

**OREGON
WILD**

5825 N Greeley Ave.
Portland, OR 972f7
(503) 283-6343

WWW.OREGONWILD.ORG

Portland Eugene Bend ↗

December 19, 2024

Hello Brian,

Thank you for accepting these 300+ unique comments on the Morgan Nesbit Project that were compiled over the last few days from Oregon Wild members and supporters here locally and across the state.

While many use the same language, a significant number are different in whole or part. We hope they'll be considered and posted as the individual comments that they are.

If you have any questions, feel free to be in touch.

Thank you,



Rob Klavins
NE Oregon Field Coordinator | Oregon Wild
rk@oregonwild.org

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Denise Lytle

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Miss Denise Lytle

Comments on Morgan Nesbit Project



Amber Pham

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

The landscape under consideration has many values that outweigh the need for timber, in my mind. This area is special, and preserving it is a rare opportunity to support amazing and diverse wildlife and to leave wild places for the next generation.

Please don't allow the fear of fire for distant communities lead to road building, stream-side logging, and cutting of forests that have never been logged.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. The public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing in this area.

Thank you for your efforts to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Sincerely,
Amber Pham

Real Protection of Morgan Nesbit



Glenna Green

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

I very much appreciate your scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. As one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, it is so important to get this right.

More can be done: the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Glenna Green

Morgan Nesbit Project



Jane Civiletti

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project, I appreciate the changes you've made thus far.

However, the project is still misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place for timber targets.

I urge that you continue to listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public **STRONGLY** supports protecting ecological values and reducing the activities of logging and grazing. Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and especially in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is already out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposes it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Jane Civiletti

Morgan Nesbit Comments



gret Rowe

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dr. gret Rowe

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Tom Pitman

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Tom Pitman

Morgan Nesbit Comments



David Harrison

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

I appreciate that the Morgan Nesbit Project has been scaled back. However, the project is still seriously flawed. I urge you not to include any logging in riparian areas, to avoid logging on steep slopes, and to minimize fuel breaks.

As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing. Please heed input from your staff, native American tribes, and the conservation community.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. The project must decrease the vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further. Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing and instead prioritize natural processes and protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dr. David Harrison

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Jelica Roland

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Jelica Roland

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Shirley Shaw

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Shirley Shaw

Morgan Nesbit Comments



priscilla martinez

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

We need to take better care of what is left of our environment, for wildlife, marine life, plant life, and people.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. priscilla martinez

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Jeff Baldwin

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

The proposed Morgan Nesbit logging sale has been diminished, but not stopped.

Clear cutting kills forests. Tree farms burn better than any other wooded landscape.

The only type of logging we should allow on public lands is selective horse loggin - no petroleum, no soil compaction, no forest death. The logging industry owns plenty of land, we need to restrict clear cutting to those parcels and treat the rest as FORESTS, not tree farms - these are NOT the same thing.

Sincerely,
Dr. Jeff Baldwin

Morgan Nesbit Comments



charles mcsweeney

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Charles McSweeney

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Kalama Reuter

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets. Please preserve untreated habitat patches and maintain connectivity between them to make a functioning ecosystem for the wildlife.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Kalama Reuter

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Chris Berry

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Chris Berry

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Paul Howard

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Paul Howard

Morgan Nesbit Comments



John Hill

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
John Hill

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Diane Taudvin

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

There are thousands of acres of second growth stands that are extremely overcrowded such that the trees are in terrible shape. spindly, sickly and totally ripe for an explosion with the next lightning strike. Obviously the wood in these stands is less valuable but if you seriously wish to minimize fire, these stands are in dire need of heavy thinning. Many of those trees can recover and become healthy stands again. I'm thinking specifically about acearage near and bordered by Dead Indian Memorial Road East of Ashland. I know there are many stands like that needing attention.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposes it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Diane Taudvin

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Dogan ozkan

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Dogan ozkan

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Tammy King

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dr. Tammy King

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Reese Leyva

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Reese Leyva

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Melissa K

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Melissa K

Morgan Nesbit Comments



K Davis

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

Unfortunately, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. K Davis

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Laurie Fraker

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Laurie Fraker

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Ardis Lunn

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

I would like to Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, I feel the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ardis Lunn

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Carolyn Eckel

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Carolyn Eckel

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Stephanie Farac

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Stephanie Farac

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Ann Nowicki

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Ann Nowicki

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Martin Phelps

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Martin Phelps

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Charlotte Campbell

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Campbell

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Mischa Brown

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mischa Brown

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Robert Thornhill

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Miss Robert Thornhill

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Marissa Thompson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Marissa Thompson

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Le R

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms Le R

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Julija Merljak

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Julija Merljak

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Ronna Friend

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ronna Friend

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Susanna Askins

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Susanna Askins

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Brandie Deal

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms Brandie Deal

Morgan Nesbit Comments



M.A. Kruse

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Miss M.A. Kruse

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Krys McConville

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms Krys McConville

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Susan Haywood

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire breaks, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

And let's save all the old trees, both the large ones and the ones for the next generation of old trees. Let's keep wilderness wild for habitat and as a buffer to climate chaos. Let's not carve the forest up with roads and clear-cutting, and let's give wild animals their terrain instead of grazing cattle.

