1	BEFORE THE BOARD OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
2	STATE OF IDAHO
3	
4	
	IN THE MATTER OF AIR QUALITY)
5	PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT P-2019.0047) Agency Case No.
) 0101-22-01
6	NEZ PERCE TRIBE, IDAHO)
	CONSERVATION LEAGUE, and SAVE THE)
7	SOUTH FORK SALMON,) OAH Case No.
	Petitioners,) 23-245-01
8	vs.)
9	IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL)
	QUALITY,)
10	Respondent,)
	and)
11	
	PERPETUA RESOURCES IDAHO, INC.,)
12	Intervenor-Respondent.)
)
13	
14	
15	CONTESTED CASE HEARING
16	MARCH 14, 2023
17	IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
18	- 1410 NORTH HILTON STREET
19	BOISE, IDAHO
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY: DORIS M. BAILEY, CSR, RPR, CRR
25	Idaho CSR License Number SRL-1074
	Page 1

2 DARE MISSIERS Precising 6 3 Marker DOWN, Chair Secondary 6 4 Marker Vike 97 5 DERIE DOWN, Chair Secondary 7 6 DETTILEROY Marker Vike 97 6 DETTILEROY Marker Vike 97 7 Decision F: Marker Marker Vike Marker Vike 97 Ockel Additional Marker Vike 97 8 CARVINAC, ESON Additional Marker Vike Marker Vike 97 Ockel Additional Marker Vike Vike Vike Vike Vike Vike Vike Vike	1 A P P E A R A N C E S	1 INDEX
j Josephine j	2	Page
Mike RWAP, Chair 3 3 3 Mike RWAP, MACMILLAN, Vice Chair 3 Mainer, Vice 910 CLATTON STELLE 3 Mainer, Vice 910 BOADD INSEELER 3 Mainer, Vice 910 CLATTON STELLE 3 Mainer, Vice 910 COUSSEL TO BOADD 9 Descriptions in the Mathematic Network in the Mathematic N		
 JOIN SOLCH JOIN SOLCH		3
Procession: Ends Water Support		
 PAT FUEDY BITTI ELEVA CALLA ALCARDAS, SENSITY CONAL ACCARDAS, SENSITY BITTI ELEVA BITTI ELEVA<!--</td--><td></td><td></td>		
 a P is a limit limit of the second sec		
8 CARDI MARCAERDAS, Secretary 7 9 COUNSEL TO BOARD: 7 0 COUNSEL TO BOARD: 8 10 Paper Attemps General Energy and Numal Resources Division 1 11 Deputy Attemps General Energy and Numal Resources Division 23 12 Office of the Attemps (General Energy and Numal Resources Division 23 13 Resources Division 11 14 Marka View 24/21 24 15 Resources Division 23 16 Resources Division 11 16 Resources Division 12 17 Papertness of Environmental Quality: 1410X Humon: Data Four 23 18 Resources Division 13 19 Market Division 14 20 VC (RESTOMER) DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: 1410X Humon: Data Four 14 18 Resources Division 13 19 Market Division 13 20 VC (RESTOMER) DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: 1410X Humon: Data Four 14 20 VC (RESTOMER) DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: 1410X Humon: Data Four 14 21 VC (RESTOMER) DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: 1410X Humon: Data Four 15 21 VC (RESTOMER) DE ADOLES 15		
9 COUNSEL TO BOARD: COUNSEL TO BOARD: 0 ANY NUBAR ESO. 1 1 Depay and Namal Resource Division 1 1 Depay and Namal Resource Division 23 1 Office of the Antorny General and State of the Antorny General antorny General and State of the Antorny General antorny Gene		
10 ANN VRBAR, ESQ. 1 11 Depuy Attemps General 1 12 Depuy Attemps (General 1 13 Depuy Attemps (General 1 14 Depuy Attemps (General 1 15 Rose, RAST 20010 1 16 Mainer Venere 2 17 Deput Attemps (General 2 18 Manual Nousce Exploring 2 19 Not Notes 2 10 Mainer Venere 2 11 Mainer Venere 2 12 Post Information Content Statumany 2 13 Nonere 2 14 Networks 2 14 Networks 2 15 Not Networks 2 16 Mainer Vene 13 17 Deput Networks 14 18 Networks 16 19 Not Networks 16 10 Scontent Statumany 16 14 Networks 16 15 Not Networks 16<		
 MN YRIBAR, ENG. Depring Marge General Bergy and National Records: Division 10 Manue Vete: 32/33 Different Marge General 10 Manue Vete: 32/33 Different Marge General 11 Manue Vete: 32/33 Shin THE ARSONDENT DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALTY: 13 Poptimized fragments: Division and Ameneded Manuscatabus Marge General 14 ANALA KL: YOLVAL ENG. PORT THE RESENDENT DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALTY: 14 BANALA KL: YOLVAL ENG. PORT THE ARSONDENT DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALTY: 15 Interment 2 at 14 Manual Marge General Quality 14 Banach Strath PORT THE ARSONDENT DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALTY: 15 Bagement & Parlimining Outer Bost, Like STRA 20 Marge General Quality 14 Banach Strath PORT THE ARSONDENT DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALTY: 15 Bagement & Parlimining Outer Bost, Like STRA 20 Marge General Quality 14 Banach Quality 20 Strath PORT THE INTERVENCE RESISTONDENT PERPETUA RESOURCES IDAHO. POR THE INTERVENCE RESONDENT PERPETUA RESOURCES IDAHO. POR THE INTERVENCE RESONDENT PERPETUA RESOURCES IDAHO. Marge Marke MARGE MARG		
Image: Section 1 Final Status 1 Mature Vace 242 12 Office of Mattines General Poil Status 12 Status 20 13 Boak, DS 207-0110 14 14 14 20 13 Boak, DS 207-0110 14<		
12 ORise of the Attorney General PL Bosk, 1D \$3730 12 12 20 20 13 Bosk, 1D \$3730 13 13 Minisor 20 20 14 FOR THE RESTONCEST DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: 16 16 Minisor 20 13 Minisor 20 15 FOR THE RESTONCEST DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: 16 18 Minisor 20 13 Minisor 20 16 HINAN MIC: 2010N: EXENT ENVIRONMENT AL QUALITY: 10 18 Minisor 20 13 Minisor 20 10 HINAN MIC: 2010N: EXENT ENVIRONMENT FILE 13 Minisor 20 10 13 110 Minisor 20 Jointo Vice 13 14 13 14 14 14 </td <td>1 5 5</td> <td></td>	1 5 5	
P. D. Bas S1720 13 Maione Vace 27.27 14 Out Argument on Meancaduum Decision and Anemodel Memoration Decision anemodel Memoration Decision anemodel Memorating Anemodel		
Image shows the state of the		13 Motion/Vote 27/27
14 Judgment & Perliminary Order 15 Judgment & Perliminary Order 16 Judgment & Perliminary Order 17 Deputs Amore Generil 18 Base, 13-004 19 base, 13-004 10 base, 13-004 11 base, 13-004 10 base, 13-004 10 S. Calend Bod, Sange 200 21 W.C.IRSTOPHER POOSER, ESQ. 21 STOLE, REVES LLP 22 W.C.IRSTOPHER POOSER, ESQ. 24 base, 1300 25 FOR THE INTENDOR & RESONDENT PERPETUA RESOURCES IDAHO. 26 Page 2 27 PGR THE JENTHONG & SANGE 200 28 Songe Remarks 29 Page 2 20 Page 2 21 A P P E A R A N C E S. (Cont.) 21 A P E A R A N C E S. (Cont.) 25 P O, E K I E J I N G S 36 BOARD C H E B D N G S 37<		
iken kit M.C. YOLKG, ESQ. iken kitholithe is a state of the intervent of Environmental Quality if Department of Environmental Quality if B if No. if Department of Environmental Quality if Department of Environmental Quality if Department of Environmental Quality if Department of Environmental Quality if Department of Environmental Quality if Department of Environmental Quality if Department of Environmental Quality if Department of Environmental Quality if Department of Environmental Quality if Department of Environmental Quality if Department Interpartment Department of Environmental Quality <	14	15 Judgment & Preliminary Order
10Deprint Atomey General Loca171919Mar Manage Constant Loca10Deprint Atomey General LocaThe Mar		
1410 N. Hilms, 2nd Floor 18 1410 N. Hilms, 2nd Floor 20 208-73-0404 19 10 hamah, young 'edeq, iddo, gov 20 POR THE STRVENCOR, RESONDENT PERPETUA RESOURCES IDAHO. 21 NC. C. 21 NC. C. 21 NC. C. 21 NC. C. 20 20-839-000 25 STOEL RUYS LLP 20 20-839-000 25 Cosing Remarks 20 20-839-000 25 Port THE STRVENCOR, RESONDENT PERPETUA RESOURCES IDAHO. 21 AP PE A A A N C E S (Cont.) 21 POR THE PETTIONERS NEZ PERCE TRIBE AND IDAHO 20 20-839-000 21 AP PE A A S N C E S (Cont.) 21 POR THE PETTIONERS NEZ PERCE TRIBE AND IDAHO 20 20-839-000 21 POR THE WEST 20 PR O C E E J I N G S 200-800 CHAIR BOWEN: I call to order the meeting 200-800 CHAIR BOWEN Construct issued 20-800 CHAIR BOWEN 10 20-800 CHAIR BOWEN Construct issued <t< td=""><td></td><td>17 By Ms. Young 75</td></t<>		17 By Ms. Young 75
Image: 100 manual and Proto Image: 100 manual and Proto Image: 100 manual years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 manual years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) Image: 100 mark) years (Polos, 200 mark) years (Polos,	17 Department of Environmental Quality	· ·
20:373.4943 19 By M. Hurker 142 20 FOR THE INTERVENCE RESPONDENT PERPETUA RESOURCES IDAHO. 11 Mation Vote 156/157 21 W.C. IRISTOPHER POOSER, ESQ. 356/157 Mation Vote 156/157 21 NUC. 158/158 2 Mation Vote 160/160 20 20:83-9000 23 Mation Vote 160/160 20 20:83-9000 24 3 360 20 Cosing Remarks 160 2 20 Page 2 Page 2 Page 3		REBUTTAL ARGUMENT
19 annanyoung etc., dubto gov 20 10 RC: Motion/Voc 156/157 21 NC: Motion/Voc 159/160 22 NC: PORTER INTESTINE ROOSER, ESQ. 20 23 Distribution Structure Motion/Voc 159/160 24 2005.89000 21 Motion/Voc 160/160 25 Page 2 Page 2 Page 2 1 APPE AR AN CES (Cont.) 2 Page 2 Page 2 20 APPE AR AN CES (Cont.) 2 Page 2 Page 2 20 APPE AR AN CES (Cont.) 2 PA OC E E D I N G S 2 200528V2ATOR LEACUE 1 MARCH 14, 2024 9:00 AM 2 200528V2ATOR LEACUE 1 MARCH 14, 2024 9:00 AM 2 2 4 BRYAN HURLBUTT, ESQ. 3 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: I call to order the meeting 3 0 1		
POR THE INTERVENSE.RESPONDENT PERPETUA RESOURCES IDAHO, 136/13/ 21 NCC: Motion/Vote 136/13/ 22 NCC: Motion/Vote 138/158 23 IO IS, Capital BHA, Saite 1900 Motion/Vote 159/160 24 203:389.9000 christopher posor@stol.com 20 25 IO IS, Capital BHA, Saite 1900 20 Closing Remarks 160 26 20:5889.9000 21 Closing Remarks 160 27 IO IS, Capital BHA, Saite 1900 22 Closing Remarks 160 28 IO IS, Capital BHA, Saite 1900 24 20 PR OC E E D I N G S 3 CONSERVATION LEACUE: 3 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: I call to order the meeting 20 ID 20:5427-024 5 to being a public meeting, we are also on the record in 3 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: I call to order the meeting 5 to being a public meeting, we are also on the record in 6 ID mappon Avenue 20 1001-22-01, OAH case number 1001-22-01, OAH case number 10 GAI THEONER AVE The SOUTH FORK SALMON: 10 Environmental Quality. 11 11 JULA TIRONERA VE The SOUTH FORK SALMON: 10 Environmental Quality. 11 11 JULA TIRONERA VE ThE SOUTH FORK SALMON: <t< td=""><td></td><td></td></t<>		
21 NC: image: second seco		
STOEL RVIES LLP ************************************	21 INC.:	
23 101 S. Capitol Bhod, Suite 1900 21 Motion Vote 1600 Vote 24 205-389-9000 21 Closing Remarks 1600 Vote 25 Page 2 Page 2 <td>, .</td> <td></td>	, .	
24 208-389-9000 24 Closing Remarks 100 25 Page 2 Closing Remarks 160 26 Page 2		
25 Coising Remarks 10 25 Page 2 Page 2 2 Page 2 Page 2 2 A P P & A R A N C E S (Cont.) Page 2 2 POR THE PETTIONERS NEZ PERCE TRIBE AND IDAHO 2 PR C C E E D I N G S 3 CONSERVATION LEACUTE: 4 MARCH 14, 2024 9:00 AM 4 BRYAN HUGLBUTT, ESQ. 3 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: I call to order the meeting: 4 BRYAN HUGLBUTT, ESQ. 4 of the Idaho Board of Environmental Quality. In addition 5 PO. Bois, Idaba 83701 5 to being a public meeting, we are also on the record in 6 the matter of the air quality permit to construct issued 7 7 FOR THE FETTIONER SAVE The SOUTH FORK SALMON: 7 to Pertura Resources Idaho, Inc., agency case number 8 FOR THE AGENCY. DAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL 6 the matter of the air quality permit to construct issued 10 GAT THEAGENCY, DAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL 10 Environmental Quality. 11 For these present in the meeting room, please 13 World Leake Store 12 Director 14 Elaine, could you please take the roll? 14 Elsine ST-0403 16 Mark Bowen. 15 Director 17		
25 Page 2		
APPEARANCES (Cont.) PROTHE PETITIONERS NEZ PERCE TRIBE AND IDAHO CONSERVATION LEAGUE: BRYAN HURLBUTT. ESO. BRYAN HURLBUTT. ESO. ADVOCATES FOR THE WEST 5 PO, Disc. Idaho 83701 6 208/32-7024 bhurbubedavocateswest.org 7 7 8 FOR The PETITIONER SAVE The SOUTH FORK SALMON: 9 JULIA THROWER, ESO. 11 ULA THROWER, ESO. 12 ON AMERICAL INFORMER AND CONSTRUCTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: 12 ILIA THROWER, ESO. 13 POR The PETITIONER SAVE The SOUTH FORK SALMON: 9 JULIA THROWER, ESO. 10 G14 THROWER, ESO. 11 208-271-6503 12 JIPHONE MINITION DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALTY: 12 JONNE THE AGENCY, IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALTY: 14 DN HIND, 2ND FOR THE AGENCY, IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALTY: 14 DN HIND, 2ND FOR SON 15 DR TRE AGENCY, IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALTY: 14 TEASE NYRE 15 DR DRD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 16 JATON HIND, 2ND AGEN 17 DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALTY: 18 DOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 19 DEVISION AMINISTATOR	25	25
2 IMARCH 14, 2024 9:00 AM 3 CONSERVATION LEAGUE P R O C E E D I N G S 4 BRYAN HURLBUTT, ISQ, ADVOCATES FOR THE WEST 3 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: I call to order the meeting 5 P.O. Box 1612 Boise, Idaho 83701 4 of the Idaho Board of Environmental Quality. In addition 6 208:342-7024 burbut@advocatewest.org 5 to being a public meeting, we are also on the record in 7 BOR The PETITIONER SAVE The SOUTH FORK SALMON: 9 101-122-01, OAH case number 3 9 JULIA THROWER, ESQ, MOUTAIN TOP LAW PLLC 8 0101-22-01, OAH case number 3 10 12 208-312-70543 jifrowref@mtinoplaw.com 10 Environmental Quality. 11 For these present in the meeting room, please 12 IS POR THE AGENCY, IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: 11 For those present in the meeting room, please 12 sign in on the sheet provided by the entrance. There 14 Elsine, could you please take the roll? 14 Elaine, could you please take the roll? 15 14 For thios administrator 15 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 16 14 Adv Quality Division Administra	Page 2	Page 4
POR THE PETITIONERS NEZ PERCE TRIBE AND IDAHO2P R O C E E D I N G S3 CONSERVATION LEACUE:3BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: I call to order the meetingADVOCATES FOR THE WEST4of the Idaho Board of Environmental Quality. In addition5 P.O. Box 16125to being a public meeting, we are also on the record in6 108 TAP 270245to being a public meeting, we are also on the record in776the matter of the air quality permit to construct issued777to Perpetua Resources Idaho, Inc., agency case number80101-22-01, OAH case number 23-245-01. This meeting is106.04 Thompson Avenue9020.11 THROWER, EQO.10Environmental Quality.11208-271-65031012208-373-65031013Dord THE AGENCY, IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL11020.11 CAULITY:12Sign in on the sheet provided by the entrance. There1413won't be an opportunity for public comment today.15Director14Elaine, could you please take the roll?16Mark Bowen.17BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.1710AFOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Here.1818BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.19VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here.2014/10, Hilton, 3d Hoor2010Boise, Idaho 837062020BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol?20Aldo Idaho.gov2021BOARD CLERK GANINO:		1 MARCH 14, 2024 9:00 AM
3 CONSERVATION LEAGUE: 3 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: I call to order the meeting ADVOCATES FOR THE WEST 4 PRAYAN HURLBUT: ESQ. 4 of the Idaho Board of Environmental Quality. In addition 5 P.O. Box 1612 5 to being a public meeting, we are also on the record in 6 208-342.7024 6 the matter of the air quality permit to construct issued 7 FOR The PETITIONER SAVE The SOUTH FORK SALMON: 7 to Perpetua Resources Idaho, Inc., agency case number 9 JULIA THROWER, ESQ. 8 0101-22-01, OAH case number 23-245-01. This meeting is 10 64 Thompson Avenue McCall Idaho 8363 9 being held in the state office of the Department of MoUNTAIN TOP LAW PLLC 11 208-271-6503 10 Environmental Quality. 11 12 Sort THE AGENCY, IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: 11 For these present in the meeting room, please 13 FOR THE diaba S3706 10 Environmental Quality. 11 14 TESS BYRE 13 Son't be an opportunity for public comment today. 15 Director 14 Elaine, could you please take the roll? 16 Mark Bowen. 17 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.		2 PROCEEDINGS
ADVOCATES FOR THE WEST 4 of the Idaho Board of Environmental Quality. In addition 5 PO, Box 1612 4 of the Idaho Board of Environmental Quality. In addition 6 208-342-7024 5 to being a public meeting, we are also on the record in 6 the Induke@advocateswest.org 7 to being a public meeting, we are also on the record in 7 FOR The PETITIONER SAVE The SOUTH FORK SALMON: 7 to Perpetua Resources Idaho, Inc., agency case number 9 JULIA THROWER, ESQ. 8 0101-22-01, OAH case number 23-245-01. This meeting is 10 614 Thompson Avenue McCall, Idaho 83503 10 Environmental Quality. 11 Jarot the AGENCY, IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: 11 For those present in the meeting room, please 12 ESS BYRNE 13 Boise, Idaho 83706 14 Elaine, could you please take the roll? 14 ESS BYRNE 14 Elaine, could you please take the roll? 15 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 16 Mark Bowen. 17 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. 19 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here. 12 0:410 N. Hiton, 3rd Floor 18 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. <td></td> <td></td>		
Boise, Idaho 83701 208-342-7024 builtered avecases west.org 7 8 FOR The PETITIONER SAVE The SOUTH FORK SALMON: 9 JULLA THROWER, ESQ. MOUNTAIN TOP LAW PLLC 10 614 Thompson Avenue McCall, Idaho 8363 11 208-371-6503 jbirower@mintoplaw.com 21 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 10 10 10 10		
6 208-342-7024 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 17 17 17 17 17 10 10 12 11 10 10 11 10 10 11 10		4 of the Idaho Board of Environmental Quality. In addition
7 0 1		5 to being a public meeting, we are also on the record in
8 FOR The PETITIONER SAVE The SOUTH FORK SALMON: 7 to Perpetua Resources Idaho, Inc., agency case number 9 JULA THROWER, ESQ. 8 0101-22-01, OAH case number 23-245-01. This meeting is 10 614 Thompson Avenue 9 being held in the state office of the Department of 11 208-271-503 10 Environmental Quality. 13 FOR THE AGENCY, IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: 11 For those present in the meeting room, please 14 JESS BYRNE 13 won't be an opportunity for public comment today. 15 Director Department of Environmental Quality 14 Elaine, could you please take the roll? 16 HATK BOWEN: Here. 18 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 19 Air Quality Division Administrator Department of Environmental Quality 19 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here. 20 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? 20 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? 11 Environmental Quality 19 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here. 20 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? 21 208-373-052 21 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? 22 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Be		6 the matter of the air quality permit to construct issued
MOUNTAIN TOP LAW PLLC8 0101-22-01, OAH case number 23-245-01. This meeting is0614 Thompson Avenue McCall, Idaho S36389 being held in the state office of the Department of1208-271-650310 Environmental Quality.11208-271-650310 Environmental Quality.1211For those present in the meeting room, please13POR THE AGENCY, IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY:12 sign in on the sheet provided by the entrance. There14IESS BYRNE13 won't be an opportunity for public comment today.15Director14161410 N. Hiton, 2nd Floor1517208-373-02401618TIFFANY FLOYD1819Air Quality Divisio Administrator Department of Environmental Quality1919Air Quality Divisio Administrator Department of Environmental Quality19201410 N. Hiton, 3rd Floor Boise, Idaho 37062021208-373-0522122BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol?2320BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Here. I'm here.24BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.23PAULA WILSON, Paralegal, DEQ2424BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.		7 to Perpetua Resources Idaho, Inc., agency case number
Model Thomson Avenue McCall, Idaho 8363811208-271-6503 jthrower@mittoplaw.com9 being held in the state office of the Department of 10 Environmental Quality.1211For these present in the meeting room, please13FOR THE AGENCY, IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY:11For those present in the meeting room, please14ISSS BYRNE1215Director Department of Environmental Quality14Elaine, could you please take the roll?161410 N. Hilton, 2nd Floor Boise, Idaho 8370615BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.17208-373-0240 jess.byrne@deq.idaho.gov17BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.19VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here.101410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor Department of Environmental Quality19VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here.201410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor Department of Environmental Quality20BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol?21208-373-0552 tiffany.floyd@deq.idaho.gov21BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Here. I'm here.22ALSO PRESENT: 2323BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Present.23PAULA WILSON, Paralegal, DEQ24BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.		8 0101-22-01, OAH case number 23-245-01. This meeting is
McCall, Idaho 83638 10 Environmental Quality. 11 208-271-6503 10 Environmental Quality. 12 11 For those present in the meeting room, please 13 FOR THE AGENCY, IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: 11 For those present in the meeting room, please 14 ESS BYRNE 13 won't be an opportunity for public comment today. 15 Director 14 Elaine, could you please take the roll? 16 Mark Bowen. 15 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 16 Mark Bowen. 16 Mark Bowen. 17 208-373-0240 17 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. 19 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here. 18 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. 19 Air Quality Division Administrator 19 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here. 20 1410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor 20 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? 21 208-373-0552 21 BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Here. I'm here. 22 ALSO PRESENT: 23 BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Present. 23 PAULA WILSON, Paralegal, DEQ 24 BOARD CLER		
jthrower@mtntoplaw.com11For those present in the meeting room, please1212sign in on the sheet provided by the entrance. There1412sign in on the sheet provided by the entrance. There14ISSS BYRNE1315Director1416Hation, 2nd Floor1517208-373-02401618SOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.19Air Quality Division Administrator1819Air Quality Division Administrator19201410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor2020BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol?21208-373-0522121208-373-0522122BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.23ALSO PRESENT:2323PAULA WILSON, Paralegal, DEQ2424BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.		
 13 FOR THE AGENCY, IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY: 14 15 Director Department of Environmental Quality 16 1410 N. Hilton, 2nd Floor Boise, Idaho 83706 17 208-373-0240 [ss.byrne@deq.idaho.gov 17 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 16 Mark Bowen. 17 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. 19 Air Quality Division Administrator Department of Environmental Quality 10 1410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor Boise, Idaho 83706 11 2 sign in on the sheet provided by the entrance. There 13 won't be an opportunity for public comment today. 14 Elaine, could you please take the roll? 15 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 16 Mark Bowen. 17 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. 19 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here. 20 1410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor Boise, Idaho 83706 21 208-373-052 [iffany.floy@deq.idaho.gov 21 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? 22 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. 23 BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Here. I'm here. 24 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler. 		
QUALITY: 12 sign in on the sheet provided by the entrance. There 14 JESS BYRNE 15 Director 16 1410 N. Hilton, 2nd Floor 17 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 16 Mark Bowen. 17 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. 19 Air Quality Division Administrator 20 1410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor Boise, Idaho 33706 19 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here. 12 20 14 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? 20 1410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor Boise, Idaho 3706 20 18 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? 20 1410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor Boise, Idaho 38706 20 21 208-373-052 12 13 22 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? 23 BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Here. I'm here. 24 BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Present. 23 BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Present. 24 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.		11 For those present in the meeting room, please
14 I3 won't be an opportunity for public comment today. JESS BYRNE 14 Elaine, could you please take the roll? 15 Director Department of Environmental Quality 16 1410 N. Hilton, 2nd Floor Boaks, Idaho 83706 17 208-373-0240 15 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 16 Mark Bowen. 16 Mark Bowen. 17 availut Division Administrator 17 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. 19 Air Quality Division Administrator 19 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here. 20 1410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor 20 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? Boise, Idaho 83706 21 BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Here. I'm here. 21 208-373-052 21 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. 22 ALSO PRESENT: 23 BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Present. 23 PAULA WILSON, Paralegal, DEQ 24 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.		12 sign in on the sheet provided by the entrance. There
15 Director 14 Elaine, could you please take the roll? Department of Environmental Quality 15 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 16 1410 N. Hilton, 2nd Floor 16 Mark Bowen. 17 208-373-0240 16 Mark Bowen. 18 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. 19 Air Quality Division Administrator 19 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here. 20 1410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor 20 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? 20 1410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor 20 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? 21 208-373-052 21 BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Here. I'm here. 22 ALSO PRESENT: 23 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. 23 PAULA WILSON, Paralegal, DEQ 24 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.	14	13 won't be an opportunity for public comment today.
Department of Environmental Quality15BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.161410 N. Hilton, 2nd Floor Boise, Idaho 8370616Mark Bowen.17208-373-0240 jess.byrne@deq.idaho.gov17BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Here.18TIFFANY FLOYD18BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.19Air Quality Division Administrator Department of Environmental Quality19VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here.201410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor Boise, Idaho 8370620BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol?21208-373-0552 tiffany.floyd@deq.idaho.gov21BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Here. I'm here.22ALSO PRESENT: 2323BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Present.23PAULA WILSON, Paralegal, DEQ24BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.		
10 From Hubble Hubb	Department of Environmental Quality	
17 208-373-0240 jess.byrne@deq.idaho.gov 10 Mark Bowell. 18 17 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Here. 18 Image: Book of the stress		
18 TIFFANY FLOYD 18 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. 19 Air Quality Division Administrator Department of Environmental Quality 19 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here. 20 1410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor Boise, Idaho 83706 20 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? 21 208-373-0552 21 BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Here. I'm here. 22 AISO PRESENT: 23 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. 23 PAULA WILSON, Paralegal, DEQ 24 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.	17 208-373-0240	
TIFFANY FLOYD 18 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. 19 Air Quality Division Administrator Department of Environmental Quality 19 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here. 20 1410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor Boise, Idaho 83706 20 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? 21 208-373-0552 tiffany.floyd@deq.idaho.gov 21 BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Here. I'm here. 22 ALSO PRESENT: 23 BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Present. 23 PAULA WILSON, Paralegal, DEQ 24 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.		17 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Here.
Department of Environmental Quality 19 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here. 20 1410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor Boise, Idaho 83706 20 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? 21 208-373-0552 21 BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Here. I'm here. 22 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. 23 BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Present. 24 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.	TIFFANY FLOYD	18 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.
20 1410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor Boise, Idaho 83706 21 208-373-0552 tiffany.floyd@deq.idaho.gov 22 ALSO PRESENT: 23 PAULA WILSON, Paralegal, DEQ 24 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? 20 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. Carol? 20 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. 23 BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Present. 24 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.	· ·	19 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Here.
Boise, Idaho 83706 21 208-373-0552 tiffany.floyd@deq.idaho.gov 22 ALSO PRESENT: 23 PAULA WILSON, Paralegal, DEQ 24 BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Here. I'm here. 20 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. 23 BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Present. 24 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.	20 1410 N. Hilton, 3rd Floor	
tiffany.floyd@deq.idaho.gov 22 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. 22 ALSO PRESENT: 23 BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Present. 23 PAULA WILSON, Paralegal, DEQ 24 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.		
22 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. ALSO PRESENT: 23 BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Present. 23 PAULA WILSON, Paralegal, DEQ 24 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.		
23 PAULA WILSON, Paralegal, DEQ 24 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.	22	22 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.
PAULA WILSON, Paralegal, DEQ 24 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.		23 BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Present.
24 ELAINE GANING Clork to the Board	PAULA WILSON, Paralegal, DEQ	24 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.
24 ELAINE GANINO, Clerk to the Board 25000 25 BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Present.	24 ELAINE GANINO, Clerk to the Board 25OO	25 BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Present.
		Page 5

2 (Pages 2 - 5)

1			
	BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.	1	(No response.)
2	BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Here.	2	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. So we have a
3	BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.	3	joint motion to supplement the record with a March 12th,
4	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Here.	4	2024 letter from EPA to DEQ. This is not on the agenda
5	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Before we get started, I'd	5	so we need a motion to add it to the agenda.
6	like to take a moment to introduce the parties and talk	6	VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman.
7	about some of the logistics for today.	7	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Yes, Randy.
8	You will notice that unlike normal DEQ Board	8	VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: I make a motion to amend
9	meetings we have a court reporter here today. The	9	the agenda to include and to supplement the record with
10	reporter will be on the record throughout the proceeding		the letter received yesterday.
	today, so please speak slowly and clearly, try not to	11	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you.
	talk over one another, and use yes or no instead of	12	-
	uh-huh or nodding your head, please. That will make the	13	day that was.
	court reporter's job easier today.	14	-
15	I'd also like to take a moment to introduce the	15	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: I have a second.
	parties and get everyone's names and spellings down for	16	
	the record so the reporter has those going forward.	10	Elaine, would you call roll?
17	Let's begin with the parties.	17	-
19	The petitioners in this matter are the Nez Perce	10	,
	Tribe, the Idaho Conservation League, and Save the South		
	Fork of the Salmon. Would you all take a moment and	20	
	-	21	Randy, could you state the reason clearly why it
	please identify yourselves, the attorney representing		was not added to the agenda initially?
	each party.	23	VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The
24	Let's begin with the South Fork of the Salmon		supplement to the joint motion to supplement the
25	attorney. Page 6	25	record was received by the Board anyway yesterday, so it Page 8
1	MS. THROWER: Julia Thrower, T-h-r-o-w-e-r.		had not been added to the or included in the agenda
2	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you.	2	for today.
3	Nez Perce Tribe and ICL. I assume they have the	3	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Right. Thank you, Randy.
4			
	same attorney?	4	Elaine, would you call the roll on the motion,
4 5	same attorney? MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt,		Elaine, would you call the roll on the motion, please?
5	-		-
5	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt,	5	please?
5 6	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t.	5 6	please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
5 6 7 8	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you.	5 6 7	please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.
5 6 7 8 9	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. The respondents in this matter are the	5 6 7 8	please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.
5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. The respondents in this matter are the Department of Environmental Quality and Perpetua	5 6 7 8 9	please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.
5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. The respondents in this matter are the Department of Environmental Quality and Perpetua Resources. Would you please identify yourselves for the	5 6 7 8 9 10	please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. The respondents in this matter are the Department of Environmental Quality and Perpetua Resources. Would you please identify yourselves for the record?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. The respondents in this matter are the Department of Environmental Quality and Perpetua Resources. Would you please identify yourselves for the record? DEQ attorney.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. The respondents in this matter are the Department of Environmental Quality and Perpetua Resources. Would you please identify yourselves for the record? DEQ attorney. MS. YOUNG: Hannah Young, Y-o-u-n-g.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. The respondents in this matter are the Department of Environmental Quality and Perpetua Resources. Would you please identify yourselves for the record? DEQ attorney. MS. YOUNG: Hannah Young, Y-o-u-n-g. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Perpetua	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye. BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye. BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. The respondents in this matter are the Department of Environmental Quality and Perpetua Resources. Would you please identify yourselves for the record? DEQ attorney. MS. YOUNG: Hannah Young, Y-o-u-n-g. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Perpetua attorney?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler. BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. The respondents in this matter are the Department of Environmental Quality and Perpetua Resources. Would you please identify yourselves for the record? DEQ attorney. MS. YOUNG: Hannah Young, Y-o-u-n-g. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Perpetua attorney? MR. POOSER: Good morning. Christopher Pooser, P-o-o-s-e-r.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	please?BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. The respondents in this matter are the Department of Environmental Quality and Perpetua Resources. Would you please identify yourselves for the record? DEQ attorney. MS. YOUNG: Hannah Young, Y-o-u-n-g. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Perpetua attorney? MR. POOSER: Good morning. Christopher Pooser, P-o-o-s-e-r. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	please?BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. The respondents in this matter are the Department of Environmental Quality and Perpetua Resources. Would you please identify yourselves for the record? DEQ attorney. MS. YOUNG: Hannah Young, Y-o-u-n-g. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Perpetua attorney? MR. POOSER: Good morning. Christopher Pooser, P-o-o-s-e-r. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Also in the courtroom today we have Deputy	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	please?BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.Mark Bowen.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-1-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. The respondents in this matter are the Department of Environmental Quality and Perpetua Resources. Would you please identify yourselves for the record? DEQ attorney. MS. YOUNG: Hannah Young, Y-o-u-n-g. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Perpetua attorney? MR. POOSER: Good morning. Christopher Pooser, P-o-o-s-e-r. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Also in the courtroom today we have Deputy Attorney General Ann Yribar. She's representing the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	please?BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye.BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. The respondents in this matter are the Department of Environmental Quality and Perpetua Resources. Would you please identify yourselves for the record? DEQ attorney. MS. YOUNG: Hannah Young, Y-o-u-n-g. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Perpetua attorney? MR. POOSER: Good morning. Christopher Pooser, P-o-o-s-e-r. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Also in the courtroom today we have Deputy Attorney General Ann Yribar. She's representing the Board.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	please?BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. The respondents in this matter are the Department of Environmental Quality and Perpetua Resources. Would you please identify yourselves for the record? DEQ attorney. MS. YOUNG: Hannah Young, Y-o-u-n-g. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Perpetua attorney? MR. POOSER: Good morning. Christopher Pooser, P-o-o-s-e-r. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Also in the courtroom today we have Deputy Attorney General Ann Yribar. She's representing the Board. And we have DEQ board staff Paula Wilson and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	please?BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Motion carried.Is it the desire of the Board to enter into
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. The respondents in this matter are the Department of Environmental Quality and Perpetua Resources. Would you please identify yourselves for the record? DEQ attorney. MS. YOUNG: Hannah Young, Y-o-u-n-g. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Perpetua attorney? MR. POOSER: Good morning. Christopher Pooser, P-o-o-s-e-r. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Also in the courtroom today we have Deputy Attorney General Ann Yribar. She's representing the Board. And we have DEQ board staff Paula Wilson and Elaine Ganino here to help with logistics.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	please?BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.Mark Bowen.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Motion carried.Is it the desire of the Board to enter intoexecutive session to discuss this matter? I'll entertain
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt, H-u-r-l-b-u-t-t. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. The respondents in this matter are the Department of Environmental Quality and Perpetua Resources. Would you please identify yourselves for the record? DEQ attorney. MS. YOUNG: Hannah Young, Y-o-u-n-g. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Perpetua attorney? MR. POOSER: Good morning. Christopher Pooser, P-o-o-s-e-r. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Also in the courtroom today we have Deputy Attorney General Ann Yribar. She's representing the Board. And we have DEQ board staff Paula Wilson and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	please?BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.Mark Bowen.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Motion carried.Is it the desire of the Board to enter intoexecutive session to discuss this matter? I'll entertaina motion.

3 (Pages 6 - 9)

		`	,
1 Board enter into ex	ecutive session pursuant to Idaho Code	1	BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.
2 74-206(1)(f) to com	municate with legal counsel for the	2	BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.
3 public agency to di	scuss the legal ramifications of and	3	BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.
4 legal options for pe	nding litigation for controversies	4	BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.
5 not yet being litigat	ed but imminently likely to be	5	BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.
6 litigated.		6	BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.
7 I request that	a roll call vote be taken and	7	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.
8 that vote be recorde	d in the minutes of this meeting.	8	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Motion carries.
9 BOARD MEN	MBER PURDY: I second that motion.	9	We're back in general session. The Board is
10 BOARD CHA	AIR BOWEN: Thank you. Any discussion?	10	going to take a five-minute break, a bathroom break, and
11 (No response.		11	we will readjourn. Thank you.
12 Elaine, will y	ou take roll, please?	12	
	RK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.	13	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right. Call back into
14 Mark Bowen.		14	order the general session.
	AIR BOWEN: Aye.	15	We're under the amended agenda item Joint Motion
	RK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.		to Supplement the Record with a March 12th, 2024 letter
	MACMILLAN: Aye.	1	from EPA to DEQ.
	RK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.	18	As a Board we're a little bit concerned that we
	MBER MASCARENAS: Aye.		haven't had a chance to review this letter. I'd be
	RK GANINO: Beth Elroy.		interested in other Board members, what your thoughts are
	MBER ELROY: Aye.	1	receiving the letter, where we are on that? Any
	RK GANINO: John Sigler.	1	discussion?
	·	23	Dr. MacMillan.
	MBER SIGLER: Aye.	23	VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I have
	RK GANINO: Clayton Steele.		considerable reservation discussing very much of the
25 BOARD MEI	ABER STEELE: Aye. Page 10	25	Page 12
1 BOARD CLE	RK GANINO: Pat Purdy.	1	substance of the of that letter, simply because I've
2 BOARD MEN	MBER PURDY: Aye.	2	hardly had an opportunity to look at the letter, and
3 BOARD CHA	AIR BOWEN: Thank you. Motion carried.	3	surely others would be in the same boat.
4 We'll now ent	er executive session. So we have	4	So in the interest of fairness, I think that's
5 to clear the room.	Sorry.	5	something that we should allow the parties to fully
6 (Thereupon th	e Board convened into	6	digest that letter and provide response to that letter.
7 executive sess	ion off the record.)	7	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay.
8 BOARD CHA	AIR BOWEN: I'll entertain a motion.	8	BOARD MEMBER STEELE: I agree.
9 VICE CHAIR	MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that	9	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Any other thoughts?
10 the Board resolve o	ff of executive session, and that the	10	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Mr. Chairman.
11 minutes of the mee	ing reflect that no action was taken	11	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Yes.
12 during executive se	ssion.	12	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: I would like to share the
13 BOARD CHA	AIR BOWEN: Thank you.	13	disappointment that this letter was received at the last
14 Do I hear a se	·		minute. And I'm not sure how we message that back to
	MBER SIGLER: Second.		EPA, but I'm assuming that they were well aware of the
	AIR BOWEN: Thank you.		dates and what's been happening with this issue. And to
	u take a roll call?		have a last minute letter submitted to the department,
	RK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.		they need the feedback that it makes this whole process
19 Mark Bowen.			more challenging to receive that type of information very
	AIR BOWEN: Aye.		last minute.
	RK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.	20	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Any other thoughts?
21 BOARD CLE	ite of a first of the function of the first	22	I think at this point the Board would like to
	MACMILLAN: Ave		r unik at ans point the Board would like to
22 VICE CHAIR	MACMILLAN: Aye.		hear from the parties. We'd like to give the parties a
22 VICE CHAIR23 BOARD CLE	RK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.	23	hear from the parties. We'd like to give the parties a five minute opportunity just to give us your position on
22 VICE CHAIR23 BOARD CLE24 BOARD MEN		23 24	hear from the parties. We'd like to give the parties a five minute opportunity just to give us your position on this current agenda item.

