrial vertebrates captured in pitfall traps in 24 stands located in 4
blocks across the Umpqua National Forest in southwestern Oregon. Number 1 indicates that
the species was captured; 0 represents no capture.

Research blocks Stand No.						
	Watson Falls	Little River	Layng Creek	Dog Prairie		
	1 2 3 4 5 6	123456	1 2 3 4 5 6	1 2 3 4 5 6		
Amphibians						
Salamanders						
Ambystoma	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 0 1 1	111001		
gracile	111111	111111	111011	111001		
Aneides ferreus	000000	100111	1 1 0 0 1 1	000001		
Dicamptodon	000000	101011	1 1 0 1 0 0	000000		
tenebrosus Ensatina	000000	101011	1 1 0 1 0 0	000000		
eschscholtzii	111111	111110	111111	111111		
Plethodon	1 1 1 1 1 1	111110	1 1 1 1 1 1	111111		
dunni	000000	000000	011001	000000		
Plethodon	000000	000000	011001	000000		
vehiculum	000000	000000	111111	$1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0$		
Taricha						
granulosa	011111	101111	111111	000000		
0						
Frogs						
Pseudacris						
regilla	010010	$0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0$	$0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0$	$0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0$		
Rana pretiosa	000000	010000	000000	000000		
M						
Mammals						
Insectivores Neurotrichus						
gibbsii	111111	111011	111111	011011		
Scapanus	1 1 1 1 1 1	111011	1 1 1 1 1 1	011011		
orarius	101000	001100	111111	010101		
Sorex bendirii	0 0 0 0 0 0	010110	111111	010000		
Sorex pacificus	111111	111111	111111	111111		
Sorex						
trowbridgii	111111	111111	111111	111111		
Sorex vagrans	111111	$1\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 1\ 1$	111111	$1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1$		
<b>.</b>						
Rodents						
Arborimus albinas	000000	000000	000111	000000		
albipes Arborimus	000000	000000	000111	000000		
Arborimus longicaudus	000000	101011	111000	010000		
Clethrionomys	000000	101011	111000	010000		
californicus	111111	111111	111111	111111		
Glaucomys						
sabrinus	000000	101100	001011	001000		
Microtus	• •					
oregoni	111111	110111	110111	111111		
Microtus						
richardsoni	000000	$1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0$	001011	$1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0$		
Microtus						
townsendii	$0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0$	010111	000011	$0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0$		

(table 3 continued)						
Research blocks						
Stand No.						
	Watson Falls	Little River	Layng Creek	Dog Prairie		
	123456	123456	123456	123456		
Peromyscus						
maniculatus	111111	111111	111111	111111		
Phenacomys						
intermedius	000100	$0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0$	$0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0$	$0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0$		
Tamias siskiyou	111100	$0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0$	$0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0$	$0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0$		
Tamias						
townsendii	$0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0$	011111	001100	$0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0$		
Thomomys						
mazama	111101	001001	$0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0$	011100		
Zapus						
trinotatus	010010	$1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0$	111111	$1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0$		
Carnivores						
Mustela						
erminea	001011	$1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0$	001010	000001		

All six of the insectivorous species observed in this study are believed to derive habitat benefits from down wood (Brown 1985, Carey and Johnson 1995, Gilbert and Allwine 1991). The almost uniform presence of Pacific, Trowbridge's, and vagrant shrews across the 24 stands would imply that these shrews are not limited by CWD. However, studies at the micro-habitat scale suggest otherwise (reviewed in Verts and Carraway 1998). Of the three insectivorous species for which t-tests could be performed *(table 4),* coast mole *(Scapanus orarius)* did not demonstrate differences in CWD volume between capture and non-capture stands, but both the shrew-mole *(Neurotrichus gibbsii)* and the Pacific water shrew *(Sorex bendirii)* were captured on stands where CWD volumes were more than twice that found on non-capture stands. Interestingly, although the shrew-mole and the Pacific water shrew are both thought to favor moist habitats (Gomez and Anthony 1998), they only overlapped on 9 stands while one or the other species was present on 22 of the 24 study stands. These results suggest that for at least some species, down wood likely works in conjunction with other habitat features to influence stand suitability.