Sincerely,
Ms Susan Haywood

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Josh Laughtland

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Josh Laughtland

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Patricia Nazzaro

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Patricia Nazzaro

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Gail Richardson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Gail Richardson

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Jeffrey Morey

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dr. Jeffrey Morey

Morgan Nesbit Comments



lorraine foster

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
lorraine foster

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Cynthia Mason

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Mason

Morgan Nesbit Comments



ronald gillilan

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. ronald gillilan

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Nancy Ahnert

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Thank you,

Sincerely,
Nancy Ahnert

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Chris Evans

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Chris Evans

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Lilith Gist

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Lilith Gist

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Greg Jacob

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

I'm pleased to hear the Morgan Nesbit Project has been scaled back, but I worry about the misguided "restoration project" in the name of allowing logging in an area that should be off limits for its ecological values. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

Please prioritize road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reduce fish passage barriers, protect wildlife habitat and connectivity, and promote traditional quiet recreation.

Sincerely,
Mr. Greg Jacob

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Mark Scantlebury <scantle@everyactioncustom.com>

to morgan_nesbit_comments@oregonwild.org

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project still overreaches. Backcountry logging is not the way to make communities safer. Real restoration rarely calls for chainsaws and bulldozers. Nor is this a place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I hope you continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community and do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at minimum significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be reduced and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

I ask that you:

- Prioritize real road decommissioning.
- Allow natural processes to unfold.
- Reduce fish passage barriers.
- Use prescribed and natural fire.
- Create snags and downed woody habitat.
- Protect wildlife habitat and connectivity.
- Promote traditional quiet recreation
- Support research, learning and real science

Sincerely,
Mark Scantlebury

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Mike Zottt

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project is waste of public funds, destroys ecosystems, and thus worsens climate change and subsidizes, greedy logging companies, Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

Please keep listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and fa reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Logging in riparian zones should be eliminated here and everywhere. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed, it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mike Zottt

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Mary Omlor

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mary Omlor

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Lisa Brice

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms Lisa Brice

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Travis Allen

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Travis Allen

Morgan Nesbit Comments



michael t williams

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. michael t williams

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Linda Anderson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Linda Anderson

Comment on Morgan Nesbit Project



Dan Jaffee

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

I am pleased to see that USFS has scaled back the Morgan Nesbit Project. However, this project still remains fundamentally misguided. Logging projects such as this one will not make distant communities safer, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I want to urge you to heed the overwhelming sentiment of the public, tribes, and your staff, which strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

In particular, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated entirely. Fuel breaks should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife must be protected, which means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something that they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please completely avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

Wallowa-Whitman National Forest is already heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This so-called “restoration” and “resilience” project, as currently proposed, will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of this project, but I urge you to go further. Please further reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing, and instead prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation.

Thank you for your consideration and attention.

Sincerely,
Dr. Dan Jaffee

Comments on Morgan Nesbit



Lauren Hobson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

First, I want to acknowledge your commitment to scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. Thank you! This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and a mountain range that's close to my heart. I live in Baker County part-time and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

Still, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets. To call this an area of 'restoration' is disingenuous. You know as well as I do that forests, especially mature forests, are best left alone.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in stream side areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease. It disheartens me greatly when backpacking and hiking to see the many roads that crisscross our forests and how much of a disruption they cause to the land and wildlife.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further. Please, please, please. Do the right thing and reduce the extreme impacts this project will have on an extraordinary piece of the forest you're meant to protect.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

I write to you with great hope!

Sincerely,
Ms. Lauren Hobson

How many of the decision makers have actually visited the area in question?



Jeffrey Clark

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

If you haven't, please do! These areas still relatively untouched by man become rarer every day. When we harm nature, the wounds usually take lifetimes to heal, if they do at all. Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dr. Jeffrey Clark

Input on Morgan Nesbit



Anne Kilsch

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Anne Kilsch

make Morgan Nesbit for preservation



Leslie Davis

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Leslie Davis

Morgan Nesbit !!!



Pedro Tai

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Pedro Tai

Morgan Nesbit (Comments)



Kelly Stevens

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Kelly Stevens

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Alison Zyla

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Alison Zyla

Morgan Nesbit Comments - Stop depleting our forests for no good reason!



Barbara Smith

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Barbara Smith



Chris Clarke

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Chris Clarke

Jared Kennedy

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Jared Kennedy

Len Greenwood

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Len Greenwood

David Collins

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
David Collins

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Rhett Lawrence

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

I am a Portland resident, but the Wallowas are one of my favorite places in Oregon.