4 (Pages 10 - 13)

1 Let's start with DEQ. Five minutes, please.	1 officer allowed that original EPA letter to be
2 MS. YOUNG: May I approach and sit at the table?	2 introduced. Since the hearing officer made his decision,
3 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Please, yeah.	3 two more letters have been issued between the parties,
4 MS. YOUNG: Thank you for this opportunity. I'd	4 between DEQ and EPA.
5 love to have the five minutes to speak to you about this.	5 DEQ responded, that is the first motion which we
6 And again, my name is Hannah Young. I represent the	6 will take up later.
7 Department of Environmental Quality in this matter.	7 And then EPA finally responded to us two days
8 And I did want to note that I understand that	8 ago, about four months after DEQ issued its letter.
9 you have two motions truly before the Board to supplement	9 And so now here we are in a bit of an
10 the record, and if I may, I'd like to talk about both of	10 uncomfortable position putting something, you know,
11 them because they're obviously interrelated as they	11 before the Board at a very late hour.
12 relate to the same subject matter ultimately.	12 But the only reason that we're doing that is
13 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Excuse me.	13 because we'd like the Board to have the complete story.
14 MS. YOUNG: Yeah.	14 If you were just left with what the record entails today,
15 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Could you stick to the one	15 which is just that initial EPA letter, you would have a
16 agenda item at this point?	16 false impression of the story. It may lead you to
17 MS. YOUNG: Oh, absolutely.	17 believe that the conversation ended there, but that's
18 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: You'll have an opportunity	18 absolutely not the case.
19 to talk about the other one later.	19 In reality, DEQ responded to that letter,
20 MS. YOUNG: Oh, okay. Sure. I wasn't clear on	20 continued to defend the permit, provided a lot of helpful
21 that, so thank you for that clarification, chairman.	21 information that EPA as the agency not writing the permit
22 As Ms. Elroy just pointed out, we only received	22 didn't know, and ultimately this final letter which we
23 this letter two days ago late in the afternoon, and so	23 received two days ago, which now in our minds we consider
24 the parties, Perpetua and DEQ, made the motion to	24 the matter closed based on this letter is another very
25 supplement the record with this March 12th letter	25 critical part of that story which is that DEQ essentially
Page 14	Page 16
1 yesterday.	1 was able to come to a common understanding with EPA and
2 And the reason that we are moving to put this in	2 find that the letter does meet the Clean Air Act and that
3 front of the Board today is because in the underlying	3 it's the matter is closed.
4 contested case proceeding, the petitioners in this case	4 And so, again, we did not start this process of
5 moved to enter EPA's original letter, which was issued in	5 putting these letters into the record, but now that it's
6 2023 of August. And at that time DEQ and Perpetua	6 here, out of fairness and to not prejudice any of the
7 objected to the inclusion of that letter, primarily	7 parties, we would strongly argue that all of the letters
8 because EPA is not a party to this case although they	8 be allowed in.
9 could be if they wanted to be, they are not.	9 And the final point I'd like to make is that
10 And because the letter was being introduced at a	10 just because you allow the letter into the record today
11 very late juncture during the contested case proceeding,	11 doesn't mean that you don't have time to study it,
12 over a year after the contested case proceeding had been	12 consider it, think about the merits of what's being
13 initiated, and so the parties objected to the inclusion.	13 raised, you know, under those limitations set by the
14 However, the hearing officer did allow that	14 hearing officer, of course, and analyze it in your own
15 original EPA letter to be introduced into the record.	15 time, and decide what weight to give it.
16 And because of that well, and I should back up.	16 By allowing it to be introduced into the record
17 He allowed it to be introduced in the record,	17 today though, it's at least something that you can do,
18 but only for limited purposes. It wasn't a carte	18 you can consider it and make your judgment when you're
19 blanche, you know, it wasn't allowed in for you to read	19 ready and when you have time to review it fully.
20 anything you wanted into it, he had three limitations:	20 And to that end I did bring courtesy copies of
21 One was that the letter could not add new allegations of	21 our motion and declaration that was filed yesterday. I
22 error; it could not add factual information that was not	22 don't know if that would be helpful to pass around,
23 already part of the record, or the proxy for expert	23 because I do realize and apologize for its very late
24 testimony to the Board.	24 filing.
25 And so under those limitations, the hearing	25 If you want a copy you can certainly take one
Page 15	Page 17

5 (Pages 14 - 17)

1 and l hesinate because I don't want to get into the first 2 Ms. Floyd with he latter attached as well as our motion. 3 3 So provide that. 3 4 So with that. I would absolutely stand for any 4 5 So provide that. 3 7 MS. TOUNG: Yeah. 4 8 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Codel Jak you a question? 7 7 MS. TOUNG: Yeah. 6 9 Board has is what about the opportunity to fair 9 10 orbues rance itsues, has risk off the some issues of unity itsue		
3 So provide that. 3 There's been a dialogue between DEQ and EPA 4 So with that, I would abolishly straht for any 4 since that letter, and there are filings that layev 5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Could I ask you a question? 6 Again, that is all information that, you know, has been 7 MS, YOUNG: Yeah. 6 Again, that is all information that, you know, has been 9 be Board has is what about the opportunity to fair 9 documents and things like that, the EPA has raised some 11 whether the parties should be given a chance to have fair 11 the permit development as they did in their letter, so 12 tine to respond to this letter bfore if's considered 13 Again, we are just hoping to put it in front of 14 MS, YOUNG: MC, Chairman, if may ask a 14 the Board. Because petitioners moved to enter the August 15 clarifying question? Tho do you mean by parties? Do you 15 firmtly: accusations made in that letter from EPA. 18 the sourd. 15 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: To herm and the respondents 17 scination that fait day on that be sourd to have a faixe 15 to trist motion to supplement the record 21 MS. YOUNG: Thankyou. 10 <tr< td=""><td>1 and pass it around, but there's both the declaration of</td><td>1 and I hesitate because I don't want to get into the first</td></tr<>	1 and pass it around, but there's both the declaration of	1 and I hesitate because I don't want to get into the first
4 So with fac, I would absolutely stand for any 4 since thal letter, and there are things that have 5 questions, but thas all I have to asy. 5 franspired, there's information that's been shared. 7 MS. YOUNG: Yeah. 7 vetted during the public comment process, during the 8 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: I think one of the concerns 8 diulogue with TPA. As far as the response to comments 9 the Board has is what about the opportunity to fair 9 documents and things like that, the EPA has raised some 10 response to this letter? Do you have an opinion on 10 of these same issues, has raised the same issues during 12 there to respond to this letter before it's considered 12 there's really no new facts. 13 entered into the record? 13 Again, we are just hoping to put it in front of 14 ths. NOUNG: Mr. Chairman, if I may ask a 15 storing relifies 15 15 storing relifies information that better for Pace a lake 16 interposet on the store a lake 16 mean top eitoinors? 16 motion, that would vertainly, ouk know, befae. 20 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: To them and the response to 21 Store andion, that would vertainly,	2 Ms. Floyd with the letter attached as well as our motion.	2 two separate. But, you know, it's really
5 guastions, hur hart's all have to say. 5 framspired, there's information that's been shared. 6 Again, hur is all information that's port knows been 6 7 MS. YOUNG: Yeah. 8 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Tobito on of the concerns 9 dialogue with EPA. As far as the response to comments 9 the Board has is what about the opportunity to fair 9 documents and things like that, the EPA has raised some 11 whether the parties should be given a chance to have fair 11 there there there is in considered 12 there's end/one we facts. 12 entere reposition? Who doy mean by parties? Do yon 13 Again, we are just hoping to put it in front of 14 MS. YOUNG: The month that that thas accessary. 17 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: To them and the respondents 15 the infinition. 18 frankly, accusations made in that letter from EPA. 15 the we allowed that orgonation to supplement the record 21 MS. YOUNG: I and wow, be fine. 22 To we allowed that orgonation to supplement the record 24 MR. POOSER: Yeah. Thank you. 24 objection or write some sort of response to 21 MS. YOUNG: I and wow. 21 the adoal duant to allow the petitio	3 So provide that.	3 There's been a dialogue between DEQ and EPA
6 BOARD CHAR BOWEN: Could Lak you a question? 6 Again, that is all information that, you know, has been 7 MS. YOUNG: Yeah. 7 vetted during the public comment process, during the 8 BOARD CHAR BOWEN: I think one of the concerns 9 dialogue with EPA. As far as the response to comments 9 the Board has is what about the opportunity to fair 9 documents and things like that, the EPA has raised straiged some 10 of these same issues, has raised the same issues during 11 11 where the painties should be given a chance to have fair 11 the permit development as they divid in their letter, so 12 enerced into the record? 13 Again, we are just hoping to put it in front of 14 MS. YOUNG: Mr. Chairman, if may ask a 14 the Board. Because petitioners moved to enter the August 15 chrifying question? Who do you mean by parties? Do you 15 2023 letter, we don't wait the Board to have a false 16 mean the petitioners? 13 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: To them and the response to 21 BraNky, accusations made in that letter from Ayou. 21 ow this motion. 18 If the Board dua voul cernity, you know, be fine. 20 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Main, you. <tr< td=""><td>4 So with that, I would absolutely stand for any</td><td>4 since that letter, and there are things that have</td></tr<>	4 So with that, I would absolutely stand for any	4 since that letter, and there are things that have
7 MS. YOUNG: Yeah. 7 7 retted during the public comment process, during the 8 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: I think one of the concerns 9 documents and things like that, the EPA has rised some 10 response to this letter? Do you have an opinion on 10 of the Board have, this respond to this letter? Do you have an opinion on 11 whether the parties should be given a chance to have fair 11 the permit development as they did in their letter, so 12 time to respond to this letter before it's considered 11 there's really no new facts. 13 angain, we are just hoping to put it in front of 14 14 MS. YOUNG: Mr. Chairman, if'I may ask a 15 2023 letter, we don't want the Board to have a false 16 inspression that DEQ didn't respond and have, out know, 17 Foreions, this it very seriously the statements and, quite 18 to this motion. 19 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: To them and the respondents 14 the board di wat on allow the petitioners? 19 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right. Thank you. 21 our motion, that would certainly, yon know, he fine. 22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right. Thank you. 22 our motion on allow petitioners have 4 4 <td>5 questions, but that's all I have to say.</td> <td>5 transpired, there's information that's been shared.</td>	5 questions, but that's all I have to say.	5 transpired, there's information that's been shared.
8 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: I think one of the concerns 9 8 dialogue with EPA. As far as the response to comments 9 the Board has is what about the opportunity to fair 0 documents and things like that, the EPA has raides down 11 whether the parties should be given a chance to have fair 11 the permit development as they did in their letter, so 12 there of into respont? 13 Again, we are just boping to put it in front of 13 senter din to respont? 14 db Board. Because petitioners: moved to there the August 15 clarifying question? Who do you mean by parties? Do you 15 16 imperson that DEQ (din't respond and take, you know, 17 serious, take it very seriously the statements and, quite 18 for into motion 19 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right, Thank you. 20 If the Board din want to allow the peritoiners 19 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Minght, Thank you. 20 21 to write anobjection or write some sort of response to 21 MS, YOUNG: I don't think that hark necessary. 20 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Minght, Thank you. 23 to write anobjection or write some sort of response to 21 MS, YOUNG: Thank you. 20 20 Sort on Thank you. 2	6 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Could I ask you a question?	6 Again, that is all information that, you know, has been
9 the Board has is what about the opportunity to fair 9 documents and things like that, the EPA has raised some 10 response to this letter? Do you have an option on within the event divelopment as they did in their letter, so 12 time to respond to this letter before it's considered 12 there's really no new facts. 12 time to respond to this letter before it's considered 13 Again, we are just hoping to put it in front of 14 MS. YOUNG: the Chairman, if I may ask a 14 the Board haw the Board to have a false 15 clarifying question? Who do you mean by parties? Do you 15 2023 letter, we don't want the Board to have a false 16 inem the peritioners? 15 2023 letter, we don't want on the, you know, 18 to this motion. 18 frankly, accusations made in that letter from EPA. 19 MS. YOUNG: I don't think that the's necessary. 19 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Nank you. 21 to write an objection or write some sort of response to 21 MS. YOUNG: Thank you. 22 and that would certainly, you know, be fine. 22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Nank you. 23 to write an objection or write some sort of response to 21 MS. YOUNG: Thank you. 24 <td>7 MS. YOUNG: Yeah.</td> <td>7 vetted during the public comment process, during the</td>	7 MS. YOUNG: Yeah.	7 vetted during the public comment process, during the
10 response to this letter? Do you have an opinion on 10 of of these same issues, has raised the same issues during 11 whether the parties should be given a chance to have fair 11 the permit development as they did in the letter, so 12 ince to respond to this letter before it's considered 12 there's really no new facts. 13 entered into the record? 13 Again, we are just hoping to put it in front of 14 MS. YOUNG: Mr. Chairman, if I may ask a 14 the Board. Because petitioners moved to have a false 15 both is motion. 16 impression that DEQ didn't respond and take, you know, 17 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: To them and the respondents 16 impression that DEQ didn't respond and take, you know, 18 to this motion. 19 MS. YOUNG: Thank you. 20 IB Stankly, accusations made in that letter from IPA. 21 to write an objectim or write some sort of response to 21 MS. YOUNG: Thank you. 22 22 our first motion to supplement the record 25 Pooser for Perpetua. 29 29 20 anything to add to what so said. I'ce bany to atto accurate and because wet 4 4 for any questions. 3 3 3 3	8 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: I think one of the concerns	8 dialogue with EPA. As far as the response to comments
11 whether the parties should be given a chance to have fair 11 the parties should be given a chance to have fair 12 time to respond to this letter before it's considered 12 there's really no new facts. 13 antered into rescord? 13 Again, we are just hopping to put it in front of 14 MS. YOUNG: Mr. Chairman, if I may ask a 14 there's really no new facts. 15 clarifying question? Who do you mean by parties? Do you 16 if the Board. Because petitioners moved to enter the August 16 mean the petitioners? 16 if the Board did want to allow the petitioners 16 20 motie an objection or write some sort of response to 20 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: To hem and the respondents 18 frankly, accusations made in that letter from EPA. 21 owire an objection or write some sort of response to 20 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right. Thank you. 23 They were allowed that opportunity to fit an 23 want to matce statement? 24 MR. POOSER: Yeah. Thank you. 29 Sockare Drit 24 objection to our first motion to supplement the record 25 Pooser for Perpetua. 29 29 20 3 avatheir woices head.	9 the Board has is what about the opportunity to fair	9 documents and things like that, the EPA has raised some
12 time to respond to this letter before it's considered 12 there's really no new facts. 13 entered into the record? 13 Again, we are just hoping to put it in front of 14 MS, YOUNG: Mr. Chairman, if I may ask a 15 2023 letter, we don't want the Board to have a false 16 mean the petitioners? 15 2023 letter, we don't want the Board to have a false 18 on its motion. 18 family accusations made in that letter from EPA. 19 MS, YOUNG: Mr. Iton't think that that's necessary. 19 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: To them and the respondents 20 If the Board did want to allow the petitioners 20 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right. Thank you. 21 to write an objection or write some son or frasponse to 21 MS. YOUNG: Mr. Machine Supplement the record 22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Does Perpetua's attorney 24 depiction to urifest motion to supplement the record 23 Want to make a statement? 24 MR POOSER: Yeah. Thank you. Christopher 25 anything to incerdBity relevant to the issues today. 3 anything to add to what she said. I'd be happy to stand 4 reating adout issues that dorn'c omplement atter. 4 for any questions. 5 BOA	10 response to this letter? Do you have an opinion on	10 of these same issues, has raised the same issues during
13entered into the record?13Again, we are just hoping to put it in front of14MS, YOUNG; M. Chairman, if I may ask a14the Board. Because petitioners moved to enter the August15clarifying question? Who do you mean by parties? Do you152023 letter, we don't want the Board to have a fake16mean the petitioners?16impression that DEQ didn't respond and take, you know,17BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: To them and the respondents17serious, take it very seriously the statements and, quite18to dismoino.18frankly, accusations mande in that letter from EPA.20If the Board did want to allow the petitioners20BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: To have you.21to write an objection or write some sort of response to21MS, YOUNG: Thank you.23ort have void certainly, you know, be fine.22BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Does Perpetua's attornery24objection to our first motion to supplement the record23want to make a statement?24objection to our first motion to supplement the record2Socour with MS, Young's remarks. We don't really have25really not incredibly relevant to this case, and because we3anything to add to what she said. 12 he happy to stand4see this dialogue with EPA as sort of a separate matter.410Not separate MS, We don't really have5They're talking about issues that for completely6Let's give the respondents an opportunity.7Vet all about alter, of course, the petitioners9MCAIND CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you	11 whether the parties should be given a chance to have fair	11 the permit development as they did in their letter, so
14MS, YOUNG: Mr. Chairman, if I may ak a1414the Board. Because petitioners moved to enter the August15clarifying question? Who do you mean by parties? Do you152023 letter, we don't want the Board to have a false16mean the potitioners?16impression that DEQ didn't respond and take, you know,17BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: To them and the respondents16impression that DEQ didn't respond and take, you know,18to this motion.19MS, YOUNG: I don't hink that that's necessary.20BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Thank you.21to write an objection or write some sort of response to21MS. YOUNG: Thank you.2023mhave their voices heard.22BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right. Thank you.24objection to our first motion to supplement the record23Want on alko a statement?24objection to our first motion to supplement the record24MR. POOSER: Yeah. Thank you. Christopher25and have their voices heard.7025Poget 1826really not incredibly relevant to the issues today.3anything to add to what she said. I'd be happy to stand4see this dialogue with EPA as sot of a separate matter.5BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you.5They're talking about issues that don't completely6Let's give the respondents an opportunity.6oredrap with what is in fort of the Board today. As7Net'l alk about itsees as this process has9gone on, and so we don't have that same overlap.9MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt	12 time to respond to this letter before it's considered	12 there's really no new facts.
15 clarifying question? Who do you mean by parties? Do you 15 2023 letter, we don't want the Board to have a false 16 mean the petitioners? 16 impression that DEQ didn't respond and take, you know, 17 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: To them and the respondents 18 frankly, accusations made in that letter from EPA. 19 MS. YOUNG: I don't hink that that's necessary. 19 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Thank you. 20 If the Board did want to allow the petitioners 20 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: One some sort of response to 21 to write an objection or write some sort of response to 21 MS. YOUNG: Thank you. 22 our motion, that would certainly, you know, be fine. 22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Does Perpetua's attorney 23 mhave their voices heard. 23 want to make a statement? 24 objection to our first motion to supplement the record 25 Manke we loin in the motion and we 2 really not incredibly relevant to the issues today, 1 Only to say that we join in the motion and we 3 locause EPA is not a party to this case, and because we 3 anything to add to what she said. Id be happy to stand 4 se this dialogue with EPA as sort of a separate matter. 5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. 5 They're talking about issues that don't completely 5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. 6 overlap with what is in front of the Board today. As 7 Let's sgin with -go ahead. Decide. Tell us who you	13 entered into the record?	13 Again, we are just hoping to put it in front of
16 mean the petitioners? 16 impression that DEQ didn't respond and take, you know, 17 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: To them and the respondents 17 serious, take it very seriously the statements and, quite 18 to this motion. 17 serious, take it very seriously the statements and, quite 19 MS, YOUNG: I don't think that that's necessary. 19 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right. Thank you. 20 ur motion, that would certainly, you know, be fine. 21 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right. Thank you. 21 or motion, that would certainly, you know, be fine. 22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right. Thank you. 22 or motion, that would certainly, you know, be fine. 23 want to make a statement? 24 objection to our first motion to supplement the record 24 MR. POOSER: Yeah. Thank you. Christopher 25 Pose of a bit ot a party to this case, and because we 4 for any questions. 5 5 They're talking about issues that on't completely 6 Let's give the respondents an opportunity. 7 vertil at about later, of course, the petitioners have 8 sot of narrowed down their issues at his process have 9 MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbut representing thee 10	14 MS. YOUNG: Mr. Chairman, if I may ask a	14 the Board. Because petitioners moved to enter the August
17 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: To them and the respondents 17 serious, take it very seriously the statements and, quite 18 to this motion. 18 frankly, accusations made in that letter from EPA. 19 MS. YOUNG: I don't think that this necessary. 19 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right. Thank you. 21 to write an objection or write some sort of response to 21 MS. YOUNG: Thank you. 22 ur motion, that would certainly, you know, be fine. 22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Does Perpetus' attorney 23 They were allowed that opportunity to file an 23 want to make a statement? 24 objection to our first motion to supplement the record 24 MR. POOSER: Yeah. Thank you. Christopher 25 and have their voices heard. Page 20 Page 20 1 But again, the substance of the EPA letter is 1 Only to say that we join in the motion and we 2 really no timeredibly relevant to the issues and because we 3 anything to add to what she said. I'd be happy to stand 4 see this dialogue with EPA as sort of a separate mater. 5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. 5 brower with what is in from of the Board today. As 6 Let's give the respondents an opportunity.	15 clarifying question? Who do you mean by parties? Do you	15 2023 letter, we don't want the Board to have a false
18 to this motion. 18 frankly, accusations made in that letter from EPA. 19 MS. YOUNG: I don't think that that's necessary. 19 BOARD MEMBER STELLE: Thank you. 20 If the Board did want to allow the petitioners 20 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right. Thank you. 21 to write an objection or write some sort of response to 21 MS. YOUNG: Thank you. 22 our motion, that would certainly, you know, be fine. 22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Does Perpetua's attorney 23 and have their voices heard. 22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Does Perpetua's attorney 24 objection to our first motion to supplement the record 24 MR. POOSER: Yeah. Thank you. Christopher 25 and have their voices heard. Page 18 1 Only to say that we join in the motion and we 2 really not incredibly relevant to the issues today, 3 ortoral marks. So of of a separate mater. 4 for any questions. 5 They re talking about issues that don't completely 5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. 6 verapt with what is in front of the Board today. As 7 Let's give the respondents an opportunity. 7 we'I talk about later, of course, the petitioners have 8<	16 mean the petitioners?	16 impression that DEQ didn't respond and take, you know,
19MS. YOUNG: I don't think that that's necessary.19BOARD MEMBER STELLE: Thank you.20If the Board did want to allow the petitioners20BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right. Thank you.21to write an objection or write some sort of response to21MS. YOUNG: Thank you.22our motion, that would certainly, you know, be fine.22BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Does Perpetua's attorney23They were allowed that opportunity to file an23Want to make a statement?24objection to our first motion to supplement the record24MR. POOSER: Yeah. Thank you. Christopher25really not incredibly relevant to the issues today,2concur with Ms. Young's remarks. We don't really have3sectus EPA is not a party to this case, and because we3anything to add to what she said. I'd be happy to stand4see this dialogue with EPA as sort of a separate matter.5BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you.6overlap with what is in front of the Board today. As6Let's give the respondents an opportunity.7vell talk about later, of course, the petitioners have9gome on, and so we don't heir issues at his process has9gome on, and so we don't nearly have that same overlap.9MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbut representing the10I don't think we'ter really here to discuss or10Nez Perce Tribe and the Idaho Conservation League.11debate the merits of what EPA sity if's more just for11We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to12the Board to understand from a high-level perspecti	17 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: To them and the respondents	17 serious, take it very seriously the statements and, quite
20 If the Board did want to allow the petitioners 20 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right. Thank you. 21 to write an objection or write some sort of response to 21 MS. YOUNG: Thank you. 22 our motion, that would certainly, you know, be fine. 22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Does Perpetua's attorney 23 They were allowed that opportunity to file an 23 want to make a statement? 23 24 objection to ur first motion to supplement the record 24 MR. POOSER: Yeah. Thank you. Christopher 25 and have their voices heard. Page 18 Page 20 1 But again, the substance of the EPA letter is 26 Pooser for Perpetua. Page 20 3 because EPA is not a party to this case, and because we 4 see this dialogue with EPA as sort of a separate matter. 5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. 6 Let's give the respondents an opportunity. 6 overlap with what is in front of the Board today. As 7 Let's give the respondents an opportunity. 7 Let's begin with go ahead. Decide. Tell us who you 8 sort of narrowed down their issues as this process has 9 MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt representing the 10 I dorit think we're really here to discus	18 to this motion.	18 frankly, accusations made in that letter from EPA.
21 to write an objection or write some sort of response to 21 MS. YOUNG: Thank you. 22 our motion, that would certainly, you know, be fine. 22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Does Perpetua's attorney 23 make a statement? 23 want to make a statement? 24 objection to supplement the record 25 MR. POOSER: Yeah. Thank you. Christopher 25 and have their voices heard. Page 18 Page 20 1 But again, the substance of the EPA letter is 2 concur with Ms. Young's remarks. We don't really have 3 because EPA is not a party to this case, and because we 4 for any questions. 5 They we taking about issues that don't completely 5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. 6 overlap with what is in front of the Board today. As 5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. 9 gene on, and so we don't have that same overlap. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt representing the 10 I don't think we're really here to discuss or 10 Nee A propose adding the new EPA letter to 12 the Board to understand from a high-level perspective 13 And relatedly, in interest of completing the 14 doz32, it didn't end there; t	19 MS. YOUNG: I don't think that that's necessary.	19BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Thank you.
22 our motion, that would certainly, you know, be fine. 23 They were allowed that opportunity to file an 23 want to make a statement? 23 They were allowed that opportunity to file an 23 want to make a statement? 24 objection to our first motion to supplement the record 25 Poser for Perpetua. Page 20 25 no an have their voices heard. Page 20 Page 20 2 really not incredibly relevant to the issues today, 3 anything to add to what she said. I'd be happy to stand 4 secause EPA is not a party to this case, and because we 4 for any questions. 5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. 6 6 overlap with what is in fornt of the Board today. As 6 Let's give the respondents an opportunity. 7 Let's give the respondents an opportunity. 7 we'll tak about later, of course, the petitioners have 8 are. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt representing the 10 I don't think we're really here to discuss or 10 Nez Perce Tibe and the flaho Conservation League. 11 We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to 12 the Board to understand from a high-level perspective 13 And relateldy, in interest of compl	20 If the Board did want to allow the petitioners	20 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right. Thank you.
23 They were allowed that opportunity to file an 23 Want to make a statement? 24 objection to our first motion to supplement the record 25 25 and have their voices heard. Page 18 26 Page 18 Page 20 1 But again, the substance of the EPA letter is 2 Posser for Perpetua. 2 really not incredibly relevant to the issues today. 3 concur with Ms. Young's remarks. We don't really have 3 because EPA is not a party to this case, and because we 4 see this dialogue with EPA as sort of a separate matter. 5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. 6 6 overlap with what is in front of the Board today. As 6 Let's give the respondents an opportunity. 7 we'll talk about later, of course, the petitioners have 8 aree. 9 gene on, and so we don't have that same overlap. 10 Nez Perce Tribe and the Idaho Conservation League. 11 debate the merits of what EPA said, it's more just for 11 We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to 12 the Board to understand from a high-level perspective 13 And relatedly, in interest of completing the 14 2023, it didn't end there; the story	21 to write an objection or write some sort of response to	21 MS. YOUNG: Thank you.
24 objection to our first motion to supplement the record 24 MR. POOSER: Yeah. Thank you. Christopher 25 and have their voices heard. Page 18 Page 18 Page 20 1 But again, the substance of the EPA letter is 1 Only to say that we join in the motion and we 2 really not incredibly relevant to the issues today, 3 1 Only to say that we join in the motion and we 2 concur with Ms. Young's remarks. We don't really have 3 anything to add to what she said. I'd be happy to stand 4 see this dialogue with EPA as sort of a separate matter. 5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. 6 overlap with what is in front of the Board today. As 6 Let's give the respondents an opportunity. 7 we'l talk about later, of course, the petitioners have 8 are. 9 9 9 gone on, and so we don't have that same overlap. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt representing the 10 I don't think we're really here to discuss or 11 We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to 12 the Board to understand from a high-level perspective 13 And relatedly, in interest of completing the 14 2023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there, 14 record, we are willing to withdraw our opposition to the 13 and relatedly, in interest of completing the	22 our motion, that would certainly, you know, be fine.	22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Does Perpetua's attorney
25 and have their voices heard. Page 18 25 Pooser for Perpetua. Page 20 1 But again, the substance of the EPA letter is 2 Page 18 Page 20 1 But again, the substance of the EPA letter is 1 Only to say that we join in the motion and we 2 2 really not incredibly relevant to the issues today, 3 anything to add to what she said. I'd be happy to stand 4 see this dialogue with EPA as ort of a separate matter. 5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. 6 6 overlap with what is in front of the Board today. As 7 Let's give the respondents an opportunity. 7 7 we'll talk about later, of course, the petitioners have 8 are. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt representing the 10 I don't think we're really here to discuss or 11 We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to 12 the Board to understand from a high-level perspective 13 And relatedly, in interest of completing the 14 2023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there, 14 record. 13 13 and ve continue to defend the permit just like we are 15 motion to add DEQ's previous letter to the record. 14 16 today. 17	23 They were allowed that opportunity to file an	23 want to make a statement?
Page 18Page 201But again, the substance of the EPA letter is1Only to say that we join in the motion and we2really not incredibly relevant to the issues today,2concur with Ms. Young's remarks. We don't really have3because EPA is not a party to this case, and because we3anything to add to what she said. I'd be happy to stand4see this dialogue with EPA as sort of a separate matter.4for any questions.5They're talking about issues that don't completely5BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you.6overlap with what is in front of the Board today. As6Let's give the respondents an opportunity.7we'll talk about later, of course, the petitioners have7Let's begin with go ahead. Decide. Tell us who you8sort of narrowed down their issues as this process has8are9gone on, and so we don't have that same overlap.9MR. HURL BUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt representing the10I don't hink we're really here to discuss or10Nez Perce Tribe and the Idaho Conservation League.11debate the merits of what EPA said, it's more just for11We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to13that when we received that letter form EPA in August of13And relatedly, in interest of completing the142023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there,16semed fairly incomplete before without EPA's response,14doday.17but now with that, we do not oppose having both of these15andy ther questions from the Board?<	24 objection to our first motion to supplement the record	24 MR. POOSER: Yeah. Thank you. Christopher
1 But again, the substance of the EPA letter is 2 really not incredibly relevant to the issues today, 3 because EPA is not a party to this case, and because we 4 see this dialogue with EPA as sort of a separate matter. 5 They're talking about issues that don't completely 6 overlap with what is in front of the Board today. As 7 we'll talk about later, of course, the petitioners have 9 gone on, and so we don't have that same overlap. 9 gone on, and so we don't have that same overlap. 9 gone on, and so we don't have that same overlap. 9 don't think we're really here to discuss or 11 don't think we're really here to discuss or 12 the Board to understand from a high-level perspective 13 that when we received that letter from EPA in August of 14 totay. 17 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you. 18 Any other questions from the Board? 19 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So in the letter from EPA, in August of 14 Any other questions from the Board? 19 And as far as whether there should be an 20 opportunity to respond to that lett		-
2really not incredibly relevant to the issues today,2concur with Ms. Young's remarks. We don't really have3because EPA is not a party to this case, and because we3anything to add to what she said. I'd be happy to stand4see this dialogue with EPA as sort of a separate matter.5BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you.5They're talking about issues that don't completely6Let's give the respondents an opportunity.6overlap with what is in front of the Board today. As6Let's give the respondents an opportunity.7we'll talk about later, of course, the petitioners have7Let's begin with go ahead. Decide. Tell us who you8sort of narrowed down their issues as this process has9mR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt representing the10I don't think we're really here to discuss or10Nez Perce Tribe and the Idaho Conservation League.11debate the merits of what EPA said, it's more just for11We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to12the Board to understand from a high-level perspective13And relatedly, in interest of completing the142023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there,14record.1415mody we are willing to withdraw our opposition to the16today.17but now with that, we do not oppose having both of these18Any other questions from the Board?18documents before the Board to consider.19BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you.19And as far as whether there should be an20 <t< th=""><th>Page 18</th><th>Page 20</th></t<>	Page 18	Page 20
3because EPA is not a party to this case, and because we3anything to add to what she said. I'd be happy to stand4see this dialogue with EPA as sort of a separate matter.4for any questions.5They're talking about issues that don't completely5BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you.6overlap with what is in front of the Board today. As6Let's give the respondents an opportunity.7we'll talk about later, of course, the petitioners have8are.9gone on, and so we don't have that same overlap.9MR HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt representing the10I don't think we're really here to discuss or10Nez Perce Tribe and the Idaho Conservation League.11debate the merits of what EPA said, it's more just for11We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to12the Board to understand from a high-level perspective12the record.13that when we received that letter from EPA in August of13And relatedly, in interest of completing the142023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there,14record, we are willing to withdraw our opposition to the15and we continue to defend the permit just like we are15motion to add DEQ's previous letter to the record. It16today.19And as far as whether there should be an10there's no new facts in that letter for us to consider?2019BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you.19And as far as whether there should be an20there's no new facts in that letter or us to con	1 But again, the substance of the EPA letter is	1 Only to say that we join in the motion and we
4 see this dialogue with EPA as sort of a separate matter.4 for any questions.5 They're talking about issues that don't completely5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you.6 overlap with what is in front of the Board today. As6 Let's give the respondents an opportunity.7 we'll talk about later, of course, the petitioners have8 are.9 gone on, and so we don't have that same overlap.9 MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt representing the10 I don't think we're really here to discuss or10 Nez Perce Tribe and the Idaho Conservation League.11 debate the merits of what EPA said, it's more just for11 We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to12 the Board to understand from a high-level perspective12 the record.13 that when we received that letter from EPA in August of13 And relatedly, in interest of completing the14 2023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there,14 record, we are willing to withdraw our opposition to the15 motion to add DEQ's previous letter to the record. It16 seemed fairly incomplete before without EPA's response,17 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you.19 And as far as whether three should be an20 there's no new facts in that letter from EPA, in August of11 akabout the letter down oppose having both of these18 documents before the Board to consider?20 opportunity to respond to that letter, we're prepared to21 facts. From the most recent EPA letter?22 wants to, and how it relates to the merits of our claims,23 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.23 and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or24 MS, YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.24 something like that if the Board thinks th	2 really not incredibly relevant to the issues today,	2 concur with Ms. Young's remarks. We don't really have
5They're talking about issues that don't completely5BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you.6overlap with what is in front of the Board today. As6Let's give the respondents an opportunity.7we'll talk about later, of course, the petitioners have8are.9gone on, and so we don't have that same overlap.9MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt representing the10I don't think we're really here to discuss or10Nez Perce Tribe and the Idaho Conservation League.11debate the merits of what EPA said, it's more just for11We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to12the Board to understand from a high-level perspective12the record.13that when we received that letter from EPA in August of13And relatedly, in interest of completing the142023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there,15motion to add DEQ's previous letter to the record. It16today.17but August of18seemed fairly incomplete before without EPA's response,17BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you.19And s far as whether three should be an20opportunity to respond to that letter, we're prepared to21MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new2122Aust, From the most recent EPA letter?2223and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or24MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.2425No, there's really no new facts. There are2525No, there's really no new facts. There are	3 because EPA is not a party to this case, and because we	3 anything to add to what she said. I'd be happy to stand
6overlap with what is in front of the Board today. As6Let's give the respondents an opportunity.7we'll talk about later, of course, the petitioners have87Let's begin with go ahead. Decide. Tell us who you8sort of narrowed down their issues as this process has9MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt representing the10I don't think we're really here to discuss or10Nez Perce Tribe and the Idaho Conservation League.11debate the merits of what EPA said, it's more just for11We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to12the Board to understand from a high-level perspective12the record.13that when we received that letter from EPA in August of13And relatedly, in interest of completing the142023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there,14record, we are willing to withdraw our opposition to the15and we continue to defend the permit just like we are15motion to add DEQ's previous letter to the record. It16today.19And as far as whether there should be an20there's no new facts in that letter for us to consider?20opportunity to respond to that letter, we're prepared to21MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new21talk about the letter today to the extent that the Board23BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.23and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or24MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.24something like that if the Board thinks that would be25No, there's really no new facts. There a	4 see this dialogue with EPA as sort of a separate matter.	4 for any questions.
7we'll talk about later, of course, the petitioners have7Let's begin with go ahead. Decide. Tell us who you8sort of narrowed down their issues as this process has9gone on, and so we don't have that same overlap.10I don't think we're really here to discuss or10Nez Perce Tribe and the Idaho Conservation League.11debate the merits of what EPA said, it's more just for11We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to12the Board to understand from a high-level perspective12the record.13that when we received that letter from EPA in August of13And relatedly, in interest of completing the142023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there,14record, we are willing to withdraw our opposition to the15and we continue to defend the permit just like we are15motion to add DEQ's previous letter to the record. It16today.17bu Any other questions from the Board?1819BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So in the letter from EPA,19And as far as whether there should be an20opportunity to respond to that letter, we're prepared to21MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new21talk about the letter today to the extent that the Board23BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.23and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or24MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.24something like that if the Board thinks that would be25No, there's really no new facts. There are25helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah. <td>5 They're talking about issues that don't completely</td> <td>5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you.</td>	5 They're talking about issues that don't completely	5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you.
8 sort of narrowed down their issues as this process has98 are.9 gone on, and so we don't have that same overlap.9MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt representing the10I don't think we're really here to discuss or10Nez Perce Tribe and the Idaho Conservation League.11 debate the merits of what EPA said, it's more just for11We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to12 the Board to understand from a high-level perspective12the record.13 that when we received that letter from EPA in August of13And relatedly, in interest of completing the14 2023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there,14record, we are willing to withdraw our opposition to the15 and we continue to defend the permit just like we are15motion to add DEQ's previous letter to the record. It16 today.16seemed fairly incomplete before without EPA's response,17BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you.17but now with that, we do not oppose having both of these18Any other questions from the Board?19And as far as whether there should be an20opportunity to respond to that letter, we're prepared to21MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new2122facts. From the most recent EPA letter?2223BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.2324MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.2425No, there's really no new facts. There are2425hor, there's really no new facts. There are25	6 overlap with what is in front of the Board today. As	6 Let's give the respondents an opportunity.
9gone on, and so we don't have that same overlap.9MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt representing the10I don't think we're really here to discuss or10Nez Perce Tribe and the Idaho Conservation League.11debate the merits of what EPA said, it's more just for11We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to12the Board to understand from a high-level perspective12the record.13that when we received that letter from EPA in August of13And relatedly, in interest of completing the142023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there,14record, we are willing to withdraw our opposition to the15and we continue to defend the permit just like we are15motion to add DEQ's previous letter to the record. It16today.17but now with that, we do not oppose having both of these18Any other questions from the Board?18documents before the Board to consider.19BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So in the letter from EPA,19And as far as whether there should be an21MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new21talk about the letter today to the extent that the Board23BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.23and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or24MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.24something like that if the Board thinks that would be25No, there's really no new facts. There are25helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah.	7 we'll talk about later, of course, the petitioners have	7 Let's begin with go ahead. Decide. Tell us who you
10I don't think we're really here to discuss or10Nez Perce Tribe and the Idaho Conservation League.11debate the merits of what EPA said, it's more just for11We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to12the Board to understand from a high-level perspective12the record.13that when we received that letter from EPA in August of13And relatedly, in interest of completing the142023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there,14record, we are willing to withdraw our opposition to the15and we continue to defend the permit just like we are15motion to add DEQ's previous letter to the record. It16today.16seemed fairly incomplete before without EPA's response,17BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you.17but now with that, we do not oppose having both of these18Any other questions from the Board?19And as far as whether there should be an20there's no new facts in that letter for us to consider?20opportunity to respond to that letter, we're prepared to21MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new21talk about the letter today to the extent that the Board23BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.23and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or24MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.24something like that if the Board thinks that would be25No, there's really no new facts. There are25helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah.	8 sort of narrowed down their issues as this process has	8 are.
11debate the merits of what EPA said, it's more just for11We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to12the Board to understand from a high-level perspective12the record.13that when we received that letter from EPA in August of13And relatedly, in interest of completing the142023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there,14record, we are willing to withdraw our opposition to the15and we continue to defend the permit just like we are15motion to add DEQ's previous letter to the record. It16today.16seemed fairly incomplete before without EPA's response,17BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you.17but now with that, we do not oppose having both of these18Any other questions from the Board?19And as far as whether there should be an20there's no new facts in that letter for us to consider?20opportunity to respond to that letter, we're prepared to21MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new21talk about the letter today to the extent that the Board23BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.23and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or24MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.24something like that if the Board thinks that would be25No, there's really no new facts. There are25helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah.	9 gone on, and so we don't have that same overlap.	9 MR. HURLBUTT: Bryan Hurlbutt representing the
12 the Board to understand from a high-level perspective12 the record.13 that when we received that letter from EPA in August of13 And relatedly, in interest of completing the14 2023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there,13 And relatedly, in interest of completing the15 and we continue to defend the permit just like we are14 record, we are willing to withdraw our opposition to the16 today.16 seemed fairly incomplete before without EPA's response,17 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you.17 but now with that, we do not oppose having both of these18 Any other questions from the Board?18 documents before the Board to consider.19 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So in the letter from EPA,19 And as far as whether there should be an20 there's no new facts in that letter for us to consider?20 opportunity to respond to that letter, we're prepared to21 MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new21 talk about the letter today to the extent that the Board23 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.23 and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or24 MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.24 something like that if the Board thinks that would be25 No, there's really no new facts. There are25 helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah.	10 I don't think we're really here to discuss or	10 Nez Perce Tribe and the Idaho Conservation League.
 13 that when we received that letter from EPA in August of 14 2023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there, 15 and we continue to defend the permit just like we are 16 today. 17 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you. 18 Any other questions from the Board? 19 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So in the letter from EPA, 19 MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new 21 MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new 22 facts. From the most recent EPA letter? 23 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes. 24 MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele. 25 No, there's really no new facts. There are 13 And relatedly, in interest of completing the 14 record, we are willing to withdraw our opposition to the 15 motion to add DEQ's previous letter to the record. It 16 seemed fairly incomplete before without EPA's response, 17 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So in the letter from EPA, 20 opportunity to respond to that letter, we're prepared to 21 alk about the letter today to the extent that the Board 22 wants to, and how it relates to the merits of our claims, 23 and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or 24 MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele. 25 No, there's really no new facts. There are 	11 debate the merits of what EPA said, it's more just for	11 We do not oppose adding the new EPA letter to
142023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there,14record, we are willing to withdraw our opposition to the15and we continue to defend the permit just like we are14record, we are willing to withdraw our opposition to the16today.15motion to add DEQ's previous letter to the record. It16today.16seemed fairly incomplete before without EPA's response,17BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you.17but now with that, we do not oppose having both of these18Any other questions from the Board?18documents before the Board to consider.19BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So in the letter from EPA,19And as far as whether there should be an20there's no new facts in that letter for us to consider?20opportunity to respond to that letter, we're prepared to21MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new21talk about the letter today to the extent that the Board22facts. From the most recent EPA letter?23and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or24MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.24something like that if the Board thinks that would be25No, there's really no new facts. There are25helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah.	12 the Board to understand from a high-level perspective	12 the record.
15 and we continue to defend the permit just like we are15 motion to add DEQ's previous letter to the record. It16 today.16 seemed fairly incomplete before without EPA's response,17BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you.17 but now with that, we do not oppose having both of these18Any other questions from the Board?18 documents before the Board to consider.19BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So in the letter from EPA,1920there's no new facts in that letter for us to consider?20 opportunity to respond to that letter, we're prepared to21MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new21 talk about the letter today to the extent that the Board22facts. From the most recent EPA letter?22 wants to, and how it relates to the merits of our claims,23BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.23 and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or24MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.24 something like that if the Board thinks that would be25No, there's really no new facts. There are25 helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah.	13 that when we received that letter from EPA in August of	13 And relatedly, in interest of completing the
16 today.16 seemed fairly incomplete before without EPA's response,17BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you.17 but now with that, we do not oppose having both of these18Any other questions from the Board?18 documents before the Board to consider.19BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So in the letter from EPA,19 And as far as whether there should be an20 there's no new facts in that letter for us to consider?20 opportunity to respond to that letter, we're prepared to21MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new21 talk about the letter today to the extent that the Board22 facts. From the most recent EPA letter?22 wants to, and how it relates to the merits of our claims,23BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.23 and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or24MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.24 something like that if the Board thinks that would be25No, there's really no new facts. There are25 helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah.	14 2023, it didn't end there; the story didn't end there,	14 record, we are willing to withdraw our opposition to the
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you. Any other questions from the Board? BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So in the letter from EPA, BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So in the letter from EPA, MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new talk about the letter today to the extent that the Board and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele. No, there's really no new facts. There are board to consider in the Board in the steele are in the steele ar	15 and we continue to defend the permit just like we are	15 motion to add DEQ's previous letter to the record. It
18Any other questions from the Board?18documents before the Board to consider.19BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So in the letter from EPA,19And as far as whether there should be an20there's no new facts in that letter for us to consider?20opportunity to respond to that letter, we're prepared to21MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new21talk about the letter today to the extent that the Board22facts. From the most recent EPA letter?22wants to, and how it relates to the merits of our claims,23BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.23and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or24MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.24something like that if the Board thinks that would be25No, there's really no new facts. There are25helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah.	16 today.	16 seemed fairly incomplete before without EPA's response,
19BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So in the letter from EPA, 20 there's no new facts in that letter for us to consider?19And as far as whether there should be an 20 opportunity to respond to that letter, we're prepared to 2121MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new 22 facts. From the most recent EPA letter?21 talk about the letter today to the extent that the Board 22 wants to, and how it relates to the merits of our claims, 2323BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.23 and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or 24 something like that if the Board thinks that would be 25 No, there's really no new facts. There are25 helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah.	17 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you.	17 but now with that, we do not oppose having both of these
20 there's no new facts in that letter for us to consider?20 opportunity to respond to that letter, we're prepared to21MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new21 talk about the letter today to the extent that the Board22 facts. From the most recent EPA letter?22 wants to, and how it relates to the merits of our claims,23BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.23 and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or24MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.24 something like that if the Board thinks that would be25No, there's really no new facts. There are25 helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah.	18 Any other questions from the Board?	18 documents before the Board to consider.
21MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new21 talk about the letter today to the extent that the Board22 facts. From the most recent EPA letter?22 wants to, and how it relates to the merits of our claims,23BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.23 and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or24MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.24 something like that if the Board thinks that would be25No, there's really no new facts. There are25 helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah.	19BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So in the letter from EPA,	19And as far as whether there should be an
22 facts. From the most recent EPA letter?22 wants to, and how it relates to the merits of our claims,23BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.23 and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or24MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.24 something like that if the Board thinks that would be25No, there's really no new facts. There are25 helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah.	20 there's no new facts in that letter for us to consider?	20 opportunity to respond to that letter, we're prepared to
23BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.23 and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or24MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.24 something like that if the Board thinks that would be25No, there's really no new facts. There are25 helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah.	21 MS. YOUNG: No, I would say there are no new	21 talk about the letter today to the extent that the Board
24MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.24 something like that if the Board thinks that would be25No, there's really no new facts. There are25 helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah.	22 facts. From the most recent EPA letter?	22 wants to, and how it relates to the merits of our claims,
25 No, there's really no new facts. There are 25 helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah.	23 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.	23 and we are happy to provide supplemental briefing or
	24 MS. YOUNG: Sorry, Mr. Steele.	24 something like that if the Board thinks that would be
Page 19 Page 21		25 helpful for considering the EPA letter. Yeah.
	Page 19	Page 21

6 (Pages 18 - 21)

 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Questions? BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So with that statement, I mean, would you formally want to provide written comments? Or you said you'd be happy to provide written comments? MR. HURLBUTT: We are happy to if the Board thinks that that would be helpful to reach a decision. And if not, we're happy to just say some things about it today during our argument. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler. BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.
 3 mean, would you formally want to provide written 4 comments? Or you said you'd be happy to provide written 5 comments? 6 MR. HURLBUTT: We are happy to if the Board 7 thinks that that would be helpful to reach a decision. 8 And if not, we're happy to just say some things about it 9 today during our argument. 	3 4 5 6 7	BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler. BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.
 4 comments? Or you said you'd be happy to provide written 5 comments? 6 MR. HURLBUTT: We are happy to if the Board 7 thinks that that would be helpful to reach a decision. 8 And if not, we're happy to just say some things about it 9 today during our argument. 	4 5 6 7	BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler. BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.
 5 comments? 6 MR. HURLBUTT: We are happy to if the Board 7 thinks that that would be helpful to reach a decision. 8 And if not, we're happy to just say some things about it 9 today during our argument. 	5 6 7	BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.
 MR. HURLBUTT: We are happy to if the Board thinks that that would be helpful to reach a decision. And if not, we're happy to just say some things about it today during our argument. 	6 7	-
7 thinks that that would be helpful to reach a decision.8 And if not, we're happy to just say some things about it9 today during our argument.	7	
8 And if not, we're happy to just say some things about it9 today during our argument.		BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.
9 today during our argument.		BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.
	8	BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.
	9	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.
10 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: So I guess an additional	10	VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: So motion passes?
11 follow-up then. If you had the opportunity to provide	11	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Motion passes.
12 oral argument today, then do you feel like you need to	12	Moving to executive session. Thank you.
13 still have the time frame in statute to respond in	13	(Thereupon the Board convened into
14 writing? Or do you feel like just today's would be	14	executive session off the record.)
15 you don't have an opposition, so it seems like you're	15	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. I'll entertain a
16 saying that today would be enough; is that what I'm	16 motio	•
17 hearing?	17	VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that
18 MR. HURLBUTT: Correct. As far as responding to		coard resolve out of the executive session, and that
19 the actual motion that was filed yesterday.		heeting of the minutes reflect that no action was
		e e
5		a during the executive session.
21 MR. HURLBUTT: Yeah.	21	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Second.
22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you.	22	(Unreportable simultaneous cross-talk.)
Any other parties want to speak to that?	23	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Carol, can you hear us?
24 MS. THROWER: No. Thank you.	24	BOARD SECRETARY MASCARENAS: Yes, I can.
25 (Reporter clarification.) Page 22	25	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Page 24
		1 age 24
1 MS. THROWER: Julia Thrower for Save the South	1	BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Thank you.
2 Fork Salmon.	2	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right. We have a motion
3 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Any other discussion?	3 and a	second.
4 Yeah, I, for one, after that new information	4	Elaine, will you take the roll?
5 would like to entertain if the Board would like to	5	BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
6 entertain moving to executive session?	6	Mark Bowen.
7 Randy, do you want to make a motion?	7	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.
8 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Yes, sir. I move that	8	BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.
9 the Board enter into an executive session pursuant to	9	VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.
10 Idaho Code 74-206(1)(f) to communicate with legal counsel	10	BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.
11 and the public agency to discuss the ramifications of and	11	BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye.
12 legal options or controversies not yet being litigated	12	BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.
13 but imminently likely to be litigated.	13	BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.
14 I request that a roll call vote be taken and	14	BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.
15 that vote be recorded in the minutes of this meeting.	15	BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.
16 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Do I hear a second?	16	BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.
17 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second.	10	BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.
18 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you.	17	BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.
19 Elaine, let's take a roll call, please.	18	-
		BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.
	20 21 again	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. We're back in general
21 Mark Bowen.		on here. I'd like to thank the audience for
22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.		ging the Board. I think with this new information
23 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.		e received any discussion from the Board?
24 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.	24	VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman.
25 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.	25	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Yes.
Page 23		Page 25

7 (Pages 22 - 25)