Rodents had a wide range in site occurrence, but only two species showed significant differences in CWD volumes between capture and non-capture stands *(table 4).* Western pocket gopher *(Thomomys mazama)* was observed on stands with less down wood, and Pacific jumping mouse *(Zapus trinotatus)* was observed on stands with greater down wood compared with non-capture sites. Neither species is believed to have strong dead wood habitat relationships (Brown 1985, Gilbert and Allwine 1991, Gomez and Anthony 1998); however, little is known of the habitat requirements of the jumping mouse (Verts and Carraway 1998) despite its apparent preference for riparian areas (Gomez and Anthony 1998). In addition, Verts and Carraway (1998) contend that the western pocket gopher does not occupy dense forest areas, but results from this study and others, and confirmed voucher specimens conflict with this claim.

Table 4—Results of Welch's approximate t-tests for comparing differences in mean coarse
woody debris (CWD) volumes (m <sup>3</sup> /ha) in stands in the Umpqua National Forest, Oregon,
where small terrestrial vertebrate species were and were not located.

	Located		Not located				
	Stands	CWD	Stands	CWD	t	df	р
	<b>(n)</b>		<b>(n)</b>				-
Amphibians							
Salamanders							
Ambystoma gracile	21	236	3	325	0.33	2	0.77
Aneides ferreus	9	307	15	211	1.32	21	0.2
Dicamptodon	7	399	17	185	2.14	7	0.07
tenebrosus							
Ensatina eschscholtzii	23	254	1	94			
Plethodon dunni	3	441	21	219	2.97	4	0.04
Plethodon vehiculum	7	419	17	176	2.46	7	0.04
Taricha granulosa	16	304	8	133	2.85	20	0.01
U U							
Frogs							
Pseudacris regilla	3	100	21	268	3.42	19	0.00
Rana pretiosa	1	205	23	249			
Mammals							
Insectivores							
Neurotrichus gibbsii	21	268	3	101	2.79	8	0.02
Scapanus orarius	13	311	11	172	1.88	20	0.07
Sorex bendirii	10	362	14	166	2.51	13	0.03
Sorex pacificus	24	247	0				
Sorex trowbridgii	24	247	ů 0				
Sorex vagrans	23	248	1	219			
Rodents							
Arborimus albipes	3	500	21	211	1.54	2	0.26
Arborimus	8	324	16	209	1.40	14	0.18
longicaudus	0	521	10	207	1.10		0.10
Clethrionomys	24	247	0				
californicus	27	247	0				
Microtus oregoni	22	234	2	392	0.89	1	0.54
Microtus richardsoni	5	362	19	217	1.40	5	0.34
Microtus townsendii	3 7	206	19	264	0.86	21	0.22
	24	208 247	0	204	0.80	21 	0.4
Peromyscus maniculatus	24	247	0				
	1	186	23	250			
Phenacomys	1	180	23	230			
intermedius Theorem and an and an	10	120	14	226	2.01	15	0.00
Thomomys mazama	10	138	14	326	3.01	15	0.00
Zapus trinotatus	11	359	13	152	2.78	11	0.02

Of the remaining species, four are believed to require down wood in their habitat and four appear little impacted by it (Brown 1985). Three of the four species that use CWD were common across the study sites and their routine occurrence in Oregon forests is well documented (e.g., Carraway and Verts 1985, Doyle 1987, Gomez and Anthony 1998, Rosenberg and others 1994, and reviewed in Verts and Carraway 1998). These include western red-backed vole *(Clethrionomys californicus)*, creeping vole (*Microtus oregoni*), and deer mouse (*Peromyscus maniculatus*). The fourth species, white-footed vole (*Arborimus albipes*), is one of the rarest microtines in North America (Voth and others 1983). Although it is captured infrequently in a variety of habitats (reviewed in Verts and Carraway 1998), it appears to closely associate with abundant deciduous vegetation (Gomez and Anthony 1998, McComb and others 1993, Voth and others 1983).

The four rodent species captured that do not appear to associate with dead wood at the stand level include red tree vole (*Arborimus longicaudus*), water vole (*Microtus richardsoni*), Townsend's vole (*M. townsendii*) and heather vole (*Phenacomys intermedius*). Red tree voles are primarily arboreal rodents, water voles inhabit streamsides, Townsend's voles occupy moist environments, and heather vole habitat remains under review (Verts and Carraway 1998). Only one heather vole was captured in this study.

There are a number of potential reasons for the inconsistency of some of the wildlife/CWD relationships observed in this study versus other published works: Type I or Type II errors may occur in the statistical analysis of species associations with down wood; the prospective rather than experimental nature of the study may have produced some false results; sampling method bias may have affected the number of species encountered; stand scale associations may not adequately reflect microhabitat associations; and sampling an incomplete range of all possible down wood conditions may have masked species sensitivities to low CWD levels.