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Rhett Lawrence

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Denis DeCoursey

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Denis DeCoursey

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Lauren Murdock

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Lauren Murdock

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Frederick Tyler

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Frederick Tyler

Morgan Nesbit Comments - WE OPPOSE THIS PROJECT, WE ASK IT BE TOTALLY ELIMINATED.



Michele Frisella

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

WE NATIVE OREGONIANS CHERISH NE OREGON'S EAGLE CAP + HELL'S CANYON! DO YOU?

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Michele Frisella

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Janine Vinton

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Janine Vinton

Jackie Swartzendruber

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Jackie Swartzendruber

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Starlene Everson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Starlene Everson

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Cory Pinckard

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Cory Pinckard

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Donna Drayer

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Donna Drayer

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Erik Geiger

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Erik Geiger

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Kathryn Lemoine

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Kathryn Lemoine

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Colleen Murray

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Colleen Murray

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Dena Turner

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dena Turner

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Linore Blackstone

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

Why the "target" management; why the continuing threat to these lands and wild beings? What is your ethic?

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Linore Blackstone

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Christopher Athans

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Christopher Athans

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Lynne Boynton

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Lynne Boynton

Morgan Nesbit Comments



James Mulcare

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. James Mulcare

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Kevin Blada

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Kevin Blada

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Bonnie Kuppler

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Bonnie Kuppler

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Karen Yarnell

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Karen Yarnell

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Caroline Sévilla

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Caroline Sévilla

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Judy Kinsman

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Judy Kinsman

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Corrie Podolak

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Corrie Podolak

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Candi Ausman

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Ms. Candi Ausman

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Lynn Tompkins

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Lynn Tompkins

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Gerald Hallead

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Gerald Hallead

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Dori Cole

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dori Cole

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Luan Pinson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Luan Pinson

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Lisa Billings

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Lisa Billings

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Elizabeth Fox

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover. Specifically, it has been proven that areas with dense cover retain moisture that is critical for reducing the spread of fire and also protecting wildlife endangered by fire.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Elizabeth Fox

Morgan Nesbit Comments



JL Angell

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. JL Angell

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Steve Tritz

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far. And thank you for listening to and considering the comments people have submitted on this project.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Thank you for considering my request and listening to my concerns.

Sincerely,
Steve Tritz

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Wally Sykes

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr Wally Sykes

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Michael vanderLaan

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Michael vanderLaan

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Robert Rutkowski

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr Robert Rutkowski

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Jeffrey Monk

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Jeffrey Monk

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Amy vanderLaan

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Amy vanderLaan

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Jeffrey Stone

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Jeffrey Stone

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Joseph Welch

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, the conservation community and those who use the forest for recreation to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. It's been proven that riparian zones are essential. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease in order to prevent habitat and forest fragmentation.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further. Please do what's right for the forest.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, improving riparian zones, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning. This is a special place and it needs to be treated as such. There is only one Eagle Cap Wilderness. Don't destroy it, protect it at all cost and help it flourish for the next generation.

Sincerely,
Joseph Welch

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Laura Chinofsky

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Laura Chinofsky

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Sally White

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

With the changes coming politically, I am URGING and even PLEADING that you continue to EXPAND on the protective measures you have put in place. Please note the previous paragraph for resources available and please utilize them. This is beautiful habitat that needs to be protected.

Sincerely,
Sally White

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Mary Bogle

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mary Bogle

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Bob Carson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

The Wallowas are the Switzerland of Oregon. Hells Canyon is the deepest gorge in the United States. Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Bob Carson

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Caroline Skinner

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

I support Oregon WILD and their concerns about excessive logging. Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Ms Caroline Skinner

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Audrey Bergsma

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Audrey Bergsma

Morgan Nesbit Comments



terri pigford

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
terri pigford

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Robin Hayakawa

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for your work you do protecting and managing our forests. In particular, thanks for the care you have shown the Morgan Nesbit forest tract, and the changes have already made to the backcountry logging project.

That said, the project continues to be a big problem and is underpinned by a premise that just doesn't make sense. Backcountry logging does not make distant communities safer.

Please continue to listen to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated entirely. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please prioritize real road decommissioning, reduce fish passage barriers, use prescribed and natural fire, and protect wildlife habitat and connectivity.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robin Hayakawa

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Coleen McLaughlin

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Coleen McLaughlin

Morgan Nesbit Comments



WANDA CULP

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you greatly for scaling back the aggressive logging plan for the Morgan Nesbit Project, for it is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48. Also appreciated are the changes you've made so far; still, the project planning remains fundamentally misguided and out of U S EPA compliance alignment.

Industrial logging has never made communities safer, however, it will destroy valuable intact habitat along with local economies. Outdoor recreation is primacy to residents; local access is an economy in itself, and a good healthy environment must be top priority on their behalf - during this time of rampant GLOBAL WARMING crisis after crisis.

Forestry is a separate "wild-based science" opposite from "grazing" or "agricultural farming" involving vastly differing landscapes due to its particular climate. It is time the federal agencies match the sciences jurisdictionally involved.