	1
1 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: It would seem that all	1 BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye.
2 the parties have agreed that the correspondence between	2 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.
3 DEQ and EPA is appropriate to include in the record, and	3 BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.
4 that resolves our concern about making sure that this	4 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.
5 whole process is fair and open.	5 BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.
6 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Any other comments?	6 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.
7 (No response.)	7 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.
8 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: I think the Board would like	8 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.
9 to hear from the parties that they agree to stipulate	9 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.
10 bringing these two letters into the record under the	10 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Motion carried. Thank you.
11 stipulation that under the same conditions as found by	11 So that was essentially amended agenda item
12 the previous hearing officer:	12 number 1.
13 That the EPA letter cannot be used, one, to add	13 Item number two was the second letter which
14 new allegations of error.	14 we've just handled.
15 Two, to add factual information that was not	15 Any further comment on those two agenda items?
16 already part of the record.	16 (No response.)
17 Or three, as a proxy for expert testimony to the	17 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: If not, we'll move to the
18 petitioners.	18 last agenda item. This next item on the agenda is oral
19 Do the parties stipulate? DEQ?	19 argument on the amended petition for review of the
20 MS. YOUNG: Yes, Mr. Chairman, we would	20 preliminary orders that was filed by the petitioners, the
21 stipulate to that. Thank you.	21 Nez Perce Tribe, ICL, and Save the South Fork of the
22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Perpetua?	22 Salmon on December 15th, 2023, in the matter for Air
23 MR. POOSER: Yes, Mr. Chairman, we stipulate.	23 Quality Permit to Construct P-2019.0047, case docket
24 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: ICL?	24 number 0101-22-01, OAH case number 23-245-01.
25 MR. HURLBUTT: Yes, we stipulate.	25 The amended petition asked this Board to review
Page 26	Page 28
1 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: And does that include the	1 the amended preliminary order issued by the hearing
2 other parties?	2 officer on December 5, 2023. The matter has been fully
3 MS. THROWER: Yes, it does. Thank you.	3 briefed.
4 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Nez Perce.	4 We'd like to provide 30 minutes to each party to
5 MR. HURLBUTT: Yes, for the Nez Perce as well.	4 We'd like to provide 30 minutes to each party to
	5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be
6 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With	
	5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be
6 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With	5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal.
6 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With 7 that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion.	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that 	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez 8 Perce Tribe, then ICL, then Save the South Fork Salmon.
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept into the record, under the same 	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez 8 Perce Tribe, then ICL, then Save the South Fork Salmon. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: And if it's okay with you, we
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept into the record, under the same conditions as identified by the hearing officer with 	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez 8 Perce Tribe, then ICL, then Save the South Fork Salmon. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: And if it's okay with you, we 10 planned to sort of do this together instead of dividing,
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept into the record, under the same conditions as identified by the hearing officer with regard to the August 10th, 2023 letter, the November 	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez 8 Perce Tribe, then ICL, then Save the South Fork Salmon. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: And if it's okay with you, we 10 planned to sort of do this together instead of dividing, 11 you know, by parties.
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept into the record, under the same conditions as identified by the hearing officer with regard to the August 10th, 2023 letter, the November 22nd, 2023, and the March 12th, 2024 correspondence 	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez 8 Perce Tribe, then ICL, then Save the South Fork Salmon. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: And if it's okay with you, we 10 planned to sort of do this together instead of dividing, 11 you know, by parties. 12 Ms. Thrower is going to handle two of the four 13 issues that we raised, and I was going to handle the
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept into the record, under the same conditions as identified by the hearing officer with regard to the August 10th, 2023 letter, the November 22nd, 2023, and the March 12th, 2024 correspondence between DEQ and EPA. 	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez 8 Perce Tribe, then ICL, then Save the South Fork Salmon. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: And if it's okay with you, we 10 planned to sort of do this together instead of dividing, 11 you know, by parties. 12 Ms. Thrower is going to handle two of the four
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept into the record, under the same conditions as identified by the hearing officer with regard to the August 10th, 2023 letter, the November 22nd, 2023, and the March 12th, 2024 correspondence between DEQ and EPA. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Do I have a second? BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Second. 	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez 8 Perce Tribe, then ICL, then Save the South Fork Salmon. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: And if it's okay with you, we 10 planned to sort of do this together instead of dividing, 11 you know, by parties. 12 Ms. Thrower is going to handle two of the four 13 issues that we raised, and I was going to handle the 14 other two, if that's okay.
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept into the record, under the same conditions as identified by the hearing officer with regard to the August 10th, 2023 letter, the November 22nd, 2023, and the March 12th, 2024 correspondence between DEQ and EPA. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Do I have a second? BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Any discussion? 	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez 8 Perce Tribe, then ICL, then Save the South Fork Salmon. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: And if it's okay with you, we 10 planned to sort of do this together instead of dividing, 11 you know, by parties. 12 Ms. Thrower is going to handle two of the four 13 issues that we raised, and I was going to handle the 14 other two, if that's okay. 15 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Are you okay with 30 16 minutes between you?
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept into the record, under the same conditions as identified by the hearing officer with regard to the August 10th, 2023 letter, the November 22nd, 2023, and the March 12th, 2024 correspondence between DEQ and EPA. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Do I have a second? BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Any discussion? (No response.) 	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez 8 Perce Tribe, then ICL, then Save the South Fork Salmon. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: And if it's okay with you, we 10 planned to sort of do this together instead of dividing, 11 you know, by parties. 12 Ms. Thrower is going to handle two of the four 13 issues that we raised, and I was going to handle the 14 other two, if that's okay. 15 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Are you okay with 30 16 minutes between you? 17 MS. THROWER: Yes.
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept into the record, under the same conditions as identified by the hearing officer with regard to the August 10th, 2023 letter, the November 22nd, 2023, and the March 12th, 2024 correspondence between DEQ and EPA. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Do I have a second? BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Any discussion? (No response.) BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: We'll entertain a vote. 	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez 8 Perce Tribe, then ICL, then Save the South Fork Salmon. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: And if it's okay with you, we 10 planned to sort of do this together instead of dividing, 11 you know, by parties. 12 Ms. Thrower is going to handle two of the four 13 issues that we raised, and I was going to handle the 14 other two, if that's okay. 15 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Are you okay with 30 16 minutes between you? 17 MS. THROWER: Yes. 18 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you. Begin.
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept into the record, under the same conditions as identified by the hearing officer with regard to the August 10th, 2023 letter, the November 22nd, 2023, and the March 12th, 2024 correspondence between DEQ and EPA. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Do I have a second? BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Any discussion? (No response.) BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: We'll entertain a vote. Elaine, will you call roll? 	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez 8 Perce Tribe, then ICL, then Save the South Fork Salmon. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: And if it's okay with you, we 10 planned to sort of do this together instead of dividing, 11 you know, by parties. 12 Ms. Thrower is going to handle two of the four 13 issues that we raised, and I was going to handle the 14 other two, if that's okay. 15 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Are you okay with 30 16 minutes between you? 17 MS. THROWER: Yes. 18 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you. Begin. 19 MS. THROWER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept into the record, under the same conditions as identified by the hearing officer with regard to the August 10th, 2023 letter, the November 22nd, 2023, and the March 12th, 2024 correspondence between DEQ and EPA. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Do I have a second? BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Any discussion? (No response.) BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: We'll entertain a vote. Elaine, will you call roll? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez 8 Perce Tribe, then ICL, then Save the South Fork Salmon. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: And if it's okay with you, we 10 planned to sort of do this together instead of dividing, 11 you know, by parties. 12 Ms. Thrower is going to handle two of the four 13 issues that we raised, and I was going to handle the 14 other two, if that's okay. 15 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Are you okay with 30 16 minutes between you? 17 MS. THROWER: Yes. 18 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you. Begin. 19 MS. THROWER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 And just as a technical question, are we able to
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept into the record, under the same conditions as identified by the hearing officer with regard to the August 10th, 2023 letter, the November 22nd, 2023, and the March 12th, 2024 correspondence between DEQ and EPA. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Do I have a second? BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Any discussion? (No response.) BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: We'll entertain a vote. Elaine, will you call roll? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. 	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez 8 Perce Tribe, then ICL, then Save the South Fork Salmon. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: And if it's okay with you, we 10 planned to sort of do this together instead of dividing, 11 you know, by parties. 12 Ms. Thrower is going to handle two of the four 13 issues that we raised, and I was going to handle the 14 other two, if that's okay. 15 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Are you okay with 30 16 minutes between you? 17 MS. THROWER: Yes. 18 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you. Begin. 19 MS. THROWER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 And just as a technical question, are we able to 21 put up some of the record documents?
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept into the record, under the same conditions as identified by the hearing officer with regard to the August 10th, 2023 letter, the November 22nd, 2023, and the March 12th, 2024 correspondence between DEQ and EPA. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Do I have a second? BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Any discussion? (No response.) BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: We'll entertain a vote. Elaine, will you call roll? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. 	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez 8 Perce Tribe, then ICL, then Save the South Fork Salmon. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: And if it's okay with you, we 10 planned to sort of do this together instead of dividing, 11 you know, by parties. 12 Ms. Thrower is going to handle two of the four 13 issues that we raised, and I was going to handle the 14 other two, if that's okay. 15 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Are you okay with 30 16 minutes between you? 17 MS. THROWER: Yes. 18 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you. Begin. 19 MS. THROWER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 And just as a technical question, are we able to 21 put up some of the record documents? 22 MS. WILSON: Uh-huh.
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept into the record, under the same conditions as identified by the hearing officer with regard to the August 10th, 2023 letter, the November 22nd, 2023, and the March 12th, 2024 correspondence between DEQ and EPA. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Do I have a second? BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Do I have a second? BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Any discussion? (No response.) BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: We'll entertain a vote. Elaine, will you call roll? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. 	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez 8 Perce Tribe, then ICL, then Save the South Fork Salmon. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: And if it's okay with you, we 10 planned to sort of do this together instead of dividing, 11 you know, by parties. 12 Ms. Thrower is going to handle two of the four 13 issues that we raised, and I was going to handle the 14 other two, if that's okay. 15 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Are you okay with 30 16 minutes between you? 17 MS. THROWER: Yes. 18 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you. Begin. 19 MS. THROWER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 And just as a technical question, are we able to 21 put up some of the record documents? 22 MS. WILSON: Uh-huh. 23 MS. THROWER: Okay. Can I have you please go to
 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Okay. With that I'll hear I'll entertain a motion. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept into the record, under the same conditions as identified by the hearing officer with regard to the August 10th, 2023 letter, the November 22nd, 2023, and the March 12th, 2024 correspondence between DEQ and EPA. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Do I have a second? BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Any discussion? (No response.) BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: We'll entertain a vote. Elaine, will you call roll? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. 	 5 argue the amended petition. In addition, there will be 6 10 minutes allotted to the petitioners for rebuttal. 7 Let's begin with the petitioners first, the Nez 8 Perce Tribe, then ICL, then Save the South Fork Salmon. 9 MR. HURLBUTT: And if it's okay with you, we 10 planned to sort of do this together instead of dividing, 11 you know, by parties. 12 Ms. Thrower is going to handle two of the four 13 issues that we raised, and I was going to handle the 14 other two, if that's okay. 15 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Are you okay with 30 16 minutes between you? 17 MS. THROWER: Yes. 18 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you. Begin. 19 MS. THROWER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 And just as a technical question, are we able to 21 put up some of the record documents? 22 MS. WILSON: Uh-huh.

8 (Pages 26 - 29)

	-
1 REC which again?	1 miles of unpaved roads that are within the proposed mine
2 MS. THROWER: 415. So yeah, you have to scroll	2 site. And that's been the problem, most of the problems
3 up quite a bit.	3 that petitioners have focused on.
4 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Carol, can you hear us?	4 All through the versions of the various draft
5 BOARD SECRETARY MASCARENAS: If the respondents	5 permits, Perpetua and DEQ have had trouble on figuring
6 can talk a little louder, that would be great.	6 out how to deal with this dust. It's not just a problem
7 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: There is a chair up here	7 with compliance with the National Air Quality Ambient Air
8 if you'd like.	8 Quality Standards or NAAQS which in this case does
9 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Move the microphones back	9 involve, in particular, particulate matter, but it is
10 there.	10 also an issue with the state's Toxic Air Pollutant rules
11 MS. WILSON: Can you repeat the REC number?	11 or TAPs, and in this case we're talking about arsenic
12 MS. THROWER: It's document 36 REC 145.	12 which is a carcinogenic pollutant.
13 MS. WILSON: Okay.	13 The approved permit and records supporting its
14 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Which two were you going	14 decision demonstrates that DEQ still cannot comply with
15 to talk about? Is it ambient air or	15 the NAAQS for particulate matter and for the TAPs for
16 MS. THROWER: I'm going to talk about ambient	16 arsenic emissions.
17 air, the access road issue, and also the fourth issue in	17 Rather, the record demonstrates that DEQ took
17 air, the access road issue, and also the fourth issue in 18 our brief, the arsenic issue.	18 unprecedented, unsupported, and groundless measures to
19 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Okay. Thank you. 20 MS_WILSON: Descent bactering bactering that and the state of th	19 make it appear that the permit will comply with the law20 and the regulation.
20 MS. WILSON: Do you know what page number other	
21 than the	
22 MS. THROWER: It's REC 415 on the bottom.	22 said, I'll talk about the Stibnite Road access route, and
23 MS. WILSON: I'll just do math, I'll figure it	23 how we feel that that, including their excluding that
24 out	24 route from ambient air protections violates the Clean Air
25 MS. THROWER: Sorry, I don't have the PDF page Page 30	25 Act and creates a public health risk for those using that Page 32
1	1 road.
1 number.2MS. WILSON: That's okay.	2 I'll also talk about the arsenic emissions.
3 MS. THROWER: Well, I can go ahead and start,	And as Mr. Hurlbutt says, he'll talk about the
4 Mr. Chairman, while that comes up.	4 other two issues, the fugitive dust control efficiency,
	5 and also the plans that were not developed that are
6 MS. THROWER: So, you know, we've heard, and I'n	
7 sure we'll hear more today, but we've read in	7 that were not developed in time for public review.
8 petitioners' and Perpetua's brief about this pioneering	8 So indeed, if this permit is approved and this
9 approach for this proposed mining project to access some	9 mine continues or is allowed to operate under the guise
10 minerals. But what we're talking about here is an	10 of the state's approval, this suggests that it complies
11 age-old problem of dust from roads that are created by	11 with the Clean Air Act and Idaho state rules. And this
12 vehicles traveling on unpaved roads.	12 creates a grave risk to public health for those who
13 There's no pioneering approach here to	13 travel, recreate, and use the area to support their
14 controlling dust. We at times will call that fugitive	14 livelihood.
15 dust. There's no technological advances for controlling	15 That's why we ask the Board to carefully
16 fugitive dust like there are for controlling pollution	16 consider the evidence before it and vacate this permit
17 like out of a smoke stack, something that's more of a	17 and remand it back to DEQ for reconsideration.
18 point source.	18 So I had an exhibit pulled up because there's a
19 The pollution that we're concerned about here is	19 lot going on in this area. And I know it can be a little
20 creating a public health risk for those traveling and	20 confusing if you're not if you haven't studied this
21 recreating through and around the proposed mine site.	21 map and aren't really familiar with the area.
22 Some of that will be created, that dust will be created	22 So what this map shows is the ambient air
23 by blasting and crushing ore, but most of it is going to	23 boundary, sometimes it's called the operations boundary
24 be caused by heavy trucks hauling ore up from blasting	24 as well. And that's that blue line that encircles the
25 sites to ore processing facility and traveling on 55	25 entire mine site and several other thousand acres. That
Page 31	Page 33

9 (Pages 30 - 33)

1 ambient air boundary is, you can think of it sort of as a	1 Cascade log route. That currently does not exist. That
2 bubble.	2 is a road that is proposed as one of the alternatives
3 Inside that ambient air boundary, the air	3 under the mine plan that the Forest Service is
4 quality does not meet does not need to meet the NAAQS,	4 considering in its National Environmental Policy Act or
5 and it does not need to meet the state's toxic air	5 NEPA analysis.
6 pollutant standards.	6 So I just wanted to give you kind of a lay of
7 Outside that ambient air boundary, and that is	7 the land. Hopefully that will make it a little more
8 where the public is allowed to recreate, it will be open	8 make a little more sense of what our issue is here.
9 for public use, there's a lot of important recreational	9 So as I said, inside the ambient air boundary
10 sites, important sites that the Nez Perce Tribe uses, and	10 there aren't the air doesn't need to meet the NAAQS or
11 those sites and those uses were established in our	11 the state's caps criteria outside of the, we might call
12 standing declarations that were submitted before the	12 that the point of compliance, the air needs to meet both
13 Office of Administrative Hearings.	13 of those standards.
14 Outside that, again, like I said, the public is	14 So in this issue when I'm talking about the
15 allowed to recreate, to use the public lands, and outside	15 access road, we are mainly concerned about access through
16 that ambient air boundary the air quality must be	16 the mine inside of that ambient air boundary.
17 consistent with the NAAQS or it must meet the NAAQS, and	17 Now, we raised two issues, one that sort of goes
18 it must meet the state's toxic air pollution standards.	18 to the same problem here.
19 So we're concerned so going through let me	19 One is the legal control of the road. So under
20 back up.	20 the Clean Air Act and the Idaho state rules have
21 So looking inside that ambient air boundary, the	21 adopted the regulatory definition of ambient air the
22 gray color is are the proposed mining sites, some of	22 issue is whether that road can be excluded from ambient
23 the pits that will be mined. And I want to draw your	23 air protections, meaning that it can have polluted air
24 attention to that green line that kind of goes from the	24 that exceeds healthy standards set by the EPA and set by
25 north, where it says north entry, all the way through the	25 the state for toxic air pollutants. The threshold
Page 34	Page 36
1 mine site and to if we can scroll down a little bit,	1 question in whether a facility, an applicant can exclude
2 there's that road goes to the south entry where it	2 any portions of the facility, outside of the building,
3 exits the mine site and exits the ambient air boundary.	3 can exclude that from ambient air protection is whether
4 So that is called the Stibnite Road access route. It is	4 it has legal control and practical control.
5 a proposed route.	5 So if we look at EPA's policy on ambient air
6 Currently there is a route called the Stibnite	6 and just for your reference, that is REC 1138, and we
7 Road that is being used by the public to go through this	7 don't need to go to that up on the screen.
8 area. That road, as I said, exists. Under the proposed	8 But EPA says that in order to exclude an area
9 mine plan, that road will be reconstructed so that it can	9 from ambient air, you have to have two things: You have
10 be separated a little bit more from mining activities and	10 to have the legal power to control, and exclude the
11 provide a safer route to the public.	11 public. Not just control the public, but exclude the
12 As I said, the public access is important for	12 public, and the physical ability to exclude the public.
13 recreation sites on public land, both from the north	13 So think about fences, maybe surveillance, that kind of
14 entry and the south entry.	14 thing.
15 Currently the only way to get from to	15 The issue here is that DEQ is arguing and the
16 recreational sites at the south entry is to go through	16 hearing officer accepted this argument that they were
17 this road from the north entry to the south entry. And	17 reasonable in relying on the single statement and
18 there's some sites, Thunder Mountain, Monumental Summit	
19 that you'll see that we referenced, both in our standing	19 that Perpetua has the legal control to exclude the
20 declarations and also in our briefing. And those are all	20 public. And the reason this is important is because
21 recreational sites that are on Forest Service land.	21 they're allowing the public through there.
22 The road that appears there at the south entry	22 So, you know, one of the problems is and, you
23 is a proposed road called the Burntlog Road. If you	23 know, if you look at the record, is there is evidence
24 scroll down a little bit, I think you can see the name of	24 that Perpetua does have legal control to control access
25 it there. But that it says main access road to the	25 to a certain extent, but not to preclude public access.
Page 35	Page 37

10 (Pages 34 - 37)

1 So legal control can be just, you know, from their plain	1 I mean, you have standards, the NAAQS standards, how much
2 old simple ownership of land and they can keep people	2 particulate matter can be in the air, the state standards
3 out. It can also be controlled as given to them through	3 for toxic air pollutants, how much can be in the air;
4 a different entity, from, for instance, the Forest	4 that does not create a public risk, a public risk large
5 Service. So there's a mix of land ownership patterns	5 enough for us to really worry about.
6 here, some of it on patented lands Perpetua owns, the	6 Particulate matters or respiratory irritants
7 rest of it, most of that ambient air boundary, inside	7 particularly, you know, affecting children, older people,
8 that ambient air boundary the Forest Service owns, and	8 people that have pre-existing conditions, but also it
9 that's public land.	9 does affect, you know, healthy people if there's enough
10 The Forest Service, under the current mine	10 in the air. Same thing with arsenic, it's a carcinogen.
11 plan which is not approved yet by the Forest Service,	11 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: But isn't exposure time
12 they're still going through the NEPA process. The Forest	12 excuse me.
13 Service will give Perpetua the legal ability to control	13 But exposure time is a critical part of that;
14 access to the extent that they can control the public's	14 right? If an individual is allowed to go into the area
15 access for safety reasons. But there's evidence in the	15 and camp for two or three days versus only allowed to
16 record that shows that Perpetua does not have the legal	16 pass through, and they only spend a half an hour or an
17 access to preclude the public. And some of those	17 hour inside of that bubble, that makes a big difference,
18 statements are related to the Forest Service's process	18 doesn't it, the amount of the exposure time?
19 here.	19 MS. THROWER: Well, yes and no.
20 That process, the mine plan, currently there was	20 BOARD MEMBER PURDUE: Okay.
21 a proposal to close that road, but comments from the	21 MS. THROWER: Under the National Ambient Air
22 public to the Forest Service had made it clear that that	22 Quality Standards which, you know, the state needs to
23 is an important road for the public to have open to	23 meet, there's criteria set, levels of pollution that you
24 access these recreational sites. And so the Forest	24 can't go above
25 Service, under both alternatives that are being	25 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Period?
Page 38	Page 40
1 considered for the mine plan, that road is going to be	1 MS. THROWER: to the ambient air. Yeah. It
2 open to public access. Perpetua cannot, if those two	2 doesn't matter if, you know, people are just passing
3 if one of those two alternatives it doesn't matter	3 through or if they're going to be camping or if they're
4 which one, as I said, it's the same thing.	4 living there, you know. You just can't it's just a
5 If one of those two alternatives gets approved,	5 threshold. You're either meeting ambient air qualities
6 Perpetua will have the ability to control access to the	6 standards or you're not.
7 extent that it needs to to make sure that people can	7 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: So you don't obviously
8 travel safely through there, but it will not be able to	8 you don't accept Perpetua's guest of the mine
9 close the road to public access.	9 MS. THROWER: Yes. Right.
10 And so based on that, we don't believe that	10 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: process where it would
11 Perpetua can exclude this road from ambient air	11 either escort or control or monitor that access to make
12 protection. It just doesn't meet the two requirements	12 sure the people were moving through and not stopping and
13 under EPA's policy on ambient air to be able to do that.	13 hanging out in that area, that they would move through
14 Again, that's the legal power to exclude the public and	14 the mine area safely as an escorted guest or a monitored
15 the physical ability to also do so.	15 guest.
16 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So real quick on that.	MS. THROWER: That's right. Yeah. And it makes
17 mean, we're talking about controlling access or excluding	17 sense in terms of safety. Obviously they don't want
18 access?	18 people straying off the path, you know.
19 MS. THROWER: Right.	19 But in terms of air quality, it yeah, that
20 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: I mean, that's what the	
21 argument is here?	21 paper it's a recreation area; a lot of people are
22 MS. THROWER: Right. And, I mean, in order to	22 traveling there, are going to travel there in the summer
23 be able to exclude an area from ambient air protections,	23 in open air vehicles, like Razors, ATV's or whatever, you
24 meaning you don't have basically this is the whole	24 know, people who pass in cars and supposedly they can
25 idea of ambient air is to protect public health; right?	25 close up their windows and turn their air off and
Page 39	Page 41
L	1

11 (Pages 38 - 41)

	-
1 everything like that. But that still doesn't matter in	1 and intent of the Clean Air Act.
2 terms of compliance with the National Ambient Air Quality	2 But even DEQ's guidance says, and I quote,
3 Standards.	3 "For the purpose of defining ambient
4 And that's really the threshold that we're	4 air, the general public is considered
5 talking about here. The state caps that we talk a lot	5 anyone not directly associated with the
6 about that, that kind of comes into the arsenic air issue	6 facility. In general, if someone
7 and outside the ambient air boundary, but really for the	7 present at the site would not be subject
8 access road it's the NAAQS and the particulate matter.	8 to OSHA or other worker exposure
9 And so yeah oh, go ahead.	9 regulations, then they are considered as
10 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: I've got a follow-up. So	10 the general public."
11 right now is the general public going from the north	11 And that is at REC 1109.
12 through the south, or is that whole area controlled right	12 I don't think anybody here can credibly claim
13 now?	13 that people traveling on this road to access public lands
14 MS. THROWER: It is open to public use. I think	14 are going to be covered under OSHA or worker exposure
15 that I'll defer that question to Perpetua in terms of	15 laws.
16 whether they have any, you know, if they're doing any	16 So, you know, allowing people to do this and
17 activities. There are exploration activities out there.	17 calling them guests of the mine because they sign a
18 Whether they probably do have the ability to control	18 waiver I think is inconsistent with this DEQ guidance, it
19 access, maybe even temporarily shut down if they're going	19 is against EPA's ambient air policy, and it creates a
	20 huge public health risk for the public traveling that,
20 to be doing something that would create too much of a	21 you know, isn't going to understand that when they sign
21 safety hazard for the public to pass through. But those	
22 are temporary closures, not a complete closure for 16	22 this waiver that they're necessarily going to be exposed
23 years, you know, the life of the mine.	23 to air quality that doesn't meet EPA's standards for
24 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Okay.	24 public to be able to breathe.
25 MS. THROWER: If you and yeah, if you enter Page 42	25 I want to move on to the second issue with Page 44
	1450 11
1 that south if you exit on that south entry, there are	1 arsenic unless there are any further questions on that?
2 Forest Service roads that continue on into, as I said,	2 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Well, I guess, you know,
3 the important recreational areas	3 you talk about DEQ basically not using their guidance
4 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Okay. Thanks.	4 appropriately and same with EPA. But DEQ has had
5 MS. THROWER: that are pretty heavily	5 correspondence with EPA on that.
6 accessed through summer. Yeah.	6 MS. THROWER: Right.
7 So I do want to I'm taking a lot of time on	7 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: And they have decided that
8 this issue, and I do want to go ahead and move to the	8 the road is likely exempt from ambient air protection.
9 issue that you mentioned in terms of the guest of the	9 MS. THROWER: Yeah.
10 mine.	10 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So would you talk a little
11 We don't think that this	11 bit about that?
12 We don't believe that that is consistent with	12 MS. THROWER: Yeah. We can go to this recent
	12 MB. THROWER. Team. We can go to uns recent
13 EPA's policy, and it's not consistent with DEQ's guidance	13 EPA letter that was just submitted into the record this
13 EPA's policy, and it's not consistent with DEQ's guidance14 on ambient air boundaries.	
	13 EPA letter that was just submitted into the record this
14 on ambient air boundaries.15 I think I'll just, in the interest of time, I	 13 EPA letter that was just submitted into the record this 14 morning. 15 And in that case EPA does still have concerns
 14 on ambient air boundaries. 15 I think I'll just, in the interest of time, I 16 will just point out one of the glaring inconsistencies is 	 13 EPA letter that was just submitted into the record this 14 morning. 15 And in that case EPA does still have concerns 16 about the road and whether Perpetua has has the legal
 14 on ambient air boundaries. 15 I think I'll just, in the interest of time, I 16 will just point out one of the glaring inconsistencies is 17 with DEQ's guidance. And I'm going to note a 	 13 EPA letter that was just submitted into the record this 14 morning. 15 And in that case EPA does still have concerns 16 about the road and whether Perpetua has has the legal 17 right to exclude the public. So at the top of page 2 it
 14 on ambient air boundaries. 15 I think I'll just, in the interest of time, I 16 will just point out one of the glaring inconsistencies is 17 with DEQ's guidance. And I'm going to note a 18 typographical error in our motion in terms of the number, 	 13 EPA letter that was just submitted into the record this 14 morning. 15 And in that case EPA does still have concerns 16 about the road and whether Perpetua has has the legal 17 right to exclude the public. So at the top of page 2 it 18 says,
 14 on ambient air boundaries. 15 I think I'll just, in the interest of time, I 16 will just point out one of the glaring inconsistencies is 17 with DEQ's guidance. And I'm going to note a 18 typographical error in our motion in terms of the number, 19 the record number. So I talked 	 13 EPA letter that was just submitted into the record this 14 morning. 15 And in that case EPA does still have concerns 16 about the road and whether Perpetua has has the legal 17 right to exclude the public. So at the top of page 2 it 18 says, 19 "With respect to ambient air
 14 on ambient air boundaries. 15 I think I'll just, in the interest of time, I 16 will just point out one of the glaring inconsistencies is 17 with DEQ's guidance. And I'm going to note a 18 typographical error in our motion in terms of the number, 19 the record number. So I talked 20 In our brief we talked about how the guest of 	 13 EPA letter that was just submitted into the record this 14 morning. 15 And in that case EPA does still have concerns 16 about the road and whether Perpetua has has the legal 17 right to exclude the public. So at the top of page 2 it 18 says, 19 "With respect to ambient air 20 boundary designation, the EPA continues
 14 on ambient air boundaries. 15 I think I'll just, in the interest of time, I 16 will just point out one of the glaring inconsistencies is 17 with DEQ's guidance. And I'm going to note a 18 typographical error in our motion in terms of the number, 19 the record number. So I talked 20 In our brief we talked about how the guest of 21 the mine issue, which is really the public going through, 	 13 EPA letter that was just submitted into the record this 14 morning. 15 And in that case EPA does still have concerns 16 about the road and whether Perpetua has has the legal 17 right to exclude the public. So at the top of page 2 it 18 says, 19 "With respect to ambient air 20 boundary designation, the EPA continues 21 to have concerns with IDEQ's acceptance
 14 on ambient air boundaries. 15 I think I'll just, in the interest of time, I 16 will just point out one of the glaring inconsistencies is 17 with DEQ's guidance. And I'm going to note a 18 typographical error in our motion in terms of the number, 19 the record number. So I talked 20 In our brief we talked about how the guest of 21 the mine issue, which is really the public going through, 22 they don't want to visit the mine, they just want to get 	 13 EPA letter that was just submitted into the record this 14 morning. 15 And in that case EPA does still have concerns 16 about the road and whether Perpetua has has the legal 17 right to exclude the public. So at the top of page 2 it 18 says, 19 "With respect to ambient air 20 boundary designation, the EPA continues 21 to have concerns with IDEQ's acceptance 22 of Perpetua's assertion that members of
 14 on ambient air boundaries. 15 I think I'll just, in the interest of time, I 16 will just point out one of the glaring inconsistencies is 17 with DEQ's guidance. And I'm going to note a 18 typographical error in our motion in terms of the number, 19 the record number. So I talked 20 In our brief we talked about how the guest of 21 the mine issue, which is really the public going through, 22 they don't want to visit the mine, they just want to get 23 to public land. So to call them guests of the mine to 	 13 EPA letter that was just submitted into the record this 14 morning. 15 And in that case EPA does still have concerns 16 about the road and whether Perpetua has has the legal 17 right to exclude the public. So at the top of page 2 it 18 says, 19 "With respect to ambient air 20 boundary designation, the EPA continues 21 to have concerns with IDEQ's acceptance 22 of Perpetua's assertion that members of 23 the general public do not have the legal
 14 on ambient air boundaries. 15 I think I'll just, in the interest of time, I 16 will just point out one of the glaring inconsistencies is 17 with DEQ's guidance. And I'm going to note a 18 typographical error in our motion in terms of the number, 19 the record number. So I talked 20 In our brief we talked about how the guest of 21 the mine issue, which is really the public going through, 22 they don't want to visit the mine, they just want to get 	 13 EPA letter that was just submitted into the record this 14 morning. 15 And in that case EPA does still have concerns 16 about the road and whether Perpetua has has the legal 17 right to exclude the public. So at the top of page 2 it 18 says, 19 "With respect to ambient air 20 boundary designation, the EPA continues 21 to have concerns with IDEQ's acceptance 22 of Perpetua's assertion that members of

12 (Pages 42 - 45)

		· · ·	,
1	So I wouldn't say that that is completely		on here is the called the Acceptable Ambient Air
2	resolved.	2	Concentrations for carcinogen or AACC. And arsenic has a
3	There were other issues that EPA had brought up	3	number, an AACC number.
4	about general access around the ambient air boundary in	4	The regulations also state that AACC's are
5	terms of there being a lot of trails, rivers going	5	annual averages. So you figure, like with the mine, 16
6	through there and everything like that.	6	years, maybe emissions aren't exactly the same every
7	But the EPA goes on in that letter, and I think	7	year. You add up 16 years of emissions, you divide by
8	there they are saying that, okay, we are okay with that.	8	16, that's your annual average.
9	The access management plan provides those physical	9	Section 203 requires an applicant to, "Use
10	barriers for other areas around the ambient air boundary,	10	methods under Section 210 to demonstrate compliance with
11	and they believe that that is consistent with the policy.	11	Section 203."
12	But I think that this road access is still an	12	So if we go to Section 210, it prescribes
13	issue, even after all these communications with EPA.	13	standard methods an applicant can use in sections .05 and
14	Okay. So I'll move on to arsenic. So we're	14	.08 or specialized methods in Sections .09 and .12.
15	moving outside of the ambient air boundary. There's	15	So the one that Perpetua and DEQ used is Section
16	still a lot of dust that's going to be flying around, and	16	210.12. And we're all good here, this is all working
17	what I'm going to go through is the state's rules on	17	fine. It says that you can use a method called T-RACT,
	this.	18	which is Reasonably Available Control Technology, to
19	Because I think what is clear here is that	19	demonstrate compliance with the TAP increments in Section
20	there's certain prescribed ways to assess toxic air	20	586. So if you do that, if you can't meet the TAP
21	pollutants and now I'm shifting to arsenic and more	21	increments in 586, you can meet them by applying this
22	away from particulate matter.	22	reasonably available control technology. And the
23	But there are specific state rules on how you	23	regulations give you a little bit of a bonus. They
24	calculate your toxic air pollutant emissions, and there's	24	instead of having to meet the thresholds in 586, they
25	specific thresholds that you have to be under. There are	25	allow you a ten-fold increase in that threshold.
	Page 46		Page 48
1	ways to different ways to calculate that and	1	Okay. So let's say you can emit one unit under
	everything and, but this isn't a free-for-all, there's no		586. Well, now if you use reasonably controlled
	availability to do project specific adjustments as		technology, they're going to let you emit 10 units and
4	Perpetua and DEQ have suggested.		you'll still be in compliance. Okay. You're still not
5	So if you look at the state's rule, Section 203	5	going to injure human health unreasonably.
6	says that,	6	So Section 210.12(B) again states this ten-fold
7	"No permit to construct shall be	7	bonus as the cancer risk probability of less than 1 to
8	granted unless an applicant can show		100,000. So before with the TAPs increments it was one
9	5		to a million, now, we're at 1 to 100,000. And the
10	-		regulation states, "Which is equivalent to ten times the
11	animal life or vegetation as required by		applicable AACC listed under 586." That's where we get
12			that ten-fold bonus.
13		13	Now, Section 210.12(C) says that you can
	must not be admitted in quantities or concentrations up		demonstrate that you meet the T-RACT AACC, the ten-fold
	to, alone or with other contaminants, injure or		increased AACC, if you if you can meet that excuse
	unreasonably affect human life excuse me human		me. If you can meet that amount, then you don't have to
	health or animal life or vegetation.		do any further demonstration of compliance with Section
18	-		161 which is, you know, that's not unreasonably injuring
19	**		human health.
20		20	
21	the emission increments in section 586."		use or excuse me 212 210.12 says there are a
22	Don't you love regulations, right? I'm sure		couple of other methods you can use: 212.09, I think,
1	you'll be pulling all of these up at some point.		net emissions or whatever in addition to T-RACT, but
24	Section 586 is basically a table of toxic air		that's not what we're talking about here.
25	pollutants emission limits. The one that we're focused	25	
	Page 47		Page 49

13 (Pages 46 - 49)

1	specific adjustment factor is what they called it. And	1	the mine site. There are there's a population there
2	what they did was they took the annual arsenic they	2	that lives there year-round. It's not huge, but, you
3	took the arsenic emissions, the total emissions, and	3	know, rural people are afforded the same protections
4	instead of dividing by the life of the mine, which would	4	BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yeah.
5	be your annual emissions as required under the	5	MS. THROWER: under the Clean Air Act as
6	regulations, they divided by a 70-year human lifetime.	6	anybody else.
7	So what that essentially does is it lessens the	7	BOARD MEMBER STEELE: For sure.
8	emissions significantly. They were able to comply with	8	MS. THROWER: The Nez Perce Tribe that uses the
9	the ten-fold AACC level if they did that.	9	fishing and gathering and hunting I can't attest to
10	But deleting the emissions that way does not		the amount of time they spend there they're not my
11	comply with the fact that you need to be comparing your		client. But in terms of, you know, it's not just an area
	annual emissions, and not, you know, including another 54		that people pass through on their way to somewhere else.
1	years of nothing to derive that figure for your annual	13	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Any other questions?
1	emissions. So we don't believe what Perpetua did here	14	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Mr. Chairman.
1	complies with the state's rules.	15	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Yes.
16	*	16	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: I have a quick question.
	they're arguing that, oh, you can do other things to		So the 70-year dilution factor that you're calling out,
1	demonstrate compliance, to demonstrate that there's not		does DEQ have any guidance documents that walks through
	an unreasonable risk to human health, we don't see that		how to use that type of factor? Or has this approach
1	anywhere in the record.		been used in other air permits that the DEQ has issued in
$\begin{vmatrix} 20\\21 \end{vmatrix}$	There's a declaration by Kevin Schilling, who is		the past?
	an atmospheric scientist not a cancer risk assessment	21 22	MS. THROWER: Not that we are aware of. During
1	scientist, who tried to justify their use of this 70-year		C
1			discovery we asked DEQ if they could provide us with any
1	dilution factor. We just don't see the evidence that		other permits that used this type of dilution factor, and
25	again, even if you read the rules to allow something like Page 50	23	we did not get any documents from that. They simply do Page 52
1	that, we just don't see the rationale or the	1	not have that.
2	justification or the support in the record to demonstrate	2	So we are assuming that this is really the first
3	that this was a reasonable decision based on the record,	3	time in a very precedential move to use this kind of
4	and not arbitrary and capricious.	4	project-specific dilution factor for this permit.
5	I have taken a lot of Bryan's time. I'm going	5	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Any other questions?
6	to stop for questions. But I'm sorry, Bryan, and I hope	6	(No response.)
7	they'll be a little lenient on the time understanding	7	BOARD BOARD BOWEN: Okay. Mr. Hurlbutt, you've
8	that, of course, the other parties should have the same	8	got 30 minutes. We were prepared for 30 each, so 30
	amount of time as well.	9	minutes, you've got 30 minutes.
10	BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So I just have a couple of	10	MR. HURLBUTT: Appreciate it.
	questions.	11	MS. THROWER: Thank you. I definitely
12	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Go ahead.		appreciate that. I'll have a better lunch with my
13	BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So is there any human		colleague.
	habitation that's being impacted, you know, like for 16	14	MR. HURLBUTT: Yeah. All right. So I'm going
	years of this project? Or are we mainly talking about		to turn to the issue of DEQ allowing Perpetua to submit a
	the access road?		number of plans later, after the permit was already
17	MS. THROWER: Well, the access road is really		approved, after public comment period had already
	just regarding the particulate matter.		happened. Those plans still haven't been submitted,
19	Outside the ambient air boundary where the		they're required to be submitted 30 days before
	arsenic issue comes in, Yellow Pine is the closest		operations might start.
	community. I mean, we don't know. We can do a dilution	20	So the permit allows for Perpetua to submit
	factor and everything, we don't know how far out you		these four plans the fugitive dust control plan, the
	would have to go to actually comply with the TAPs		haul road capping plan, the access management plan, and
	increments, the ten-fold TAPs increments.		the operation and maintenance manual later.
24	I think Yellow Pine is about 10 miles west of	24	But the Air Rules require, as I'll walk through,
	Page 51	25	Page 53
	1 460 0 1		- "Be to

14 (Pages 50 - 53)

		, `	,
1	that plans and other information about the project be	1	and comment on before a permit is approved.
2	submitted by the applicant, be evaluated by DEQ, and be	2	Two of the plans have to do with dust control,
3	subjected to public comment before DEQ issues a permit or	3	that's the fugitive dust control plan and the haul road
4	makes a final decision on a permit.	4	capping plan.
5	And I'll also walk through how relevant case law	5	The fugitive dust control plan, that's permit
6	on meaningful public involvement supports this, and shows	6	condition 2.6. You can see that at REC 0375, 376.
7	that important project details like those that will be	7	The haul road capping plan, that's permit
8	included in these plans cannot be shielded from public	8	condition 3.13 at RAC 0385 through 86.
9	comment, as was done here.	9	And the fugitive dust control plan requires,
10	So starting with the Air Rules and this is	10	among other things, quote,
11	not nearly as in-depth or as confusing as the arsenic	11	"Specific criteria to determine what
12	standard stuff that Julie just walked through but I'm	12	frequency and type, water and/or
13	going to talk about Air Rules.	13	chemical, of dust suppressant must be
14	Let's start with Section 202 of the Air Rules.	14	applied, and appropriate suppressant
15	This is what talks about what needs to be in an	15	application rates," end quote.
16	application, required information for an application.	16	So the fugitive dust control plan is going to
17	And this is in Air Rule 202.01.A(i). Required	17	include information about how often Perpetua is going to
18	applicants must provide, quote,	18	use dust controls, what types of dust controls they're
19	"Site information, plans,	19	going to use, and the rates at which they're going to
20	descriptions, specifications, and	20	apply them. And this is super important information, as
21	drawings showing the design of the	21	Julia already mentioned.
22	stationary source facility or	22	While there are other sources of fugitive dust
23	modification, the nature and amount of	23	from blasting and other operations at the site, the main
24	emissions, including secondary	24	source is from the haul roads. And how dust is going to
25	emissions, and the manner in which it	25	be controlled on those haul roads goes straight to the
	Page 54		Page 56
1	will be operated and controlled." End	1	heart of whether this project can comply with the
2	quote.	2	National Ambient Air Quality Standards.
3	1 1	3	Similarly, the haul road capping plan, it
4	comment. And it states there that required information		requires a silt content sampling plan; it requires an
5	for public comment is, quote,		arsenic rock sampling plan; and it also requires
6	1 1 1		specifications for how Perpetua is going to inspect and
7	together with the information submitted	7	maintain the haul roads.
8		8	These plans, these do indeed well, first of
9	2		all, they are plans. They have plans in the name of
10	L <i>F</i>		them. And remember, the required information the
11			applicant must submit includes plans. And it also
12	*		includes information, specifications, descriptions
	include:		related to how the site will operate, and how pollution
14			will be controlled. And that's exactly what these plans
	Section 202;		are and what they include. They describe how Perpetua
16			will operate and control the roads, and how they will try
	facility is going to be operated and how emissions are		and control dust at the site.
	goings to be controlled;	18	So instead of deferring them to the future, they
19			should have been developed now. There's no reason not to
	Section 209 requires to be made available during the		
21			comment before making a decision on the final permit.
22		22	And this isn't just kind of a technical gotcha
	the future when it reviews these plans that Perpetua is	23	thing. Again, this is really important as the record
		- ·	
24	supposed to submit is the kind of analysis that Section		shows in the air modeling that's included with the
24			shows in the air modeling that's included with the Statement of Basis I have it right here. Page 57

15 (Pages 54 - 57)

	-
1 Appendix B to the Statement of Basis has the	1 operate and control the Stibnite Road project with
2 ambient air quality impact analysis. And as we pointed	2 respect to access management.
3 out in our briefing, DEQ and Perpetua model, what would	3 Access management is another important issue; it
4 happen if instead of achieving 93.3 percent dust control,	4 relates to what Ms. Thrower just discussed about the
5 which is what Perpetua is targeting to achieve, what	5 appropriate ambient air boundary. Instead of whether and
6 would happen if they instead achieved slightly less dust	6 how the public access is being managed, does bear on
7 control and only controlled 90 percent of the dust?	7 whether DEQ used the appropriate ambient air boundary for
8 In that modeling they also said, and let's	8 this project.
9 suppose that Perpetua cut its emissions by a lot instead	9 In the permit the access management plan is
10 of a or cut its operations by a lot. Instead of	10 required to:
11 producing 180,000 tons per day of materials, what if	11 1. Identify the boundary and access points,
12 those were scaled back to just two-thirds, so 120,000	12 and specify measures to discourage public access.
13 tons per day?	13 And 2. It's also supposed to identify access
14 When this modeling was performed, a huge cut of	14 points that will be monitoring that will be monitored,
15 the amount of operations so there's going to be a lot	15 the frequency they'll be patrolled, and the methods that
16 less driving around and a lot less dust potentially being	16 will be used to discourage access.
17 generated and then just a tiny reduction in the	17 Again, these are descriptions and specifications
18 effectiveness of controlling dust from those roads, again	18 and plans about the project that have not yet been
19 dropping from 93.3 percent dust control to 90 percent	19 developed, not submitted for public comment, but DEQ
20 dust control.	20 approved the permit anyway without having that
21 That modeling that's in the records shows that	21 information.
22 the project would not comply with the NAAQS for coarse	22 And again, that's not in accordance with the Air
23 particulate matter. That's not in dispute. That's in	23 Rules of Section 202 that clearly require that kind of
24 the record. That's at REC 0691 through 0692 where DEQ	24 information to be provided by the applicant, and Air
25 describes this, and then there's 0693, further	25 Rules 209 which require the public to be able to comment
Page 58	Page 60
1 information about that for a couple of pages.	1 about that information and DEQ's analysis of it before
2 So again, just a small reduction in how	2 the permit is issued.
3 effectively they control dust in the roads, even coupled	3 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So I've got a comment
4 with a huge drop in production going on at the mine will	4 So, you know, IDAPA has rules for fugitive dust. And
5 result in the NAAQS being violated.	5 within those rules, you know, you have to comply with
6 So this is a super important issue. And the	6 that. And there is a permit condition for that in the
7 idea that the details in these plans could just be	7 permit to comply with the fugitive dust rules. It could
8 developed later, after the project's been approved by	8 also be looked at that these plans are in addition to
9 DEQ, after the public's already submitted public	9 that to help ensure that those fugitive dust rules are
10 comments, does not comport with the Air Rules, Sections	10 complied with. Have you looked at it that way?
11 202 and 209.	11 Because this is a very common practice for DEQ
12 These are the type of required information that	12 to issue a permit and require a plan to be developed at a
13 the applicant needs to provide before a permit is issued,	13 later date to help ensure compliance with other permit
14 and the type of information that needs to go before the	14 conditions.
15 public, and DEQ's analysis of what's in those plans and	15 MR. HURLBUTT: And so that may or may not be
16 whether it's good enough also needs to go before the	16 appropriate with complying with the IDAPA provisions that
17 public, and that was not done here.	17 are specific to fugitive dust control.
18 The same is true of the access management plan.	18 But whether or not that's true, it's not
19 This is permit condition 2.7. Like the other plans, this	19 appropriate to do this when you've got an issue, such as
20 is a plan, again it's the accent management plan	20 compliance with the National Ambient Air Quality
21 access management plan. And Rule 202 requires plans to	21 Standards.
22 be submitted by the applicant during the permitting	22 And again, that part of Statement of Basis that
23 process.	23 I pointed out with the modeling where just a tiny
24 And that plan includes information,	24 increase in fugitive dust control could mean that the
25 specifications, and descriptions of how Perpetua will	25 NAAQS are violated shows that this is the kind of
Page 59	Page 61
L	I

16 (Pages 58 - 61)