The lack of representation of all possible dead wood conditions in any given study is probably the biggest disadvantage to using mean CWD values to evaluate species relationships with dead wood based on capture/non-capture data. In addition, capture and non-capture site comparisons unite stands with varying amounts of down wood based solely on the encounter of as few as a single individual with no consideration of population size. Because population abundance for dead wood associates likely correlates with amount of dead wood, regression analysis is more suited to express this relationship and also to identify volumes above which populations are no longer served by additional dead wood. The reliability of regression results, however, is significantly influenced by the reliability of the abundance estimate. Regardless, mean dead wood comparisons between capture and non-capture stands or stands of different age, structure, or management history is the most published statistic for animal/dead wood relationships, and it is frequently used to compare results among studies. Included in the scope of the current study, regression analysis was performed with animal abundance data to expand on the CWD mean comparisons, but regression results will be reported elsewhere.

## Conclusions

Although some small terrestrial species at the stand scale do not appear to associate with dead wood, current results do not preclude the importance of dead wood as a micro-habitat feature. Amphibian and insectivore richness correlates highly with CWD volume and most likely is linked to the importance of dead wood as a habitat moderator for these species and as a source of insect food items. Because of the tie between small vertebrates and CWD coupled with the noted importance of down wood at the micro-habitat scale for many species, CWD manipulation at the stand scale should have a large impact on the richness of ground dwelling vertebrates. The CWD volumes examined in the 24 stands of this study were greater than five times the current CWD Federal targets for southwestern Oregon. If the richness trends observed with down wood volume determined in this study extend to the lower Federal target levels of CWD, then terrestrial vertebrate richness is predicted to be lower than richness observed in this study on sites where minimum Federal CWD targets are implemented. To maintain the full component of small vertebrates typical of Pacific Northwest forests, a logical dead wood management strategy would be to provide for heterogeneity in down wood across the landscape representing the full range of natural levels. The challenge, however, will be to determine the natural range of CWD conditions and to provide an ecological rationale for the proportional allocations of different CWD volumes.

## Acknowledgments

This research is a product of the Demonstration of Ecosystem Management Options (DEMO) study, a joint effort of the USDA Forest Service's Pacific Northwest Region and Pacific Northwest Research Station. Research partners include the University of Washington, Oregon State University, University of Oregon, Gifford Pinchot and Umpqua National Forests, and the Washington State Department of Natural Resources. Special thanks are due to C. B. Halpern, S. A. Evans, T. Manning, and numerous field assistants for data collection, and to T. Manning for assistance with data analysis. The helpful comments of A. B. Carey, J. G. Hallett, W. F. Laudenslayer, Jr., D. A. Maguire, and T. Manning significantly improved the quality of the manuscript.

## References

- Abbott, R. A.; White, J. D.; Woodard, B. L. 1999. The benefits and challenges of largescale silvicultural experiments: perspectives from forest managers on the Demonstration of Ecosystem Management Options (DEMO) study. Northwest Science 73 (Special Issue): 118-125.
- Agee, J. K.; Huff, M. H. 1987. Fuel succession in a western hemlock/Douglas-fir forest. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 17: 697-704.
- Aubry, K. B.; Amaranthus, M. P.; Halpern, C. B.; White, J. D.; Woodward, B. L.; Peterson, C. E.; Lagondakis, C. A.; Horton, A. J. 1999. Evaluating the effects of varying levels and patterns of green-tree retention: experimental design of the DEMO study. Northwest Science 73 (Special Issue): 12-26.
- Bartels, R.; Dell, J. D.; Knight, R. L.; Schaefer, G. 1985. Dead and down woody material. In: Brown, E.R., technical editor. Management of wildlife and fish habitats in forests of western Oregon and Washington. Part I—Chapter narratives. PNW R-6-F&WL-192-1985. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service; 171-186.
- Brown, E. R., technical editor. 1985. Management of wildlife and fish habitats in forests of western Oregon and Washington. Part II—Appendices. PNW R-6-F&WL-192-1985. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service; 332 p.
- Brown, J. K. 1974. Handbook for inventorying downed woody material. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-16. USDA Forest Service; 24 p.
- Bunnell, F. L.; Kremsater, L. L.; Wells, R. W. 1997. Likely consequences of forest management on terrestrial, forest-dwelling vertebrates in Oregon. Report M-7 of the Centre for Applied Conservation Biology, University of British Columbia; 130 p.