Oregon's FS logged-out landscape has real restoration needs that includes overgrazing, inadequate federal, regional, local maintenance and enforcement. Fire suppression is already highly weakened due to land fragmentation and inability to respond appropriately to resulting intensity. This "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risky businesswise as it will contribute to the worsening of CLIMATE CRISIS.

Scaling back some of the most destructive aspects of this project is a new beginning that can have national influence. Continue hearing the Tribes and local communities whose use of their U S Public-owned Lands at risk, for they provide on-the-ground solutions and strategies.

Oregon's forest management influences my homeland, the Tongass National Forest in S E Alaska where designation of specific sciences is vital to my Tribal existence, i.e. "forestry", "agricultural farming/grazing", "anthropologists", "rural", "urban". . . "Roads" allow opens access to other industrial planners, i.e. mining is primacy - a huge issue in S E Alaska.

This century's CLIMATE CRISIS is now primacy making FOOD 1st a driving force for those of us living and touching Earth and efficiency plus is an orderly need. "Use" and "access" must not be a management condition; rather they must be considered the "top priority local asset" foundation from literally "the ground up".

I commend the U S Agriculture's Forest Service for refocusing land use nationally for such a forum is being realized in S E Alaska through this united strategic planning recognizing that locally we are indeed our own best scientists. In Alaska, the state and D C governments strive to treat Alaska Natives as land tenants rather than the 3rd largest state-wide landowners we are. The newest term describing myself rather Indigenous Tlingit of the Forest and Sea: "Alaska Native Corporate Shareholder".

Sincerely,
Ms. WANDA CULP

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Joanna Nuccio-Stockslager

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Joanna Nuccio-Stockslager

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Laura Hanks

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Laura Hanks

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Nancy Marshall

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Nancy Marshall

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Richard West

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Richard West

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Basey Klopp

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Basey Klopp

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Jessi Snow

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Jessi Snow

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Ellen Pfander

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ellen Pfander

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Marion Hadden

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Marion Hadden

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Victoria Eells

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Victoria Eells

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Debra Randolph

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Debra Randolph

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Dave Gorton <

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dave Gorton

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Mike Brinkley

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dr. Mike Brinkley

Morgan Nesbit Comments



janet forman

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
janet forman

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Jean Wyman

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms Jean Wyman

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Chantal Buslot

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Chantal Buslot

X

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Paul Handover

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Paul Handover

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Dan Price

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dan Price

Morgan Nesbit Comments



George Hutchinson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. George Hutchinson

Morgan Nesbit Comments



M. K. Russell

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dr. M. K. Russell

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Cathleen Wagner

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Cathleen Wagner

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Silvia Bertano

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Silvia Bertano

Morgan Nesbit Comments



John Oda

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. John Oda

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Savannah Miller

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Savannah Miller

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Tracy S Troth

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs Tracy S Troth

Morgan Nesbit Comments



William Obrien

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
William Obrien

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Trina Bodine

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Trina Bodine

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Gina Nesd

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Gina Nesd

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Philip Ratcliff

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Philip Ratcliff

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Donna Harris

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Please listen to the independent scientists research in the podcast "The Truth Behind Forest Management" with Chad Hanson PhD of the John Muir Project and Adam Bronstein of Our Public Lands. He debunks the FS past and present logging policy as being the only way to save our forests and communities from wildfire. Chad's research is peer reviewed and extensive and gives alternatives to the FS thinning, mastication, prescribed burning flow chart that has been handed out to the media as the only way to decrease wildfires.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dr. Donna Harris

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Sean Willis

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Sean Willis

Morgan Nesbit Comments



STACIE CHARLEBOIS

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. STACIE CHARLEBOIS

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Noah Clark

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Noah Clark

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Jody DeLand

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

The best decisions are the hardest ones to make. Please think of the long-term impact of your decision. Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Jody DeLand

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Debbie Fields

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Debbie Fields

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Kris N.

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Kris N.

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Michael Price

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Michael Price

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Alicja Nichols

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Alicja Nichols

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Brent Naylor

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Brent Naylor

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Richard Barker

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Richard Barker

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Mary Gallagher

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Mary Gallagher

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Stephen Hocker

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Stephen Hocker

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Sandi Aden

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Sandi Aden

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Dianne Douglas

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Dianne Douglas

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Ann Dorsey

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Ann Dorsey

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Lorenz Steininger

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Lorenz Steininger

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Kim Koch

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Kim Koch

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Angie Brechtel

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Angie Brechtel

Morgan Nesbit Comments



James Kelso

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. James Kelso

Morgan Nesbit Comments



SHAUNA BOYD

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
SHAUNA BOYD

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Arran Robertson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Arran Robertson

Morgan Nesbit logging



Jill Wyatt

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

I appreciate you scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

Please listen to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I support scaling back of this project further and urge you continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms Jill Wyatt

Morgan Nesbit Project Comments



Martha Vest

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Martha Vest

Morgan Nesbit Project Comments



Mark Reback

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Mark Reback

Morgan Nesbit Project Could Be Further Improved



Adam Logan

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr Adam Logan

Morgan Nesbit Project



Mary Becker

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Please take my message to heart. Once damage is done to this beautiful area, it will be impossible to undo. I hike this area and want to keep it a healthy forest with clean streams for fish to thrive. Listen to what the experts tell you- not the logging company's who are only looking for profits!