			-
1 situation where	you need to have that kind of information	1	review.
	bre and evaluated by the Board.	2	The 2nd Circuit disagreed with that and said
	s supported by two cases that we've	3	that without meaningful public comment, those need to be
	efing and discussed. These are two		submitted to the public before issuing those permits.
	of Appeals cases, Clean Water Act cases	5	The same situation here: the fugitive dust
	hat's required to have meaningful public	6	control plan, the haul road capping plan, and the access
-	a pollution permit is being issued, what		management plan, they all contain substantive and
8 things need to l	be put before the public, or which things	8	important details about how Perpetua will control dust
9 could be saved	for later.	9	and manage access at the site, so they cannot be shielded
10 This is th	e Environmental Defense Fund case that	10	from public review prior to DEQ issuing and need to be
11 had to do with	small municipal storm water discharges and		available prior to DEQ issuing any permit.
12 permits for tho	se. The EPA set up a program where	12	And the last thing I'll note on this issue about
13 instead of settin	ng forth criteria for each small	13	the plans being deferred: The recent EPA letter from
14 municipality to	meet that would be subject to public	14	March 12th which I don't know if you've all had a
15 comment, they	were going to let the municipalities	15	chance to review but it raises some serious concerns
16 develop their o	wn plans to meet six criteria EPA had	16	about things that have yet to be put forth to the public
17 identified later	as to how they would reduce discharges.	17	for comment.
18 That got of	challenged in court. The Ninth Circuit	18	On page 2 of the letter EPA notes that
19 Court of Appea	ls held that the plans that those	19	yeah, requests that certain plans do be made available to
20 communities w	ere going to come up with were the kind of	20	the public, and I'll just quote here. Near the end of
21 substantive info	ormation about how the facilities would be	21	the letter EPA says, quote,
22 operated to red	uce discharges that's required to be	22	"Moving forward, we expect that all
23 subject to publi	c comment and can't be shielded from	23	information relied upon to issue permits
24 public commer	t	24	by the State of Idaho's permitting
25 BOARD	MEMBER PURDY: So your issue is	25	program be made available for public
	Page 62		Page 64
1 specifically aroun	nd your fear that there will be a lack	1	review at the time that draft permits
2 of public comme	nt opportunity during the development of	2	are made available for public comment,"
3 these four plans?	Has DEQ established that they're not	3	end quote.
4 going to allow pu	blic comment on those plans?	4	For whatever reason, EPA seems to be willing to
5 MR. HURL	BUTT: The permit as currently written		give DEQ a pass here and let DEQ to move forward with
6 does not allow fo	r public comment on those plans. It		these late plans without public comment for Perpetua's
-	to submit those plans to DEQ, and it		permit.
	view and then approve them. There's no	8	But EPA is signaling here, I think, that it's in
9 period afforded f			alignment with what we're saying that the rules actually
	so note that public comment after		require this information to be put forth earlier in the
	eady been issued, while I suppose		process to allow for meaningful public comment, and that
	n no public comment, would still not		it expects Idaho to do so in the future.
	Air Rules requirements under Section 202	13	So we would ask you to set aside and remand the
	hat we discussed. Meaningful public		permit with directions that DEQ require these plans to be
	appen before the final decision's been		submitted; that they be subjected to public comment along
16 made on the perm			with DEQ's analysis of those plans and their adequacy
	EMBER PURDY: But they're not allowed to		before making any final decision on the permit.
	ntil those plans are in place and fully	18	Any other questions about the plans before I
19 approved.			move on to dust control?
	BUTT: Correct. And in the 2nd Circuit	20	So the last issue I think this is the third
	er case that we cite, similar to the		2
	efense Fund case, this involved large		
	A proposed that nutrient management plans		93.3 percent dust control. And our argument to that is
	v pollution was going to be managed on		that it's arbitrary and capricious.
1.25 these sites could			
25 these sites could	be submitted later without public Page 63	25	Again, I'm going to say this again, and I Page 65

17 (Pages 62 - 65)

	8
1 already talked about it, but this Appendix B, the	1 actually being achieved, or there is no testing to see at
2 modeling report and the analysis that was done shows that	2 startup kind of what dust control levels are being
3 just a tiny reduction in dust control, Perpetua doesn't	3 achieved.
4 meet that 93.3. Even if they scale back their operations	4 If there were those things, then you might be
5 a ton and they are generating way less dust, they would	5 able to have some kind of actual limit on 93.3 percent.
6 be violating the NAAQS for particulate matter. So only	6 It's not in there.
7 slightly less dust control to cause NAAQS violations.	7 What the permit does have is the facility dust
8 The target is for Perpetua to meet this 93.3	8 control plan and haul road capping plan that we've
9 percent, but the permit lacks any enforceable conditions	9 already talked about a little bit, and some other
10 to ensure Perpetua will actually achieve such a high	10 provisions related to fugitive dust.
11 level of dust control.	11 But none of those things are tied specifically
12 The permit does have some conditions related to	12 to meeting 93.3 percent as opposed to, say again, 90
13 dust control. And DEQ might be able to reasonably assume	13 percent, which is a pretty high level of control and
14 that the project will result in a, you know, pretty good	14 would not satisfy the NAAQS.
15 dust control based on that, but there's nothing in there	15 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So you say that it's
16 that's really tied to achieving 93.3 percent as opposed	16 arbitrary and capricious, yet there's quite a bit of
17 to say 90 percent or 85 percent.	17 record for where that 93.3 percent came from.
18 Again, just getting back to the Air Rules, Air	18 And, you know, the range, you know, from a
19 Rules Section 203 provides that DEQ can't issue a PTC	19 conservative standpoint, 90 percent was used for dust,
20 unless it first determines that the facility, quote,	20 for the dust suppressant; it could have been 94, 95, it
21 "would not cause or significantly contribute to a	21 could be up to like 98 percent just for the dust
22 violation of any Ambient Air Quality Standards."	22 suppressant alone. And with the water, the control
23 Here DEQ acknowledged that throughout the	23 efficiency could be 75 to 95 percent. So, I mean, in
24 record and we cite this a lot in our briefing that	24 theory there could be up to a 99 percent control, 99.1,
25 achieving this 93.3 percent is critical to achieving	25 something like that.
Page 66	Page 68
1 NAAQS compliance; that it's a very high and aggressive	1 So to say that it's arbitrary and capricious, I
2 level standard, and it will automatically require	2 mean I mean, I guess I'd like you to elaborate about
3 quote well, yeah, DEQ states in the record that it	3 why.
4 will be, quote, "Challenging to consistently and	4 MR. HURLBUTT: Sure.
5 continuously achieve the targeted level of fugitive dust	5 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Like what where's the
6 control," end quote. That's REC 0431.	6 better information that DEQ could rely on to make this
7 DEQ modeling staff said, quote, that they,	7 decision?
8 "Recommend the permit requiring aggressive implementation	n 8 MR. HURLBUTT: So we're not saying that it was
9 of measures to achieve above 93 to control 93 percent	9 arbitrary and capricious for DEQ to conclude that it
10 control efficiency," end quote. That's at REC 0691.	10 might be possible to achieve 93.3 percent control. And I
11 Elsewhere in the record they say that, "Vigilant	11 think a lot of information in the record you're talking
12 inspection and monitoring will be required to achieve	12 about shows that DEQ, in its technical expertise, can
13 that."	13 decide that that is an achievable level of control that
14 But again, the permit doesn't actually require	14 could be accomplished here.
15 meeting 93.3 percent or greater dust control. There's no	15 What is arbitrary and capricious is to conclude
16 requirement in the permit itself to meet that.	16 that that will be controlled here, because the permit
17 There are two tables in the permit that have the	17 lacks specific conditions tied to actually achieving that
18 number 93.3 in it, those are not emission limits or	18 as opposed to, say again, 90 percent, which is still a
19 enforceable conditions of the permits, those are just	19 really high level of control, but it's not actually
20 listed targets and talking about the different things	20 adequate to comply with Ambient Air Quality Standards.
21 going on at the facility.	21 None of the things in the permit are tied to that.
22 And even if those listing of 93.3 in those	22 There's been mention of this 10 percent opacity
23 tables were to be considered some kind of limit in the	23 monitoring; looking around, seeing with your eyes what it
24 permit, there's nothing enforceable about it. There is	24 looks like; if it looks like it's a little too dusty,
25 no monitoring to see what level of dust control is	25 then corrective actions need to be taken. That's great
Page 67	Page 6

18 (Pages 66 - 69)

Contested Case The	
1 and that's fine, but there's nothing in the record that	1 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Okay.
2 shows how that relates to achieving 93.3 percent as	2 MR. HURLBUTT: Yeah.
3 opposed to 92 or 91 or 80 percent dust control.	3 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So this might be a
4 It's a good practice that's great that	4 question I'll need to ask the DEQ. But Schilling in his
5 they're doing it but our key point is that even if	5 declaration, he did, there's a quote in here that he said
6 Perpetua comes up with some pretty good plans; submits	6 that the permit that the modeling group recommended
7 them to DEQ; DEQ thinks they look pretty good; signs off	
8 on them; moves forward with the project; achieves a high	8 measures to achieve above 93 percent control efficiency
9 level of dust control; that high level of dust control	9 for fugitive particulates from the roadways.
10 might be 93.3 percent, it might be 88 percent, it might	10 You're saying it's your belief the permit does
11 be 95 percent.	11 not require that, it does not require that compliance?
12 DEQ can't approve a project though unless it	12 MR. HURLBUTT: It does not. I mean, the
13 determines that the project, based on its operations and	13 fugitive dust control plan requires Perpetua to come up
14 the limits in the permit, will comply with the air	14 with a plan for how they're going to determine how often
15 quality standards. And so it was arbitrary and	15 to apply materials, which types, and what amounts. And
16 capricious to do that here.	16 again and I'm happy to assume that they'll do a pretty
17 DEQ can say this has a shot at achieving 93.3	17 good job of that, but again, how they're going to achieve
18 percent, and might meet it, but that's not what's	18 93.3 instead of some other lesser amount.
19 required. DEQ has to find that it will not cause a	19 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Okay.
20 violation of air quality standards.	20 MR. HURLBUTT: I guess the one last thing I'd
21 There might be a lot of other situations where a	21 say on the 93.3 percent control is that, again, the
22 permit is for a facility and it's not teetering on the	22 reason I think the recent EPA letter helps support this,
22 permit is for a factify and it's not receiving on the 23 edge of non-compliance like this one is. In other	23 on the first page, the bottom paragraph, EPA raises
24 situations having a ballpark target for dust control25 might be fine because there's more wiggle room.	24 concerns about the fugitive dust control plan and says at25 one point, quote,
25 might be thie because there's more wiggle foom. Page 7	
1 Dut again and I know I've already mentioned	1 ITLE EDA on denotes de thet net ell
1 But again and I know I've already mentioned 2 this four times. I'm going to mention it a fifth time	1 "The EPA understands that not all 2 details of an approvable plan can be
2 this four times, I'm going to mention it a fifth time 3 the modeling that's in the record shows that just even if	
3 the modeling that's in the record shows that just even if	3 known in advance. But without permit
4 they cut their operations by a whole third, this tiny	4 conditions requiring the source to
5 change in dust control efficiency is modeled to cause	5 demonstrate that the plan will achieve
6 NAAQS violations.	6 the required level of control, IDEQ may
7 And the permit doesn't have anything that	7 have limited ability to reject or
8 precisely leads to 93.3 percent dust control. The	8 require improvements to a plan that
9 project could move forward and be operating and causing	9 falls short."
10 NAAQS violations every day, and no one would know any	-
11 better, and they'd be in perfect compliance with their	11 they submit a pretty good plan and DEQ signs off on it
12 project, potentially achieving 90 percent dust control.	12 and they follow that plan to a T, IDEQ is not going to
13 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: So, Bryan, I was just	
14 wondering if anybody do miners have an idea just how	14 control because they're never going to know whether
15 much without any control, how much dust would actual	
16 be generated? I didn't see that in any of the documents.	16 in the permit to ensure that it is.
17 And the reason I ask that, unless you know how much you	17 So with that, we would ask that you set aside,
18 begin with, how do you know if there's a 10 percent	18 remand the permit for DEQ to either add additional permit
19 reduction or zero percent reduction?	19 conditions, modify the amount of operations that are
20 MR. HURLBUTT: I think they do know or they hav	
	21 10 NA AOS will be complied with before issuing any normit
21 estimates. This modeling starts from, assuming they're	21 10 NAAQS will be complied with before issuing any permit.
22 driving around this much and generating this much dust,	2110NAAQS with be completed with berore issuing any permit.22BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Any other
22 driving around this much and generating this much dust,23 then they say okay, if we control different things by	
22 driving around this much and generating this much dust,23 then they say okay, if we control different things by24 different amounts, then what are the results? And I	22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Any other
22 driving around this much and generating this much dust,23 then they say okay, if we control different things by	 22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Any other 23 questions? 24 (No response.) 25 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you very much.

19 (Pages 70 - 73)

1 MR. HURLBUTT: Thank you.	1 I want to begin by discussing this permit from a
2 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: We appreciate it.	2 really high level. As you're now aware from reading the
3 Now, with the hour, what's the will of the	3 voluminous record and the many, many filings, this perm
4 Board? Are you willing to move into the respondents'	4 has been highly scrutinized internally and externally.
5 arguments, or do you want to take a break?	5 And, as a result, the permitting process has been complex
6 All right. Do we need a motion to take a break?	6 and lengthy. In fact, it took nearly three years.
7 MS. YRIBAR: Yeah.	7 Development of this permit also included three public
8 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All right. I'll entertain a	8 comment periods, two public informational meetings, for
9 motion to break for lunch.	9 permit iterations and, in general, just a level of
10 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: I move we break for	10 examination that has been unmatched.
11 lunch.	11 The efforts by DEQ demonstrate its desire to not
12 BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: I second it.	12 only engage with the public but to issue a permit that is
13 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Everyone in agreement say	13 protective and legally defensible.
14 aye.	14 Now, if you were to only hear from the
15 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: How long?	15 petitioners today or only read their briefing in the
16 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Half an hour.	16 matter, you would be left with a disingenuous impression
17 It's been moved and seconded. All in favor?	17 of this permit. They use words in their brief like
18 (AYES.)	18 rushed, partial, incomplete, vague, to describe the
19 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Any opposed?	19 permit and the process, and they also make claims that
20 (No response.)	20 DEQ is precluding the public from participating and from
21 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. We're adjourned	21 viewing the permit, and nothing could be farther from the
22 for lunch.	22 truth. In fact, DEQ has engaged with these same
23 (Thereupon the luncheon recess was taken at	23 petitioners during all three public comment periods and
24 11:55 a.m.)	24 during the informational meetings, responding to the sam
25	25 claims they've made today if you look at the response to
Page 7	
1 AFTERNOON SESSION	1 comments documents. And early in the process, DEQ ev
2оОо	2 made changes to the permit based on their comments that
3 (Thereupon the afternoon session resumed at	3 were reasonable and made sense. So we're listening.
4 12:32 p.m.)	4 As I said, the record relating to this permit is
5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. We'll call the	5 large: It contains emails, correspondence, guidance
6 meeting back to order and we're now on record.	6 documents, public comments, public response to comment
7 Carol, can you hear us?	7 document. In fact, just the last response to comment
8 BOARD SECRETARY MASCARENAS: Yes, I ca	an. 8 document was 85 pages alone. There are three draft
9 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Great. Thank you.	9 permits. Of course the I forget if it's 400- or
10 Now, let's hear from the respondents DEQ and	10 500-page Statement of Basis and the permit itself.
11 then Perpetua. You've each got 30 minutes.	11 Upon review of the record you will find, I am
12 MS. YOUNG: You ready for me?	12 confident, that there is not a single part of this permit
13 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: We're all ready. The fle	loor 13 this has not been considered, studied, and examined by
14 is yours.	14 DEQ. And the parts that are not in the permit that the
15 MS. YOUNG: And thank you for taking a lunch.	15 petitioners would like to be there have similarly been
16 I'm sure nobody wanted to listen to me while hungry, so I	I 16 studied, examined, and debated.
	17 You know, ultimately DEQ is here defending its
17 appreciate that.	18 decision to issue that permit, just like they've done to
17 appreciate that.18 Again, thank you for hearing this appeal today.	To decision to issue that permit, just like they ve done to
Again, thank you for hearing this appeal today.	
18 Again, thank you for hearing this appeal today.19 My name is Hannah Young. I represent the Department of	of 19 the public; to the EPA as we've seen through these
Again, thank you for hearing this appeal today.My name is Hannah Young. I represent the Department ofEnvironmental Quality. I'm their lead DAG and I'm	19 the public; to the EPA as we've seen through these20 letters being introduced; to the hearing officer, and
 Again, thank you for hearing this appeal today. My name is Hannah Young. I represent the Department of Environmental Quality. I'm their lead DAG and I'm representing DEQ today. 	19 the public; to the EPA as we've seen through these20 letters being introduced; to the hearing officer, and21 now, of course, to you all at the Board.
 Again, thank you for hearing this appeal today. My name is Hannah Young. I represent the Department of Environmental Quality. I'm their lead DAG and I'm representing DEQ today. I do again just want to underscore that it 	 19 the public; to the EPA as we've seen through these 20 letters being introduced; to the hearing officer, and 21 now, of course, to you all at the Board. 22 Issuing permits is DEQ's job, and DEQ continues
 Again, thank you for hearing this appeal today. My name is Hannah Young. I represent the Department of Environmental Quality. I'm their lead DAG and I'm representing DEQ today. I do again just want to underscore that it really is an exceptional effort by the Board to read all 	 19 the public; to the EPA as we've seen through these 20 letters being introduced; to the hearing officer, and 21 now, of course, to you all at the Board. 22 Issuing permits is DEQ's job, and DEQ continues 23 defending this permit it issued because it's a good 24 permit written by the experts at DEQ. 25 Many of the permitting decisions are discussed

20 (Pages 74 - 77)

1	in the declarations of bureau chief Mike Simon and	1	very thoughtful, very well written decision that covers
2	atmospheric scientist Kevin Schilling which I know those	2	all of the issues that you're hearing today. And so I
3	declarations have already been referenced so far.	3	would urge you to rely on the hearing officer's decision
4	Together those two gentlemen have a combined 60 years of	4	which, of course, is in the record, and to also uphold
5	experience in the air permitting and air quality world.	5	his decision that DEQ acted reasonably and in accordance
6	There are a lot of other highly skilled, highly qualified	6	with the law.
7	DEQ staff persons that were involved in this permit,	7	I know these arguments have been fully briefed,
8	including Ms. Floyd, the air quality division	8	you've heard about them, I'll do my best not to belabor
9	administrator who's been at DEQ for 24 years.	9	them. And, of course, please ask, you know, any
10	Now, petitioners don't like some of DEQ's	10	questions.
11	decisions, and that's fine. I would say that not liking	11	So the first issue is the access route.
12	some of our decisions is inevitable, quite frankly. But	12	Petitioners obviously do not agree with DEQ's decision to
13	dislike is not the legal standard, and rightfully so.	13	preclude the Stibnite access route from the ambient air
14	The important legal standard is that DEQ was	14	boundary.
15	reasonable, acted in accordance with the law, used lawful	15	There are two points I want to emphasize here:
16	procedure, and acted in a way that was not arbitrary,	16	And the first is that DEQ was absolutely
17	capricious, or an abuse of discretion. And clearly DEQ	17	reasonable and acted within its authority when it relied
18	has met that standard.	18	upon Perpetua's assertion that it will have the authority
19	Finally, one last aspect I'd like to discuss	19	to exclude the general public and only allow guests of
20	from a high level, and then I promise I'll turn to the	20	the mine.
21	discrete issues. And that is that if the Board today	21	Section 123 of the Air Rules provides,
22	finds that DEQ erred on any of the issues raised, then	22	"All documents, including
23	the Board would be changing how the DEQ does business and	23	applications for permits to construct,
24	how DEQ writes permits.	24	must contain a certification by a
25	Mr. Steele has sort of taken the wind out of my	25	responsible official, and that
	Page 78		Page 80
1	sails a little bit to this point in that he raised that	1	certification must state that based on
2	there are a lot of customary practices here that DEQ used	2	information and belief, the statements
3	in writing this permit. And I don't bring this up to say	3	and information in the document are
4	that, you know, this is the way it's always been done so	4	true, accurate, and complete."
5	this is the way we should do it, no.	5	In the permit application Perpetua stated it
6	What I'm saying is that DEQ made no exceptions	6	will have full control over the mine site and the ability
7	for Perpetua; they cut no corners; they used their	7	to not just control, but exclude, the general public.
8	standard, custom permitting practices that they relied	8	Here again is an example of DEQ following its
9	upon their professional judgment in making, and in	9	typical process in relying on the assertions made by a
10	adhering to those professional judgments in issuing the	10	permittee, and that makes, I think, particular sense in
11	permit today.	11	light of the certification requirement that's in the
12	So with that, I will now turn to the four	12	rules.
13	specific issues brought forward in this petition: The	13	To decide that DEQ was unreasonable here is to
14	Stibnite Access Road, the submission of project plans,	14	now say that DEQ is required to investigate or fact check
			every assertion made by a permittee. And I think it's
	the 93.3 dust control, and arsenic emissions from the		
16	the 93.3 dust control, and arsenic emissions from the roads.		obvious that they simply do not have the time or
16 17	roads.	16	obvious that they simply do not have the time or resources for that sort of undertaking.
17	roads.	16	
17 18	roads. As to all four of those issues, the hearing	16 17 18	resources for that sort of undertaking.
17 18 19	roads. As to all four of those issues, the hearing officer who first heard this matter found that DEQ	16 17 18 19	resources for that sort of undertaking. I would also add that this scenario, wherein a
17 18 19	roads. As to all four of those issues, the hearing officer who first heard this matter found that DEQ found in DEQ and Perpetua's favor, and determined that we acted reasonably and in accordance with law.	16 17 18 19 20	resources for that sort of undertaking. I would also add that this scenario, wherein a permittee does not own the entire facility/property, is
17 18 19 20 21	roads. As to all four of those issues, the hearing officer who first heard this matter found that DEQ found in DEQ and Perpetua's favor, and determined that we acted reasonably and in accordance with law.	16 17 18 19 20 21	resources for that sort of undertaking. I would also add that this scenario, wherein a permittee does not own the entire facility/property, is not uncommon. We often see facilities (sic) that do not
17 18 19 20 21 22	roads. As to all four of those issues, the hearing officer who first heard this matter found that DEQ found in DEQ and Perpetua's favor, and determined that we acted reasonably and in accordance with law. And I recognize that today you are reviewing	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	resources for that sort of undertaking. I would also add that this scenario, wherein a permittee does not own the entire facility/property, is not uncommon. We often see facilities (sic) that do not own their entire property but lease neighboring property,
17 18 19 20 21 22	roads. As to all four of those issues, the hearing officer who first heard this matter found that DEQ found in DEQ and Perpetua's favor, and determined that we acted reasonably and in accordance with law. And I recognize that today you are reviewing this matter de novo; in other words, you don't have to defer to what the hearing officer decided.	 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	resources for that sort of undertaking. I would also add that this scenario, wherein a permittee does not own the entire facility/property, is not uncommon. We often see facilities (sic) that do not own their entire property but lease neighboring property, maybe they need an easement or an access agreement to
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	roads. As to all four of those issues, the hearing officer who first heard this matter found that DEQ found in DEQ and Perpetua's favor, and determined that we acted reasonably and in accordance with law. And I recognize that today you are reviewing this matter de novo; in other words, you don't have to defer to what the hearing officer decided.	 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	resources for that sort of undertaking. I would also add that this scenario, wherein a permittee does not own the entire facility/property, is not uncommon. We often see facilities (sic) that do not own their entire property but lease neighboring property, maybe they need an easement or an access agreement to part of the property. And all DEQ does in those

21 (Pages 78 - 81)

1 control over that property. We cannot possibly look at	1 have to wear a dosimeter; I need to sign a release or
2 those lease agreements or look at those access agreements	2 liability form; I need to wear a hard hat and the right
3 and make a claim that that's valid. We're not going to	3 type of shoes in certain areas. But I'm certainly not an
4 insert ourselves in that type of examination, and we're	4 INL employee or one of their contractors.
5 not a third-party arbitrator, like a court ultimately, or	5 And to unpack this even further. If I'm driving
6 a Board. And so we're not going to involve ourselves.	6 down Highway 20, which I often do, I'm a member of the
7 So this is, like I said, it's very common practice.	7 general public driving on Highway 20. But the moment I
8 And here, despite what petitioners have said	8 turn onto their facility, I become a guest of that
9 and I know Perpetua will speak at this more at length	9 facility. And if I wasn't a guest and I was not allowed
10 but we have not been presented with any actual evidence	10 to be there, I would certainly be asked to leave. And if
11 that Perpetua will not have control of the mine site and	11 I didn't, I would be subject to both civil and criminal
12 the ability to preclude access at the time the mine is	12 trespass. And if I didn't follow the required protocols
13 operational. Until and unless DEQ is presented with that	13 while on-site, I would also be required to leave. This
14 evidence, DEQ continues to reasonably rely on Perpetua's	14 would be true if the Board were to visit INL; this would
15 assertions.	15 be true if a group of school children were visiting the
16 The permit itself also contains numerous	16 INL.
17 conditions actually requiring Perpetua to have control	17 And I only provide this as an example that it's
18 over the route and the ability to preclude access, which	18 very common for DEQ to permit a facility knowing that
19 I know was brought up earlier. So condition 2.7 requires	19 there may be guests allowed on-site. And those guests
20 a host of things like security escort vehicles,	20 are not considered members of the general public. So
21 guardhouses, locked gates, warning signs, registration of	21 when they're on-site, just like when I'm at INL, I'm not
22 guests, et cetera, which in the access management	22 being protected as if I'm within the ambient air; I'm not
23 plan, which must include specific measures Perpetua will	23 being protected from potential I mean, INL has a Title
24 use to discourage public access.	24 5, or I don't know if it's a Title 5, they have an air
25 If Perpetua does not meet those permit	25 permit of some sort I would not be receiving the NAAQS
Page 82	Page 84
1 conditions and, in other words, does not exclude the	1 protections while I'm on-site that I would be if I left.
2 general public, they will be violating their permit, and	2 I'm not a member of the public, but I'm not associated
3 their permit will need to be modified or they can be	3 with the facility.
4 subject to an enforcement action.	4 So again, this example is just to highlight that
5 The second point I want to make is that DEQ	5 this is not uncommon, and it's absolutely in conformance
6 properly used its discretion and did act in conformance	6 with guidance.
7 with both DEQ and EPA guidance. Both guidances state,	7 So in summary, DEQ has thoroughly considered
8 "It's appropriate to exclude areas from ambient air where	8 this issue, and while petitioners don't agree with this
9 there are measures in place to exclude the general	9 decision, it is supported by the record and it is
10 public."	10 reasonable.
11 Now, petitioners claim, as you just heard, that	11 BOARD SECRETARY MASCARENAS: Mr. Chair, I have a
12 DEQ did not act in conformance with that guidance, and	12 question.
13 they seem to, I would say, oversimplify the guidance and	13 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Yes, Carol.
14 say there are only general public and those directly	14 BOARD SECRETARY MASCARENAS: I, not being
15 associated with the facility, and that's just not true.	15 familiar with the INL myself, I have thought about that
16 So I'd like to give you an example, if you'll	16 scenario and how we control things, how things are
17 indulge me. If I visit a facility, which I am often to	17 controlled there.
18 do in this role, say the Idaho National Lab, because I'm	18 But what about the aspect of, at the INL they
19 on a guided tour, which happens frequently, or perhaps in	19 can restrict access and control. It goes to the
20 my role as representing DEQ. When I am not when I am	20 plaintiff's argument, there's two parts that need to be
21 on-site, I am not a member of the general public, but I	21 met, control and then the exclusion of access, and surely
22 did receive permission right? to be on-site. I'm	22 INL can also prevent access to the facility. Could you
23 there for a limited purpose; I cannot go anywhere I	23 respond to that aspect of the argument?
24 please; I'm expected to meet safety requirements while	24 MS. YOUNG: Yes, Chairman and Ms. Mascarenas. I
25 I'm on-site; I can only be in certain locations; I often	25 hope I'm understanding your question correctly.
Page 83	Page 85

22 (Pages 82 - 85)

1 But yes, just like INL, Perpetua will be	1 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Is it DEQ's intention to
2 required to not only control access while it's on site,	2 do that?
3 but preclude the general public, which I would say they	3 MS. YOUNG: Oh, absolutely. Absolutely. And I
4 do.	4 would also take issue to some extent with some of the
5 And if you've been to the national lab you know	5 language in this letter. For example, they say that,
6 that it's a highly secure site with, you know, guards, et	6 "There must be measures to maintain continuous monitoring
7 cetera, at guard towers when you enter. And if you're	7 of the public's movement"; they're not the public,
8 not allowed to be there for that specific purpose, if you	8 they're not the general public.
9 do not do things like sign the liability waiver, et	9 EPA is not as familiar with this site, this
10 cetera, then you're not allowed on-site.	10 facility as DEQ is, so that's fine. But they're not
11 So it's not just controlling what you're doing	11 considered the public. The plan will require, as well as
12 while you're there, but it's also precluding you from	12 I'd say the permit itself requires that their that
13 entering the site period unless you've met whatever	13 their movements be monitored and tracked. So absolutely
14 requirements.	14 that's the requirement.
15 And I understand it's not a perfect analogy. No	15 And now that you've mentioned it, Mr. Steele,
16 site will be perfectly analogous to another because INL	16 their letter also notes that DEQ's decision here was, as
17 has all sorts of national security concerns and other	17 quoted, "Generally consistent with EPA's revised policy
18 things. But it's, again, just to highlight that this is	18 on exclusions from ambient air."
19 not an uncommon situation for there to be more than just	19 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: And just one follow-up.
20 general public at the facility.	20 Let's say the permit goes through the NEPA process with
21 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: So just a follow-up question	21 U.S. Forest Service, and they determine or their
22 to that. So if a member of the public rolls up to the	22 stipulation is that you must provide public access
23 gate and says, "I want to pass through," are they going	23 through the site. What happens to the permit if they
24 to be able to pass through if they desire as a guest of	24 make a general ruling like that? What's the status of
25 the facility, or are they restricted from entering the	25 the permit?
Page 86	Page 88
1 site?	1 MS. YOUNG: Yes, that would be a change in
2 MS. YOUNG: I think that question is probably	2 circumstances; right? And not to throw Perpetua under
3 best answered by Perpetua, so I'll defer to them if	3 the bus here, but that's a little bit of the risk that
4 that's all right, but	4 they took by applying for the process while the NEPA
5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay.	5 process was still ongoing.
6 MS. YOUNG: my understanding is that they	6 You know, but the bottom line and I don't
7 will be allowed to enter if they meet the various	7 want to Mr. Pooser will talk about this much more
8 requirements, and they will no longer be a member of the	8 eloquently than I but the importance of the mine plan
9 general public. I hope that answers your question.	9 and why a lot, not just this piece, is riding on the mine
10 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: So the assumption is that	10 plan. If the mine plan's not approved, then there's no
11 control will be granted to Perpetua?	11 project anyway so then they don't need an air permit
12 MS. YOUNG: Oh, absolutely. Perpetua has to	12 anyways, right?
13 have that level of control and that ability, otherwise	13 But if it were to be approved with that caveat
14 they're violating their permit.	14 that they would have to be general public on that
15 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay.	15 road, for example, that would change the analysis, and
16 BOARD SECRETARY MASCARENAS: Thank you.	16 we'd probably have to go back to the drawing board on the
17 MS. YOUNG: Yes. Thank you.	17 permit.
18 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So to follow up on that a	18 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman.
19 little bit. The petitioners refer to the EPA letter that	19 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Yes.
20 there was mention that EPA still has some concerns, but	20 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: What kind of inspections
21 then they go on to say in the last sentence of that first	21 or monitoring does DEQ typically provide or have happen
22 paragraph on the second page, whatever approved plan that	22 at mines? I can speak to fish farms, I know something
23 gets developed, there should be something in that plan	23 about that. But a mine that's as big as this one, how do
24 that maintains, you know, basically control.	24 you measure compliance with the permit?
25 MS. FLOYD: Right.	25 MS. YOUNG: Sure. I think with any facility
Page 87	Page 89
	1

23 (Pages 86 - 89)

	-
1 there's sort of the standard ways that you measure	1 required plans after permit issuance.
2 compliance.	2 And again I want to start by noting that this is
3 One of them is that the permit itself contains a	3 common practice for DEQ, as I think Mr. Steele actually
4 number of quite rigorous reporting and recordkeeping	4 already mentioned that this was common practice. And
5 requirements for things specific to access. So DEQ needs	5 again, if the Board were to find that that was not an
6 to see those records and they need to, you know, see that	6 allowed practice, every single permitting program at DEQ
7 Perpetua is keeping track of that information.	7 would have to change how it does business. And this
8 The other standard way is that DEQ learns, for	8 practice really does make sense given the complex
9 example, that these permit conditions aren't being	9 realities of permitting various industries.
10 followed is when DEQ is on site conducting inspections,	10 As Mike Simon testified in his declaration,
11 which they will be. We often get complaints from folks	11 which is in the record,
12 notifying us, which then DEQ has a requirement to	12 "It is common for the permit program
13 follow-up on those complaints and verify the veracity of	13 to require certain plans, such as an O&M
14 those.	14 manual or fugitive dust control plan, to
15 And then we often see a lot of self-reports	15 be prepared by the permittee post-permit
16 where the facility is letting us know, you know, they've	16 issuance. This allows the permittee to
17 had a not a good example here but an exceedance or	17 prepare a specific plan or manual based
18 whatever the case may be.	18 on the actual equipment purchased and
Here the Forest Service, for example, being a,	installed, the manufacturer's
20 you know, land manager, and keeping us well apprised of	20 instructions and recommendations, as
21 the NEPA process, I think DEQ feels also comfortable that	20 instructions and recommendations, as21 well as the operational characteristics
22 that party is going to be keeping us informed about	22 of the facility after construction is
23 what's going on on-site as well.	22 of the factory after construction is23 completed."
24 But I think the two primary ways that DEQ will	24 And I want to note that it's not just common
25 be checking on compliance here are those robust	25 practice, but it is common practice because the permit
Page 90	Page 92
1 recordkeeping requirements and the inspections that	1 itself contains the conditions and details necessary to
2 occur.	2 ensure compliance with the rules. The plans do not do
3 And just like with any facility, DEQ simply does	3 that. The plans are not what are not what are telling
4 not have the resources to be on-site 100 percent of the	4 us that the rules are met; the permit does that. But the
5 time, that's just an impossibility. But when they are	5 plans provide those operational details that flush out
6 on-site, which happens frequently, they find, you know	6 flesh out how those permit conditions may be met. I like
7 if they find violations which they do then those	7 to think of it as the permit is the what, and the plans
8 would need to be dealt with accordingly	8 are a little bit of the how.
9 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: So as follow-up then, I	9 So an example: Section 2 of the permit contains
10 guess the key point is there's a routine inspection	10 eight separate conditions related to the control of
11 process that DEQ has	11 fugitive dust. Condition 2.1 describes the reasonable
12 MS. YOUNG: Absolutely.	12 precautions Perpetua must take. And 2.1 alone contains
13 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: of mines, and so	13 six substantive requirements.
14 there's some confidence that you would catch misdeeds,	14 Condition 2.6 then requires Perpetua to develop
15 for example and maybe misdeeds is not the right	15 a fugitive dust control plan. And condition 2.6 itself
16 word.	16 contains 12 substantive requirements of what must be
17 MS. YOUNG: Sure.	17 further addressed in the plan.
18 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: But errors in judgment or	18 So it's you know, it was brought up earlier
19 something.	19 of whether this was, whether things might have been
20 MS. YOUNG: Absolutely, and that happens	20 arbitrary or capricious by DEQ. I think an obvious way
21 regularly.	21 DEQ might have been arbitrary and capricious is if they
22 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: All right. Thank you.	22 said prepare a fugitive dust plan that will control
23 MS. YOUNG: Yes. Thank you.	23 fugitive dust period. That's not what happened here.
24 The second topic for consideration by the Board	24 There's a lot of specificity in the permit that dictates
25 is the decision to allow Perpetua to submit permit	25 what must be in that plan, and that's, I think, very
Page 91	Page 93

24 (Pages 90 - 93)

1 critical here.	1 The hearing officer I lied, sorry, this is my
2 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Does that sorry to	2 last point.
3 interrupt.	3 The hearing officer in his decision also stated
4 Does that include the 93 plus percent control?	4 that there is no prohibition in the rules that would not
5 MS. YOUNG: Absolutely. And I can turn to that	5 allow DEQ to require plans to be submitted later, and in
6 now or I can address	6 fact, the permit the rule language gives DEQ wide
7 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: No, it's okay.	7 discretion in what types of information can be in a
8 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: So Mr. Chairman. I will	8 permit, and what types of permit conditions, such as the
9 anyway.	9 condition to submit a plan. So there's wide discretion
10 So the petitioner has pointed out that the DEQ	10 there too.
11 rule requires plan submission	11 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: I made a note during the
12 MS. YOUNG: Right.	12 petitioners' presentation that they referenced Section
13 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: as part of the permit	13 202 multiple times.
14 application.	14 MS. YOUNG: Yes.
15 MS. YOUNG: Right.	15 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: And I don't remember if
16 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: So how how do we get	16 that was an EPA or an Idaho Code section?
17 around that?	17 MS. YOUNG: Yeah, that's the rule. And I think
18 MS. YOUNG: And I know that Perpetua was going	18 that that 202 section is about the permit conditions that
19 to speak to this too, but I'll address it also.	19 DEQ has discretion to include, though I could be
20 And I would say that the language that they're	20 misremembering.
21 relying upon, 402.01, I disagree with their reading of	21 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: It's the application.
22 the rule, for one. The rule section states:	22 MS. YOUNG: Yeah.
23 "Required information. Site	23 (Unreportable simultaneous cross-talk.)
24 information, plans, descriptions,	24 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: It's that the application
25 specifications, and drawings showing the	25 had to be all open to public comment and
Page 94	Page 96
1 design of the stationary source facility	1 MS. YOUNG: Oh, okay. Sorry, the 209 section
2 or modification, the nature of the	2 was public comment. Thank you.
3 amount of emissions, and the manner in	3 So I'm happy to turn to that too. So actually,
4 which it will be operated and	4 thank you for that lovely segueway, because my second
5 controlled."	5 point is that petitioners are claiming that this practice
6 It seems to me that that list of what's required	6 of having plans being submitted later deprives the public
7 site information, plans, descriptions, specifications,	7 from meaningful involvement which, again, I take serious
8 and drawings is regarding to showing the design of the	8 issue with. And that section of the rule 209 simply says
9 stationary source, which the plans and specifications for	9 that, "The public should be allowed an opportunity to
10 the design of the source are absolutely required early	10 comment on the draft permit." An opportunity.
11 on.	11 Here they have had three, because there have
12 I also want to note a little bit of	12 been three draft permits that have all gone out for
13 inconsistency in petitioners' argument in that their	13 public comment, so that obviously has been met. And
14 latest reply that they filed they say that they	14 there's nothing in there saying that those plans need to
15 understand that the operation and maintenance manual that	
16 DEQ is requiring which is a plan is okay; it's okay	16 again, EPA seems to agree with in that they're saying
17 that that comes later, they just don't like the other	17 that information like a plan should be available to the
18 plan. That's a very inconsistent approach and	18 public. Which, since we're subject to the Public Records
19 application of the rule in my mind. And	19 Act anyways, are absolutely available to the public at
20 I'm sorry, I thought I saw a hand raised.	20 any time.
21 But the last point I want to make a point of is	21 But all that's to say that what Section 209 of
22 the EPA letter, to the extent that they're relying upon	22 the Air Rules requires is that the permit, the draft
23 that, also signals that it's fine to use plans, and they	23 permit be allowed to be commented on. That's what
24 talk about information being made available to the public	24 occurred here.
25 after those plans are approved by DEQ.	25 And I would further argue that there's nothing
Page 95	Page 97

25 (Pages 94 - 97)

_			
1	in the plans that the petitioners haven't already had the	1	relevant here and that speak to this level of control,
2	opportunity to comment on because, like I said, the true	2	which, again, the 93.3 is not an emissions limit, it's a
3	substantive requirements are in the permit, and they've	3	control efficiency. So measuring it and saying 93.3
4	had an opportunity to engage with DEQ on that.	4	percent is going to be met is asking for an absolute;
5	The permit says that they're going to use a	5	it's asking for something that DEQ simply could not
6	chemical suppressant. We've talked about the control for	6	provide. If you remanded the permit to DEQ and said we
7	the chemical suppressant. We've talked about the need	7	need confirmation that 93.3 percent is going to be met
8	for it to be applied. There's a plethora of conditions	8	based on, you know, something that the petitioners are
9	related to that. The plan might say the exact hours that		asking for, that's an absolute. But absent some sort of
1	the chemical suppressant needs to be applied; based on		long-term study on-site, that information is not going to
	the manufacturer's label will give you an actual		be able to be provided.
1	application rate, say every four hours, whatever, but the	12	But the permit condition, if those are met, then
1	plan the facility hasn't been constructed yet, so they		DEQ has a very high level of confidence that the 93.3
1	haven't purchased that chemical. That's the kind of		will be met because that 93.3 percent was not pulled from
	detail.		a hat, but is based on the method, standards, and data
16			relied upon by EPA. And you'll see it referenced in the
17			record at AP 42, that's the name of the guidance document
	with the permit, I would say that's absolutely being		that determines the control efficiency.
1	satisfied here.	10	But back to the permit conditions. So
20			conditions 2.1, 2.2, and 2.6, amongst others, require
$\begin{vmatrix} 20\\ 21 \end{vmatrix}$	-		Perpetua to apply water and chemicals to the roads to
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22 \end{vmatrix}$			control dust.
1	move on to the and I will note that there were a	23	And as noted earlier in the conversation, DEQ
	couple of cases that the petitioners referenced, and I'm going to defer to my esteemed counsel to address those		chose a very conservative number of 90 percent in the
23	going to deter to my esteemed counsel to address mose Page 98	25	range, the most conservative number that comes from AP 42 Page 100
1	for you.	1	in the 90 to 99 percent control efficiency for the
2		2	chemicals.
3	lacked a reasonable basis in determining that the project	3	Condition 2.2 requires Perpetua to monitor the
	can achieve 93.3 dust control from the haul road.	4	frequency and methods used to control fugitive dust at
5	And again, if you hear only from the petitioners		least once every 12 hours.
1	here or read only their brief, you would be left with a	6	2.4 requires daily, facility-wide inspections
1	disingenuous impression that DEQ is not confident that	7	and hauling activities to ensure that the control
	this level of control will be met based on the permit		efficiency is achieved. There is corollary recordkeeping
1	conditions. And as we heard from petitioners, you may		requirements related to that, which DEQ will, of course,
	even be left with the impression that dust control is		be reviewing.
	difficult or outdated. Again, not true. And, in fact,	11	And condition 2.5 mandates that fugitive dust
1	as was brought up, DEQ has rules for the reasonable		control measures be applied to haul roads on a frequency
1	control of dust.		such that visible emissions from vehicle traffic do not
14			exceed 10 percent opacity. And if emissions do exceed
	facilities that DEQ requires a fugitive dust plan, and		that level, Perpetua must take immediate corrective
1	that require that, you know, this type of issue be dealt		action until fugitive dust is achieved.
	with.	17	And I think it was Mr. Simon who noted in his
18			declaration that these daily inspection and haul road
	reply, and it was sort of brought out during the		opacity requirements are more stringent than any other
1	conversation earlier, that petitioners agree at this		permit DEQ has issued.
		20	So petitioners have used the term aggressive
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\ 22 \end{vmatrix}$			
22 23		22	
	seem to take issue with the adequacy of the permit conditions for meeting the 93.3 percent control.		aggressive if you will, that's fine, but it's certainly not a novel approach.
24		24	
23	So there are several permit conditions that are Page 99	23	DEQ is well versed in controlling fugitive dust. Page 101
1	1450 >>		1 450 101

26 (Pages 98 - 101)