- Bury, R. B.; Corn, P. S. 1988. Douglas-fir forests in the Oregon and Washington Cascades: relation of the herpetofauna to stand age and moisture. In: Szaro, R. C.; Severson, K. E.; Patton, D. R., technical coordinators. Management of amphibians, reptiles, and small mammals in North America. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-166. USDA Forest Service; 11-22.
- Bury, R. B.; Corn, P. S.; Aubry, K. B. 1991. Regional patterns of terrestrial amphibian communities in Oregon and Washington. In: Ruggiero, L. F.; Aubry, K. B.; Carey, A. B.; Huff, M. H., technical coordinators. Wildlife and vegetation of unmanaged Douglasfir forests. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-285. USDA Forest Service; 341-350.
- Campbell, S.; Liegel, L., technical coordinators. 1996. Disturbance and forest health in Oregon and Washington. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-381. Portland, OR: USDA-Forest Service; 105 p.
- Carey, A. B.; M. L. Johnson. 1995. Small mammals in managed, naturally young, and oldgrowth forests. Ecological Applications 5: 336-352.
- Carraway, L. N. 1990. A morphologic and morphometric analysis of the "Sorex vagrans species complex" in the Pacific Coast region. Special Publications, The Museum, Texas Tech University 32: 1-76.
- Carraway, L. N.; Verts, B. J. 1985. *Microtus oregoni*. Mammalian Species 233: 1-6.
- Cline, S. P.; Berg, A. B.; Wight, H. M. 1980. Snag characteristics and dynamics in Douglas-fir forests, western Oregon. Journal of Wildlife Management 44: 773-786.
- Corn, P. S.; Bury, R. B. 1991a. Terrestrial amphibian communities in the Oregon Coast range. In: Ruggiero, L. F.; Aubry, K. B.; Carey, A. B.; Huff, M. H., technical coordinators. Wildlife and vegetation of unmanaged Douglas-fir forests. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-285. USDA Forest Service; 305-317.
- Corn, P. S.; Bury, R. B. 1991b. Small mammal communities in the Oregon Coast range. In: Ruggiero, L. F.; Aubry, K. B.; Carey, A. B.; Huff, M. H., technical coordinators. Wildlife and vegetation of unmanaged Douglas-fir forests. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-285. USDA Forest Service; 241-253.
- Dalquest, W. W. 1941. Ecologic relationships of four small mammals in western Washington. Journal of Mammalogy 22: 170-173.
- DeMaynadier, P. G.; Hunter, K. L. 1995. The relationship between forest management and amphibian ecology: a review of the North American literature. Environmental Reviews 3: 230-261.
- Doyle, A. T. 1987. Microhabitat separation among sympatric microtines, *Clethrionomys californicus, Microtus oregoni*, and *M. richardsoni*. The American Midland Naturalist 118: 258-265.
- Dupuis, L. A.; Smith, J. N. M.; Bunnell, F. L. 1995. Relation of terrestrial-breeding amphibian abundance to tree-stand age. Conservation Biology 9: 645-653.
- Gilbert, F. F.; Allwine, R. 1991. Small mammal communities in the Oregon Cascade range. In: Ruggiero, L. F.; Aubry, K. B.; Carey, A. B.; Huff, M. H., technical coordinators. Wildlife and vegetation of unmanaged Douglas-fir forests. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-285. USDA Forest Service; 257-267.
- Gomez, D. M.; Anthony, R. G. 1998. Small mammal abundance in riparian and upland areas of five seral stages in western Oregon. Northwest Science 72: 293-302.
- Halpern, C. B.; Evans, S. A.; Nelson, C. R.; McKenzie, D.; Liguori, D. A.; Hibbs, D. E.; Halaj, M. G. 1999. Response of forest vegetation to varying levels and patterns of green-tree retention: an overview of a long-term experiment. Northwest Science 73 (Special Issue): 27-44.