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs Mary Becker

Morgan Nesbit Project



Becky Orf

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Although I really appreciate the scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project and the changes that have been made so far, the project remains fundamentally misguided.

Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer. True restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers--this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As you surely know from your polling and the past comment period on this project, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here. Logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover. Avoiding logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged is essential.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this project as proposed overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed, it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

While I appreciate that some of the most destructive aspects of the project have been scaled back it must go further. It is extremely important to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

I urge you to prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Ms. Becky Orf

Morgan Nesbit/OREGON



Diane Daiute

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Is your pension fund liquidating Oregon's forests? Lax state regulations create a timber bonanza for institutional investors.'

<https://www.hcn.org/issues/56-12/is-your-pension-fund-liquidating-oregons-forests/>
<https://www.propublica.org/series/the-cutting>
<https://www.propublica.org/article/oregon-timber-industry-tax-cuts-legislature>
<https://features.propublica.org/oregon-timber/severance-tax-cut-wall-street-private-logging-companies/>

This steep slope in Oregon's Coast Range is littered with ruddy dead branches and freshly cut stumps, but at least it offers sweeping views of the Pacific Ocean. Until recently, the view was blocked by 65-year-old spruce, hemlock and cedar trees. But last summer, the landowner liquidated them, felling six city blocks of mature forest and its biologically diverse understory. State law requires the land to be replanted, but in order to maximize profits, the owner will likely clear-cut it again in 30 or 40 years — well before a mature forest is re-established.

This parcel isn't owned by an individual or a conventional timber company; a pension fund, the Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America, acquired it a decade ago, recognizing the relatively low risks and high returns of investing in timber. Today the land is managed by the pension fund's subsidiary,

.....
Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate

maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Diane Daiute

My comment on the unscientific and destructive Morgan Nesbit proposal



Linda Carroll

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

As an environmentally motivated voter committed to the survival of our amazing nature in the Northwest and the daughter of a scientist, I thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you have made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, scientists, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities such as logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. Diverse forests support healthy, biologically diverse species. It means preserving untreated habitat and maintaining connections between segments of it. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and I encourage you to go the distance to preserve this unique and relatively healthy ecosystem.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dr. Linda Carroll



Our forests and wildlife need to be protected in all ways we can, esp. with the new administration coming in that will ruin our public lands.



Kathryn Fox

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Kathryn Fox

Reject The Morgan Nesbit Project!



Dennis Wingle

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

It is my personal opinion that the best option for the Forest Service in regards to the Morgan Nesbit Project is to SCRAP the project altogether and simply allow NATURE and EVOLUTION take their own respective courses. In a word: Allow the area to REWILD!

Sincerely,
Mr. Dennis Wingle

Save the Eagle Cap from more logging/SAve the trees for nature and all to enjoy



dennis hebert

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. dennis hebert

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Rick Laister

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Rick Laister

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Anne Tuddenham

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Anne Tuddenham

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Brent Rocks

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Brent Rocks

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Mary Scott

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dr. Mary Scott

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Georgia Krantz

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Georgia Krantz

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Len Richardson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

12/18/24, 3:47 PM

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Sincerely,
Mr Len Richardson

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Benton Elliott

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Benton Elliott

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Robin Coen

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Robin Coen

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Michael Noack

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Michael Noack

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Karon Johnson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms Karon Johnson

Morgan Nesbit Comments



louis roth

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
louis roth

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Jay Humphrey

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Jay Humphrey

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Laura Ray

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Laura Ray

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Andrew Simrin

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Rev Andrew Simrin

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Randy Kozar

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Randy Kozar

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Sandra Mann

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Sandra Mann

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Monica Gilman

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Monica Gilman

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Cynthia Cannon

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Cannon

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Justina Lynch

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Justina Lynch

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Jim Coverdell

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Jim Coverdell

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Karen Perkins

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Karen Perkins

Morgan Nesbit Comments



david michalek

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Stop logging my forests including Morgan Nesbit Project.