1			
1	I talked about the AP 42 that they used and relied upon	1	BOARD SECRETARY MASCARENAS: Mr. Chair, if I can
	in determining that if these permit conditions are met,	2	add to that question?
3	then this control efficiency will be achieved.	3	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Sure.
4	And again, you know, those conditions may seem	4	BOARD SECRETARY MASCARENAS: In particular,
5	stringent or they may seem aggressive, but DEQ only puts	5	seeing that the fact that the criteria or the 93.3
6	permit conditions in a permit with the expectation that	6	percent within our table lacks enforceability.
7	the permittee will comply. So if the permittee does what	7	MS. YOUNG: So I'm hoping I heard the question
	it's required to do, complies with the permit, then		right; it sounds like you're sort of asking the same
9	confidence by the experts at DEQ who have analyzed all of	9	thing.
10	this information is very, very high that the 93.3 percent	10	Again, it's not an emission limit, it's a
11	will be met.	11	control efficiency. And DEQ will determine that that
12	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Hannah, a follow-up question	12	93.3 percent has been met if the permit conditions have
13	here. I think one of the claims that petitioners made is	13	been met.
14	that the permit lacks enforceable criteria. One of the	14	All of the permit conditions, including the ones
15	things that I read in the record is this issue of	15	which are just an example of what's in the permit, if
16	opacity.	16	those are not met, then DEQ does not have confidence and
17	Can you translate for the lay folks of us what	17	would consider the control efficiency of 93.3 percent to
18	that actually looks like on the ground as far as what	18	not have been met.
19	enforcement looks like relative to the criteria?	19	So it's imperative on Perpetua to meet these
20	MS. YOUNG: Yeah. Absolutely. And as a	20	conditions. And if they meet these conditions,
21	former or also a layperson to layperson, I'll do my	21	confidence is very high, again based on AP 42, the data,
22	best. Again, the experts at DEQ, their declarations and	22	the studies, et cetera, that the 93.3 percent is being
23	their Statements of Basis are really critical here.	23	met.
24	But my understanding is that DEQ will also be	24	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: So mathematically maybe
25	on-site to do these, you know, when they're doing their	25	it's a they must do A plus B plus C, and if they do those
	Page 102		Page 104
	inspections and determining opacity, just like Perpetua	1	things, it will equal 93.3 greater air control
2	will be required to do.	2	efficiency. So they're not measuring the right side of
3	And DEQ staff have actually been trained on	3	the equation, they are measuring the, Are you doing A?
	determining opacity. So they have a level of training	4	Are you doing B? Are you doing C?
	that says this is this is 10 percent, you know, this	5	MS. YOUNG: I couldn't have said it better
	is that threshold. And they have to go to training I	6	myself.
	think the training is done by EPA and they look at	7	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Within the permit
	different dusty conditions, and they actually get trained	8	conditions
1 -	on how to do that. And so they have a level of	9	MS. YOUNG: Absolutely.
9			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
10	confidence with the 10 percent number that, you know,	10	,
10	-	10 11	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: you would do that.
10 11 12	confidence with the 10 percent number that, you know, they could identify that. It's actually, in my mind, a pretty low		BOARD MEMBER PURDY: you would do that. MS. YOUNG: Absolutely.
10 11 12 13	confidence with the 10 percent number that, you know, they could identify that. It's actually, in my mind, a pretty low threshold in that if you're seeing dust, you need to do	11 12 13	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: you would do that. MS. YOUNG: Absolutely. BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Another analogy would be like if you have a dust scrubber, and you have
10 11 12 13	confidence with the 10 percent number that, you know, they could identify that. It's actually, in my mind, a pretty low	11 12 13	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: you would do that. MS. YOUNG: Absolutely. BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Another analogy would be
10 11 12 13 14 15	confidence with the 10 percent number that, you know, they could identify that. It's actually, in my mind, a pretty low threshold in that if you're seeing dust, you need to do something about it. And I also want to note that all of this is	11 12 13 14	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: you would do that. MS. YOUNG: Absolutely. BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Another analogy would be like if you have a dust scrubber, and you have
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	confidence with the 10 percent number that, you know, they could identify that. It's actually, in my mind, a pretty low threshold in that if you're seeing dust, you need to do something about it. And I also want to note that all of this is actually quite conservative when you consider the winter	11 12 13 14 15	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: you would do that. MS. YOUNG: Absolutely. BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Another analogy would be like if you have a dust scrubber, and you have particulates coming in, you can't pay money to always
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	confidence with the 10 percent number that, you know, they could identify that. It's actually, in my mind, a pretty low threshold in that if you're seeing dust, you need to do something about it. And I also want to note that all of this is actually quite conservative when you consider the winter months when there shouldn't be any dust and, you know,	11 12 13 14 15 16	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: you would do that. MS. YOUNG: Absolutely. BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Another analogy would be like if you have a dust scrubber, and you have particulates coming in, you can't pay money to always have that be measured at the outlet, but you can monitor
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	confidence with the 10 percent number that, you know, they could identify that. It's actually, in my mind, a pretty low threshold in that if you're seeing dust, you need to do something about it. And I also want to note that all of this is actually quite conservative when you consider the winter	11 12 13 14 15 16	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: you would do that. MS. YOUNG: Absolutely. BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Another analogy would be like if you have a dust scrubber, and you have particulates coming in, you can't pay money to always have that be measured at the outlet, but you can monitor flow and pressure drop. And if those are always being met, you're going to be
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	confidence with the 10 percent number that, you know, they could identify that. It's actually, in my mind, a pretty low threshold in that if you're seeing dust, you need to do something about it. And I also want to note that all of this is actually quite conservative when you consider the winter months when there shouldn't be any dust and, you know,	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: you would do that. MS. YOUNG: Absolutely. BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Another analogy would be like if you have a dust scrubber, and you have particulates coming in, you can't pay money to always have that be measured at the outlet, but you can monitor flow and pressure drop. And if those are always being met, you're going to be
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	confidence with the 10 percent number that, you know, they could identify that. It's actually, in my mind, a pretty low threshold in that if you're seeing dust, you need to do something about it. And I also want to note that all of this is actually quite conservative when you consider the winter months when there shouldn't be any dust and, you know, the rainy days. And just the consistent application of water and chemicals, you shouldn't really be seeing dust.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: you would do that. MS. YOUNG: Absolutely. BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Another analogy would be like if you have a dust scrubber, and you have particulates coming in, you can't pay money to always have that be measured at the outlet, but you can monitor flow and pressure drop. And if those are always being met, you're going to be BOARD MEMBER PURDY: You have confidence in the reading.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	confidence with the 10 percent number that, you know, they could identify that. It's actually, in my mind, a pretty low threshold in that if you're seeing dust, you need to do something about it. And I also want to note that all of this is actually quite conservative when you consider the winter months when there shouldn't be any dust and, you know, the rainy days. And just the consistent application of water and chemicals, you shouldn't really be seeing dust.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: you would do that. MS. YOUNG: Absolutely. BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Another analogy would be like if you have a dust scrubber, and you have particulates coming in, you can't pay money to always have that be measured at the outlet, but you can monitor flow and pressure drop. And if those are always being met, you're going to be BOARD MEMBER PURDY: You have confidence in the reading.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	confidence with the 10 percent number that, you know, they could identify that. It's actually, in my mind, a pretty low threshold in that if you're seeing dust, you need to do something about it. And I also want to note that all of this is actually quite conservative when you consider the winter months when there shouldn't be any dust and, you know, the rainy days. And just the consistent application of water and chemicals, you shouldn't really be seeing dust. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: So what overall what's	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: you would do that. MS. YOUNG: Absolutely. BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Another analogy would be like if you have a dust scrubber, and you have particulates coming in, you can't pay money to always have that be measured at the outlet, but you can monitor flow and pressure drop. And if those are always being met, you're going to be BOARD MEMBER PURDY: You have confidence in the reading. MS. YOUNG: Exactly. It's a control efficiency, right, and so it's a perfect example. Okay.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	confidence with the 10 percent number that, you know, they could identify that. It's actually, in my mind, a pretty low threshold in that if you're seeing dust, you need to do something about it. And I also want to note that all of this is actually quite conservative when you consider the winter months when there shouldn't be any dust and, you know, the rainy days. And just the consistent application of water and chemicals, you shouldn't really be seeing dust. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: So what overall what's the general response to the assertion that there's no	111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: you would do that. MS. YOUNG: Absolutely. BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Another analogy would be like if you have a dust scrubber, and you have particulates coming in, you can't pay money to always have that be measured at the outlet, but you can monitor flow and pressure drop. And if those are always being met, you're going to be BOARD MEMBER PURDY: You have confidence in the reading. MS. YOUNG: Exactly. It's a control efficiency, right, and so it's a perfect example. Okay. So I have no idea where I'm at on time, my
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	confidence with the 10 percent number that, you know, they could identify that. It's actually, in my mind, a pretty low threshold in that if you're seeing dust, you need to do something about it. And I also want to note that all of this is actually quite conservative when you consider the winter months when there shouldn't be any dust and, you know, the rainy days. And just the consistent application of water and chemicals, you shouldn't really be seeing dust. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: So what overall what's the general response to the assertion that there's no enforceability to the 93.3? There's nothing in the	111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: you would do that. MS. YOUNG: Absolutely. BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Another analogy would be like if you have a dust scrubber, and you have particulates coming in, you can't pay money to always have that be measured at the outlet, but you can monitor flow and pressure drop. And if those are always being met, you're going to be BOARD MEMBER PURDY: You have confidence in the reading. MS. YOUNG: Exactly. It's a control efficiency, right, and so it's a perfect example. Okay. So I have no idea where I'm at on time, my apologies, but I will move onto my final issue, if I may.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	confidence with the 10 percent number that, you know, they could identify that. It's actually, in my mind, a pretty low threshold in that if you're seeing dust, you need to do something about it. And I also want to note that all of this is actually quite conservative when you consider the winter months when there shouldn't be any dust and, you know, the rainy days. And just the consistent application of water and chemicals, you shouldn't really be seeing dust. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: So what overall what's the general response to the assertion that there's no enforceability to the 93.3? There's nothing in the permit that's enforceable? What's your response to that,	111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 222 233 24	BOARD MEMBER PURDY: you would do that. MS. YOUNG: Absolutely. BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Another analogy would be like if you have a dust scrubber, and you have particulates coming in, you can't pay money to always have that be measured at the outlet, but you can monitor flow and pressure drop. And if those are always being met, you're going to be BOARD MEMBER PURDY: You have confidence in the reading. MS. YOUNG: Exactly. It's a control efficiency, right, and so it's a perfect example. Okay. So I have no idea where I'm at on time, my apologies, but I will move onto my final issue, if I may.

27 (Pages 102 - 105)

 Now, petitioners would like that specific process to be set forth in rule; that's an impossibility. The point of modeling and the point of these engineering analyses are to use the methods and data that are relevant to that specific project, and that's what DEQ did. That's all they did. They didn't further divide by 70 the life of the mine. They further refined the AACC to a calculation of 16 over 70, and they applied that.
 3 The point of modeling and the point of these engineering 4 analyses are to use the methods and data that are 5 relevant to that specific project, and that's what DEQ 6 did. That's all they did. They didn't further divide by 7 70 the life of the mine. They further refined the AACC
 4 analyses are to use the methods and data that are 5 relevant to that specific project, and that's what DEQ 6 did. That's all they did. They didn't further divide by 7 00 the life of the mine. They further refined the AACC
5 relevant to that specific project, and that's what DEQ6 did. That's all they did. They didn't further divide by7 70 the life of the mine. They further refined the AACC
6 did. That's all they did. They didn't further divide by7 70 the life of the mine. They further refined the AACC
7 70 the life of the mine. They further refined the AACC
-
8 to a calculation of 16 over 70, and they applied that.
9 Which essentially all that's doing is saying we know it's
10 not going to operate for 70 years, we know it's going to
11 operate for 16.
12 And built into that there are a lot of
13 conservative assumptions, which is very common in
14 modeling and those analyses, or so I'm told.
15 And ultimately DEQ was required to demonstrate
16 that the project's arsenic emissions would not injure or
17 unreasonably affect human health or animal life or
18 vegetation as required by the rules in Section 161 and
19 203.
20 And DEQ feels very confident that they met that
21 standard based on the rules and based on their modeling
22 analyses.
And again, I am happy to do my best to answer
24 questions as to that point, but I would again point you
25 to the experts at DEQ who are much more well versed in Page 108
-
1 this, and also to point out that the hearing officer
2 found that as to this point DEQ provided unrebutted
3 expert testimony, and the petitioners have not done that.
4 They have not brought forward any experts who have taken
5 specific issue with how that modeling was done and with
6 how those various inputs were set forth in the analysis.
7 So with that, I would simply like to reemphasize
8 that petitioners simply dislike or disagree, as a matter
9 of opinion, the decisions made by DEQ, but they have
10 failed to prove at every turn that DEQ committed any
11 errors in its thorough and diligent preparation of this
12 permit; they failed to demonstrate that DEQ acted
13 unreasonably; that they violated any Constitutional or
14 statutory provision or an excess of authority or used
15 unlawful procedure or were arbitrary or capricious or an
16 abuse of discretion.
17 And, in fact, the opposite. I hope what I've
18 demonstrated here to you today is that with every single
19 issue DEQ has a thoughtful and reasoned basis for the
20 decision that it made.
21 So again, I would thank you for your thoughtful
22 consideration of this matter. And if there are any
23 questions, I'm happy to do my best to answer those.
24 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Mr. Chairman.
 24 BOARD MEMBER MCELROT: MI. Chalman. 25 Thank you for walking us through those four

28 (Pages 106 - 109)

1 points. I do have a question on the second point, and I1 interpretation of how DEQ would interpret that rule2 apologize for not asking it when you were talking through1 interpretation of how DEQ would interpret that rule3 it, we just moved so quickly to the third one.2 section, which DEQ is afforded great deference in4 MS. YOUNG: Yeah. Sorry. Yeah. Absolutely.3 interpreting its own rules.5 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: The point regarding the6 submittal of plans in the application, and in Section6 submittal of plans in the application plans, da da da,7 controlled. I think they do need to demonstrate,8 showing the design of the stationary source which8 obviously, the manner in which it will be9 makes sense. But then the back half of that sentence9 controlled, but requiring them to have a lot of those10 ends with, "and the manner in which it will be11 just an impossibility.12 And so help us understand, in this case the12 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Oka13 fugitive dust control plan would be the method that you14 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yeah, one.15 meet that back half of the sentence in the application by15 So with respect to arsenic, you know,	nd and e
 3 it, we just moved so quickly to the third one. 4 MS. YOUNG: Yeah. Sorry. Yeah. Absolutely. 5 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: The point regarding the 6 submittal of plans in the application, and in Section 7 2.02 it talks about the site information plans, da da da, 8 showing the design of the stationary source which 9 makes sense. But then the back half of that sentence 10 ends with, "and the manner in which it will be 11 operated and controlled." 12 And so help us understand, in this case the 13 fugitive dust control plan would be the method that you 14 control those, the fugitive emissions. So how do we not 3 interpreting its own rules. 4 But I don't see that as requiring the 5 Perpetua to submit the plans at that point in time 6 related to the manner in which it will be operated a 7 controlled. I think they do need to demonstrate, 8 obviously, the manner in which it will be 11 just an impossibility. 12 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Oka 13 questions? 14 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yeah, one. 	and e is
4MS. YOUNG: Yeah. Sorry. Yeah. Absolutely.4But I don't see that as requiring the5BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: The point regarding the 6 submittal of plans in the application, and in Section 7 2.02 it talks about the site information plans, da da da, 8 showing the design of the stationary source which 9 makes sense. But then the back half of that sentence 10 ends with, "and the manner in which it will be 11 operated and controlled."4But I don't see that as requiring the5Perpetua to submit the plans at that point in time 6 related to the manner in which it will be operated a 7 controlled. I think they do need to demonstrate, 8 obviously, the manner in which it will be operated 9 controlled, but requiring them to have a lot of those 10 details that we talked about during the application in 11 just an impossibility.12And so help us understand, in this case the 	and e is
 5 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: The point regarding the 6 submittal of plans in the application, and in Section 7 2.02 it talks about the site information plans, da da da, 8 showing the design of the stationary source which 9 makes sense. But then the back half of that sentence 10 ends with, "and the manner in which it will be 11 operated and controlled." 12 And so help us understand, in this case the 13 fugitive dust control plan would be the method that you 14 control those, the fugitive emissions. So how do we not 5 Perpetua to submit the plans at that point in time 6 related to the manner in which it will be operated a 7 controlled. I think they do need to demonstrate, 8 obviously, the manner in which it will be operated 9 controlled, but requiring them to have a lot of those 10 details that we talked about during the application in 11 just an impossibility. 12 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Oka 13 questions? 14 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yeah, one. 	and e is
6 submittal of plans in the application, and in Section6 related to the manner in which it will be operated a7 2.02 it talks about the site information plans, da da da,8 showing the design of the stationary source which9 makes sense. But then the back half of that sentence9 controlled. I think they do need to demonstrate,10 ends with, "and the manner in which it will be10 details that we talked about during the application if11 operated and controlled."11 just an impossibility.12And so help us understand, in this case the13 fugitive dust control plan would be the method that you1414 control those, the fugitive emissions. So how do we not14	and e is
 7 2.02 it talks about the site information plans, da da da, 8 showing the design of the stationary source which 9 makes sense. But then the back half of that sentence 10 ends with, "and the manner in which it will be 11 operated and controlled." 12 And so help us understand, in this case the 13 fugitive dust control plan would be the method that you 14 control those, the fugitive emissions. So how do we not 7 controlled. I think they do need to demonstrate, 8 obviously, the manner in which it will be operated 9 controlled, but requiring them to have a lot of those 10 details that we talked about during the application in this case the 12 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Oka 13 questions? 14 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yeah, one. 	and e is
8 showing the design of the stationary source which8 obviously, the manner in which it will be operated9 makes sense. But then the back half of that sentence9 controlled, but requiring them to have a lot of those10 ends with, "and the manner in which it will be10 details that we talked about during the application it11 operated and controlled."11 just an impossibility.12And so help us understand, in this case the1213 fugitive dust control plan would be the method that you14BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Oka14BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yeah, one.	e is
9 makes sense. But then the back half of that sentence9 controlled, but requiring them to have a lot of those10 ends with, "and the manner in which it will be10 details that we talked about during the application it11 operated and controlled."11 just an impossibility.12And so help us understand, in this case the1213 fugitive dust control plan would be the method that you1414 control those, the fugitive emissions. So how do we not1414BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yeah, one.	e is
10 ends with, "and the manner in which it will be10 details that we talked about during the application if11 operated and controlled."11 just an impossibility.12And so help us understand, in this case the1213 fugitive dust control plan would be the method that you14 control those, the fugitive emissions. So how do we not1414BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yeah, one.	is
11 operated and controlled."11 just an impossibility.12And so help us understand, in this case the12BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Oka13 fugitive dust control plan would be the method that you13 questions?14 control those, the fugitive emissions. So how do we not14BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yeah, one.	
12And so help us understand, in this case the12BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Oka13fugitive dust control plan would be the method that you13questions?14control those, the fugitive emissions. So how do we not14BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yeah, one.	v Anv
13 fugitive dust control plan would be the method that you13 questions?14 control those, the fugitive emissions. So how do we not14 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yeah, one.	v Anv
14 control those, the fugitive emissions. So how do we not 14 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yeah, one.	.j. 1 miy
15 meet that back half of the sentence in the application by 15 So with respect to arsenic, you know,	
16 not submitting the fugitive dust plan? 16 petitioners talked about the unreasonable risks to p	ublic
17 MS. YOUNG: Yeah. Absolutely. And I don't want 17 health and Yellow Pine was mentioned. In the mod	
18 to get too technical in statutory analysis here, but I 18 analysis, was there any demonstration that the arse	nic
19 would read that final comma and "and" as a standalone 19 concentrations all the way, 10 miles out to like a Y	ellow
20 provision, "The manner in which it will be operated and 20 Pine, would impact like a human habitation?	
21 controlled" as a stand-alone requirement, which DEQ 21 MS. YOUNG: Right. So what the modeling	was
22 absolutely has in the permit, "the manner in which it 22 required to demonstrate is that there would not be a	ın
23 will be operated and controlled." 23 unacceptable risk to human health at the boundary	of the
24 And a lot of those permit conditions we haven't 24 mine and beyond. And that was demonstrated.	
25 talked about today because they're not being challenged. 25 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Okay. Thank	s.
Page 110	Page 112
1 There's a lot of operational requirements in the permit. 1 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you.	
2 But I think that the word "plan" doesn't necessarily 2 MR. POOSER: Would it be possible to take a	
3 relate to that final "and." 3 little break, just a little five-minute break?	
4 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: I'm asking that's the 4 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Oh, sure.	
5 application procedures. 5 (Thereupon there was a brief recess.)	
6 MS. YOUNG: Oh, right, of the application. 6 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Ready to reconv	/ene.
7 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Yeah, in that section 7 We'll now hear from Perpetua. You've got 30	
8 it's talking about what's required in the application. 8 minutes. If you'd state your name again for the record,	
9 MS. YOUNG: Right. I'm sorry. 9 please?	
10BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: And in the back half of10MR. POOSER: Yeah, Christopher Pooser	
11 that statement, "And the manner in which it will be 11 representing Perpetua.	
12 operated and controlled" 12 Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the	
13 MS. YOUNG: Yes, I'm 13 Board.	
14BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: in the application.14I don't intend to repeat what Ms. Young has so	
15 So that's what I'm trying to resolve, if that's in the 15 eloquently stated. Obviously we agree with DEQ, we agree	ee
16 plan that's not submitted.16 with her defense of the permit, but I will be kind of	
17MS. YOUNG: Yeah. And I apologize for speaking17 covering some of the same ground, and I wanted to give	
18 over you, but I understand your question better now.18 you the perspective from Perpetua as well as answer any	
19And similarly, I think the same thing applies19 questions that you may have.	
20 that what they're required to demonstrate in that section 20 So I asked Paula to pull up this map just so you	
21 that you've just read, that piece in the application that 21 can kind of get an idea of kind of where the project is.	
22 needs to be met, which is "The manner in which it will be 22 Can you turn that, Paula?	
23 operated and controlled," not the plans related to that 23 MS. WILSON: Well, yes.	
24 sort of final phrase, you know. 24 MR. POOSER: One more. Getting there.	
25 So it's a little bit of a matter of 25 MS. WILSON: Is this still the right document? Page 111	Page 113

29 (Pages 110 - 113)

Veritext Legal Solutions

4 MR. POOSER: So I wanned to give the Board, I 5 5 think everybody hows generally where the location is. 6 6 You can see kind of McCall kind of in the upper left; 7. Cascade is kind of in the lower left, and then you can 8 see Yellow Pine which is about 10 miles to the west of 9 9 the project at the top. And just to kind of give you an 10 10 idea of kind of where the project sits. And it's in a 11 11 very remote-like location. 2 12 And this project is a combination of a patented 13 13 inanc claims, which Perpetua owns and controls, and it 14 14 also includes unpatented mine claims, which are on public 15 15 ands, National Forest Service lands. 16 16 and this is a good project. I mean, it's going 16 17 to produce procious metals; it's going to produce 17 18 antimony - if Can any that word right - and it's. 18 21 dot primony is, domestically is very rare, and it's used for 20 22 And this is neas acod project. I mean, it's going 10 21 dot project is a the about of the decates. People may 21 23 be familiar with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua has to 24 simply not the case.				
3 MS. YOUNG: There you go. 3 rigorous and thorough process was a rigorous and thorough process wort that there you rigor process that Perpetua is required to get permits that Perpetua is required to get permits that Perpetua is required to get permits that Septem point that to rous process rous and there yield perpetual with and rous process rous required to regorous rigorous rigorou	1 MR.	. POOSER: Yeah, it is, it just needs to be	1	permit process took 1,032 days.
4 MR. POOSER: So I wanted to give the Board, I 5 5 think everybody knows generally where the location is. 6 6 You can see kind of M-Call kind of in the upper left; 7 7 Cascade is kind of in the lower left, and then you can 8 see Yellow Pine which is about 10 miles to the west of 9 9 the project at the top. And just to kind of give you an 10 10 idea of kind of where the project sits. And it's in a 11 very remotilks location. 10 12 about the sits a combination of a parented 13 13 mine claims, which Perpetua owns and controls, and it 14 14 also includes unparented mine claims which are on public 14 15 lands, National Forest Service lands. 14 16 and this is a good project. I mean, it's going 17 And whit respect to be legal control. 18 antimomy is, domestically is very rare, and it's used for uperculas the site. 16 16 19 antimony is, domestically is very rare, and it's used for uperculas the site. 10 10 21 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 2 10 10 22 ablishe dome you can 2 2 11 11 11 11 12	2 turned. A	nd then scroll. There you go.	2	So the result I mean, the result of this
 5 Mink everybody knows generally where the location is. 6 You can see kind of McCall kind of in the upper left; 7 Cascate is kind of in the lower left, and then you can 8 evellow Pine which is about 10 miles to the west of 9 the project at the top. And just to kind of give you an 10 idea of kind of where the project sits. And it's in a 11 very remote-like location. 12 And this project is a combination of a patented 13 mine claims, which Perpetua owns and controls, and it 14 also includes unpatented mice claims which are on public 14 also includes unpatented mice claims which are on public 15 Iands, National Forest Service lands. 16 And this is a good project. I mean, it's going 17 to produce precious metals; it's going to produce 18 antimony is, domestically is very rare, and it's used for 21 contry's national security, it's important for our 22 more present white is was asoundored. And Pereptua bits 23 befamiliar white. It was asoundored. And Pereptua bits 24 been pursuing this project for many years, and Perptua 24 been pursuing this project for many years, and Perptua 24 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 24 breat's anted sa paproval from the Forest Service of the mine plan. As I metime, plan. 3 And Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this 4 project and the site better, better than how it found it. 5 And so he permit that Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 13 franzial assurances. 14 Breat's endire was nime of a feet weight as a site opermit that Perpetua is required to get Learnity. 	3 MS.	YOUNG: There you go.	3	rigorous and thorough process was a rigorous and thorough
 6 You can see kind of McCall kind of in the upper left; 7 Cascade is kind of in the lower left, and then you can 8 see Yellow Plne which is about 10 mitse to the west of 9 the project at the top. And just to kind of give you an 10 idea of kind of where the project sis. And it's in a 11 very remote-like location. 12 And this project is a combination of a patented 13 mine claims, which Perpetua owns and controls, and it 14 also includes unpatened mine claims which are on public 15 lands, National Forzets Service lands. 16 And this is a good project. I mean, it's going 17 to produce precious metals, it's going to produce 18 antimony - if I can say that word right - and it's. 19 antimony is domescillarly is very rare, and Perpetua 20 And this is ta sgood project. I mean, it's going 10 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 21 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 21 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 21 mork primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 21 mork primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 21 mork primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 21 mork primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 21 mork primarily related to elem Mark Stares 31 financial assurances. 32 more that shore you to the requires to pertua assocas optical for the project and is a forgored to get I permits. 33 Mad Perpetua assoca sproyal from the Project. 34 mos the perpit that bere, and it was neary three 35 were and is dong project reality. 34 mos pergetua with any built common that here, and that shore there, and the was neary three 35 macceas to the repretuan seed or the regulatory nocesses or that there, and there, and there, and that shore the real there, and the stare and there,	4 MR.	. POOSER: So I wanted to give the Board, I	4	permit.
 7 Cascade is kind of in the lower left, and then you can 8 see Yellow Pine which is about 10 miles to the west of 9 the project at the top. And just to kind of yers you an 10 idea of kind of where the project sits. And it's in a 11 wery remote-like location. 12 And this project is a combination of a patentied 13 minon yis, domestically is origing to produce 14 abo includes unpatented mine claims which are on public. 14 abo includes unpatented mine claims which are on public. 15 lands, National Forest Service lands. 16 and this is a good project. I mean, it's going 17 to produce precious metals; it's going to produce 18 antimony is, domestically is very rare, and it's used for 21 country's national security. 12 country is national security. 12 contry is national security. 13 and Nersetus allimate goal is to leave this 3 And Perpetua is required to get Claim Water Yeatians. And to control the site. Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 14 this required to get Claim Water Act 11 permits. 12 Perpetua is required to get Claim Water Act 11 permits. 12 Perpetua is required to get Claim Water Act 13 financial assurances. 14 this required to get ermits related to kind of name a few of the 15 required to get permits related to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory process are that perpetua is required to get armit development there, and it was nearedy three 20 years. And I only kind of nouched on the timeline as fat 17 sequired to get dem safety permits. 18 Mistoria development there, and it was nearedy three 19 sethe jermit development there, and it was	5 think ever	rybody knows generally where the location is.	5	And so I'll go ahead and take the issues in the
8 see Yellow Pine which is about 10 miles to the west of 9 the project at the top. And just to kind of give you an 10 ideo of kind of where the project is. And it's in a 11 very remote-like location. 8 talking about the 93.3 percent, and then finally the 9 arsenic averaging. 10 ideo of kind by opicet is a combination of a patented 13 mine claims, which Perpetua owns and controls, and it 14 also includes unpatented mine claims which are on public 15 lands, National Forest Service lands. 10 With respect to the access road, this concerns 11 the ambient air boundary for the facility. And the 12 question that's really been posed to the Board is whether 14 had the legal right to control the site and to preclude 15 on pot Secula the general public, and also kind of the protect 16 adhifty to exclude the general public access. 17 And with respect to the legal control. 18 pertioners allege that Perpetua does not have the legal 19 right to exclude the general public to the - over the 20 access road. And that is an assumption that is based or 21 their assumption that the Forest Service is requiring 22 public access through the site under the mine plan, and 23 that Perpetua act close this road. And that is just 24 stimply not the case. I's untrue and i's not supported 25 by the record that's before you today. 11 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 2 that's on the site. 1 And 1'd like to give you just a little bit of 2 context behind the mine plan. As I mentioned, this 3 mole Perpetua site alary permits. 11 Ard 1'd like to give you just a little bit of 2 context behind the mine plan. As I mentioned, this 3 project is a kind of patchwork of patented 4 mining claims. And to control desets of this mine plan. 1 har perpetua service of the mine	6 You can s	see kind of McCall kind of in the upper left;	6	order that Ms. Young spoke to: So starting with the
 9 the project at the top. And just to kind of give you an 10 idea of kind of where the project sits. And if's in a 11 very remoch-like location. 1 and this project is a combination of a patented 13 mine claims, which Perpetua soma and controls, and it 1 also includes unpatented mine claims which are on public 15 lands, National Forest Service lands. 16 And this is a good project. I mean, it's going 17 to produce precions metals; it's going to produce precions metals; it's going to produce precisons metals; it's going to produce 18 antimony if I can say that word right and it's, 19 antimony is, domestically is very rare, and if's used for 20 access road. And that is an assumption that is based or 21 country's national security. 22 And this site was mined for decades. People may 23 be familiar with. It was anadnode. And Perpetua at 24 been pursuing this project for many years, and Perpetua 27 log 20 access through the site under the mine plan, the iset water quality 2 that's on the site. And to the site. And the site better, better that how it found it. 5 And so the permit shat before you today is one 6 of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 10 Perpetua is required to get permits related to kind of 11 financial assurances. If a nat submits contary the site water duality a have the project. 10 Perpetua is required to get permits related to kind of 11 firancial assurances. 11 Fis required to get am safety permits. 12 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to get oremit service to the mine plan, is 01 the creational boundary, which is essential! 13 Perpetua will have the access to that operational boundary is with give Perpetua has to before service or the mine plan. So Perpetua 20 years. And 1 lonly kind of point that out because this? 14 Ma that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory proceses withat Perpetua is required to get permits	7 Cascade is	s kind of in the lower left, and then you can	7	access road, moving onto the operational work plan,
 10 idea of kind of where the project sits. And it's in a 11 very remote-like location. 12 And this project is a combination of a patented 13 mine, National Forest Service lands. 16 And this is a good project. I mean, it's going 17 to produce precious metals; it's going to produce 18 antimony if I can say that word right and it's. 18 antimony if I can say that word right and it's. 19 antimony if I can say that word right and it's. 19 antimony if I can say that word right and it's. 19 antimony if I can say that word right and it's. 19 antimony if I can say that word right and it's. 19 antimony if I can say that word right and it's. 19 antimony if I can say that word right and it's. 19 antimony if I can say that word right and it's. 19 antimony if I can say that word right and it's. 19 antimony if I can say that word right and it's. 19 antimony if I can say that word right and it's. 19 antimony if I can say that word right and it's. 10 country's national security. 12 country's national security. 13 and this site was mined for decades. People may 24 been pursuing this project for many years, and Perpetua 24 been pursuing this project for many years, and Perpetua 14 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 2 that's on the site. 14 more primits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 25 mand that's just to karchaly have the project. 10 Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 11 Perpetua and plant fit to catulally have the project. 13 financial assurances. 14 I's required to get permits related to kind of 15 And that's just to kind of nama eff word the 15 And that's just to kind of nama eff word the 16 regulator	8 see Yellow	w Pine which is about 10 miles to the west of	8	talking about the 93.3 percent, and then finally the
11 very remote-like location. 11 the ambient air boundary for the facility. And the 12 And this project is a combination of a patented 13 ora of the Board is wheth 14 also includes unpatented mine claims which are on public 14 had this is a good project. I mean, it's going 15 Inads, National Forest Service lands. 14 had the legal right to control the site and to preclude 18 antimony if I can say that word right and it's, 16 ability to exclude the general public access. 19 antimony is, domestically is very rare, and it's used for 20 access through the site mether the inte plan, and 21 contry's national security. 14 had this project for many years, and Perpetua has 24 been pursting this project for many years, and Perpetua has 22 public access through the site undre the mine plan, and it will be atthorized 25 is actually already on-site and is doing pre-permiting Page 11 And file to give you just a little bit of 2 there saready been, you know, discussion about the mine project is a kind of patented and unpatent 3 And Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 5 had so the permit that by befory you today is one forest Service approval form the Forest Service	9 the projec	t at the top. And just to kind of give you an	9	arsenic averaging.
12 And this project is a combination of a patented 13 mine claims, which Perpetua owns and controls, and it 14 also, includes unpatented mine claims which are on public 15 lands, National Forest Service lands. 16 And this is a good project. I mean, it's going 17 to produce precious metals; it's going to produce 18 antimony - if I can say that word right - and it's, 19 antimony - if I can say that word right - and it's, 19 antimony - if I can say that word right - and it's, 10 country's national security, it's important for our 21 And this site was mined for decades. People may 23 be familiar with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua has 24 been pursuing this project for many years, and Perpetua 25 is actually already on-site and is doing pre-permitting 21 ther is sumption that be Forest Service is requiring 25 is actually already on-site and is to leave this 3 And Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this 4 project and the site better, better than how it found it. 5 And and perpetua needs approval from the Forest Service is a kind of patchar shis project a reality. 10 </td <td>10 idea of kin</td> <td>nd of where the project sits. And it's in a</td> <td>10</td> <td>With respect to the access road, this concerns</td>	10 idea of kin	nd of where the project sits. And it's in a	10	With respect to the access road, this concerns
13 mine claims, which Perpetua owns and controls, and it 13 or not DEQ abused its discretion in finding that Perpetu 14 also includes unpatented mine claims which are on public 14 had the legal right to control the site and to preclude 15 lands, National Forest Service lands. 14 had the legal right to control the site and to preclude 16 And this is a good project. I mean, it's going 16 ability to exclude the general public access. 17 to produce precious metals; it's going to produce 18 dillity to exclude the general public access that the sequent is doing repermiting 12 outnty's national security. 14 and the legal right to exclude the general public access through the site under the mine plan, and 23 be familiar with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua has 19 right to exclude the general public access. It's untrue and it's not supported 25 is actually already on-site and is doing pre-permiting 12 page 114 24 beap musuing this project for many years, and Perpetua 11 And I'd like to give you just a little bit of 2 context behind the mine plan. As I mentioned, this 3 project is a kind of patchwork of patented and unpatent 4 project and the site patter that's before you today is one 6 And one of the key asperval from the 5 have Forest Service approval from the 5 have Forest Service approval of its mine plan. 17 there's already been, you know, discussion about the suporest. 10 the creation of th	11 very remo	ote-like location.	11	the ambient air boundary for the facility. And the
14 also includes unpatented mine claims which are on public 14 had the legal right to control the site and to preclude 15 lands, National Forest Service lands. 14 had the legal right to control the site and to preclude 16 And this is a good project. I mean, it's going 16 ability to exclude the general public access. 17 to produce precious metals, it's going to produce 18 ability to exclude the general public control. 18 antimony if I can say that word right and it's. 19 attimony is, domestically is very rare, and it's used for 10 contry's national security. 19 right to exclude the general public to the over the 20 access road. And that is an assumption that is based or 20 project access through the site under the mine plan, and 23 be familiar with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua has 21 their assumption that tis ont supported 25 is actually already on-site and is doing pre-permiting 22 public access through the site under the mine plan, and 24 tais on the site. 14 And Perpetua ast to be form many years, and Perpetua 25 by the record that's before you today. 26 is actually already on-site and is doing pre-permiting 22 public access tord. And that is is assumption that is based or 3 And Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this 3 roject is a kind of patchwork of patented and unpatent 4 project in the site better, better than how it foundit. 5	12 And	this project is a combination of a patented	12	question that's really been posed to the Board is whether
 15 lands, National Forest Service lands. 16 And this is a good project. I mean, it's going 17 to produce precious metals; it's going to produce 18 antimony if I can say that word right and it's, 19 antimony is, domestically is very rare, and it's used for 20 the country's national security, it's important for our 21 country's national security, it's important for our 22 And this site was mined for decades. People may 23 be familiar with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua has 24 been pursuing this project for many years, and Perpetua 25 is actually already on-site and is doing pre-permiting 2 mork primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 2 that's on the site. 3 And Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this 4 project and the site better, better than how it found it. 5 And so the permit that's before you today is one 6 of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 9 Forthe mine plan for it to actually have the project. 10 Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 11 permits. 12 It's required to get dem safety permits. 15 And tha's just to kind of name a few of the 13 financial assurances. 14 Mot querational boundary, and it will give Perpetua he grational 15 And tha's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to get a masify permits. 15 And tha's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to get a masify permits. 15 And tha's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes was the Perpetua is required to get a masify permits. 15 And tha's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes was the Perpetua is required to get a masify permits. 15 And tha's just to kind of nome a few of the <l< td=""><td>13 mine clair</td><td>ms, which Perpetua owns and controls, and it</td><td>13</td><td>or not DEQ abused its discretion in finding that Perpetua</td></l<>	13 mine clair	ms, which Perpetua owns and controls, and it	13	or not DEQ abused its discretion in finding that Perpetua
16 And this is a good project. I mean, it's going 16 ability to exclude the general public access. 17 to produce precious metals; it's going to produce 18 antimony is, domestically is very rare, and it's used for 20 the country's national security, it's important for our 21 beamiliar with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua 25 beamiliar with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua 26 beamiliar with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua 27 And this site was mined for decades. People may 28 beamiliar with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua 29 is actually already on-site and is doing pre-permitting 20 their secure and 'to's out country's national security. 21 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 2 that's on the site. 3 And Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this 4 project and the site bettre, better than how it found it. 5 And so the permit that's before you today is one 6 of many permits that Perpetua also to bain for the site. 7 There's already been, you know, discussion about the mine 8 pan. Perpetua is required to get Cleam Water Act	14 also inclue	des unpatented mine claims which are on public	14	had the legal right to control the site and to preclude
 17 to produce precious metals; it's going to produce 18 antimony if I can say that word right - and it's, 19 antimony is, domestically is very rare, and it's used for 20 the country's national security. 21 country's national security, is important for our 22 and this site was mined for decades. People may 23 be familiar with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua 24 been pursuing this project for many years, and Perpetua 25 is actually already on-site and is doing pre-permitting 22 that's on the site. 23 And Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this 3 And Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this 4 project and the site better, better than how it found it. 5 And so the permit that's before you today is one 6 of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 7 There's already been, you know, discussion about the mins 8 plan. Perpetua needs approval from the Forest Service 9 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project. 10 Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 11 permits. 12 It's required to get permits related to kind of 13 financial assurances. 14 brought or make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of nouched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 19 as the permit do construct of a mine or source like 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 19 as the permit to construct of a mine or source like 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 broel hat as public comment takes 150 days. This 	15 lands, Nat	tional Forest Service lands.	15	the general public, and also kind of the practical
 18 antimony - if I can say that word right - and it's, 19 antimony is, domestically is very rare, and it's used for 20 the country's national security, 22 And this site was mined for decades. People may 23 be familiar with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua has 24 been pursuing this project for many years, and Perpetua 25 is actually already on-site and is doing pre-permiting 26 that's on the site. 1 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 2 that's on the site. 1 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 2 that's on the site. 1 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 2 that's on the site. 1 And Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this 3 And Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this 4 project and the site better, better than how it found it. 5 And so the permit that's before you today is one 6 of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 7 There's already been, you know, discussion about the mine 9 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project. 10 Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 11 permits. 13 financial assurances. 14 It's required to get dam safety permits. 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to get 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 19 as the permit to construct of a mine or source like 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-yea 22 period. 3 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 twise one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 	16 And	this is a good project. I mean, it's going	16	ability to exclude the general public access.
 19 antimony is, domestically is very rare, and it's used for 20 the country's national security. it's important for our 21 country's national security. it's important for our 22 And this site was mined for decades. People may 23 be familiar with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua 25 is actually already on-site and is doing pre-permitting Page 114 1 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 2 that's on the site. 1 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 2 that's on the site. 3 And Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this 4 project and the site better, better than how it found it. 5 And so the permit that's before you today is one 6 of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 7 There's already been, you know, discussion about the mine 8 plan. Perpetua needs approval from the Forest Service 9 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project. 10 Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 11 permits. 12 It's required to get clean Water Act 13 financial assurances. 14 It's required to get dam safety permits. 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly free 20 years. And I only kind of point that ou tbecause this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 18 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 	17 to produce	e precious metals; it's going to produce	17	And with respect to the legal control,
20 the country's national security, it's important for our 21 country's national security, 22 And this site was mined for decades. People may 23 be familiar with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua 25 is actually already on-site and is doing pre-permitting 22 Page 114 Page 114 24 simply not the case. It's untrue and it's not supported 25 by the record that's before you today. 26 and Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this 3 and so the permit that's before you today is one 6 of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 7 There's already been, you know, discussion about the mine 8 plan. Perpetua aneeds approval from the Forest Service 9 9 And one of the key aspects of this mine plan. 10 Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 11 premits. 12 It's required to get dam safety permits. 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 reguidatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 thris required to get dam safety permits. 15 And lonk tha's just to kind of name a few of	18 antimony	if I can say that word right and it's,	18	petitioners allege that Perpetua does not have the legal
 21 country's national security. 21 nothis site was mined for decades. People may 23 be familiar with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua has 24 been pursuing this project for many years, and Perpetua 25 is actually already on-site and is doing pre-permitting Page 114 1 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 2 that's on the site. 3 And Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this 4 project and the site better, better than how it found it. 5 And so the permit that's before you today is one 6 of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 7 There's already been, you know, discussion about the mine 8 plan. Perpetua needs approval from the Forest Service 9 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project. 10 Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 11 permits. 12 It's required to get ans afety permits. 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of ouched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was neardy three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 they call permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 twise on ethat has public comment takes 150 days. This 	19 antimony	is, domestically is very rare, and it's used for	19	right to exclude the general public to the over the
 22 And this site was mined for decades. People may 23 be familiar with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua has 24 been pursuing this project for many years, and Perpetua has 24 been pursuing this project for many years, and Perpetua has 25 is actually already on-site and is doing pre-permitting Page 114 1 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 2 that's on the site. 3 And Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this 4 project and the site better, better than how it found it. 5 And so the permit that's before you today is one 6 of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 7 There's already been, you know, discussion about the mine 8 plan. Perpetua needs approval from the Forest Service 9 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project. 10 Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 11 permits. 12 It's required to get permits related to kind of 13 financial assurances. 14 It's required to get dam safety permits. 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 	20 the countr	ry's national security, it's important for our	20	access road. And that is an assumption that is based on
 23 be familiar with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua has 24 been pursuing this project for many years, and Perpetua 25 is actually already on-site and is doing pre-permitting Page 114 24 simply not the case. It's untrue and it's not supported 25 by the record that's before you today. 24 simply not the case. It's untrue and it's not supported 25 by the record that's before you today. 25 this on the site. 26 and Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this 4 project and the site better, better than how it found it. 27 And so the permit that's before you today is one 6 of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 28 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project. 29 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project. 21 If's required to get Clean Water Act 21 If's required to get permits related to kind of 23 financial assurances. 24 And so that's just to kind of name a few of the 26 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 27 through to make this project a reality. 28 Ms. Young kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 	21 country's	national security.	21	their assumption that the Forest Service is requiring
24 been pursuing this project for many years, and Perpetua 25 is actually already on-site and is doing pre-permitting Page 11424 simply not the case. It's untrue and it's not supported 25 by the record that's before you today.1 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 2 that's on the site.1And I'd like to give you just a little bit of 2 context behind the mine plan. As I mentioned, this 3 project is a kind of patchwork of patented and unpatem 4 moject and the site better, better than how it found it. 5 And so the permit that's before you today is one 6 of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 7 There's already been, you know, discussion about the mine 8 plan. Perpetua needs approval from the Forest Service 9 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project. 10 Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 11 permits. 12 It's required to get dam safety permits. 13 financial assurances. 14 It's required to get dam safety permits. 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This24 simply not the case. It's untrue and it's not supported 24 nol source proval just a little bit of 25 oniced concerns about that, because traditionally they	22 And	this site was mined for decades. People may	22	public access through the site under the mine plan, and
25 is actually already on-site and is doing pre-permitting Page 11425 by the record that's before you today.Page1 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality 2 that's on the site.1 And I'd like to give you just a little bit of 2 context behind the mine plan. As I mentioned, this 3 project and the site better, better than how it found it. 5 And so the permit that's before you today is one 6 of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 7 There's already been, you know, discussion about the mine 8 plan. Perpetua needs approval from the Forest Service 9 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project. 10 Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 11 permits.1 And noe of the key aspects of this mine plan is 9 And one of the key aspects of this mine plan is 9 And one of the operational boundary which is essentially 12 It's required to get dam safety permits. 13 financial assurances.9 And one of the wey aspects of this mine plan is 9 And one of the operational boundary, which is essentially 12 established for safety and operational reasons, but 13 financial assurances.14 It's required to get dam safety permits. 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 through to make this project a reality.18 So let me back up a little bit kind of on the 19 is the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period.18 So let me back up a little bit kind of on the 19 itmeline with respect to the mine plan. So Perpetua 20 initially submitted the mine plan to the Forest Service 21 in 2016. And that in the proposal that it submitted, 22 there was no access road. Perpetua has no need for this	23 be familia	r with it. It was abandoned. And Perpetua has	23	that Perpetua can't close this road. And that is just
Page 114Page1 work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality1And I'd like to give you just a little bit of2 that's on the site.2context behind the mine plan. As I mentioned, this3 And Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this3project and the site better, better than how it found it.5And so the permit that's before you today is one6for many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site.6 of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site.7 There's already been, you know, discussion about the mine8 plan. Perpetua needs approval from the Forest Service9And one of the key aspects of this mine plan.9 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project.9And one of the key aspects of this mine plan is10Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act1And one of the key aspects of this mine plan is11It's required to get dam safety permits.1And the operational boundary, which is essentially12It's required to get dam safety permits.1Perpetua will have the authority, once that operational14It's required to get dam safety permits.1So let me back up a little bit kind of on the15And I only kind of point that out because this1So let me back up a little bit kind of on the19as the permit development here, and it was nearly three20120years. And I only kind of point that out because this2121mas rigorous and thorough process over that three-year2122perind.	24 been purs	uing this project for many years, and Perpetua	24	simply not the case. It's untrue and it's not supported
1work primarily related to cleaning up the water quality1And I'd like to give you just a little bit of2that's on the site.3And Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this4project and the site better, better than how it found it.5And so the permit that's before you today is one6of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site.7There's already been, you know, discussion about the mine8plan. Perpetua needs approval from the Forest Service9for the mine plan for it to actually have the project.9for the mine plan for it to actually have the project.10Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act11permits.12It's required to get assurances.14It's required to get dam safety permits.15And that's just to kind of name a few of the16regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go17through to make this project a reality.18Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far19as the permit development here, and it was nearly three20years. And I only kind of point that out because this21Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how23Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how24the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like25this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This	25 is actually		25	
 2 that's on the site. 2 hat's on the site. 3 And Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this 4 project and the site better, better than how it found it. 5 And so the permit that's before you today is one 6 of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 7 There's already been, you know, discussion about the mine 8 plan. Perpetua needs approval from the Forest Service 9 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project. 10 Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 11 permits. 12 It's required to get permits related to kind of 13 financial assurances. 14 It's required to get dam safety permits. 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 2 toiced concerns about that, because traditionally they 		Page 114		Page 116
3And Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this3project is a kind of patchwork of patented and unpatent4project and the site better, better than how it found it.5And so the permit that's before you today is one65And so the permit that's before you today is one6fmany permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site.77There's already been, you know, discussion about the mine8plan. Perpetua needs approval from the Forest Service6And once Perpetua obtains approval from the8plan. Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act6And one of the key aspects of this mine plan.910Perpetua is required to get permits related to kind of11hand the operational boundary, which is essentially12It's required to get dam safety permits.13Perpetua will have the authority, once that operational14It's required to get dam safety permits.15operational boundary, and it will give Perpetua the lege16regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go16right to exclude public access to their operational17through to make this project a reality.18So let me back up a little bit kind of on the19as the permit development here, and it was nearly three20initially submitted the mine plan. So Perpetua20years. And I only kind of point that out because this11Not the operational21Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how24And some residents, particularly Yellow Pine residents25this one that has public commen	1 work prin	narily related to cleaning up the water quality		
 4 project and the site better, better than how it found it. 5 And so the permit that's before you today is one 6 of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 7 There's already been, you know, discussion about the mine 8 plan. Perpetua needs approval from the Forest Service 9 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project. 10 Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 11 permits. 12 It's required to get permits related to kind of 13 financial assurances. 14 It's required to get dam safety permits. 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 				-
 5 And so the permit that's before you today is one 6 of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site. 7 There's already been, you know, discussion about the mine 8 plan. Perpetua needs approval from the Forest Service 9 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project. 10 Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 11 permits. 12 It's required to get permits related to kind of 13 financial assurances. 14 It's required to get dam safety permits. 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 5 And some residents, particularly Yellow Pine residents 25 voiced concerns about that, because traditionally they 	3 And	Perpetua's ultimate goal is to leave this		project is a kind of patchwork of patented and unpatented
6 of many permits that Perpetua has to obtain for the site.6And once Perpetua obtains approval from the7 There's already been, you know, discussion about the mine8Perpetua needs approval from the Forest Service6And once Perpetua obtains approval from the9 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project.9Forest Service for the mine plan, it will be authorized9 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project.9And one of the key aspects of this mine plan is10Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act10the creation of the operational boundary for the project11And the operational boundary, which is essentially12established for safety and operational reasons, but13financial assurances.13Perpetua will have the authority, once that operational14It's required to get dam safety permits.14boundary is established, to control access to that15And that's just to kind of name a few of the15operational boundary, and it will give Perpetua the lege16regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go16right to exclude public access to their operational17through to make this project a reality.18So let me back up a little bit kind of on the19as the permit development here, and it was nearly three19timeline with respect to the mine plan. So Perpetua20years. And I only kind of point that out because this20initially submitted the mine plan to the Forest Service21mas a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year21in				
 7 There's already been, you know, discussion about the mine 8 plan. Perpetua needs approval from the Forest Service 9 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project. 10 Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 11 permits. 12 It's required to get permits related to kind of 13 financial assurances. 14 It's required to get dam safety permits. 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 7 Forest Service for the mine plan, it will be authorized 8 to operational boundary, and it will give Perpetua the legal 16 regulatory process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 			5	
 8 plan. Perpetua needs approval from the Forest Service 9 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project. 10 Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 11 permits. 12 It's required to get permits related to kind of 13 financial assurances. 14 It's required to get dam safety permits. 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 8 to operate in accordance to the mine plan. 8 to operate in accordance to the mine plan. 9 And one of the key aspects of this mine plan is 10 the creation of the operational boundary, which is essentially 12 established for safety and operational reasons, but 13 Perpetua will have the authority, once that operational 14 boundary is established, to control access to that 15 operational boundary, and it will give Perpetua the legal 16 right to exclude public access road. 18 So let me back up a little bit kind of on the 19 timeline with respect to the mine plan. So Perpetua 20 initially submitted the mine plan to the Forest Service 21 in 2016. And that in the proposal that it submitted, 22 there was no access road. Perpetua has no need for this 23 road. It has no purpose whatsoever to its operations. 24 And some residents, particularly Yellow Pine residents 25 vo				
 9 for the mine plan for it to actually have the project. 10 Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act 11 permits. 12 It's required to get permits related to kind of 13 financial assurances. 14 It's required to get dam safety permits. 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 9 And one of the key aspects of this mine plan is 10 the creation of the operational boundary, which is essentially 12 established for safety and operational reasons, but 13 Perpetua will have the authority, once that operational 14 boundary is established, to control access to that 15 operational boundary, and it will give Perpetua the legational 16 right to exclude public access to their operational 17 boundary, and that includes the access road. 18 So let me back up a little bit kind of on the 19 timeline with respect to the mine plan. So Perpetua 20 initially submitted the mine plan to the Forest Service 21 in 2016. And that in the proposal that it submitted, 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 			7	Forest Service for the mine plan, it will be authorized
10Perpetua is required to get Clean Water Act10the creation of the operational boundary for the project11permits.10the creation of the operational boundary, which is essentially12It's required to get permits related to kind of1313financial assurances.14It's required to get dam safety permits.15And that's just to kind of name a few of the1616regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go1717through to make this project a reality.1818Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far1919as the permit development here, and it was nearly three2020years. And I only kind of point that out because this1821was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year2223Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how2424the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like2525this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This2510that has public comment takes 150 days. This25			8	
11 permits.12 It's required to get permits related to kind of13 financial assurances.14 It's required to get dam safety permits.15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go17 through to make this project a reality.18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year22 period.23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days.11 And the operational boundary, which is essentially12 established for safety and operational reasons, but13 financial assurances.14 It's required to get dam safety permits.15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go17 through to make this project a reality.18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This				
 12 It's required to get permits related to kind of 13 financial assurances. 14 It's required to get dam safety permits. 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 12 established for safety and operational reasons, but 13 Perpetua will have the authority, once that operational 14 boundary is established, to control access to that 15 operational boundary, and it will give Perpetua the legation of the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 	-	betua is required to get Clean Water Act		
 13 financial assurances. 14 It's required to get dam safety permits. 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 13 Perpetua will have the authority, once that operational 14 boundary is established, to control access to that 15 operational boundary, and it will give Perpetua the legational 16 right to exclude public access to their operational 17 boundary, and that includes the access road. 18 So let me back up a little bit kind of on the 19 timeline with respect to the mine plan. So Perpetua 20 initially submitted the mine plan to the Forest Service 21 in 2016. And that in the proposal that it submitted, 22 there was no access road. Perpetua has no need for this 23 road. It has no purpose whatsoever to its operations. 24 And some residents, particularly Yellow Pine residents 25 voiced concerns about that, because traditionally they 	-		11	
 14 It's required to get dam safety permits. 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 				
 15 And that's just to kind of name a few of the 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 15 operational boundary, and it will give Perpetua the legal 15 operational boundary, and it will give Perpetua the legal 16 right to exclude public access to their operational 17 boundary, and that includes the access road. 18 So let me back up a little bit kind of on the 19 timeline with respect to the mine plan. So Perpetua 20 initially submitted the mine plan to the Forest Service 21 in 2016. And that in the proposal that it submitted, 23 road. It has no purpose whatsoever to its operations. 24 And some residents, particularly Yellow Pine residents 25 voiced concerns about that, because traditionally they 				
 16 regulatory processes that Perpetua is required to go 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 16 right to exclude public access to their operational 17 boundary, and that includes the access road. 18 So let me back up a little bit kind of on the 19 timeline with respect to the mine plan. So Perpetua 20 initially submitted the mine plan to the Forest Service 21 in 2016. And that in the proposal that it submitted, 23 road. It has no purpose whatsoever to its operations. 24 And some residents, particularly Yellow Pine residents 25 voiced concerns about that, because traditionally they 				
 17 through to make this project a reality. 18 Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far 19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 17 boundary, and that includes the access road. 18 So let me back up a little bit kind of on the 19 timeline with respect to the mine plan. So Perpetua 20 initially submitted the mine plan to the Forest Service 21 in 2016. And that in the proposal that it submitted, 22 there was no access road. Perpetua has no need for this 23 road. It has no purpose whatsoever to its operations. 24 And some residents, particularly Yellow Pine residents 25 voiced concerns about that, because traditionally they 				
18Ms. Young kind of touched on the timeline as far19as the permit development here, and it was nearly three20years. And I only kind of point that out because this21was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year22period.23Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how24the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like25this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This				
19 as the permit development here, and it was nearly three 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period.19 timeline with respect to the mine plan. So Perpetua 20 initially submitted the mine plan to the Forest Service 21 in 2016. And that in the proposal that it submitted, 22 there was no access road. Perpetua has no need for this 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This19 timeline with respect to the mine plan. So Perpetua 20 initially submitted the mine plan to the Forest Service 21 in 2016. And that in the proposal that it submitted, 23 road. It has no purpose whatsoever to its operations. 24 And some residents, particularly Yellow Pine residents 25 voiced concerns about that, because traditionally they	-			
 20 years. And I only kind of point that out because this 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 20 initially submitted the mine plan to the Forest Service 21 in 2016. And that in the proposal that it submitted, 22 there was no access road. Perpetua has no need for this 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 				
 21 was a rigorous and thorough process over that three-year 22 period. 23 Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how 24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 21 in 2016. And that in the proposal that it submitted, 22 there was no access road. Perpetua has no need for this 23 road. It has no purpose whatsoever to its operations. 24 And some residents, particularly Yellow Pine residents 25 voiced concerns about that, because traditionally they 	_			
22 period.22 there was no access road. Perpetua has no need for this23Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how22 there was no access road. Perpetua has no need for this24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like23 road. It has no purpose whatsoever to its operations.25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This24 And some residents, particularly Yellow Pine residents25 voiced concerns about that, because traditionally they				
23Ms. Floyd talked about, in her declaration, how23road. It has no purpose whatsoever to its operations.24the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like24And some residents, particularly Yellow Pine residents25this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This25voiced concerns about that, because traditionally they		brous and thorough process over that three-year		
24 the typical permit to construct of a mine or source like 25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This24 And some residents, particularly Yellow Pine residents 25 voiced concerns about that, because traditionally they	-			
25 this one that has public comment takes 150 days. This 25 voiced concerns about that, because traditionally they				
Page 115 Page	25 this one th		25	
		Page 115		Page 117