- Halpern, C. B.; Raphael, M. G. 1999. Special issue on retention harvests in northwestern forest ecosystems: the Demonstration of Ecosystem Management Options (DEMO) study. Northwest Science 73 (Special Issue); 125 p.
- Harmon, M. E.; Franklin, J. F.; Swanson, F. J.; Sollins, P.; Gregory, S. V.; Lattin, J. D.; Anderson, N. H.; Lienkaemper, G. W.; Cromack, K., Jr.; Cummins, K. W. 1986. Ecology of coarse woody debris in temperate ecosystems. Advances in Ecological Research 15: 135-302.
- Hawes, M. L. 1977. Home range, territoriality, and ecological separation in sympatric shrews, Sorex vagrans and Sorex obscurus. Journal of Mammalogy 58: 354-367.
- Hooven, E. F.; Black, H. C. 1976. Effects of some clearcutting practices on small-mammal populations in western Oregon. Northwest Science 50: 189-208.
- Hope, S.; McComb, W. C. 1994. Perceptions of implementing and monitoring wildlife tree prescriptions of national forests in western Washington and Oregon. Wildlife Society Bulletin 22: 383-392.
- Krebs, C. J. 1989. Ecological methodology. New York: Harper & Row, Publishers; 654 p.
- Lehmkuhl, J. F.; West, S. D.; Chambers, C. L.; McComb, W. C.; Manuwal, D. A.; Aubry, K. B.; Erickson, J. L.; Gitzen, R. A.; Leu, M. 1999. An experiment for assessing vertebrate response to varying levels and patterns of green-tree retention. Northwest Science 73 (Special Issue): 45-63.
- McCarthy, B. C.; Bailey, R. R. 1994. Distribution and abundance of coarse woody debris in a managed forest landscape of the central Appalachians. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 24: 1317-1329.
- McComb, W.; Lindenmayer, D. 1999. **Dying, dead, and down trees.** In: Hunter, M. L., Jr., editor. Maintaining biodiversity in forest ecosystems. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press; 335-372.
- McComb, W. C.; McGarigal, K. M.; Anthony, R. G. 1993. Small mammals and amphibians in streamside and upslope habitats of mature Douglas-fir stands, western Oregon. Northwest Science 67: 7-15.
- Oregon Department of Forestry. 1991. **Oregon Forest Practices Act**. Oregon Revised Statutes 527.670, Section 9, Chapter 9.
- Rosenberg, D. K.; Swindle, K. A.; Anthony, R. G. 1994. Habitat associations of California red-backed voles in young and old-growth forests in western Oregon. Northwest Science 68: 266-272.
- Rosenzweig, M. L. 1995. Species diversity in space and time. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press; 436 p.
- Spies, T. A.; Franklin, J. F. 1988. Old-growth and forest dynamics in the Douglas-fir region of western Oregon and Washington. Natural Areas Journal 8: 190-201.
- Spies, T. A.; Franklin, J. F.; Thomas, T. B. 1988. Coarse woody debris in Douglas-fir forests of western Oregon and Washington. Ecology 69: 1689-1702.
- Stelmock, J. J.; Harestad, A. .S. 1979. Food habits and life history of the clouded salamander (Aneides ferreus) on northern Vancouver Island, British Columbia. Syesis 12: 71-75.
- Sturtevant, B. R.; Bissonette, J. A.; Lond, J. N.; Roberts, D. W. 1997. Coarse woody debris as a function of age, stand structure, and disturbance in boreal Newfoundland. Ecological Applications 7: 702-712.
- Terry, C. J. 1981. Habitat differentiation among three species of *Sorex* and *Neurotrichus gibbsii* in Washington. The American Midland Naturalist 106: 119-125.

- Torgersen, T. R.; Bull, E. L. 1995. Down logs as habitat for forest-dwelling ants--the primary prey of pileated woodpeckers in northeastern Oregon. Northwest Science 69: 294-303.
- Tyrrell, L. E.; Crow, T. R. 1994. Structural characteristics of old-growth hemlockhardwood forests in relation to age. Ecology 75: 370-386.
- USDA and USDI. 1994. Record of decision for amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management planning documents within the range of the northern spotted owl; standard and guidelines for management of habitat for latesuccessional and old-growth forest related species within the range of the northern spotted owl. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office. 1994—589-III/00003 Region 10; 74 p. & Attachment A.
- Verts, B. J.; Carraway, L. N. 1998. Land mammals of Oregon. Berkeley, California: University of California Press; 668 p.
- Voth, E. H.; Maser, C.; Johnson, M. L. 1983. Food habits of Arborimus albipes, the whitefooted vole, in Oregon. Northwest Science 57: 1-7.
- Wells, R. W.; Trofymow, J. A. 1997. Coarse woody debris in chronosequences of forests on southern Vancouver Island. BC-X-375. Victoria, British Columbia: Natural Resources Council, Canadian Forestry Service, Pacific Forestry Centre; 35 p.
- Wick, H. L.; Fauss, D.; Zalunardo, R. 1985. Impacts on wood production. In: Brown, E. R., technical editor. Management of wildlife and fish habitats in forests of western Oregon and Washington. Part I—Chapter narratives. R-6-F&WL-192-1985. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service; 307-313.
- Zar, J. H. 1984. **Biostatistical analysis.** 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.; 718 p.