This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
david michalek

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Jean Chagnon

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dr. Jean Chagnon

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Christine Psyk

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here. We are losing species at an alarming rate nationwide and worldwide. Every inch of current wild habitat needs to be protected and preserved. We no longer have any margins for error.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Christine Psyk

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Carol COLLINS

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
MS Carol COLLINS

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Nancy Brown

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Nancy Brown

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Christine VanHalder

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Christine VanHalder



Morgan Nesbit Comments



Karol Dietrich

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Karol Dietrich

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Maya Abels

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms Maya Abels

Morgan Nesbit Comments



John Dunn

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. John Dunn

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Judith Beck

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Judith Beck

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Ryan Fuchs

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ryan Fuchs

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Mark Walker

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mark Walker

Morgan Nesbit Comments



ELLEN SINGER

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. ELLEN SINGER

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Sandra Short

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Sandra Short

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Carter Lippert

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Carter Lippert

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Eve Saglietto

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Eve Saglietto

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Meryl Pinque

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Meryl Pinque

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Eric Robinson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Eric Robinson

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Sally Browne

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Sally Browne

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Tim Stephenson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Tim Stephenson

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Elizabeth Watts

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Watts

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Charles Phillips

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises. All impacts to the Eagle Cap Wilderness must be considered and minimized. I have personally visited this wilderness. Please no roads near the wilderness.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Charles Phillips

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Roger Dorband

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

The Morgan Nesbit project to restore a natural area, already teeming with wildlife which is also a favourite recreational area for many Oregonians including myself, is misguided at best. Forests can take care of themselves. Even the occasional wildfire is part of a natural plan that reflects the wisdom of thousands of years of evolution

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Sincerely,
Mr. Roger Dorband

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Donna Bonetti

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Donna Bonetti

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Jean Chagnon

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dr. Jean Chagnon

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Christine Psyk

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here. We are losing species at an alarming rate nationwide and worldwide. Every inch of current wild habitat needs to be protected and preserved. We no longer have any margins for error.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Christine Psyk

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Marianne Nelson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this “restoration” and “resilience” project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Marianne Nelson

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Gregory Lincoln

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife, -whether rare, common, or extirpated- should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse- and sometimes messy- forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Gregory Lincoln

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Linda Ferland

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning. Truly awesome decision on your part!! Kudos!!

Sincerely,
Mrs. Linda Ferland

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Diana Richardson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse and sometimes messy forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed, it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms Diana Richardson

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Dennis Pennell

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I fear that much of current logging is driven only by short term profit margins.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Dennis Pennell

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Natashja Dewolf

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wauwata-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Natashja Dewolf

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Stephen Cutler

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Stephen Cutler

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Kenneth Lapointe

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr Kenneth Lapointe

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Marie Wakefield

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

AU native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms Marie Wakefield

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Kristin Ebbe

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Kristin Ebbe

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Kimberly Anderson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Anderson

Morgan Nesbit Comments

John Heron

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them.

I am only a visitor to this wonderful region of Oregon. I enjoy being in the wild, and utilizing the shelter of trees while traveling down the streams in my raft. Our native American neighbors, whom we treated so poorly in the past need these wild lands to nourish the wildlife they depend on. Please protect the habitat we ALL need.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dr. John Heron

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Norman Bishop

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

As a forestry major at Colorado State University, and a former chief park naturalist at Mount Rainier, who has continued to study forest ecosystems, I want to thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Norman Bishop

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Jennifer Nitz

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

You have scaled back the Morgan Nesbit Project, one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, the changes you have made so far are welcome.

The project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community. As your polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Logging in streamside areas must be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-rare, common, or extirpated-must have the best possible chance to thrive here.

Avoid the conversion of diverse, and sometimes messy, forests into something they are not. Preserve untreated habitat patches and maintain connectivity between them. Avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project must not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. Some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. This "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposes it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

Continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing. Prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Nitz

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Susanna DeFazio

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

The forest service is way past overdue to once and for all STOP invading forests with your so-called "Restoration projects," which are clearly an excuse to take more trees out of the forest. Please, I beg you, leave forests in tact. Let nature heal the wounds the forest service has inflicted over the decades.

Scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project is a small step in the right direction, yet is not nearly enough. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appeal to you to do better and relinquish plans for logging and/or road building in the area. The project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Susanna DeFazio

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Paul Moss

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa•Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Paul Moss

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Sandy Zelasko

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

AU native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Sandy Zelasko

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Chris Dacus

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Chris Dacus

Morgan Nesbit. Comments

Carrie Williams

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

I do not support this project.

Reduce the commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing on this land.

Sincerely,
Carrie Williams

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Pat Bryan

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr Pat Bryan

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Fuji Kreider

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Back-country logging will not make distant communities safer; this is white-washing the issue.

Specifically, preservation and protection of 21 inch trees must be adhered too; also, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The wauowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed, it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further. Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Fuji Kreider

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Colleen McCaffrey

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

AH native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Colleen McCaffrey

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Gm Whiting

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Gm Whiting

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Dana Weintraub

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Dana Weintraub

Morgan Nesbit Comments

s hogg

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets. In addition, building logging roads leads to run off sometimes, on steep slopes, triggers slides as well as additional soil erosion from rain.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back, for years Oregon has had the narrowest boundaries along streams supporting commercial fish species, that's narrower boundaries/buffer zones than CA, WA & ID-one reason EPA cited OR 4 or so years ago for violating CWA regulations regarding water temperatures in such streams/creeks, i.e, OR waters were too warm to support commercial fish species.

Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. s hogg

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Thomas Schneider

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

Logging and grazing have done enough damage to our state. Extraction industries taking resources from Oregon and US citizens without legitimate compensation has gone long enough.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Thomas Schneider

Morgan Nesbit Comments



susan nevin

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

AU native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Vv'hitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. susan nevin

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Richard Johnson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Richard Johnson

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Leslie Burpo

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Leslie Burpo

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Linda Littlefield

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this «restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposes it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have seated back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Linda Littlefield

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Sara Pritt

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposes **it will** contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Sara Pritt

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Anna Jasiukiewicz

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Anna Jasiukiewicz

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Carol COLLINS

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
MS Carol COLLINS

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Nancy Brown

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Nancy Brown

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Christine VanHalder

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and **risks** exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Christine VanHalder

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Karol Dietrich

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Karol Dietrich

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Maya Abels

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms Maya Abels

Morgan Nesbit Comments

John Dunn

Dear District -Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. John Dunn

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Judith Beck

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Judith Beck

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Ryan Fuchs

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ryan Fuchs

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Mark Walker

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The wanowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and **risks** exacerbating some of them. As proposes **it will** contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mark Walker

Morgan Nesbit Comments

ELLEN SINGER

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. ELLEN SINGER

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Sandra Short

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Militman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Sandra Short

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Carter Lippert

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, togging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-N'hitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Carter Lippert

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Eve Saglietto

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Nhitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Eve Saglietto

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Meryl Pinque

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Meryl Pinque

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Marianne Nelson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like fogging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Marianne Nelson

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Terry Dalsemer

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Terry Dalsemer

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Judith Hazelton

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and **risks** exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Judith Hazelton

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Kevin Russell

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

We, as homo-sapiens are part of nature. The Fores Service is not giving enough weight to environmental aspects. Old growth forests are the best defense against forest fires.

Sincerely,
Kevin Russell

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Kathleen Mireault

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Kathleen Mireault

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Rodrick Foran

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Rodrick Foran

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Carol Wagner

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Carol Wagner

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Elizabeth Enright

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Elizabeth Enright

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Don Kuhns

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

AU native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Don Kuhns

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Robin Vesey

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Please continue to listen to all of us in the conservation community when we ask you to protect the ecological values of this area and prohibit activities like logging and grazing.

The Morgan Nesbit Project area offers important natural components for all native wildlife to thrive. Our forests offer protection for these species and should not be logged or bulldozed for roads.

Despite its remarkable natural diversity, the Forest Service has chosen this remote and precious landscape for a so-called "restoration project". This proposal would aggressively log an 87,000-acre area stretching from the edge of the Eagle Cap Wilderness into the Hells Canyon National Recreation Area. As it is proposed, it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

It's time to prioritize real solutions by decommissioning roads, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms Robin Vesey

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Susan Heath

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Susan Heath

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Diana Pace

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Diana Pace

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Peter Warner

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets. In particular, the scientific literature demonstrating that logging exacerbates wildfire risk, in addition to numerous other deleterious ecological impacts, is extensive. Logging opens up plant and wildlife habitat to long-term effects on soils, reduces potential for mixed-aged stands of conifers, and renders brushy ladder fuels more flammable. Logging results in impacts antithetical to prudent long-term recovery after fires, prolonging periods during which those forests are at greater risk of wildfire.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated completely. Construction of fuel breaks through methods that damage vegetation and disturb soils and litter layers should be avoided wherever possible, and minimal in untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Peter Warner

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Shelley Klappholz

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Shelley Klappholz

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Scott Hillson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

AU native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and teaming.

Sincerely,
Scott Hillson

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Mika Gentili-Lloyd

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mika Gentili-Lloyd

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Jack Dodson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen. and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Jack Dodson

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Debbi Weiler

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Debbi Weiler

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Jennifer Valentine

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs Jennifer Valentine

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Jennifer Will

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the tower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Will

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Dee Tvedt

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Dee Tvedt

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Bronwen Evans

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa•Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Bronwen Evans

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Phil Goldsmith

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management Jaws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Phil Goldsmith

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Wendy von Kalinowski

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Wendy von Kalinowski

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Ellen Watrous

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ellen Watrous

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Kyle Chase

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Kyle Chase

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Michael Winegar

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Michael Winegar

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Evelyn Coltman

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Evelyn Coltman

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Diane Craig

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project still remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this, our landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing on our public lands.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be completely eliminated or at the very least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here. And that is through native plants, shrubs and trees that provide multiple canopies and have evolved to withstand drought conditions and fires that play a role in maintaining a healthy ecosystem. A culture of over management, mismanagement and the introduction of foreign non native plant species has created an environment where fire burns faster and more aggressively than if native species prevail. Fodder for domestic cattle and sheep have seeded and grow aggressively pushing native grasses out which contributes to the mass extinction of hundreds of Oregon's pollinators, birds, insects, reptiles and mammals along with aquatic life. And the damage caused by intensive domestic grazing to all varieties of habitats is a serious threat for our native species and the resilience of our forests.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resiliency" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