30 (Pages 114 - 117)

1 had been able to go past the site.	1 the project itself.
2 And Perpetua was asked to consider whether there	2 And so the petitioners, their assumption that
3 was some accommodation that could be made with respect t	3 the Forest Service is requiring this public access is
4 the concerns that the Yellow Pine residents had made.	4 just simply not true.
5 And so what Perpetua did is propose this access road. It	5 Perpetua has proposed this access as part of the
6 wants to be a good neighbor; it wanted to be responsive	6 mine plan, and once it has approval of the mine plan, it
7 to some of the concerns of the residents.	7 will have that ability to control that operational
8 And as proposed in the mine plan, Perpetua will	8 boundary and exclude the public from the operational
9 have legal authority to control and to exclude the public	9 boundary, and that includes the access road.
10 over the access road. We'll have full control and the	10 And another point I'd like to make is that
11 ability to preclude public access on this road.	11 ultimately this is the petitioner's burden of proof in
12 And so, in essence, I mean, the access would be	12 this proceeding. And the only factual support that
13 provided to only those who kind of wish to take a	13 they've actually raised that Perpetua will not have legal
14 shortcut kind of through the project, so they're going	14 control, will not be able to preclude access are two
15 through for a limited reason. And they have to agree to	15 memos that are in the record that were prepared for the
16 the conditions of access.	16 Forest Service. And they actually state exactly the
17 And so Perpetua is, you know, setting those	17 opposite. And I kind of point those out.
18 conditions for safety concerns, for operational concerns.	18 One is called the Stantec memo, and it's at
19 And the people that want to move across the site, which	19 record 2558.
20 is not the general public but this very kind of select,	20 And there's another more lengthy air quality
21 this select few, are going through at Perpetua's	21 report that also references the access road, and that is
22 discretion. Perpetua can exclude access to the site. In	22 at record 2684.
23 fact, it can actually close the site for years during	23 And both of these documents just simply report
24 construction, during operations; it can close the site	24 what is in the mine plan. And they
25 for, you know, any kind of concerns about inclement	25 Both of these documents acknowledge that
Page 118	Page 120
1 weather, safety concerns; it has that ability to shut off	1 Perpetua will have legal control of its operational
2 access to the access road.	2 boundary under the mine plan.
3 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: We have a question. Go	3 Both of these documents acknowledge that the
4 ahead.	4 access road can be justifiably excluded from the
5 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So if that control road is	5 project's ambient air.
6 shut off, how do folks access those areas? How do they	6 And both documents also acknowledge that EPA has
7 get to Yellow Pine and things like that?	7 agreed that the access road is excluded from the ambient
8 MR. POOSER: So if you see Yellow Pine, so just	8 air.
9 to the, you know, just to the west.	9 And I'd like to point out that, you know,
10 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yeah.	10 there's been some discussion about the EPA letter and the
11 MR. POOSER: If you drop down and you come down	11 EPA reference to still having kind of concern about
12 and there's a black road that kind of goes, it's marked	12 whether or not Perpetua has a legal right to exclude
13 in black that kind of goes to the right, that road kind	13 access. And I would encourage you to look at those pages
14 of ties into the existing Burntlog Road, and then people	14 because they refer because back up just a second.
15 can pass through that way.	15 Again, this ambient air boundary was extremely
16 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Okay.	16 important to the project. Perpetua needed to know early
17 MS. FLOYD: Can you see that?	17 on that that access road was not going to be considered
18 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yes.	18 ambient air. And so once it was asked to kind of
19 MR. POOSER: So again, kind of under the mine	19 consider the access road in the mine plan, it approached
20 plan that Perpetua has proposed to the Forest Service, it	20 DEQ and it asked DEQ early on if they could reach some
21 is trying to establish this operational boundary. And	21 kind of understanding of how this access road could be
22 the importance of that operational boundary is that it is	22 accommodated under the Air Rules. And that, in turn, led
23 an ambient air boundary for the purposes of a permit. So	23 DEQ to communicate with EPA.
24 Perpetua needs to get that needs to get that approved,	And so when you look at those documents, they're
25 needs to get that approval. And it is obviously vital to Page 119	25 specifically referencing EPA's statement that it was good Page 121

31 (Pages 118 - 121)

1 with DEQ's evaluation of the access road, and that it	1 compliance with EPA guidance, and Perpetua has the legal
2 would not be included in ambient air.	2 right to control, Perpetua has the legal right to exclude
3 So it's a little disingenuous for EPA to kind of	3 the general public, and the access is actually
4 come back at this late time and raise this issue based on	4 controlled, and unsupervised access is prohibited.
5 its prior representation.	5 I can take any questions you have on the access
6 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: So will the hauling	6 road.
7 trucks be using the access road?	7 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So real quick on that memo
8 MR. POOSER: No. The access road's, it's a	8 on the REC 2558, the memo from to Brenda with the
9 completely different thing. Again, Perpetua doesn't need	9 Forest Service, is that correct, from Eric Clark?
10 the road. It has no operational value whatsoever.	10 MR. POOSER: Correct.
11 And so they'll be building this road, you know,	11 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So you said that the
12 for this limited, for this limited purpose, but it's	12 operational boundary would be the ambient air boundary?
13 complete separate from the mine operations itself.	13 MR. POOSER: Correct.
14 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Well I can just imagin	e 14 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So the understanding is
15 somebody in a pickup or something meeting one of those	15 DEQ has an ambient air boundary, but the Forest Service
16 big, huge, gigantic	16 plans, whatever that operational boundary is, is going to
17 MR. POOSER: Yeah, that's not the case. Yeah,	17 be the ambient air boundary?
18 not the case.	18 MR. POOSER: Yes.
19 And so I think kind of at the end of the day	19 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Could that change from
20 this is more like a challenge in sequencing. I mean,	20 what it is right now?
21 Perpetua needs to get Forest Service approval for the	21 MR. POOSER: Well, let me understand that
22 mine plan; it needs to get approval from DEQ for its air	22 question. So everything that DEQ did to evaluate the air
23 quality permit; it needs to go through all the other	23 impacts from the facility and whether or not they were
24 regulatory processes that it's required to go through in	24 NAAQS compliance was based on operational boundary, which
25 order to permit the project. And the fact of the matter	25 is the ambient air boundary. And so if there was some
Page 122	Page 124
1 is is that Perpetua can't begin construction until it has	1 change to that ambient air boundary, there would have to
2 the mine plan. And it's expecting the mine plan to be	2 be, most likely, a different analysis done.
3 issued in the last quarter of this year. And once it has	3 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: But the operational
4 the mine plan, then it will have the authority that it	4 boundary itself has been set and is currently what the
5 needs to undergo the project, and it will have the	5 ambient air boundary is?
6 authority that it needs to begin construction.	6 MR. POOSER: So the operational boundary will be
7 The second aspect of the access road that Ms.	7 set, so it will be established by the mine plan once the
8 Young handled very, very well, Perpetua does have	8 Forest Service approves the mine plan.
9 obviously the practical ability to control access and to	9 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Okay.
10 exclude the general public. And the permit is quite	10 MR. POOSER: And that will set the operational
11 clear about what Perpetua has to do to actually exclude	11 boundary, which is what Perpetua relied on for its
12 the general public. And it will have, you know, guard	12 application for the permit.
13 shacks, it will have boundaries. Nobody is going to just	13 And, you know, to reiterate, Perpetua was very
14 drive through the project. That simply cannot happen.	14 clear in application materials that it, that it will have
15 What happens is if people do choose to kind of	15 approval, and that it was basing its analysis of the air
16 go through the project, they have to come up, they have	16 quality impacts in the compliance with the NAAQS based on
	10 quality impacts in the compliance with the NAAOS based on
17 to stop, they're going to have to go through a safety	17 the mine plan being approved and the operational boundary
17 to stop, they're going to have to go through a safety18 briefing, they're going to have to agree to kind of the	17 the mine plan being approved and the operational boundary
18 briefing, they're going to have to agree to kind of the	
18 briefing, they're going to have to agree to kind of the19 conditions of passing the site. And then Perpetua will	 17 the mine plan being approved and the operational boundary 18 being set. 19 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So if for some reason that
18 briefing, they're going to have to agree to kind of the19 conditions of passing the site. And then Perpetua will20 monitor this entire process. It's required to have	 17 the mine plan being approved and the operational boundary 18 being set. 19 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So if for some reason that 20 operational boundary is different, Perpetua will have to
 18 briefing, they're going to have to agree to kind of the 19 conditions of passing the site. And then Perpetua will 20 monitor this entire process. It's required to have 21 surveillance, and so it and then the travelers that 	 17 the mine plan being approved and the operational boundary 18 being set. 19 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So if for some reason that 20 operational boundary is different, Perpetua will have to 21 come back to the DEQ?
 18 briefing, they're going to have to agree to kind of the 19 conditions of passing the site. And then Perpetua will 20 monitor this entire process. It's required to have 21 surveillance, and so it and then the travelers that 22 are going through, they have to check in and they also 	 17 the mine plan being approved and the operational boundary 18 being set. 19 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So if for some reason that 20 operational boundary is different, Perpetua will have to 21 come back to the DEQ? 22 MR. POOSER: I think theoretically. I mean,
 18 briefing, they're going to have to agree to kind of the 19 conditions of passing the site. And then Perpetua will 20 monitor this entire process. It's required to have 21 surveillance, and so it and then the travelers that 22 are going through, they have to check in and they also 23 have to check out, and they can't stop. There's it's 	 17 the mine plan being approved and the operational boundary 18 being set. 19 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So if for some reason that 20 operational boundary is different, Perpetua will have to 21 come back to the DEQ? 22 MR. POOSER: I think theoretically. I mean, 23 theoretically. Because, again, the operational the
 18 briefing, they're going to have to agree to kind of the 19 conditions of passing the site. And then Perpetua will 20 monitor this entire process. It's required to have 21 surveillance, and so it and then the travelers that 22 are going through, they have to check in and they also 	 17 the mine plan being approved and the operational boundary 18 being set. 19 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So if for some reason that 20 operational boundary is different, Perpetua will have to 21 come back to the DEQ? 22 MR. POOSER: I think theoretically. I mean,

32 (Pages 122 - 125)

			1
1	MR. POOSER: So if somehow it expanded, I would	1	information. Again, we've Ms. Elroy's talked about
2	say they probably would not have to, you know, undergo	2	sort of the three the three different categories, if
3	any permit changes; I can't speak if it somehow shrinks.	3	I'm reading this right.
4	But the mine plan that is in front of the Forest	4	So I think what the petitioners have really kind
5	Service right now, which is again which Perpetua	5	of sort of focused on is the first provision which talks
6	expects to be approved later this year, that is what the	6	about the site information, the plans, descriptions,
7	air ambient boundary and operational boundary is.	7	specifications, and drawings showing the design of the
8	BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Okay. Thank you.	8	stationary source facility, their modification. And, you
9	MR. POOSER: Let me address the work plan.	9	know, its opposition to these operational work plans are
10	These are operational work plans. And petitioners argue	10	not plans under this rule. They are not plans that are
11	that DEQ has violated the Air Rules with these	11	showing the design of the stationary source; they are
12	operational work plans, and they kind of there's	12	operational work plans.
13	talk they talk about three different rule violations.	13	And then the other the other components of
14	One, they're talking about how these plans	14	the application is the nature and amount of emissions,
15	should have been submitted with Perpetua's application	15	and then the manner in which it will be operated and
16	materials and I'd like to get to Ms. Elroy's question	16	controlled.
17	in a second.	17	And that was the question that you had, Ms.
18	And they've also argued that these plans need to	18	Elroy. And I think there's kind of two responses to
19	be fully developed at the time the permit is issued.	19	that:
20	And then they also have arguments that these	20	One is what I mentioned earlier, that it's DEQ
21	permits or these plans have to be subject to public	21	that's using its discretion on determining what is
22	comment.	22	actually necessary in the permit application so it can
23	And I think this begins at Rule 202 as was	23	actually develop the permit.
24	discussed earlier. And again, this is talking about a	24	And kind of aligned with that point is that DEQ
25	new stationary source and what the application materials	25	is developing a permit. These work plans are permit
	Page 126		Page 128
1	are for a new stationary source such as the project. And	1	conditions. So it's kind of difficult to understand how
2	I think it's important to read the, kind of the	2	a permit condition should be part of the original
3	introductory language that's in 202. And it talks about:	3	application materials.
4	"The applications for permit to	4	And so Perpetua would surely have, you know,
5	construct must be made using forms	5	identified the roads as fugitive dust sources, and it
6	furnished by the department.	6	would have proposed, you know, controls, and how those
7	"The application shall be certified	7	would be operated and how those would be controlled, but
8	by the responsible official in	8	there's no permit there's no permit conditions yet.
9	accordance with Section 123 123, and	9	And again, these plans were all permit conditions. And
10	shall be accompanied by all information	10	so there's no requirement whatsoever that these plans be
11	necessary to perform any analysis and to	11	submitted as part of the application materials.
12	make any determinations required by	12	BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Is there a requirement for
13	Sections 200 to 228."	13	them to even be developed?
14	And that language is very important there	14	MR. POOSER: I think that's up to DEQ's
15	because DEQ is actually determining what information is	15	discretion. I mean, they have the ability they have
16	necessary as, you know, per the rules.	16	obviously the discretion and ability to build out this
17	And I think if you look back at the permit	17	permit and determine what the conditions are.
18	chronology, you will note that DEQ actually deemed the	18	And you'll see in our permit that there are four
19	application incomplete a number of times, and Perpetua	19	plans that have to be developed based on the actual
20	was required to submit some supplemental information and	20	operation of the facility.
	updated information with respect to the permit. And so	21	Does that answer your question?
	DEQ is exercising its authority fully under Rule 202 to	22	BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Yeah. I mean, I guess
	ensure that the, that the permit and application was	23	where I'm going with it is there's really no regulatory
	fully complete.		requirement for each one of those specific plans to be
25			developed, it's really been up to DEQ's discretion to
	Page 127		Page 129

33 (Pages 126 - 129)

1 help determine compliance with the permit that these	1 reference for it.
2 plans will help ensure compliance with?	2 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Thank you, Mr. Pooser,
3 MR. POOSER: I'm not familiar with an Air Rule	3 that was very helpful.
4 that specifically requires the development of a	4 MR. POOSER: So that kind of leads to the next
5 particular plan. I think it's a case-by-case, permit	5 allegation that petitioners have made with respect to
6 specific. But clearly DEQ has the discretion to develop	6 that these have to be these plans have to be submitted
7 these permit requirements and to put these plans in the	7 to public comment.
8 permit.	8 And when you look at Rule 209 specifically it
9 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Okay.	9 talks about, it says,
10 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Thank you for walking	10 "The department's proposed action,
11 through that. And just to make it clear in my head. As	11 together with information submitted by
12 I look at those three buckets, that third bucket and the	12 the applicant, and the DEQ's analysis of
13 manner in which it will be operated and controlled, if I	13 the information."
14 understand you correctly, Perpetua, they included in	14 And as Ms. Young aptly explained, the content of
15 their air application when they identified the fugitive	15 these plans is very detailed within the permit itself,
16 emissions associated with, for example, the road, they	16 and those were subject to public comment.
17 concluded that they would control it with the dust	17 And as far as any concern about public comment,
18 suppressant, or they included some reference in their air	18 Ms. Young addressed that from DEQ's perspective. And I
19 application what they were going or what they were	19 think this the way DEQ's policy kind of treats these
20 proposing to do to operate and control it? Is that how	20 operational work plans, it makes complete sense. And
21 you close the whole loop?	21 as
22 MR. POOSER: Yes, I think that's correct. It's	22 One of the examples she gave was that the
23 been a while since I looked at the application itself to	23 fugitive dust control plan, for example, requires this
24 see if that's specifically in there. I would assume it	24 chemical dust suppressants, and it requires the chemical
25 was because, you know, the haul roads are a big emissions	25 dust suppressants be used and applied consistent with
Page 130	Page 132
1 source on the project, and so DEQ would I mean, I'm	1 manufacturer's instructions and recommendations.
2 sorry, Perpetua and I'm assuming, it's been a while	2 And when Perpetua is developing its permit
3 since I read the application would propose how these	3 application, it doesn't know what chemical dust
4 are going to be controlled.	4 suppressant it's going to use. It's not that far in the
5 And it's very common, as we already kind of	5 process. Even when the permit is actually issued, it
6 talked about, for chemical dust suppressant and water to	6 doesn't know what chemical dust suppressant it's going to
7 be used together to control fugitive dust. And it's	7 use. And so and that is and that is important
8 actually in the rules itself.	8 because there's many, many manufacturers of chemical dust
9 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Okay.	9 suppressant, and they have different instructions and
10 MR. POOSER: And so I think Perpetua would have	10 different recommendations.
11 proposed that.	11 And what Perpetua is required to do under the
12 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: So that's exceptionally	12 permit is to kind of put that detail into the fugitive
13 helpful considering that there are four issues that we're	13 dust plan, you know, explain how it is to be mixed; how
14 talking about on these plans, and it's one of the four.	14 it is to be applied; what are the application rates; when
15 And I'm hearing you use words like "I think" and "I	15 to apply it; how to use it in different weather
16 assume."	16 conditions. All of that will depend on the particular
17 Is there any way, Mr. Chairman, that we can get	17 chemical dust suppressant that it uses.
18 validation, yes, that was part of the application?	18 Another example, like in the fugitive dust plan,
19 Because then to me it's one of the four issues that	19 is the speed limits. Perpetua is supposed to post and
20 checks the box.	20 limit maximum speeds on the haul roads. And that is
21 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: I'm assuming we'd have to go	21 dependent upon the actual construction of the haul roads.
22 back to the record ourselves unless we can ask somebody	22 It depends on the grade, how many turns are there?
23 to research that.	23 So things like this cannot be cannot be
24 MS. YRIBAR: I can provide a reference for you.	24 submitted as part of the application, they're not even
25 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: You can provide us a Page 131	25 known when the permit is issued before they even have Page 133
	04 (D 100 100

34 (Pages 130 - 133)

1 permission to construct, they only come later. And so it	1 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: When you can and cannot
2 is completely reasonable to have some of the detail, have	2 apply it.
3 the framework and the permit is subject to public	3 MR. POOSER: Yes. Right. Yes. So let me turn
4 comment, and then have the detail kind of come in later.	4 to the fugitive dust emissions on the unpaved haul roads
5 And so I think, you know, at the end there's	5 which is the issue with respect to the 93.3 percent.
6 just nothing in the Air Rules themselves that required	6 So as has been discussed, the permit establishes
7 these pre-construction operating plans to be fully	7 a 93.3 percent control efficiency for fugitive dust. And
8 developed at the application stage or at the permit	8 this is specific to the haul roads. These unpaved haul
9 issuance stage.	9 roads.
10 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Question.	10 And it's important to note I think Ms. Young
11 MR. POOSER: Yes.	11 kind of and I just want to reiterate what she said
12 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: And this doesn't pertain	12 is this is control efficiency, it's not a permit limit.
13 to PTC, but when you use those dust suppressants, so it	13 I mean, haul roads are not emissions sources that can be
14 tamps down the dust, where does the dust go then? My	14 measured. You can't put a stack on a road. You can't
15 question really pertains to water quality. Does DEQ	15 measure the fugitive dust that's coming off a road.
16 then, when they are considering and I have no it's	16 And so the way that DEQ has dealt with this is
17 not a point source, I don't think.	17 established a control efficiency which it used for its
18 But from a water quality perspective, do those	18 modeling, and then it needs to ensure that the permit can
19 suppressants go into the water and potentially affect	19 achieve that 93.3 percent.
20 water quality?	20 And so there's really kind of two questions that
21 MR. POOSER: I don't know the answer to that	21 the petitioners initially brought up in this contested
22 question.	22 case petition:
23 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: But DEQ, I would assume,	23 And they were whether or not DEQ had kind of
24 would?	24 abused its discretion, number 1, in its choice of 93.3
25 MS. YOUNG: If I may, Mr. Chairman, answer the Page 134	25 percent. Page 136
1450101	1450150
1 question. I don't know that	1 And then the second question was really kind of
2 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Sure.	2 the determination of the control analyses that were in
 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Sure. MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that 	2 the determination of the control analyses that were in3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3
	-
3 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of
3 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that 4 has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr.
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the Board today, however, but it's it is certainly something that DEQ is aware of. I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the Board today, however, but it's it is certainly something that DEQ is aware of. 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr.
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the Board today, however, but it's it is certainly something that DEQ is aware of. I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the Board today, however, but it's it is certainly something that DEQ is aware of. I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a Clean Water Act attorney, and so I don't know the details 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion 10 about the EPA documentation that shows below, like on
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the Board today, however, but it's it is certainly something that DEQ is aware of. I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a Clean Water Act attorney, and so I don't know the details of that, but I do know it's on the agency's radar. 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion 10 about the EPA documentation that shows below, like on 11 chemical dust suppressant between 90 and 98 percent, and
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the Board today, however, but it's it is certainly something that DEQ is aware of. I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a Clean Water Act attorney, and so I don't know the details of that, but I do know it's on the agency's radar. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Thank you. MR. POOSER: Yeah. And I would assume that this is part of the manufacturer's, you know, recommendations 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion 10 about the EPA documentation that shows below, like on 11 chemical dust suppressant between 90 and 98 percent, and 12 water between 75 and a hundred percent.
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the Board today, however, but it's it is certainly something that DEQ is aware of. I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a Clean Water Act attorney, and so I don't know the details of that, but I do know it's on the agency's radar. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Thank you. MR. POOSER: Yeah. And I would assume that this 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion 10 about the EPA documentation that shows below, like on 11 chemical dust suppressant between 90 and 98 percent, and 12 water between 75 and a hundred percent. 13 And so there really is no question that 93.3
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the Board today, however, but it's it is certainly something that DEQ is aware of. I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a Clean Water Act attorney, and so I don't know the details of that, but I do know it's on the agency's radar. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Thank you. MR. POOSER: Yeah. And I would assume that this is part of the manufacturer's, you know, recommendations and specifications. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Right. Right. 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion 10 about the EPA documentation that shows below, like on 11 chemical dust suppressant between 90 and 98 percent, and 12 water between 75 and a hundred percent. 13 And so there really is no question that 93.3 14 percent is achievable for a project like this, and that
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the Board today, however, but it's it is certainly something that DEQ is aware of. I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a Clean Water Act attorney, and so I don't know the details of that, but I do know it's on the agency's radar. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Thank you. MR. POOSER: Yeah. And I would assume that this is part of the manufacturer's, you know, recommendations and specifications. 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion 10 about the EPA documentation that shows below, like on 11 chemical dust suppressant between 90 and 98 percent, and 12 water between 75 and a hundred percent. 13 And so there really is no question that 93.3 14 percent is achievable for a project like this, and that 15 DEQ was completely reasonable in making that
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the Board today, however, but it's it is certainly something that DEQ is aware of. I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a Clean Water Act attorney, and so I don't know the details of that, but I do know it's on the agency's radar. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Thank you. MR. POOSER: Yeah. And I would assume that this is part of the manufacturer's, you know, recommendations and specifications. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Right. Right. MR. POOSER: That's going to address that issue as well. 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion 10 about the EPA documentation that shows below, like on 11 chemical dust suppressant between 90 and 98 percent, and 12 water between 75 and a hundred percent. 13 And so there really is no question that 93.3 14 percent is achievable for a project like this, and that 15 DEQ was completely reasonable in making that 16 determination.
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that 4 has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the 5 Board today, however, but it's it is certainly 6 something that DEQ is aware of. 7 I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a 8 Clean Water Act attorney, and so I don't know the details 9 of that, but I do know it's on the agency's radar. 10 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Thank you. 11 MR. POOSER: Yeah. And I would assume that this 12 is part of the manufacturer's, you know, recommendations 13 and specifications. 14 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Right. Right. 15 MR. POOSER: That's going to address that issue 16 as well. 17 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Yes. Those products are 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion 10 about the EPA documentation that shows below, like on 11 chemical dust suppressant between 90 and 98 percent, and 12 water between 75 and a hundred percent. 13 And so there really is no question that 93.3 14 percent is achievable for a project like this, and that 15 DEQ was completely reasonable in making that 16 determination. 17 And then that leads to the next question, and I
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the Board today, however, but it's it is certainly something that DEQ is aware of. I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a Clean Water Act attorney, and so I don't know the details of that, but I do know it's on the agency's radar. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Thank you. MR. POOSER: Yeah. And I would assume that this is part of the manufacturer's, you know, recommendations and specifications. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Right. Right. MR. POOSER: That's going to address that issue as well. BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Yes. Those products are going to have directions for use and application rates 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion 10 about the EPA documentation that shows below, like on 11 chemical dust suppressant between 90 and 98 percent, and 12 water between 75 and a hundred percent. 13 And so there really is no question that 93.3 14 percent is achievable for a project like this, and that 15 DEQ was completely reasonable in making that 16 determination. 17 And then that leads to the next question, and I 18 think this has been addressed enough already. But it
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that 4 has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the 5 Board today, however, but it's it is certainly 6 something that DEQ is aware of. 7 I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a 8 Clean Water Act attorney, and so I don't know the details 9 of that, but I do know it's on the agency's radar. 10 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Thank you. 11 MR. POOSER: Yeah. And I would assume that this 12 is part of the manufacturer's, you know, recommendations 13 and specifications. 14 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Right. Right. 15 MR. POOSER: That's going to address that issue 16 as well. 17 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Yes. Those products are 18 going to have directions for use and application rates 19 and application timing and what are going to be required 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion 10 about the EPA documentation that shows below, like on 11 chemical dust suppressant between 90 and 98 percent, and 12 water between 75 and a hundred percent. 13 And so there really is no question that 93.3 14 percent is achievable for a project like this, and that 15 DEQ was completely reasonable in making that 16 determination. 17 And then that leads to the next question, and I 18 think this has been addressed enough already. But it 19 really is kind of like, you know, all of these permit
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that 4 has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the 5 Board today, however, but it's it is certainly 6 something that DEQ is aware of. 7 I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a 8 Clean Water Act attorney, and so I don't know the details 9 of that, but I do know it's on the agency's radar. 10 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Thank you. 11 MR. POOSER: Yeah. And I would assume that this 12 is part of the manufacturer's, you know, recommendations 13 and specifications. 14 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Right. Right. 15 MR. POOSER: That's going to address that issue 16 as well. 17 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Yes. Those products are 18 going to have directions for use and application rates 19 and application timing and what are going to be required 20 to control 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion 10 about the EPA documentation that shows below, like on 11 chemical dust suppressant between 90 and 98 percent, and 12 water between 75 and a hundred percent. 13 And so there really is no question that 93.3 14 percent is achievable for a project like this, and that 15 DEQ was completely reasonable in making that 16 determination. 17 And then that leads to the next question, and I 18 think this has been addressed enough already. But it 19 really is kind of like, you know, all of these permit 20 conditions, as they add up in DEQ's determination, its
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that 4 has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the 5 Board today, however, but it's it is certainly 6 something that DEQ is aware of. 7 I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a 8 Clean Water Act attorney, and so I don't know the details 9 of that, but I do know it's on the agency's radar. 10 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Thank you. 11 MR. POOSER: Yeah. And I would assume that this 12 is part of the manufacturer's, you know, recommendations 13 and specifications. 14 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Right. Right. 15 MR. POOSER: That's going to address that issue 16 as well. 17 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Yes. Those products are 18 going to have directions for use and application rates 19 and application timing and what are going to be required 20 to control 21 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: I would imagine so. 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion 10 about the EPA documentation that shows below, like on 11 chemical dust suppressant between 90 and 98 percent, and 12 water between 75 and a hundred percent. 13 And so there really is no question that 93.3 14 percent is achievable for a project like this, and that 15 DEQ was completely reasonable in making that 16 determination. 17 And then that leads to the next question, and I 18 think this has been addressed enough already. But it 19 really is kind of like, you know, all of these permit 20 conditions, as they add up in DEQ's determination, its 21 decision that all of these different permit conditions
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that 4 has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the 5 Board today, however, but it's it is certainly 6 something that DEQ is aware of. 7 I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a 8 Clean Water Act attorney, and so I don't know the details 9 of that, but I do know it's on the agency's radar. 10 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Thank you. 11 MR. POOSER: Yeah. And I would assume that this 12 is part of the manufacturer's, you know, recommendations 13 and specifications. 14 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Right. Right. 15 MR. POOSER: That's going to address that issue 16 as well. 17 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Yes. Those products are 18 going to have directions for use and application rates 19 and application timing and what are going to be required 20 to control 21 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: I would imagine so. 22 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: their run-off. 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion 10 about the EPA documentation that shows below, like on 11 chemical dust suppressant between 90 and 98 percent, and 12 water between 75 and a hundred percent. 13 And so there really is no question that 93.3 14 percent is achievable for a project like this, and that 15 DEQ was completely reasonable in making that 16 determination. 17 And then that leads to the next question, and I 18 think this has been addressed enough already. But it 19 really is kind of like, you know, all of these permit 20 conditions, as they add up in DEQ's determination, its 21 decision that all of these different permit conditions 22 add up to ensure that this 93.3 percent control
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that 4 has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the 5 Board today, however, but it's it is certainly 6 something that DEQ is aware of. 7 I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a 8 Clean Water Act attorney, and so I don't know the details 9 of that, but I do know it's on the agency's radar. 10 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Thank you. 11 MR. POOSER: Yeah. And I would assume that this 12 is part of the manufacturer's, you know, recommendations 13 and specifications. 14 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Right. Right. 15 MR. POOSER: That's going to address that issue 16 as well. 17 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Yes. Those products are 18 going to have directions for use and application rates 19 and application timing and what are going to be required 20 to control 21 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: I would imagine so. 22 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: their run-off. 23 MR. POOSER: Yeah. Yeah. And how you apply it, 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion 10 about the EPA documentation that shows below, like on 11 chemical dust suppressant between 90 and 98 percent, and 12 water between 75 and a hundred percent. 13 And so there really is no question that 93.3 14 percent is achievable for a project like this, and that 15 DEQ was completely reasonable in making that 16 determination. 17 And then that leads to the next question, and I 18 think this has been addressed enough already. But it 19 really is kind of like, you know, all of these permit 20 conditions, as they add up in DEQ's determination, its 21 decision that all of these different permit conditions 22 add up to ensure that this 93.3 percent control 23 efficiency can be achieved if all those conditions are
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that 4 has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the 5 Board today, however, but it's it is certainly 6 something that DEQ is aware of. 7 I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a 8 Clean Water Act attorney, and so I don't know the details 9 of that, but I do know it's on the agency's radar. 10 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Thank you. 11 MR. POOSER: Yeah. And I would assume that this 12 is part of the manufacturer's, you know, recommendations 13 and specifications. 14 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Right. Right. 15 MR. POOSER: That's going to address that issue 16 as well. 17 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Yes. Those products are 18 going to have directions for use and application rates 19 and application timing and what are going to be required 20 to control 21 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: I would imagine so. 22 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: their run-off. 23 MR. POOSER: Yeah. Yeah. And how you apply it, 24 if you apply it in certain weather conditions, et 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion 10 about the EPA documentation that shows below, like on 11 chemical dust suppressant between 90 and 98 percent, and 12 water between 75 and a hundred percent. 13 And so there really is no question that 93.3 14 percent is achievable for a project like this, and that 15 DEQ was completely reasonable in making that 16 determination. 17 And then that leads to the next question, and I 18 think this has been addressed enough already. But it 19 really is kind of like, you know, all of these permit 20 conditions, as they add up in DEQ's determination, its 21 decision that all of these different permit conditions 22 add up to ensure that this 93.3 percent control 23 efficiency can be achieved if all those conditions are 24 met.
 MS. YOUNG: I know that this was something that 4 has been discussed. It's certainly not in front of the 5 Board today, however, but it's it is certainly 6 something that DEQ is aware of. 7 I'm barely an air attorney, I'm definitely not a 8 Clean Water Act attorney, and so I don't know the details 9 of that, but I do know it's on the agency's radar. 10 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Thank you. 11 MR. POOSER: Yeah. And I would assume that this 12 is part of the manufacturer's, you know, recommendations 13 and specifications. 14 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Right. Right. 15 MR. POOSER: That's going to address that issue 16 as well. 17 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Yes. Those products are 18 going to have directions for use and application rates 19 and application timing and what are going to be required 20 to control 21 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: I would imagine so. 22 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: their run-off. 23 MR. POOSER: Yeah. Yeah. And how you apply it, 	 3 the permit were sufficient to actually achieve the 93.3 4 percent. 5 The first question about DEQ's sort of choice of 6 the 93.3 percent has already been addressed as Mr. 7 Hurlbutt kind of acknowledged that they're not contesting 8 that any longer. 9 And again, there's been previous discussion 10 about the EPA documentation that shows below, like on 11 chemical dust suppressant between 90 and 98 percent, and 12 water between 75 and a hundred percent. 13 And so there really is no question that 93.3 14 percent is achievable for a project like this, and that 15 DEQ was completely reasonable in making that 16 determination. 17 And then that leads to the next question, and I 18 think this has been addressed enough already. But it 19 really is kind of like, you know, all of these permit 20 conditions, as they add up in DEQ's determination, its 21 decision that all of these different permit conditions 22 add up to ensure that this 93.3 percent control 23 efficiency can be achieved if all those conditions are

35 (Pages 134 - 137)

1	each one of these permit conditions in isolation,	1	And I was in the back and couldn't see who made
2	individually, and you just simply can't do that. I mean,	2	the comment, but there was a comment made about exposur
3	and there was some mention during the argument that	3	time is kind of critical for TAPs and it is. And this
4	there's just that none of the conditions in the permit	4	is a 70-year this is a 70-year exposure time is kind
5	tied to the 93.3 percent, and that's just not that's	5	of what we're dealing with.
6	just not true.	6	And so when you look at the Air Rules, they
7	When you look at the fugitive dust plan in	7	allow for that adjustment that Ms. Young talked about.
8	particular, and the requirements for the control of	8	When there's T-RACT and that risk, and that acceptable
9	fugitive dust with chemical dust suppressants, water, et	9	risk comes in, it's kind of lowered to one in 100,000.
10	cetera, and then you also have and you also have a lot	10	And again based on a 70-year continuous exposure, chronic
11	of different dust fugitive dust control that Ms. Young	11	exposure.
12	kind of highlighted. And then you even have	12	And so, you know, DEQ, we think they were
13	The permit even goes further than that in	13	completely appropriate in evaluating if this risk was
14	something that hasn't been talked too much thus far is		acceptable here. And it refined its analysis based on
15	the production limits that are in the permit. There are	15	the 16-year life of the mine.
	life of mine production limits that limit how much daily	16	And, as I mentioned, there's production limits
	hauling and excavation can be done at the site.	17	in the permit, and those are based on the life of the
18	-		map they're based on the life of the mine. Perpetua
19	fugitive dust emissions coming from the haul roads is by		only has so much ore to produce. And so regardless if
	limiting how many, you know, essentially trucks can be on		the mine operates for 16 years or 70 years, the
	these roads at any one time in its production.		production limit caps the amount of arsenic emissions
22			because there's only so much ore to be had.
23	haul road capping plan, and it has silt limits. The DEQ	23	And so in that sense this does not dilute
	required that the road material has to have a maximum of	24	emissions at all; the emissions are what the emissions
	4 percent silt. And Perpetua is required to monitor that	25	are based on the available ore on the site.
	Page 138		Page 140
1	sample and to ensure that the roads meet that meet	1	And so in looking at the 70-year exposure DEQ
2	that requirement.	2	model, the highest annual arsenic emissions from the
3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3	project for the 16 years, and then it took into account
4	daily monitoring and daily recordkeeping requirements of		the fact that there was going to be 54 years of no
5	the permit.		arsenic emissions, and we thought that was completely
6	6		reasonable under the analysis and the rules that DEQ
7		7	used.
	wrap up.	8	And there was a question about the impact, kind
9			of how far out the arsenic exposure goes. And there's
10			a I'd like to give you a site so you can actually see
	And I don't have a ton to say about this. I think that		kind of on the map what the impacts of the arsenic is
	Ms. Young said it very, very well. I think it's		from the modeling that was done.
	addressed very eloquently in the hearing officer's	13	And Paula, I don't know if you can pull this up,
	determination and Mr. Schilling's declaration. But I		but it's number, document number 36.
	think there's some important points that I think need to	15	MS. WILSON: Okay. Hang on a sec. Okay. There
	be considered here.		is document 36.
17	•	17	MR. POOSER: And then if you go to page 353 of
	own education, the acceptable ambient concentration for		the PDF.
	carcinogen with respect to arsenic, and that is based on	19	MS. WILSON: Is this the page you want?
	that number that is in Section 586 is based on a one	20	MR. POOSER: Yeah, that's it.
	in one million determination. It's a determination that	21	So this kind of, just sort of illustrates where
	one in a million is an acceptable risk based on a		there was one sort of problem area that DEQ is evaluating
	lifetime exposure of arsenic. And so what that means is		the risk for. But you can kind of see how far out the
24	that there's a continuous exposure for 70 years; so every	24	arsenic goes based on the maximum the maximum risk
	minute of every day for 70 years there is exposure there. Page 139	25	they were using for this analysis. Page 141

36 (Pages 138 - 141)

1 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: So where is Yellow Pine	1 And there are statements about how this road is
2 compared to this?	2 going to be open, it is part of the inconsistent with
3 MR. POOSER: So it would be	3 the U.S. Forest Service travel management plan as a
4 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: The upper left corner?	4 public road to access public recreation site.
5 MR. POOSER: Yeah, it would be 10 miles to the	5 You know, to the extent that DEQ just ignored
6 west.	6 all of that, that is unreasonable, that's arbitrary and
7 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: What was the AACC for	7 capricious.
8 arsenic? Just to put the table into perspective.	8 If we look at the Administrative Procedures Act,
9 MR. POOSER: 2.0002. It's one in a million so I	9 an agency is supposed to make decisions based on all the
10 think it's .002, or .002	10 evidence in the record. Again, we're not saying go out
11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.	11 and get titles to this or that or whatever, but there's
12 MR. POOSER: Yeah, for the one in 100,000. So I	12 evidence in the record that this road is to remain open
13 just wanted to provide that because I know the question	13 for public use. So, you know, they couldn't close it;
14 had been asked twice.	14 they couldn't exclude the public completely, so they
15 So with that, I'll conclude my remarks.	15 labeled everyone as guests of the mine.
16 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Any other comments,	16 I don't think that the INL example is really
17 questions?	17 you know, it's not really relevant here. And I
18 (No response.)	18 understand situations, there's probably not an exact
19 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you very much.	19 situation like this, every situation is going to be, have
20 We are prepared for a 10-minute rebuttal if you'd like to	20 to be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. But Ms. Young,
21 take that?	21 you know, talks about visiting INL on-site wearing a hard
22 MS. THROWER: All right. Thank you,	22 hat, wearing a dosimeter.
23 Mr. Chairman. I think we'll follow the same format as we	23 You know, as far as we know, as far as we
24 did the first go-round.	24 understand from the access management plan or what was,
25 So I'll address the ambient air issues. You	25 you know, in the record regarding a potential access
Page 142	Page 144
1 know, DEQ said that it's reasonable to rely on Perpetua's	1 management plan, all we know is people are going to sign
2 assertions, application certified, they signed it and	2 a paper saying they're guests of the mine. I don't even
3 everything, that they're excluding the public, general	3 know if they're going to really understand and realize
4 public and only allowing guests of the mines. It's	4 that they are going to be breathing in a lot of
5 because they've labeled everybody as guests of the mine.	5 particulate matter and a lot of arsenic.
6 I mean, it is it's, you know, unreasonable. And	6 You know, I think there's a, you know, sort of a
7 anybody that goes there for any reason, even if they, to	7 sense, I think, from the DEQ and Perpetua about, well,
8 go through that road to access public land, even if they	8 you know, people are just going to be passing through
9 went there not even knowing they were going to pass	9 here, you're not going to breathe this for a long time.
10 through an active mine site, they're supposed to be	10 But the Clean Air Act, I mean, you know, it that's the
11 considered guests of the mine?	11 purpose of the Clean Air Act is to provide these
12 I mean, this is this is Perpetua's way of	12 protections to the public even if you're just passing
13 getting around not being able to exclude that road or to	13 through a road.
14 exclude that road from ambient air protections because it	-
	14 I mean, there's facilities where there's and
_	
15 is a road that is to remain open for public use to access	15 I'm not super familiar with INL but, you know, there's
15 is a road that is to remain open for public use to access16 public land.	15 I'm not super familiar with INL but, you know, there's16 facilities, and I assume this is one, that, you know,
15 is a road that is to remain open for public use to access16 public land.17 You know, these people don't have any intent on	15 I'm not super familiar with INL but, you know, there's16 facilities, and I assume this is one, that, you know,17 there's a highway and maybe there's facilities on both
 15 is a road that is to remain open for public use to access 16 public land. 17 You know, these people don't have any intent on 18 visiting the mine; they won't be allowed to visit the 	15 I'm not super familiar with INL but, you know, there's16 facilities, and I assume this is one, that, you know,17 there's a highway and maybe there's facilities on both18 sides, and both of those facilities have an ambient air
 15 is a road that is to remain open for public use to access 16 public land. 17 You know, these people don't have any intent on 18 visiting the mine; they won't be allowed to visit the 19 mine. As I said, they might not even know that they were 	 15 I'm not super familiar with INL but, you know, there's 16 facilities, and I assume this is one, that, you know, 17 there's a highway and maybe there's facilities on both 18 sides, and both of those facilities have an ambient air 19 boundary, but the highway is excluded from the ambient
 15 is a road that is to remain open for public use to access 16 public land. 17 You know, these people don't have any intent on 18 visiting the mine; they won't be allowed to visit the 19 mine. As I said, they might not even know that they were 20 going to be passing through an active mine site. 	 15 I'm not super familiar with INL but, you know, there's 16 facilities, and I assume this is one, that, you know, 17 there's a highway and maybe there's facilities on both 18 sides, and both of those facilities have an ambient air 19 boundary, but the highway is excluded from the ambient 20 air boundary, it has ambient air protections. So I don't
 15 is a road that is to remain open for public use to access 16 public land. 17 You know, these people don't have any intent on 18 visiting the mine; they won't be allowed to visit the 19 mine. As I said, they might not even know that they were 20 going to be passing through an active mine site. 21 You know, we're not asking DEQ to go out 	 15 I'm not super familiar with INL but, you know, there's 16 facilities, and I assume this is one, that, you know, 17 there's a highway and maybe there's facilities on both 18 sides, and both of those facilities have an ambient air 19 boundary, but the highway is excluded from the ambient 20 air boundary, it has ambient air protections. So I don't 21 think the INL example is quite on point here.
 15 is a road that is to remain open for public use to access 16 public land. 17 You know, these people don't have any intent on 18 visiting the mine; they won't be allowed to visit the 19 mine. As I said, they might not even know that they were 20 going to be passing through an active mine site. 21 You know, we're not asking DEQ to go out 22 necessarily and do investigations of Perpetua's legal 	 15 I'm not super familiar with INL but, you know, there's 16 facilities, and I assume this is one, that, you know, 17 there's a highway and maybe there's facilities on both 18 sides, and both of those facilities have an ambient air 19 boundary, but the highway is excluded from the ambient 20 air boundary, it has ambient air protections. So I don't 21 think the INL example is quite on point here. 22 I wanted to go back a little bit. I'm not going
 15 is a road that is to remain open for public use to access 16 public land. 17 You know, these people don't have any intent on 18 visiting the mine; they won't be allowed to visit the 19 mine. As I said, they might not even know that they were 20 going to be passing through an active mine site. 21 You know, we're not asking DEQ to go out 22 necessarily and do investigations of Perpetua's legal 23 authority to exclude the public from here, but we are 	 15 I'm not super familiar with INL but, you know, there's 16 facilities, and I assume this is one, that, you know, 17 there's a highway and maybe there's facilities on both 18 sides, and both of those facilities have an ambient air 19 boundary, but the highway is excluded from the ambient 20 air boundary, it has ambient air protections. So I don't 21 think the INL example is quite on point here. 22 I wanted to go back a little bit. I'm not going 23 to have Ms. Wilson pull up the map, but there's a lot
 15 is a road that is to remain open for public use to access 16 public land. 17 You know, these people don't have any intent on 18 visiting the mine; they won't be allowed to visit the 19 mine. As I said, they might not even know that they were 20 going to be passing through an active mine site. 21 You know, we're not asking DEQ to go out 22 necessarily and do investigations of Perpetua's legal 23 authority to exclude the public from here, but we are 24 asking them to look at the entire record and all the 	 15 I'm not super familiar with INL but, you know, there's 16 facilities, and I assume this is one, that, you know, 17 there's a highway and maybe there's facilities on both 18 sides, and both of those facilities have an ambient air 19 boundary, but the highway is excluded from the ambient 20 air boundary, it has ambient air protections. So I don't 21 think the INL example is quite on point here. 22 I wanted to go back a little bit. I'm not going 23 to have Ms. Wilson pull up the map, but there's a lot 24 of even Google gets it wrong so, you know, it's a
 15 is a road that is to remain open for public use to access 16 public land. 17 You know, these people don't have any intent on 18 visiting the mine; they won't be allowed to visit the 19 mine. As I said, they might not even know that they were 20 going to be passing through an active mine site. 21 You know, we're not asking DEQ to go out 22 necessarily and do investigations of Perpetua's legal 23 authority to exclude the public from here, but we are 	 15 I'm not super familiar with INL but, you know, there's 16 facilities, and I assume this is one, that, you know, 17 there's a highway and maybe there's facilities on both 18 sides, and both of those facilities have an ambient air 19 boundary, but the highway is excluded from the ambient 20 air boundary, it has ambient air protections. So I don't 21 think the INL example is quite on point here. 22 I wanted to go back a little bit. I'm not going 23 to have Ms. Wilson pull up the map, but there's a lot

37 (Pages 142 - 145)

1 roads; it's a, you know, it's public land; there's a lot	1 areas that are right outside of that ambient air
2 of rivers and mountains and all sorts of things going	2 boundary.
3 around there, so it's hard to get the layout of the roads	3 So, you know, when we start talking about, oh,
4 and the access and everything there. So I'm going to	4 well, you know, it doesn't matter that there's not a lot
5 refer you to our opening brief that we filed before this	5 of people there; it does to them and it does to the Clean
6 Board on page 17 and 18 which is REC 3476 and 3477. And	6 Air Act. The Clean Air Act doesn't distinguish, like,
7 then I'm going to go through some of the statements from	7 well, okay, we can apply these rules if we're in the city
8 the hearing officer's decision about access to that site	8 versus these rules; we'll have a little bit laxer rules
9 and sort of the layout of the land.	9 if we only think, you know, a hundred people live there
10 Currently this is not a shortcut; this is the	10 or something like that. That's not how the Clean Air Act
11 only way to get from the north entry to the south entry	11 works. The Clean Air Act is there to provide protection
12 to public lands to recreational sites for people. That	12 to everybody in the public, whether you're in a rural
13 is well, sorry. I take that back. That is that	13 area, whether you're in the city.
14 will be the only way for people to get to that area if	14 And not to mention all the Nez Perce Tribe
15 you exclude them from the rest of the ambient air	15 members that routinely use that area for hunting, fishing
16 boundary. There is a road on the north side of the	16 and gathering and spiritual activities.
17 ambient air boundary that is a circuitous route that can	17 I wanted to mention the EPA letter. It was
18 get to these recreational sites, but that would go	18 mentioned, I believe, by Perpetua that, hey, this
19 through the ambient air boundary and that will be closed	19 discusses that they're okay with the access road.
20 to the public. So, you know, it's not a shortcut. This	20 But if you look at their letter, they're talking
21 is the only way that people in Yellow Pine can and will	21 about the ambient air boundary. So I discussed this
22 be able to get to these other recreational sites is going	22 about how, you know, that Perpetua has to have physical
23 straight through the mine site.	23 or some sort of other barriers to preclude people from
24 Again, it was mentioned that these people are	24 the ambient air boundary. And that is what EPA is
25 there for a limited reason; yes, they are there for a	25 discussing that they are okay with, and they are and
Page 146	Page 148
1 limited reason to pass through to use the public land.	1 they think that that meets the policy, but that is not
2 They're not there as a guest of the mine; they're not	2 including the access route.
3 there to visit the mine; they're not there to, you know,	3 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Two minutes.
4 eat lunch at the mine; they're not there to, you know	4 MS. THROWER: Okay. So arsenic. Ms. Young said
5 I mean, you know, I think EPA's policies and	5 the permit is customary. This is it's customary for
6 DEQ's guidance recognizes that there are certain people	6 them to dilute arsenic emissions. There was page 42 of
7 that would normally maybe be part of the public that	7 our brief, footnote 6, there's references there to memos
8 aren't the public that are visiting the mine, like	8 between DEQ staff about their concerns, about looking for
9 invitees, people bringing deliveries, you know, contract	9 legal counsel on this issue of whether they can dilute
10 workers, things like that. Sure, those people are	10 the arsenic by seven years instead of doing a real
11 excluded from the general public. But people passing on	11 average annual emission standard.
12 this road just to get to public lands are not guests of	12 There was talk about specific information, that
13 the mine.	13 they have specific information that this mine is only
14 There's been discussion about, you know, there's	14 going to occur for 16 years for emissions, rather than
15 not a lot of people out here; you know, the general	15 the AACC assumes that a facility is going to operate for
16 public is very few. Well, I mean, if you consider	16 70 or more years.
17 everyone as guests of the mine, there is no general	17 There are specific processes set out in the
18 public, this is true. But, you know and Yellow Pine	18 rules for specific information. For example, the rules
19 is far away.	19 have a short-term exception. Section 210.15 allows a
20 But I want to make the point that this is an	20 facility to have a 10-foot bump, just like with T-RACT,
21 important recreational area for people. People go there	21 but a 10-foot bump in the AACC if your facility is
22 and they spend a lot of time there in the summer. They	22 operating for less than five years.
23 spend our standing declarations, I'll refer you back	23 The other option is that you use annual average
	24 emissions. There's no other rule for project-specific
24 to those some people spend a lot of time hiking,	24 emissions. There's no other fulle for project-specific
 24 to those some people spend a lot of time hiking, 25 backpacking, fishing, camping with their kids, at just in Page 147 	25 adjustments.

38 (Pages 146 - 149)

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-Idaho@veritext.com 208-343-4004

1	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Would you wrap up?	1	that absolutely that will be in the plan.
2	MS. THROWER: Okay. When DEQ passed these	2	If you look at permit condition 2.7 which lays
3	rules, they made that cancer assessment, you know. What	3	out what needs to be in the access management plan, it
4	DEQ here is saying is that they think that being exposed	4	says, among other things, "Public access to the facility
5	to a high concentration of emissions for 16 years is the	5	may be monitored by the use of security escort vehicles
6	same as low concentrations of emissions for 70 years.	6	or other things."
7	They haven't made that cancer risk assessment.	7	And this gets to the underlying problem here.
8	This was an atmospheric scientist declaration by Kevin	8	We don't yet know what's in the access management plan.
9	Schilling that basically just provided the same rationale		Our clients, other members of the public, EPA have not
	for the project-specific adjustment that was in the		been able to comment on what's actually in the access
	response to comments that we don't agree with. And		management plan because it hasn't been developed yet, and
	there's no additional cancer risk assessment that says		it's not going to be submitted for public comment. This
	that that was appropriate for them to do.		permit has already been approved without these kinds of
14	And with that, I will turn it over to my		important details being before the public and being
	colleague.		subject to their comments.
16	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Thank you.	16	Again, there could be the kind of monitoring of
17	MR. HURLBUTT: Thank you. All right. So first		public through the site, but there's no requirement in
	on allowing Perpetua to submit plans later after the		the permit that that actually be included.
	permit has been approved, I just want to touch on a few	19	Next is interpreting Section 202 about what
	things that came up.		needs to be required in an application. Ms. Elroy had a
20	The first one is I think I heard both DEQ and		good point about 202 saying site information, plans,
	Perpetua suggest, how could they possibly come up with		descriptions, specifications, and drawings, and that
	these plans now? That would be ridiculous, it's just too		those relate to the facility; they also relate to the
	much detailed information and it's too burdensome.		nature and amount of emissions; they also relate to the
25	The whole permit, the permit application, the		manner in which things will be controlled; you can't weed
25	Page 150	25	Page 152
1	Statement of Basis is full of all kinds of complicated,	1	those out of there. And of course not every single
2	complex, technical, specific details about tons of	2	detailed specification can reasonably be included in an
3	aspects about this mine, and the idea that they couldn't	3	application; it's what are the important ones that need
4	come up with how frequently and in what amounts they're	4	to be in there.
5	going to apply dust controls, or how they're going to	5	And that's where, as Mr. Pooser pointed out, at
6	actually access manage access at the site is just	6	the beginning of Section 202 it says that the application
7	absurd, and the operational boundary is a good example of	7	must include, quote, "All information necessary to
8	that.	8	perform any analysis or make any determination required
9	During Mr. Pooser's talk the operational	9	under Sections 200 through 227."
10	boundary was up there. Perpetua explained how they	10	So those are the determinations that DEQ has to
11	decided on this operational boundary a long time ago. I	11	make that this project will comply with National Ambient
12	think deciding on that boundary was probably way more	12	Air Quality Standard; will comply with arsenic TAPs; will
	complicated than coming up with plans for how they're		comply with other standards.
	going to control dust, how they're going to control	14	So no, unimportant details that don't go towards
	access management and things like that that are in these	15	whether this is going to comply with air quality
	required plans that have not yet been submitted.		standards might not need to be part of the plan's
17	Related to that, the EPA letter talks about the		information, description, specifications.
18	access management plan. And I heard Ms. Young say that	18	But if that information is important and
19	absolutely the access management plan is going to track	19	actually goes towards whether this permit will comply
	public access through the site.		with air standards, they absolutely need to be included.
21	EPA in its letter recommended that the plan		And so that would include here dust control.
	include some kind of continuous monitoring, "Maintain	22	I'm going to mention this for the sixth time in
	continuous monitoring of the public's movements through		the record, if they do not meet their dust control by
	the site, and DEQ is saying absolutely that will be in	24	just a tiny bit, the project will violate the NAAQ. And
24	the site," and DEQ is saying absolutely that will be in the plan. I don't know what basis there is for saying		just a tiny bit, the project will violate the NAAQ. And until we see application rates and timing and frequency

39 (Pages 150 - 153)

			5 ,
1 of dust control measured	ares, there's no way DEQ could have	1	boundary monitoring around the site.
2 done the analysis it n	eeded to do to make its	2	Additionally, there could just be changes to
3 determination that th	is project will comply with the PM	3	what's done. There are other sources of fugitive dust
4 10 NAAQ. That's th	e kind of information that needs to be	4	that could be limited. The operations could be limited.
5 put before the public	. That's what those two federal	5	There's not there are things that could be done to
6 appellate court decisi	ions that I referenced support.	6	ensure that the project will comply with the NAAQS that
7 I guess with that	at I'll turn to the 93.3 percent		have not been done now.
	has questions about the plan? I	8	And unless there's any further questions, I
	s pretty ready to get out of here.	9	thank you.
-	on meeting the 93.3 percent dust	10	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you.
_	pointed out, there is nothing that	11	Thank you to everybody that's presented.
	of these permit conditions to		Appreciate it.
	3.3 as opposed to some slightly	13	Is it the desire of the Board to enter into
) percent or 85 percent. I didn't		executive session? I'll entertain a motion.
	or Perpetua's attorney point to	14	VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman,
	at. There's sort of the sense that,		
			I move the Board enter into an executive session pursuant
17 well, there's a lot of t			to Idaho Code 74-206(1)(f) to communicate with legal
-	ether, that's enough to hit 93.3		counsel for the public agency to discuss the legal
19 percent. And that's j			ramifications of and legal options for pending litigation
-	e enough to think that this is		or controversies not yet being litigated but imminently
	a pretty good job at dust control,		likely to be litigated.
	e in at, you know, 5 percent dust	22	I request that a roll call vote be taken and
-	terrible like that. But there's		that the vote and that vote be recorded in the minutes
	ng that, well, yeah, this will hit	24	of this meeting.
25 93.3.	D 154	25	BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. Do I hear a
	Page 154		Page 156
1 What provision	is in the permit are any different	1	second?
-	is in the permit are any different ng to hit 90 percent dust control,	1 2	second? BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second.
2 than if they were tryi			
2 than if they were tryi3 or 85 percent dust co	ng to hit 90 percent dust control,	2 3	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second.
2 than if they were tryi3 or 85 percent dust co4 Everything that's in t	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control?	2 3	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take a
2 than if they were tryi3 or 85 percent dust co4 Everything that's in t5 practices for pretty g	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good	2 3 4	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take a roll call vote, please?
 2 than if they were tryi 3 or 85 percent dust co 4 Everything that's in t 5 practices for pretty g 6 do a pretty good job. 	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably	2 3 4 5	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take a roll call vote, please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
 2 than if they were tryi 3 or 85 percent dust co 4 Everything that's in t 5 practices for pretty g 6 do a pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely r 	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going neet the NAAQS is not supported by	2 3 4 5 6	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take a roll call vote, please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen.
 2 than if they were tryi 3 or 85 percent dust co 4 Everything that's in t 5 practices for pretty g 6 do a pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely r 8 what's in the permit of 	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going	2 3 4 5 6 7	 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take a roll call vote, please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.
 2 than if they were tryi 3 or 85 percent dust co 4 Everything that's in t 5 practices for pretty g 6 do a pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely r 8 what's in the permit of 9 And then the fi 	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going neet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take a roll call vote, please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.
 2 than if they were tryi 3 or 85 percent dust co 4 Everything that's in t 5 practices for pretty g 6 do a pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely r 8 what's in the permit of 9 And then the fi 10 suggested that, gosh, 	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going neet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ there's just nothing we could do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take a roll call vote, please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.
 2 than if they were tryi 3 or 85 percent dust co 4 Everything that's in t 5 practices for pretty g 6 do a pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely r 8 what's in the permit of 9 And then the fi 10 suggested that, gosh, 11 about this, they're as 	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going meet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ there's just nothing we could do king for something impossible here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take a roll call vote, please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye.
 2 than if they were tryi 3 or 85 percent dust code 4 Everything that's in the structure of the str	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going meet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ there's just nothing we could do king for something impossible here. hat could be done about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take a roll call vote, please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.
 2 than if they were tryi 3 or 85 percent dust con 4 Everything that's in ti 5 practices for pretty gi 6 do a pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely risk 8 what's in the permit of 9 And then the fii 10 suggested that, gosh, 11 about this, they're asi 12 But there are things to 13 Source testing in 	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going neet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ there's just nothing we could do king for something impossible here. hat could be done about it. is something that's commonly done	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take a roll call vote, please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.
 2 than if they were tryi 3 or 85 percent dust co 4 Everything that's in t 5 practices for pretty g 6 do a pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely r 8 what's in the permit of 9 And then the fi 10 suggested that, gosh, 11 about this, they're asi 12 But there are things t 13 Source testing i 14 to see how a particular 	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going neet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ there's just nothing we could do king for something impossible here. hat could be done about it. is something that's commonly done ar pollution control might work.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take a roll call vote, please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.
 2 than if they were tryi 3 or 85 percent dust code 4 Everything that's in the structure of the second pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely referring the second pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely referring to a second pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely referring to a second pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely referring to a second pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely referring to a second pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely referring to a second pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely referring to a second pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely referring to a second pretty good job. 9 And then the fit 10 suggested that, gosh, 11 about this, they're associated that the second pretty good pretty good job. 13 Source testing if 14 to see how a particul 15 There could be 	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going meet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ there's just nothing we could do king for something impossible here. hat could be done about it. is something that's commonly done ar pollution control might work. ways to test at initial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take a roll call vote, please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler. BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.
 2 than if they were trying 3 or 85 percent dust conditional 4 Everything that's in the practices for pretty ging 6 do a pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely right what's in the permit of 9 And then the fing 9 And then the fing 10 suggested that, gosh, 11 about this, they're assisted that and the set the set the set of t	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going meet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ there's just nothing we could do king for something impossible here. hat could be done about it. is something that's commonly done ar pollution control might work. ways to test at initial ust control is actually going, to see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take a roll call vote, please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye. BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler. BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.
 2 than if they were trying 3 or 85 percent dust conditional 4 Everything that's in the practices for pretty given of the second pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely referring 8 what's in the permited 9 And then the fing 10 suggested that, gosh, 11 about this, they're asing 12 But there are things to a source testing 14 to see how a particul 15 There could be be be be operations how the dot and the source the source testing 16 operations how the dot and the source of the sour	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going neet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ there's just nothing we could do king for something impossible here. hat could be done about it. is something that's commonly done ar pollution control might work. ways to test at initial ust control is actually going, to see 3.3 percent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take a roll call vote, please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye. BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler. BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele. BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.
 2 than if they were tryi 3 or 85 percent dust co 4 Everything that's in t 5 practices for pretty g 6 do a pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely r 8 what's in the permit 6 9 And then the fi 10 suggested that, gosh, 11 about this, they're asi 12 But there are things t 13 Source testing i 14 to see how a particul 15 There could be 16 operations how the d 17 if it's coming in at 93 18 And then there 	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going neet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ there's just nothing we could do king for something impossible here. hat could be done about it. is something that's commonly done ar pollution control might work. ways to test at initial ust control is actually going, to see 3.3 percent. is also the available practice in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take a roll call vote, please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mark Bowen. BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan. VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy. BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler. BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele. BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye. BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye. BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.
 2 than if they were trying 3 or 85 percent dust cond 4 Everything that's in the practices for pretty generatives for pretty generatives for pretty generatives for pretty generatives for the first set of the first set	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going meet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ there's just nothing we could do king for something impossible here. hat could be done about it. is something that's commonly done ar pollution control might work. ways to test at initial ust control is actually going, to see 3.3 percent. 's also the available practice in ng ambient air boundary monitoring.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take aroll call vote, please?BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.Mark Bowen.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.
 2 than if they were trying 3 or 85 percent dust council 4 4 Everything that's in the spractices for pretty generative of the dot a pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely reference of the second the second	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going meet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ there's just nothing we could do king for something impossible here. hat could be done about it. is something that's commonly done ar pollution control might work. ways to test at initial ust control is actually going, to see 3.3 percent. is also the available practice in ng ambient air boundary monitoring. 1 of the rules. DEQ can require	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take aroll call vote, please?BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.Mark Bowen.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD CLARK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD CLARK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD CLARK GANINO: Pat Purdy.
 2 than if they were trying 3 or 85 percent dust cond 4 Everything that's in the 5 practices for pretty ged 6 do a pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely referse what's in the permited 9 And then the fif 10 suggested that, gosh, 11 about this, they're asis 12 But there are things the 13 Source testing in 14 to see how a particul 15 There could be 16 operations how the distance of the fit is coming in at 92 18 And then there 19 the Air Rules of having 20 This is in Section 21 21 ambient air boundary 	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going neet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ there's just nothing we could do king for something impossible here. hat could be done about it. is something that's commonly done ar pollution control might work. ways to test at initial ust control is actually going, to see 8.3 percent. 's also the available practice in ng ambient air boundary monitoring. 1 of the rules. DEQ can require y monitoring. That would be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take aroll call vote, please?BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.Mark Bowen.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Motion carries. We adjournto executive session. Thank you.
 2 than if they were tryi 3 or 85 percent dust cod 4 Everything that's in tis 5 practices for pretty gies 6 do a pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely ris 8 what's in the permit of 9 And then the field 10 suggested that, gosh, 11 about this, they're asis 12 But there are things tis 13 Source testing is 14 to see how a particul 15 There could be 16 operations how the dist 17 if it's coming in at 93 18 And then there 19 the Air Rules of havis 20 This is in Section 21 21 ambient air boundary 22 backstop that could of 	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going neet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ there's just nothing we could do king for something impossible here. hat could be done about it. is something that's commonly done ar pollution control might work. ways to test at initial ust control is actually going, to see 3.3 percent. 's also the available practice in ng ambient air boundary monitoring. 1 of the rules. DEQ can require a confirm that based on everything DEQ	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take aroll call vote, please?BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.Mark Bowen.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Motion carries. We adjournto executive session. Thank you.Thanks, everybody.
 2 than if they were tryi 3 or 85 percent dust cod 4 Everything that's in tis 5 practices for pretty gies 6 do a pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely ris 8 what's in the permit of 9 And then the fii 10 suggested that, gosh, 11 about this, they're asis 12 But there are things tis 13 Source testing in 14 to see how a particul 15 There could be 16 operations how the di 17 if it's coming in at 93 18 And then there 19 the Air Rules of having 20 This is in Section 21 21 ambient air boundary 22 backstop that could of 23 and Perpetua says with 	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going meet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ there's just nothing we could do king for something impossible here. hat could be done about it. is something that's commonly done ar pollution control might work. ways to test at initial ust control is actually going, to see 3.3 percent. 's also the available practice in ng ambient air boundary monitoring. 1 of the rules. DEQ can require y monitoring. That would be a confirm that based on everything DEQ Il happen will achieve 93.3 percent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take aroll call vote, please?BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.Mark Bowen.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Motion carries. We adjournto executive session. Thank you.Thanks, everybody.(Thereupon the Board convened into
 2 than if they were trying 3 or 85 percent dust councy 4 Everything that's in the spractices for pretty generative of the dot a pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely reference of the suggested that, gosh, 11 about this, they're associate the set of t	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going meet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ there's just nothing we could do king for something impossible here. hat could be done about it. is something that's commonly done ar pollution control might work. ways to test at initial ust control is actually going, to see 3.3 percent. is also the available practice in ng ambient air boundary monitoring. 1 of the rules. DEQ can require a monitoring. That would be a confirm that based on everything DEQ ll happen will achieve 93.3 percent a NAAQS violation, that will confirm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take aroll call vote, please?BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.Mark Bowen.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Motion carries. We adjournto executive session. Thank you.Thanks, everybody.(Thereupon the Board convened into executive session off the record.)
 2 than if they were trying 3 or 85 percent dust councy 4 Everything that's in the 5 practices for pretty generative 6 do a pretty good job. 7 to hit 93 and barely reference 9 And then the permit of 9 And then the fif 10 suggested that, gosh, 11 about this, they're asilities 12 But there are things to 13 Source testing in 14 to see how a particulant 15 There could be 16 operations how the data operations how the data operation in a the set of the Air Rules of having 18 And then there are the set of the Air Rules of the set of the Air Rules of the set of the Air Bar and the set of the Air Bar and Perpetua says with a set of the set	ng to hit 90 percent dust control, ntrol, or 98 percent dust control? he permit is just pretty good ood dust control that will probably But this idea that they're going meet the NAAQS is not supported by or anything in the record. nal thing on 93.3 percent. DEQ there's just nothing we could do king for something impossible here. hat could be done about it. is something that's commonly done ar pollution control might work. ways to test at initial ust control is actually going, to see 3.3 percent. 's also the available practice in ng ambient air boundary monitoring. 1 of the rules. DEQ can require y monitoring. That would be a confirm that based on everything DEQ Il happen will achieve 93.3 percent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Elaine, would you take aroll call vote, please?BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.Mark Bowen.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Motion carries. We adjournto executive session. Thank you.Thanks, everybody.(Thereupon the Board convened into

40 (Pages 154 - 157)

1VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that2the Board resolve out of the executive session and that	1 Mark Bowen.
2 the Board resolve out of the executive session and that	
	2 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.
3 the meeting of the minutes reflect that no action was	3 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.
4 taken during executive session.	4 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Aye.
5 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you.	5 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Carol Mascarenas.
6 We have a motion, do we have a second?	6 BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye.
7 BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Second.	7 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.
8 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: We have a motion and a	8 BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.
9 second.	9 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.
10 Elaine, would you take a roll call vote, please?	10 BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.
11 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman.	11 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.
12 Mark Bowen.	12 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.
13 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Aye.	BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.
13 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Dr. Randy MacMillan.	14 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.
	15 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: The motion is carried.
	16 With that, we're ready to adjourn. Do we have a
17 BOARD MEMBER MASCARENAS: Aye.	17 motion to adjourn?
18 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Beth Elroy.	18 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: So moved.
19 BOARD MEMBER ELROY: Aye.	19 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Do we have a second?
20 BOARD CLERK GANINO: John Sigler.	20 BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Second.
21 BOARD MEMBER SIGLER: Aye.	21 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: All in favor?
22 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Clayton Steele.	22 (AYES.)
23 BOARD MEMBER STEELE: Aye.	23 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Thank you. We are
24 BOARD CLERK GANINO: Pat Purdy.	24 adjourned. Thank you very much.
25 BOARD MEMBER PURDY: Aye.	25 (Proceedings concluded at 3:43 p.m.)
Page 15	B Page 160
1 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Motion carries. We're back	1 CERTIFICATE OF CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
2 in session.	
3 The first order of business is a five-minute	3 STATE OF IDAHO } } ss.
4 break. We'll be right back.	4 COUNTY OF ADA }
5 (Thereupon there was a brief recess.)	5
6 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Okay. Call this meeting	6 I, DORIS M. BAILEY, CSR NO. SRL-1074, a
7 back to order. I want to certainly thank everybody for	7 Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify:
8 the effort that's been put into this. We have as a	8 That the proceedings were transcribed by me or
9 Board we've heard a lot, there's a lot to consider.	9 under my direction, to the best of my ability;
10 Any comments from the Board?	10 That the foregoing is a true and correct
11 (No response)	11 transcription of all proceedings, to the best of my
12 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: Without that, I will	12 ability;13 That I am not a relative or employee of any
13 entertain a motion.	14 attorney or party;
14 VICE CHAIR MACMILLAN: Mr. Chairman, I move the	15 I further certify that I am not financially
15 Board take the issue as presented, the amended petition	16 interested in the action.
16 for review of preliminary order, under advisement, and	17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I set my hand and seal this
17 issue a written final order within 56 days of today's	18 19th day of March 2024.
17 issue a written final order within 56 days of today's 18 hearing.	19
	20 $p \rightarrow p - R_{ac} - h + l$
19 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: We have a motion. Do we	21 DUKIS M. BAILEY
20 have a second?	CSR #SRL-1074, RPR, CRR
21 BOARD MEMBER MCELROY: Second.	22 Notary Public
22 BOARD CHAIR BOWEN: We have a motion and a	Post Office Box 2636
23 second.	23 Boise, Idaho 83701-2636
24 Elaine, will you take a roll call vote, please?	24 My Commission Expires: June 12, 2028
 Elaine, will you take a roll call vote, please? BOARD CLERK GANINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Page 159 	25

41 (Pages 158 - 161)

[& - 208-389-9000]

2	100 000 40 0 0	107.10.10.00	201(117.01
&	100,000 49:8,9	107:12,19,22	2016 117:21
& 4:15	107:8 140:9	107:22 108:8	2019.0047 1:5
0	142:12	108:11 140:15	28:23
	101 2:23	140:20 141:3	202 54:14
002 142:10,10	1074 1:25	149:14 150:5	55:15 59:11,21
0101-22-01 1:5	161:6,21	160 4:24	60:23 63:13
5:8 28:24	10th 27:11	160/160 4:23	96:13,18
0145 29:24	11/11 4:5	161 47:12,13,20	126:23 127:3
0375 56:6	1109 44:11	49:18 108:18	127:22 152:19
0385 56:8	113 4:17	1612 3:5	152:21 153:6
0431 67:6	1138 37:6	17 146:6	202.01.a 54:17
05 48:13	11:55 74:24	18 146:6	2023 1:16 15:6
0691 58:24	12 4:6 48:14	180,000 58:11	19:14 20:15
67:10	93:16 101:5	1900 2:23	27:11,12 28:22
0692 58:24	161:24	19th 161:18	29:2
0693 58:25	120,000 58:12	2	2024 5:1 8:4
08 48:14	123 80:21	2 45:17 60:13	12:16 27:12
09 48:14	127:9,9	64:18 93:9	161:18
1	12:32 75:4	2.0002. 142:9	2028 161:24
1 10:2 23:10	12th 8:3,12	2.0002. 142.9 2.02 110:7	203 47:5,18
28:12 49:7,9	12:16 14:25	2.0 110 .7 2.1 93:11,12	48:9,11 66:19
55:11 60:11	27:12 64:14	100:20	108:19
136:24 156:17	14 1:16 4:8 5:1	2.2 100:20	208-271-6503
1,032 116:1	1410 1:18 2:17	101:3	3:11
10 29:6 49:3	3:16,20	2.4 101:6	208-342-7024
51:25 69:22	142 4:19	2.4 101:0 2.5 101:11	3:6
71:18 73:21	145 30:12	2.6 93:14,15	208-373-0240
101:14 103:5	150 4:19	100:20	3:17
103:10 112:19	115:25	2.6. 56:6	208-373-0494
114:8 139:7	156/157 4:20	2.0. 50.0 2.7 82:19 152:2	2:18
142:5,20	158/158 4:21	2.7 82.19 152.2 2.7. 59:19	208-373-0552
149:20,21	159/160 4:22	20 84:6,7	3:21
154:4	15th 28:22	20 84:6,7 200 127:13	208-389-9000
100 91:4	16 42:22 48:5,7		2:24
	48:8 51:14	153:9	

[209 - 95]

209 55:3,20,25	3	6	9
59:11 60:25	3.13 56:8	6 4:2 149:7	9/10 4:4
63:14 97:1,8	30 29:4,15 53:8	60 78:4	90 58:7,19
97:21 132:8	53:8,8,9,19	614 3:10	66:17 68:12,19
209.01 55:11	75:11 113:7	7	69:18 71:12
21 4:8,9	3476 146:6		100:24 101:1
210 48:10,12	3477 146:6	70 50:6,23	137:11 154:14
49:20	353 141:17	52:17 107:13	155:2
210.12 49:6,13	36 30:12	108:7,8,10	91 70:3
49:21	141:14,16	139:24,25	92 70:3
210.12. 48:16	376 56:6	140:4,4,10,20	93 67:9,9 72:8
210.12.c. 107:6	3:43 160:25	141:1 149:16	94:4 155:7
210.15 149:19	3rd 3:20	150:6	93.3 58:4,19
211 155:20	4	74-206 10:2	65:23 66:8,16
212 49:21		23:10 156:17	66:25 67:15,18
212.09 49:22	4 138:25	75 4:17 68:23	67:22 68:5,12
227 153:9	400 77:9	137:12	68:17 69:10
228 127:13	402.01 94:21	8	- 70:2,10,17
22nd 27:12	415 30:2,22	8/9 4:3	71:8 72:18,21
23-245-01 1:7	42 100:17,25	80 70:3	73:13 79:15
5:8 28:24	102:1 104:21	83638 3:10	99:4,21,24
23/23 4:10	149:6	83701 3:5	100:2,3,7,13,14
24 78:9	5	83701-2636	102:10 103:22
24/24 4:11	5 29:2 84:24,24	161:23	104:5,12,17,22
2558 120:19	154:22	83702 2:23	105:1 116:8
124:8	500 77:10	83706 2:18	136:5,7,19,24
26 4:12	53 4:16	3:16,20	137:3,6,13,22
2636 161:22	54 50:12 141:4	83720 2:12	138:5 154:7,10
2684 120:22	55 31:25	83720-0010	154:13,18
27/27 4:13	56 159:17	2:13	155:9,17,23
29 4:16	586 47:21,24	85 66:17 77:8	93.3. 66:4
29419 161:20	48:20,21,24	154:14 155:3	154:25
2nd 2:17 3:16	49:2,11 107:2	86 56:8	94 68:20
63:20 64:2	139:20	88 70:10	95 68:20,23
			70:11

[98 - achieved]

98 68:21	above 40:24	35:4,12,25	151:20 152:3,4
137:11 155:3	67:9 72:8	36:15,15 37:24	152:8,10
99 68:24 101:1	absent 100:9	37:25 38:14,15	accessed 43:6
99.1 68:24	absolute 100:4	38:17,24 39:2	accommodated
9:00 5:1	100:9	39:6,9,17,18	121:22
a	absolutely	41:11 42:8,19	accommodati
a.m. 74:24	14:17 16:18	44:13 45:24	118:3
aacc 48:2,3	18:4 80:16	46:4,9,12	accompanied
49:11,14,15	85:5 87:12	51:16,17 53:23	127:10
50:9 107:1,13	88:3,3,13	59:18,21 60:2	accomplished
108:7 139:17	91:12,20 94:5	60:3,6,9,11,12	69:14
142:7 149:15	95:10 97:19	60:13,16 64:6	accordance
142:7 149:13	98:18 102:20	64:9 79:14	60:22 78:15
aacc's 48:4	105:9,11	80:11,13 81:22	79:20 80:5
abandoned	106:24 110:4	82:2,12,18,22	117:8 127:9
114:23	110:17,22	82:24 85:19,21	account 141:3
ability 37:12	151:19,24	85:22 86:2	accurate 81:4
38:13 39:6,15	152:1 153:20	88:22 90:5	107:24
42:18 73:7,13	absurd 151:7	116:7,10,16,20	accusations
42.18 75.7,15 81:6 82:12,18	abuse 78:17	116:22 117:14	20:18
87:13 116:16	109:16	117:16,17,22	achievable
118:11 119:1	abused 116:13	118:5,10,11,12	69:13 99:21
120:7 123:9	136:24	118:16,22	137:14
120:7 123.9	accent 59:20	119:2,2,6	achieve 58:5
161:9,12	accept 27:9	120:3,5,9,14,21	65:22 66:10
able 17:1 29:20	41:8	121:4,7,13,17	67:5,9,12
39:8,13,23	acceptable 48:1	121:19,21	69:10 72:8,17
44:24 50:8	107:2,7 139:18	122:1,7,8	73:5 99:4
60:25 66:13	139:22 140:8	123:7,9 124:3	136:19 137:3
68:5 86:24	140:14	124:4,5 143:8	155:23
98:17 100:11	acceptance	143:15 144:4	achieved 58:6
118:1 120:14	45:21	144:24,25	68:1,3 101:8
143:13 146:22	accepted 37:16	146:4,8 148:19	101:16 102:3
143.13 140.22	access 30:17	149:2 151:6,6	137:23
132.10	31:9 32:22	151:15,18,19	

[achieves - agree]

	1		
achieves 70:8	92:18 98:11	150:12	advocateswe
achieving 58:4	129:19 133:21	additionally	3:6
66:16,25,25	actually 51:23	156:2	affect 40:9
69:17 70:2,17	65:9 66:10	address 94:6,19	47:10,16
71:12 73:15	67:14 68:1	98:25 126:9	108:17 134:19
154:13	69:17,19 71:15	135:15 142:25	affecting 40:7
acknowledge	73:13,15 82:17	addressed	afforded 52:3
120:25 121:3,6	92:3 97:3	93:17 132:18	63:9 112:2
acknowledged	102:18 103:3,8	137:6,18	afternoon
66:23 137:7	103:12,16	139:13	14:23 75:1,3
acres 33:25	114:25 115:9	adequacy	ag.idaho.gov
act 17:2 32:25	118:23 120:13	65:16 99:23	2:13
33:11 36:4,20	120:16 123:11	adequate 69:20	age 31:11
44:1 52:5 62:5	124:3 127:15	adhering 79:10	agency 1:5 3:13
83:6,12 97:19	127:18 128:22	adjourn 157:20	5:7 10:3 16:21
106:9 115:10	128:23 131:8	160:16,17	23:11 144:9
135:8 144:8	133:5 137:3	adjourned	156:18
145:10,11	141:10 151:6	74:21 160:24	agency's 135:9
148:6,6,10,11	152:10,18	adjustment	agenda 8:4,5,9
acted 78:15,16	153:19 154:13	50:1 106:3	8:22 9:1 12:15
79:20 80:5,17	155:16,25	140:7 150:10	13:25 14:16
106:6 109:12	ada 161:4	adjustments	28:11,15,18,18
action 11:11	add 8:5 15:21	47:3 149:25	aggressive 67:1
24:19 55:6	15:22 21:3,15	administrative	67:8 72:7
73:20 83:4	26:13,15 48:7	34:13 144:8	101:21,23
101:16 132:10	73:18 81:18	administrator	102:5
158:3 161:16	104:2 137:20	3:19 78:9	ago 14:23 16:8
actions 69:25	137:22	admitted 47:14	16:23 151:11
active 143:10	added 8:22 9:1	adopted 36:21	agree 13:8 26:9
143:20	adding 21:11	advance 73:3	80:12 85:8
activities 35:10	addition 5:4	advances 31:15	97:16 99:20
42:17,17 101:7	29:5 49:23	advisement	113:15,15
148:16	61:8 138:22	159:16	118:15 123:18
actual 22:19	additional	advocates 3:4	150:11
68:5 82:10	22:10 73:18		

[agreed - amount]

agreed 26:2	60:5,7,22,24	allege 116:18	40:21 41:1,5
121:7	61:20 63:13	alleged 106:2	42:2,7 43:14
agreement	66:18,18,22	allotted 29:6	43:24 44:3,19
74:13 81:22	69:20 70:14,20	allow 13:5	45:8,19 46:4
agreements	78:5,5,8 80:13	15:14 17:10	46:10,15 48:1
82:2,2	80:21 83:8	18:20 48:25	51:19 57:2
ahead 21:7	84:22,24 88:18	50:25 63:4,6	58:2 60:5,7
31:3 42:9 43:8	89:11 97:22	65:11 80:19	61:20 66:22
51:12 116:5	105:1 106:23	91:25 96:5	69:20 80:13
119:4	116:11 119:23	140:7	83:8 84:22
air 1:4 3:19 5:6	120:20 121:5,8	allowed 15:17	88:18 106:4
17:2 28:22	121:15,18,22	15:19 16:1	107:2 116:11
30:15,17 32:7	122:2,22	17:8 18:23	119:23 121:5,7
32:7,10,24,24	124:12,15,17	33:9 34:8,15	121:15,18
33:11,22 34:1	124:22,25	40:14,15 63:17	122:2 124:12
34:3,3,5,7,16	125:1,5,15,24	73:20 84:9,19	124:15,17,25
34:16,18,21	126:7,11 130:3	86:8,10 87:7	125:1,5,24
35:3 36:9,10	130:15,18	92:6 97:9,23	126:7 139:18
36:12,16,20,21	134:6 135:7	107:6 143:18	142:25 143:14
36:23,23,25	140:6 142:25	allowing 17:16	145:18,19,20
37:3,5,9 38:7,8	143:14 145:10	37:21 44:16	146:15,17,19
39:11,13,23,25	145:11,18,20	53:15 143:4	148:1,21,24
40:2,3,3,10,21	145:20 146:15	150:18	153:11 155:19
41:1,5,19,23,25	146:17,19	allows 53:21	155:21,25
42:2,6,7 43:14	148:1,6,6,10,11	92:16 149:19	amend 8:8
43:24 44:1,4	148:21,24	alternatives	amended 4:14
44:19,23 45:8	153:12,15,20	36:2 38:25	12:15 28:11,19
45:19 46:4,10	155:19,19,21	39:3,5	28:25 29:1,5
46:15,20,24	155:25	ambient 30:15	159:15
47:13,24 48:1	aligned 128:24	30:16 32:7,24	amount 40:18
51:19 52:5,20	alignment 65:9	33:22 34:1,3,7	49:16 51:9
53:25 54:10,13	allegation	34:16,21 35:3	52:10 54:23
54:14,17 55:3	132:5	36:9,16,21,22	58:15 72:18
55:14 57:2,24	allegations	37:3,5,9 38:7,8	73:19 95:3
58:2 59:10	15:21 26:14	39:11,13,23,25	128:14 140:21

[amount - approved]