It is time that the timber industry invest in managing their own forests and ensuring that they are replanted immediately after harvest on their own lands. Rather than striping our public lands forests and creating weaker ecosystems that are prone to foreign insect invasion and tree diseases that a healthy forest has a stronger chance of fighting off attacks.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Diane Craig

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Jody Caicco

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Jody Caicco

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Joyce Hergenrader

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Joyce Hergenrader

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Katheryne Mckenzie

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms Katheryne Mckenzie

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Cheryl Henley

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Cheryl Henley

Morgan Nesbit Comments

John Nettleton

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. John Nettleton

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Querido Galdo

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Querido Galdo

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Sandra Joos

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Dr. Sandra Joos

Morgan Nesbit Comments

jordan gulaskey

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. jordan gulaskey

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Justus Peacock-Broyles

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Justus Peacock-Broyles

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Bridget Irons

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Bridget Irons

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Raymond Valinoti

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

AU native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Raymond Valinoti

Morgan Nesbitt Comments



Ernie Walters

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbitt Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr Ernie Walters

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Linda Schwartz

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson.

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the tower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife—whether rare, common, or extirpated—should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse—and sometimes messy—forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed, it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Linda Schwartz

Morgan Nesbit Comments

David Steinbrecher

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. David Steinbrecher

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Leslie Logan

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Leslie Logan

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Sean Leslie

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife whether rare, common, or extirpated should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse and sometimes messy forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Sean Leslie

Morgan Nesbit Comments



fay forman

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
fay forman

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Emily Van Alyne

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Emily Van Alyne

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Laurie Tabor

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Laurie Tabor

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Mary Davis

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid Jogging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposes it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Mary Davis

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Marilyn Costamagna

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Costamagna

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Sophia Keller

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

AU native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Reverend Sophia Keller

Morgan Nesbit Comments

David Simone

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. David Simone

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Terry Tedesco

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Terry Tedesco

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Susan Harris

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Susan Harris

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Maggie Tomberlin

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Pete Gonzalves

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Pete Gonzalves

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Dominique Edmondson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Dominique Edmondson

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Kevin Silvey

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Kevin Silvey

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Rose Gerstner

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Rose Gerstner

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Thomas Keys

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Thomas Keys

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Regna Merritt

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far. But cold clear clean water remains at high risk.

Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Ms. Regna Merritt

Morgan Nesbit Comments



Liana Lang

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Liana Lang

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Dan Sherwood

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like logging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

Atl native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further:

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Mr. Dan Sherwood

Morgan Nesbit Comments

Scott Robertson

Dear District Ranger Brian Anderson,

Thank you for scaling back the Morgan Nesbit Project. This is one of the most ecologically important landscapes remaining in the lower 48, and I appreciate the changes you've made so far.

However, the project remains fundamentally misguided. Backcountry logging will not make distant communities safer, true restoration rarely requires chainsaws and bulldozers, and this is not the place to meet arbitrary timber targets.

I urge you to continue listening to your staff, tribes, and the conservation community to do right by this landscape. As your own polling and past comment period on this project shows, the public strongly supports protecting ecological values and reducing extractive activities like Jogging and grazing.

Specifically, logging in streamside areas should be eliminated or at least significantly scaled back. Fuel breaks, where necessary, should be minimal and include untreated patches with dense cover.

All native wildlife-whether rare, common, or extirpated-should have the best possible chance to thrive here.

This means avoiding the conversion of diverse-and sometimes messy-forests into something they are not. It means preserving untreated habitat patches and maintaining connectivity between them. Please avoid logging on steep slopes and in forests that have never been logged.

The Wallowa-Whitman is heavily roaded and remains out of compliance with travel management laws. This project should not create any new roads, whether temporary or permanent. While it is encouraging that some roads are being decommissioned, this must not be a mere paper exercise. The vehicle footprint and fragmentation caused by roads must genuinely decrease.

This landscape has real restoration needs, including addressing overgrazing, inadequate maintenance and enforcement, fire suppression impacts, and fragmentation. Unfortunately, this "restoration" and "resilience" project overlooks most of these issues and risks exacerbating some of them. As proposed it will contribute to the worsening of climate and biodiversity crises.

I appreciate that you have scaled back some of the most destructive aspects of the project and encourage you to go further.

Please continue to reduce commercial logging, road building, fire suppression, and grazing.

Instead, prioritize real road decommissioning, allowing natural processes to unfold, reducing fish passage barriers, using prescribed and natural fire, enhancing tribal rights, creating snags and downed woody habitat, protecting wildlife habitat and connectivity, promoting traditional quiet recreation, enhancing aspen, and supporting research and learning.

Sincerely,
Scott Robertson