152:24 154:14	answer 108:23	55:8 57:11	133:15 135:23
amounts 71:24	109:23 113:18	59:13,22 60:24	135:24 136:2
72:15 151:4	129:21 134:21	132:12	148:7 151:5
analogous	134:25	applicants	applying 48:21
86:16	answered 87:3	54:18	89:4
analogy 86:15	answers 87:9	application	appreciate
105:12	antimony	37:18 54:16,16	53:10,12 74:2
analyses 55:22	114:18,19	56:15 81:5	75:17,25
108:4,14,22	anybody 44:12	94:14 95:19	156:12
137:2	52:6 71:14	96:21,24 98:12	apprised 90:20
analysis 36:5	143:7	103:18 110:6	approach 14:2
55:9,24 58:2	anyway 8:25	110:15 111:5,6	31:9,13 52:19
59:15 61:1	60:20 89:11	111:8,14,21	95:18 101:24
65:16 66:2	94:9	112:10 125:12	106:23
89:15 106:12	anyways 89:12	125:14 126:15	approached
106:13,20	97:19	126:25 127:7	121:19
107:5,10,12	ap 100:17,25	127:19,23	appropriate
109:6 110:18	102:1 104:21	128:14,22	26:3 56:14
112:18 125:2	apologies	129:3,11	60:5,7 61:16
125:15 127:11	105:23	130:15,19,23	61:19 83:8
132:12 140:14	apologize 17:23	131:3,18 133:3	140:13 150:13
141:6,25 153:8	110:2 111:17	133:14,24	appropriately
154:2	appeal 75:18	134:8 135:18	45:4
analyze 17:14	appeals 62:5,19	135:19 143:2	approvable
analyzed 102:9	appear 32:19	150:25 152:20	73:2
animal 47:11	appearing 2:7	153:3,6,25	approval 33:10
47:17 108:17	appears 35:22	applications	115:8 117:5,6
ann 2:10 7:20	appellate 154:6	80:23 127:4	119:25 120:6
ann.yribar	appendix 58:1	applied 56:14	122:21,22
2:13	66:1	98:8,10 101:12	125:15
annual 48:5,8	applicable	108:8 132:25	approve 63:8
50:2,5,12,13	49:11 107:2	133:14	70:12
141:2 149:11	applicant 37:1	applies 111:19	approved
149:23	47:8,19 48:9	apply 56:20	32:13 33:8
	48:13 54:2	72:15 100:21	38:11 39:5

[approved - authorized]

53:17 56:1	argument 4:7	142:14	116:20,21
59:8 60:20	4:14,18 22:9	asking 100:4,5	120:2
63:19 87:22	22:12 28:19	100:9 104:8	assumptions
89:10,13 95:25	37:16 39:21	110:2 111:4	108:13
119:24 125:17	65:23 85:20,23	143:21,24	assurances
126:6 150:19	95:13 138:3	155:11	115:13
152:13	arguments	aspect 78:19	atmospheric
approves 125:8	74:5 80:7	85:18,23 123:7	50:22 78:2
aptly 132:14	126:20	aspects 117:9	106:15 150:8
arbitrary 51:4	arsenic 30:18	151:3	attached 18:2
65:24 68:16	32:11,16 33:2	assertion 45:22	attendance
69:1,9,15	40:10 42:6	80:18 81:15	7:25
70:15 78:16	45:1 46:14,21	103:21	attention 34:24
93:20,21	48:2 50:2,3	assertions 81:9	attest 52:9
109:15 144:6	51:20 54:11	82:15 143:2	attorney 2:11
arbitrator 82:5	57:5 79:15	assess 46:20	2:12,16 6:22
area 33:13,19	106:4 107:4	assessment	6:25 7:4,12,15
33:21 35:8	108:16 112:15	50:22 150:3,7	7:20 20:22
37:8 39:23	112:18 116:9	150:12	135:7,8 154:15
40:14 41:13,14	139:10,19,23	associated 44:5	154:15 161:14
41:21 42:12	140:21 141:2,5	83:15 85:2	attributable
45:25 52:11	141:9,11,24	130:16	106:5
141:22 145:25	142:8 145:5	assume 7:3	atv's 41:23
146:14 147:21	149:4,6,10	66:13 72:16	audience 25:21
148:13,15	153:12	130:24 131:16	august 15:6
areas 43:3	artificial	134:23 135:11	19:13 20:14
45:24 46:10	106:25	145:16	27:11
83:8 84:3	artificially	assumes 107:13	authority 80:17
119:6 148:1	106:4	149:15	80:18 106:20
argue 17:7 29:5	aside 65:13	assuming 13:15	109:14 117:13
97:25 126:10	73:17	53:2 71:21	118:9 123:4,6
argued 65:22	asked 28:25	107:17 131:2	127:22 143:23
126:18	52:23 84:10	131:21	authorized
arguing 37:15	113:20 118:2	assumption	117:7
50:17	121:18,20	65:22 87:10	

[automatically - black]

	1		1
automatically	158:25 160:2,4	based 16:24	believe 16:17
67:2	160:6,8,10,12	39:10 51:3	39:10 43:12
availability	160:14	66:15 70:13	46:11 50:14,16
47:3	ayes 74:18	77:2 81:1	148:18
available 48:18	160:22	92:17 98:10	best 80:8 87:3
48:22 55:10,20	b	99:8 100:8,15	102:22 106:16
64:11,19,25	b 7:6 49:6 58:1	104:21 108:21	106:20 107:23
65:2 95:24	66:1 104:25	108:21 116:20	108:23 109:23
97:17,19	105:4	122:4 124:24	161:9,11
140:25 155:18	back 12:9,13	125:16 129:19	beth 2:6 5:22
avenue 3:10	13:14 15:16	139:19,20,22	9:13 10:20
average 48:8	25:20 30:9	140:10,14,17	11:25 24:2
149:11,23	33:17 34:20	140:18,25	25:12 28:2
averages 48:5	58:12 66:4,18	141:24 144:9	157:12 158:18
averaging	75:6 89:16	155:22	160:7
116:9 139:10	100:19 110:9	basically 39:24	better 53:12
aware 13:15	110:15 111:10	45:3 47:13,24	63:12 69:6
52:22 76:2	117:18 121:14	87:24 150:9	71:11 105:5
135:6	122:4 125:21	basing 125:15	111:18 115:4,4
aye 9:8,10,12	127:17 131:22	basis 57:25	beyond 112:24
9:14,16,18,20	140:1 145:22	58:1 61:22	bhurlbutt 3:6
10:15,17,19,21	146:13 147:23	77:10 99:3	big 40:17 89:23
10:23,25 11:2	159:1,4,7	102:23 109:19	122:16 130:25
11:20,22,24	backpacking	144:20 151:1	bit 12:18 16:9
12:1,3,5,7	147:25	151:25 154:24	30:3 35:1,10
23:22,24 24:1	backstop	bathroom	35:24 45:11
24:3,5,7,9 25:7	155:22	12:10	48:23 68:9,16
25:9,11,13,15	bailey 1:24	bear 60:6	79:1 87:19
25:17,19 27:22	161:6,21	beginning	89:3 93:8
27:24 28:1,3,5	ballpark 70:24	32:21 153:6	95:12 111:25
28:7,9 74:14	barely 135:7	begins 126:23	117:1,18
157:7,9,11,13	155:7	belabor 80:8	145:22 148:8
157:15,17,19	barriers 46:10	belief 72:10	153:24
158:13,15,17	148:23	81:2	black 119:12
158:19,21,23	170.23		119:13

[blanche - boundary]

blanche 15:19	20:19,20,22	74:16,19,21	156:10,13,16
blasting 31:23	21:5,18,21,24	75:5,8,9,13,23	156:25 157:2,3
31:24 56:23	22:1,2,6,10,20	77:21 78:21,23	157:5,7,8,10,11
blue 33:24	22:22 23:3,5,9	82:6 84:14	157:12,13,14
blvd 2:23	23:16,17,18,20	85:11,13,14	157:15,16,17
board 1:1 2:2,7	23:22,23,25	86:21 87:5,10	157:18,19,20
2:9 3:24 5:3,4	24:1,2,3,4,5,6,7	87:15,16,18	157:23,25
5:15,17,18,20	24:8,9,11,13,15	88:1,19 89:16	158:2,5,7,8,11
5:21,22,23,24	24:18,21,23,24	89:19 91:24	158:13,14,16
5:25 6:1,2,3,4,5	24:25 25:1,2,5	92:5 94:2,7	158:17,18,19
6:8 7:2,7,14,18	25:7,8,10,11,12	96:11,15,21,24	158:20,21,22
7:21,22 8:2,7	25:13,14,15,16	98:20 102:12	158:23,24,25
8:11,14,15,16	25:17,18,19,20	103:20 104:1,3	159:1,6,9,10,12
8:18,20,25 9:3	25:22,23,25	104:4,24 105:7	159:15,19,21
9:6,8,9,11,12	26:6,8,8,22,24	105:10,12,18	159:22,25
9:13,14,15,16	27:1,4,6,9,14	105:24 109:24	160:2,3,5,6,7,8
9:17,18,19,20	27:15,16,18,20	110:5 111:4,7	160:9,10,11,12
9:21,22 10:1,9	27:22,23,25	111:10,14	160:13,14,15
10:10,13,15,16	28:1,2,3,4,5,6,7	112:12,14,25	160:19,20,21
10:18,19,20,21	28:8,9,10,17,25	113:1,4,6,13	160:23
10:22,23,24,25	29:15,18 30:5	114:4 116:12	boat 13:3
11:1,2,3,6,8,10	30:9,14,19	119:3,5,10,16	boise 1:19 2:13
11:13,15,16,18	31:5 33:15	119:18 124:7	2:18,23 3:5,16
11:20,21,23,24	39:16,20 40:11	124:11,14,19	3:20 161:23
11:25 12:1,2,3	40:20,25 41:7	125:3,9,19,25	bonus 48:23
12:4,5,6,7,8,9	41:10 42:10,24	126:8 129:12	49:7,12
12:13,18,20	43:4 45:2,7,10	129:22 130:9	bottom 30:22
13:7,8,9,10,11	51:10,12,13	130:10 131:9	72:23 89:6
13:12,21,22	52:4,7,13,14,15	131:12,21,25	boundaries
14:3,9,13,15,18	52:16 53:5,7,7	132:2 135:5,17	43:14 123:13
15:3,24 16:11	61:3 62:2,25	135:22 136:1	boundary
16:13 18:6,8,9	63:17 68:15	139:7 142:1,4	33:23,23 34:1
18:17,20 19:6	69:5 72:3,19	142:7,16,19	34:3,7,16,21
19:12,17,18,19	73:22,25 74:2	146:6 149:3	35:3 36:9,16
19:23 20:14,15	74:4,8,12,13,15	150:1,16	38:7,8 42:7

[boundary - call]

45:20,25 46:4	23:22 24:11,15	113:3,3 159:4	buckets 130:12
46:10,15 51:19	24:23,25 25:2	breathe 44:24	build 129:16
60:5,7,11	25:6,7,20,25	145:9	building 37:2
80:14 112:23	26:6,8,22,24	breathing	122:11
116:11 117:10	27:1,4,6,14,16	145:4	built 108:12
117:11,14,15	27:18,21,22	brenda 124:8	bump 149:20
117:17 119:21	28:10,17 29:15	brief 12:12	149:21
119:22,23	29:18 30:9	30:18 31:8	burden 120:11
120:8,9 121:2	31:5 51:12	43:20 65:21	burdensome
121:15 124:12	52:13,15 53:5	76:17 99:6	150:24
124:12,15,16	53:7 73:22,25	113:5 146:5	bureau 78:1
124:17,24,25	74:2,8,13,16,19	149:7 159:5	burntlog 35:23
125:1,4,5,6,11	74:21 75:5,9	briefed 29:3	119:14
125:17,20,24	75:13 85:13	80:7	bus 89:3
125:24 126:7,7	86:21 87:5,10	briefing 21:23	business 78:23
145:19,20	87:15 88:19	35:20 58:3	92:7 159:3
146:16,17,19	89:19 102:12	62:4 66:24	byrne 3:14
148:2,21,24	103:20 104:3	76:15 123:18	c
151:7,10,11,12	105:24 112:12	briefly 99:18	c 2:1 3:1 5:2
155:19,21	113:1,4,6	bring 17:20	49:13 55:11
156:1	119:3 131:21	79:3	49.13 33.11
bowen 2:3 5:3	131:25 139:7	bringing 26:10	cafos 63:23
5:16,17 6:5 7:2	142:16,19	147:9	
7:7,14,18 8:2,7	149:3 150:1,16	brought 46:3	calculate 46:24
8:11,14,16,19	156:10,25	79:13 82:19	47:1
8:20 9:3,7,8,21	157:3,6,7,20,25	93:18 99:12,19	calculation
10:10,14,15	158:5,8,12,13	109:4 136:21	99:22 108:8
11:3,8,13,16,19	159:1,6,12,19	bryan 3:4 7:5	call 5:3 8:17
11:20 12:8,13	159:22 160:1,2	21:9 51:6	9:4 10:7 11:17
13:7,9,11,21	160:15,19,21	71:13	12:13 23:14,19
14:3,13,15,18	160:23	bryan's 51:5	27:19 31:14
18:6,8,17	box 2:12 3:5	bubble 34:2	36:11 43:23
19:17 20:20,22	131:20 161:22	40:17	75:5 101:22
21:5 22:1,22	break 12:10,10	bucket 130:12	156:22 157:4
23:3,16,18,21	74:5,6,9,10	54000 150.12	158:10 159:6
23.3,10,10,21	, 1.5,0,7,10		159:24

Page 10

[called - chair]

called 33:23	23:25 24:23	causing 71:9	19:17 20:20,22
35:4,6,23 48:1	25:10 27:25	caveat 89:13	21:5 22:1,22
48:17 50:1	30:4 75:7	certain 37:25	23:3,8,16,18,22
120:18	85:13 157:10	46:20 64:19	23:24 24:10,11
calling 44:17	158:16 160:5	83:25 84:3	24:15,17,23,25
52:17	carried 9:21	92:13 135:24	25:2,7,9,20,24
calls 63:7	11:3 28:10	147:6	25:25 26:1,6,8
camp 40:15	160:15	certainly 17:25	26:22,24 27:1
camping 41:3	carries 12:8	18:22 84:3,10	27:4,6,8,14,16
147:25	157:20 159:1	101:23 135:4,5	27:18,22,24
cancer 49:7	cars 41:24	159:7	28:10,17 29:15
50:22 107:3	carte 15:18	certificate	29:18 30:4,7,7
150:3,7,12	cascade 36:1	161:1	30:9 31:5
capitol 2:23	114:7	certification	51:12 52:13,15
capping 53:23	case 1:5,7,15	37:18 80:24	53:5 71:13
56:4,7 57:3	5:7,8 15:4,4,8	81:1,11	72:1 73:22,25
64:6 68:8	15:11,12 16:18	certified 127:7	74:2,8,10,13,16
138:23	19:3 28:23,24	143:2 161:1,7	74:19,21 75:5
capricious 51:4	32:8,11 45:15	certify 161:7,15	75:9,13 85:11
65:24 68:16	54:5 62:10	cetera 82:22	85:13 86:21
69:1,9,15	63:21,22 90:18	86:7,10 104:22	87:5,10,15
70:16 78:17	110:12 116:24	135:25 138:10	88:19 89:18,19
93:20,21	122:17,18	chair 2:3,4 5:3	89:20 91:9,13
109:15 144:7	130:5,5 136:22	5:17,19 6:5 7:2	91:18,22 94:8
caps 36:11 42:5	144:20,20	7:7,14,18 8:2,6	94:13,16
140:21	cases 62:3,5,5	8:7,8,11,12,14	102:12 103:20
carcinogen	98:24	8:16,20,23 9:3	104:1,3 105:24
40:10 48:2	catch 91:14	9:8,10,21,25	112:12 113:1,4
139:19	categories	10:10,15,17	113:6 119:3
carcinogenic	128:2	11:3,8,9,13,16	122:6,14
32:12	cause 66:7,21	11:20,22 12:8	131:21,25
carefully 33:15	70:19 71:5	12:13,24 13:7	134:10,12,23
carol 2:8 5:20	155:24	13:9,11,21	135:2,10,14,21
5:20 9:11	caused 31:24	14:3,13,15,18	139:7 142:16
10:18 11:23		18:6,8,17	142:19 149:3
L			

Page 11

[chair - close]

150:1,16	107:20 124:19	circuit 62:18	145:10,11
156:10,15,25	125:1	63:20 64:2	148:5,6,10,11
157:3,7,9,20,25	changes 77:2	circuitous	cleaning 115:1
158:1,5,8,13,15	126:3 156:2	146:17	clear 11:5
159:1,6,12,14	changing 78:23	circumstances	14:20 38:22
159:19,22	characteristics	89:2	46:19 123:11
160:2,4,15,18	92:21	cite 63:21 66:24	125:14 130:11
160:19,21,23	check 81:14	cited 62:4	clearly 6:11
chairman 5:15	123:22,23	city 148:7,13	8:21 60:23
8:6,18,23 9:6	checking 90:25	civil 84:11	78:17 130:6
9:25 10:13	checks 131:20	claim 44:12	clerk 3:24 5:15
11:9,18 12:24	chemical 56:13	82:3 83:11	5:18,20,22,24
13:10 14:21	98:6,7,10,14	106:24	6:1,3 8:18 9:6
18:14 23:20	131:6 132:24	claiming 97:5	9:9,11,13,15,17
24:17 25:5,24	132:24 133:3,6	106:6	9:19 10:13,16
26:20,23 27:8	133:8,17	claims 21:22	10:18,20,22,24
27:20 29:19	137:11 138:9	76:19,25	11:1,18,21,23
31:4 52:14	chemicals	102:13 114:13	11:25 12:2,4,6
85:24 89:18	100:21 101:2	114:14 117:4	23:20,23,25
94:8 109:24	103:19	clarification	24:2,4,6,8 25:5
113:12 131:17	chief 78:1	14:21 22:25	25:8,10,12,14
134:25 142:23	children 40:7	clarifying	25:16,18 27:20
156:15 157:5	84:15	18:15	27:23,25 28:2
158:1,11	choice 136:24	clark 124:9	28:4,6,8 157:5
159:14,25	137:5	clayton 2:5 6:1	157:8,10,12,14
challenge	choose 123:15	9:17 10:24	157:16,18
122:20	chose 100:24	12:4 24:6	158:11,14,16
challenged	christopher	25:16 28:6	158:18,20,22
62:18 110:25	2:22 7:16	157:16 158:22	158:24 159:25
challenging	20:24 113:10	160:11	160:3,5,7,9,11
13:19 67:4	christopher.p	clean 17:2	160:13
chance 12:19	2:24	32:24 33:11	client 52:11
18:11 64:15	chronic 140:10	36:20 44:1	clients 152:9
change 71:5	chronology	52:5 62:5	close 38:21
89:1,15 92:7	127:18	115:10 135:8	39:9 41:25

[close - concentrations]

116:23 118:23	comma 110:19	commonly	48:10,19 49:4
118:24 130:21	comment 5:13	155:13	49:17 50:18
144:13	20:7 28:15	communicate	61:13,20 67:1
closed 16:24	53:17 54:3,9	10:2 23:10	70:23 71:11
17:3 146:19	55:4,5,21 56:1	121:23 156:17	72:11 89:24
closest 51:20	57:21 60:19,25	communicati	90:2,25 93:2
closing 4:24	61:3 62:7,15	46:13	124:1,24
closure 42:22	62:23,24 63:2	communities	125:16 130:1,2
closures 42:22	63:4,6,9,10,12	62:20	complicated
coarse 58:22	63:15 64:3,17	community	145:25 151:1
code 10:1 23:10	65:2,6,11,15	51:21	151:13
96:16 156:17	76:8,23 77:7	compared	complied 61:10
colleague 53:13	96:25 97:2,10	142:2	73:21
150:15	97:13,15 98:2	comparing	complies 33:10
color 34:22	115:25 126:22	50:11	50:15 102:8
combination	132:7,16,17	complaints	comply 32:14
114:12	134:4 140:2,2	90:11,13	32:19 50:8,11
combined 78:4	152:10,12	complete 16:13	51:23 57:1
come 17:1	commented	42:22 81:4	58:22 61:5,7
62:20 72:13	97:23	122:13 127:24	63:13 69:20
107:24 119:11	comments 20:8	132:20	70:14 102:7
122:4 123:16	22:4,5 26:6	completed	153:11,12,13
125:21 134:1,4	38:21 59:10	92:23	153:15,19
150:22 151:4	77:1,2,6,6	completely	154:3 156:6
154:22	142:16 150:11	19:5 46:1	complying
comes 31:4	152:15 159:10	122:9 134:2	61:16
42:6 51:20	commission	137:15 140:13	components
70:6 95:17	161:24	141:5 144:14	128:13
100:25 101:22	committed	completing	comport 59:10
140:9	109:10	21:13	concentration
comfortable	common 17:1	complex 76:5	107:2 139:18
90:21	61:11 82:7	92:8 151:2	150:5
coming 105:14	84:18 92:3,4	compliance	concentrations
136:15 138:19	92:12,24,25	32:7 36:12	47:14 48:2
151:13 155:17	108:13 131:5	42:2 47:20	106:4 112:19

[concentrations - continuous]

150:6	100:19,20	108:13	constructed
concern 26:4	101:22 102:2,4	consider 16:23	98:13 107:15
121:11 132:17	102:6 103:8	17:12,18 19:20	construction
concerned	104:12,14,20	21:18 33:16	92:22 118:24
12:18 31:19	104:20 105:8	103:16 104:17	123:1,6 133:21
34:19 36:15	110:24 118:16	118:2 121:19	134:7
concerns 18:8	118:18 123:19	147:16 154:18	cont 3:1
45:15,21 64:15	129:1,8,9,17	159:9	contain 33:6
72:24 86:17	133:16 135:24	considerable	64:7 80:24
87:20 116:10	137:20,21,23	12:25	contains 77:5
117:25 118:4,7	138:1,4 154:12	consideration	82:16 90:3
118:18,18,25	conducting	91:24 109:22	93:1,9,12,16
119:1 149:8	90:10	considered	contaminants
conclude 69:9	confidence	18:12 39:1	47:15
69:15 142:15	91:14 100:13	44:4,9 62:2	contend 99:2
concluded	102:9 103:10	67:23 77:13	content 57:4
130:17 160:25	104:16,21	79:25 84:20	132:14
concur 21:2	105:18	85:7 88:11	contested 1:15
condition 56:6	confident 77:12	121:17 139:16	15:4,11,12
56:8 59:19	99:7 108:20	143:11	136:21
61:6 82:19	confirm 155:22	considering	contesting
93:11,14,15	155:24	21:25 36:4	137:7
96:9 100:12	confirmation	131:13 134:16	context 117:2
101:3,11 129:2	100:7	consistent	continue 19:15
152:2	conformance	34:17 43:12,13	43:2
conditions	83:6,12 85:5	46:11 88:17	continued
26:11 27:10	106:9	103:18 132:25	16:20
33:6 40:8	confusing	consistently	continues 33:9
61:14 66:9,12	33:20 54:11	67:4	45:20 77:22
67:19 69:17	conservation	constitutional	82:14
73:4,19 82:17	1:6 3:3 6:20	109:13	continuous
83:1 90:9 93:1	21:10	construct 1:5	88:6 139:24
93:6,10 96:8	conservative	5:6 28:23 47:7	140:10 151:22
96:18 98:8	68:19 100:24	80:23 115:24	151:23
99:9,24,25	100:25 103:16	127:5 134:1	

[continuously - criteria]

continuously	99:13,21,24	controlling	country's
67:5	100:1,3,18,22	31:14,15,16	114:20,21
contract 147:9	101:1,4,7,12	39:17 58:18	county 161:4
contractors	102:3 104:11	86:11 101:25	couple 49:22
84:4	104:17 105:1	controls 56:18	51:10 59:1
contribute	105:20 110:13	56:18 114:13	98:24
66:21	110:14 116:14	129:6 151:5	coupled 59:3
control 33:4	116:17 117:4	controversies	course 17:14
36:19 37:4,4	117:14 118:9	10:4 23:12	19:7 51:8 77:9
37:10,11,19,24	118:10 119:5	156:20	77:21 80:4,9
37:24 38:1,13	120:7,14 121:1	convened 11:6	101:9 153:1
38:14 39:6	123:9 124:2	24:13 157:23	court 6:9,14
41:11 42:18	130:17,20	conversation	62:5,18,19
48:18,22 53:22	131:7 132:23	16:17 99:20	82:5 154:6
56:2,3,5,9,16	135:20 136:7	100:23	courtesy 17:20
57:16,17 58:4	136:12,17	copies 17:20	courtroom 7:19
58:7,19,20	137:2,22 138:8	copy 17:25	covered 44:14
59:3 60:1	138:11 151:14	corner 142:4	98:22
61:17,24 64:6	151:14 153:21	corners 79:7	covering
64:8 65:19,23	153:23 154:1	corollary 101:8	113:17
66:3,7,11,13,15	154:11,21,23	correct 22:18	covers 80:1
67:6,9,10,15,25	155:2,3,3,5,14	63:20 124:9,10	create 40:4
68:2,8,13,22,24	155:16	124:13 130:22	42:20
69:10,13,19	controlled 38:3	161:10	created 31:11
70:3,9,9,24	42:12 49:2	corrective	31:22,22 106:3
71:5,8,12,15,23	55:1,18 56:25	69:25 101:15	creates 32:25
72:8,13,21,24	57:14 58:7	correctly 85:25	33:12 44:19
73:6,14 79:15	69:16 85:17	130:14	creating 31:20
81:6,7 82:1,11	95:5 110:11,21	corresponden	creation 117:10
82:17 85:16,19	110:23 111:12	26:2 27:12	credibly 44:12
85:21 86:2	111:23 112:7,9	45:5 77:5	criminal 84:11
87:11,13,24	124:4 128:16	counsel 2:9	criteria 36:11
92:14 93:10,15	129:7 130:13	10:2 23:10	40:23 56:11
93:22 94:4	131:4 152:25	98:25 149:9	62:13,16
98:6 99:4,8,10		156:18	102:14,19

Page 15

[criteria - depth]

104:5	day 8:13 58:11	96:3 109:20	defining 44:3
critical 16:25	58:13 71:10	123:25 137:21	definitely 53:11
40:13 66:25	122:19 139:25	146:8	135:7
94:1 102:23	161:18	decision's	definition
140:3	days 14:23 16:7	63:15	36:21
cross 24:22	16:23 40:15	decisions 77:25	deleting 50:10
96:23	53:19 103:18	78:11,12 109:9	deliveries
crr 1:24 161:21	115:25 116:1	144:9 154:6	147:9
crushing 31:23	159:17	declaration	demonstrate
csr 1:24,25	de 79:22	17:21 18:1	47:19 48:10,19
161:6,21	deal 32:6	50:21 72:5	49:14 50:18,18
current 13:25	dealing 62:6	92:10 101:18	51:2 73:5
38:10	140:5	106:19 115:23	76:11 108:15
currently 35:6	dealt 91:8	139:14 150:8	109:12 111:20
35:15 36:1	99:16 136:16	declarations	112:7,22
38:20 63:5	debate 19:11	34:12 35:20	demonstrated
125:4 146:10	debated 77:16	78:1,3 102:22	109:18 112:24
custom 79:8	decades 114:22	147:23	demonstrates
customary 79:2	december	deemed 127:18	32:14,17
149:5,5	28:22 29:2	defend 16:20	demonstration
cut 58:9,10,14	decide 17:15	19:15	49:17 112:18
71:4 79:7	21:7 69:13	defending	department 1:9
d	81:13	77:17,23	1:17 2:15,17
d 4:1 5:2	decided 45:7	defense 62:10	3:13,15,19 5:9
da 110:7,7,7	79:23 151:11	63:22 113:16	7:9 13:17 14:7
dag 75:20	deciding	defensible	75:19 127:6
daily 101:6,18	151:12	76:13	department's
138:16 139:4,4	decision 4:14	defer 42:15	55:6,8 132:10
dam 115:14	4:14 16:2 22:7	79:23 87:3	depend 133:16
data 100:15	32:14 51:3	98:25	dependent
104:21 107:23	54:4 57:21	deference	133:21
	65:17 69:7	112:2	depends 133:22
100.4		10 1 (10	
108:4 date 61:13	77:18 80:1,3,5	deferred 64:13	deprives 97:6
date 61:13	80:12 85:9	deferred 64:13 deferring 57:18	deprives 97:6 depth 54:11
			-

[deputy - develop]

deputy 2:11,16	88:10 89:21	150:2,4,21	desire 9:22
7:19	90:5,8,10,12,21	151:24 153:10	76:11 86:24
deq 3:23 6:8	90:24 91:3,11	154:1 155:9,20	156:13
7:12,22 8:4	92:3,6 93:20	155:22	despite 82:8
12:17 14:1,24	93:21 94:10	deq's 21:15	detail 98:15
15:6 16:4,5,8	95:16,25 96:5	43:13,17 44:2	133:12 134:2,4
16:19,25 20:3	96:6,19 98:4	59:15 61:1	detailed 132:15
20:16 26:3,19	99:2,7,12,15	65:16,22 77:22	150:24 153:2
27:13 32:5,14	100:5,6,13,23	78:10 80:12	details 54:7
32:17 33:17	101:9,20,25	88:1,16 106:12	59:7 64:8 73:2
37:15 44:18	102:5,9,22,24	106:22 122:1	93:1,5 112:10
45:3,4 47:4	103:3 104:11	123:25 129:14	135:8 151:2
48:15 49:25	104:16 106:2,6	129:25 132:12	152:14 153:14
52:18,20,23	106:9 107:1,5	132:18,19	determination
53:15 54:2,3	107:10 108:5	137:5,20 147:6	137:2,16,20
55:22 58:3,24	108:15,20,25	154:15	139:14,21,21
59:9 60:7,19	109:2,9,10,12	deq.idaho.gov	153:8 154:3
61:11 63:3,7,8	109:19 110:21	2:19 3:17,21	determinations
64:10,11 65:5	112:1,2 113:15	derive 50:13	127:12 153:10
65:5,14 66:13	116:13 121:20	describe 57:15	determine
66:19,23 67:3	121:20,23	76:18	56:11 72:14
67:7 69:6,9,12	122:22 124:15	describes 58:25	88:21 104:11
70:7,7,12,17,19	124:22 125:21	93:11	129:17 130:1
72:4 73:11,18	126:11 127:15	description	determined
75:10,21 76:11	127:18,22	153:17	79:19
76:20,22 77:1	128:20,24	descriptions	determines
77:14,17,22,24	130:6 131:1	54:20 57:12	66:20 70:13
78:7,9,14,17,22	134:15,23	59:25 60:17	100:18
78:23,24 79:2	135:6 136:16	94:24 95:7	determining
79:6,18,19	136:23 137:15	128:6 152:22	99:3 102:2
80:5,16 81:8	138:18,23	design 54:21	103:1,4 127:15
81:13,14,23	140:12 141:1,6	95:1,8,10	128:21
82:13,14 83:5	141:22 143:1	110:8 128:7,11	develop 57:20
83:7,12,20	143:21 144:5	designation	62:16 93:14
84:18 85:7	145:7 149:8	45:20	128:23 130:6

[developed - drive]

	1	1	1
developed 33:5	direction 161:9	discusses	documents
33:7 57:19	directions	148:19	20:9 21:18
59:8 60:19	65:14 135:18	discussing	29:21 52:18,25
61:12 87:23	directly 44:5	12:25 76:1	71:16 77:1,6
126:19 129:13	83:14	148:25	80:22 120:23
129:19,25	director 3:15	discussion 4:6	120:25 121:3,6
134:8 152:11	disagree 94:21	10:10 12:22	121:24
developing	109:8	23:3 25:23	doing 16:12
128:25 133:2	disagreed 64:2	27:16 115:7	42:16,20 70:5
development	disappointment	121:10 137:9	86:11 102:25
20:11 63:2	13:13	138:22 147:14	105:3,4,4
76:7 115:19	discharges	disingenuous	108:9 114:25
130:4	62:11,17,22	76:16 99:7	139:6,9 149:10
dialogue 19:4	discourage	122:3	domestically
20:3,8	60:12,16 82:24	dislike 78:13	114:19
dictates 93:24	discovery	107:9 109:8	doris 1:24
difference	52:23	dispute 58:23	161:6,21
40:17	discrete 78:21	distinguish	dosimeter 84:1
different 38:4	discretion	148:6	144:22
47:1 67:20	78:17 83:6	divide 48:7	dr 5:18 9:9
71:23,24 103:8	96:7,9,19	108:6	10:16 11:21
122:9 125:2,20	109:16 116:13	divided 50:6	12:23 23:23
126:13 128:2	118:22 128:21	dividing 29:10	25:8 27:23
133:9,10,15	129:15,16,25	50:4	157:8 158:14
137:21 138:11	130:6 136:24	division 2:11	160:3
155:1	discuss 9:23	3:19 78:8	draft 32:4 65:1
difficult 99:11	10:3 19:10	docket 28:23	77:8 97:10,12
129:1	23:11 78:19	document	97:22
digest 13:6	156:18	30:12 77:7,8	draw 34:23
diligent 109:11	discussed 60:4	81:3 100:17	drawing 89:16
dilute 106:4	62:4 63:14	113:25 141:14	drawings 54:21
140:23 149:6,9	77:25 126:24	141:16	94:25 95:8
dilution 50:24	135:4 136:6	documentation	128:7 152:22
51:21 52:17,24	148:21	137:10	drive 123:14
53:4 106:25			

[driving - enforce]

driving 58:16	133:18 134:13	101:8 102:3	emission 47:21
71:22 84:5,7	134:14,14	104:11,17	47:25 67:18
drop 59:4	136:4,7,15	105:2,20 136:7	104:10 149:11
105:16 119:11	137:11 138:7,9	136:12,17	emissions
dropping 58:19	138:9,11,11,19	137:23	32:16 33:2
dust 31:11,14	151:5,14	effort 75:23	46:24 47:9
31:15,16,22	153:21,23	159:8	48:6,7 49:23
32:6 33:4	154:1,10,21,22	efforts 75:25	50:3,3,5,8,10
46:16 53:22	155:2,3,3,5,16	76:11	50:12,14 54:24
56:2,3,5,9,13	156:3	eight 93:10	54:25 55:17
56:16,18,18,22	dusty 69:24	either 41:5,11	58:9 79:15
56:24 57:17	103:8	73:18	95:3 100:2
58:4,6,7,16,18	e	elaborate 69:2	101:13,14
58:19,20 59:3	e 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1	elaine 3:24 5:14	108:16 110:14
61:4,7,9,17,24	5:2,2 7:1,17	7:23 8:17 9:4	128:14 130:16
64:5,8 65:19	earlier 65:10	10:12 11:17	130:25 136:4
65:23 66:3,5,7	82:19 93:18	23:19 25:4	136:13 138:19
66:11,13,15	99:20 100:23	27:19 157:3	140:21,24,24
67:5,15,25	126:24 128:20	158:10 159:24	140:24 141:2,5
68:2,7,10,19,20	early 77:1	eloquently 89:8	149:6,14,24
68:21 70:3,9,9	95:10 121:16	113:15 139:13	150:5,6 152:24
70:24 71:5,8	121:20	elroy 2:6 5:22	emit 49:1,3
71:12,15,22	easement 81:22	5:23 9:13,14	emphasize
72:13,24 73:13	easier 6:14	10:20,21 11:25	80:15 106:16
79:15 92:14	east 147:4	12:1 14:22	106:22
93:11,15,22,23	edge 70:23	24:2,3 25:12	employee 84:4
99:4,10,13,15	education	25:13 28:2,3	161:13
100:22 101:4	139:18	128:18 152:20	encircles 33:24
101:11,16,25	effectively 59:3	157:12,13	encourage
103:13,17,19	effectiveness	158:18,19	106:18 121:13
105:13 110:13	58:18	160:7,8	ended 16:17
110:16 129:5	efficiency 33:4	elroy's 126:16	ends 110:10
130:17 131:6,7	67:10 68:23	128:1	energy 2:11
132:23,24,25	71:5 72:8	emails 77:5	enforce 73:13
133:3,6,8,13,17	100:3,18 101:1		
	100.3,10 101.1		

[enforceability - examination]

enforceability	123:20 143:24	122:3 124:1	117:12,14
103:22 104:6	entity 38:4	137:10 148:17	125:7 136:17
enforceable	entrance 5:12	148:24 151:17	establishes
33:6 66:9	entry 34:25	151:21 152:9	136:6
67:19,24	35:2,14,14,16	epa's 15:5	esteemed 98:25
102:14 103:23	35:17,17,22	21:16 37:5	estimates 71:21
enforcement	43:1 146:11,11	39:13 43:13	et 82:22 86:6,9
83:4 102:19	environmental	44:19,23 88:17	104:22 135:24
engage 76:12	1:1,9,17 2:15	121:25 147:5	138:9
98:4,17	2:17 3:13,15	equal 105:1	evaluate
engaged 76:22	3:19 5:4,10 7:9	equation 105:3	124:22
engineering	14:7 36:4	equipment	evaluated 54:2
108:3	62:10 63:22	92:18	62:2 144:20
ensure 61:9,13	75:20	equivalent	evaluating
66:10 73:16,20	epa 8:4 12:17	49:10	140:13 141:22
93:2 101:7	13:15 15:8,15	eric 124:9	evaluation
127:23 130:2	16:1,4,7,15,21	erred 78:22	122:1
136:18 137:22	17:1 19:1,3,4	error 15:22	everybody
139:1 156:6	19:11,13,19,22	26:14 43:18	114:5 143:5
entails 16:14	20:3,8,9,18	errors 91:18	148:12 156:11
enter 9:22 10:1	21:11,25 26:3	109:11	157:22 159:7
11:4 15:5	26:13 27:13	escort 41:11	everyone's 6:16
20:14 23:9	36:24 37:8	82:20 152:5	evidence 33:16
42:25 86:7	45:4,5,13,15,20	escorted 41:14	37:23 38:15
87:7 156:13,16	46:3,7,13	esq 2:10,16,22	50:24 82:10,14
entered 18:13	62:12,16 63:23	3:4,9	143:25 144:10
entering 86:13	64:13,18,21	essence 118:12	144:12
86:25	65:4,8 72:22	essentially	exact 98:9
entertain 9:23	72:23 73:1	16:25 28:11	144:18
11:8 23:5,6	77:19 83:7	50:7 108:9	exactly 48:6
24:15 27:7,18	87:19,20 88:9	117:11 138:20	57:14 105:20
74:8 156:14	95:22 96:16	establish	120:16
159:13	97:16 100:16	119:21	examination
entire 33:25	103:7 121:6,10	established	76:10 82:4
81:19,21	121:11,23	34:11 63:3	
,	, ,		

[examined - fact]

examined	116.16 10	exit 43:1	39:7 50:16
	116:16,19 117:16 118:9		
77:13,16		exits 35:3,3	88:4 95:22
example 81:8	118:22 120:8	expanded	144:5
83:16 84:17	121:12 123:10	126:1	externally 76:4
85:4 88:5	123:11 124:2	expect 64:22	extremely
89:15 90:9,17	143:13,14,23	expectation	121:15
90:19 91:15	144:14 146:15	102:6	eyes 69:23
93:9 104:15	excluded 36:22	expected 83:24	f
105:21 130:16	121:4,7 145:19	expecting	f 10:2 23:10
132:23 133:18	147:11	123:2	156:17
144:16 145:21	excluding	expects 65:12	facilities 62:21
149:18 151:7	32:23 39:17	126:6	81:20 99:15
examples	143:3	experience 78:5	107:15 145:14
132:22	exclusion 85:21	expert 15:23	145:16,17,18
excavation	exclusions	26:17 109:3	facility 31:25
138:17	88:18	expertise 69:12	37:1,2 44:6
exceed 101:14	excuse 14:13	experts 77:24	54:22 55:17
101:14	40:12 47:16	102:9,22	66:20 67:21
exceedance	49:15,21	108:25 109:4	68:7 70:22
90:17	executive 9:23	expires 161:24	81:19 83:15,17
exceeds 36:24	10:1 11:4,7,10	explain 133:13	84:8,9,18 85:3
exception	11:12 23:6,9	explained	85:22 86:20,25
149:19	24:12,14,18,20	132:14 151:10	88:10 89:25
exceptional	156:14,16	exploration	90:16 91:3
75:23	157:21,24	42:17	92:22 95:1
exceptionally	158:2,4	exposed 44:22	98:13 101:6
131:12	exempt 45:8	150:4	116:11 124:23
exceptions 79:6	exercising	exposure 40:11	128:8 129:20
excess 109:14	127:22	40:13,18 44:8	149:15,20,21
exclude 37:1,3	exhibit 33:18	44:14 139:23	152:4,23
37:8,10,11,12	exist 36:1	139:24,25	fact 50:11 76:6
37:19 39:11,14	existing 40:8	140:2,4,10,11	76:22 77:7
39:23 45:17	119:14	141:1,9	81:14 96:6
80:19 81:7	exists 35:8	extent 21:21	99:11 104:5
83:1,8,9		37:25 38:14	107:19 109:17

Page 21

[fact - fork]

118:23 122:25	favor 74:17	92:5	focused 32:3
141:4	79:19 160:21	finding 116:13	47:25 128:5
factor 50:1,24	fear 63:1	finds 78:22	fold 48:25 49:6
51:22 52:17,19	federal 62:5	fine 18:22	49:12,14 50:9
52:24 53:4	154:5	48:17 70:1,25	51:24
106:3	feedback 13:18	78:11 88:10	folks 90:11
facts 19:20,22	feel 22:12,14	95:23 101:23	102:17 119:6
19:25 20:12	32:23	107:14	follow 22:11
factual 15:22	feels 90:21	first 16:5 18:24	42:10 73:12
26:15 120:12	108:20	20:1 29:7 53:2	84:12 86:21
failed 109:10	fences 37:13	57:8 66:20	87:18 88:19
109:12	fifth 71:2	72:23 79:18	90:13 91:9
fair 18:9,11	figure 30:23	80:11,16 87:21	102:12 142:23
26:5 106:12	48:5 50:13	107:1 128:5	followed 90:10
fairly 21:16	figuring 32:5	137:5 142:24	following 81:8
fairness 13:4	file 18:23	150:17,21	foot 149:20,21
17:6	filed 17:21	159:3	footnote 149:7
falls 73:9	22:19 28:20	fish 89:22	foregoing
false 16:16	95:14 146:5	fishing 52:9	161:10
20:15	filing 17:24	147:25 148:15	forest 35:21
familiar 33:21	filings 76:3	fit 106:23	36:3 38:4,8,10
85:15 88:9	final 16:22 17:9	five 12:10	38:11,12,18,22
114:23 130:3	54:4 57:21	13:24 14:1,5	38:24 43:2
145:15	63:15 65:17	105:24 113:3	88:21 90:19
far 20:8 21:19	105:23 110:19	149:22 159:3	114:15 115:8
22:18 51:22	111:3,24 155:9	flesh 93:6	116:21 117:5,7
78:3 98:16	159:17	floor 2:17 3:16	117:20 119:20
102:18 115:18	finally 16:7	3:20 75:13	120:3,16
132:17 133:4	78:19 116:8	flow 105:16	122:21 124:9
138:14 141:9	financial	floyd 3:18 18:2	124:15 125:8
141:23 144:23	115:13	78:8 87:25	126:4 144:3
144:23 147:19	financially	115:23 119:17	forget 77:9
farms 89:22	161:15	flush 93:5	fork 1:7 3:8
farther 76:21	find 17:2 70:19	flying 46:16	6:21,24 23:2
	77:11 91:6,7		28:21 29:8

[form - give]

	1		
form 84:2	frequently	further 28:15	gates 82:21
formally 22:3	83:19 91:6	45:1 49:17	gathering 52:9
format 142:23	151:4	58:25 84:5	148:16
former 102:21	front 15:3 19:6	93:17 97:25	general 2:11,12
forms 127:5	20:13 126:4	107:7 108:6,7	2:16 7:20 12:9
forth 55:25	135:4	138:13 156:8	12:14 25:20
62:13 64:16	fugitive 31:14	161:15	42:11 44:4,6
65:10 108:2	31:16 33:4	future 55:23	44:10 45:23
109:6	53:22 56:3,5,9	57:18 65:12	46:4 76:9
forward 6:17	56:16,22 61:4	g	80:19 81:7
64:22 65:5	61:7,9,17,24	g 5:27:13	83:2,9,14,21
70:8 71:9	64:5 67:5	g 3.27.13 ganino 3:24	84:7,20 86:3
79:13 109:4	68:10 72:9,13	5:15,18,20,22	86:20 87:9
found 26:11	72:24 92:14	5:24 6:1,3 7:23	88:8,24 89:14
79:18,19 109:2	93:11,15,22,23	8:18 9:6,9,11	103:21,24
115:4	99:15 101:4,11	9:13,15,17,19	116:15,16,19
four 16:8 29:12	101:16,25	10:13,16,18,20	118:20 123:10
53:22 55:12	110:13,14,16	10:22,24 11:1	123:12 124:3
63:3 65:21	129:5 130:15	11:18,21,23,25	143:3 147:11
71:2 76:8	131:7 132:23	12:2,4,6 23:20	147:15,17
79:12,17 98:12	133:12,18	23:23,25 24:2	generally 88:17
109:25 129:18	136:4,7,15	24:4,6,8 25:5,8	114:5
131:13,14,19	138:7,9,11,19	25:10,12,14,16	generated
fourth 30:17	156:3	25:18 27:20,23	58:17 71:16
frame 22:13	full 81:6 118:10	27:25 28:2,4,6	generating
framework	151:1	28:8 157:5,8	66:5 71:22
134:3	fully 13:5 17:19	157:10,12,14	gentlemen 78:4
frankly 20:18	29:2 63:18	157:16,18	getting 66:18
78:12	80:7 126:19	158:11,14,16	113:24 143:13
free 47:2	127:22,24	158:18,20,22	gigantic 122:16
frequency	134:7	158:24 159:25	give 13:23,24
56:12 60:15	fund 62:10	160:3,5,7,9,11	17:15 21:6
101:4,12	63:22	160:13	36:6 38:13
153:25	furnished	gate 86:23	48:23 65:5
	127:6	8	83:16 98:11

[give - guided]

113:17 114:4,9	going 6:17	144:2,19 145:1	ground 102:18
117:1,15	12:10 29:12,13	145:3,4,8,9,22	113:17
141:10	30:14,16 31:23	146:2,4,7,22	groundless
given 18:11	33:19 34:19	149:14,15	32:18
38:3 92:8	38:12 39:1	151:5,5,14,14	group 72:6
gives 96:6	41:3,22 42:11	151:19 152:12	84:15
glaring 43:16	42:19 43:17,21	153:15,22	guard 86:7
go 21:7 29:23	44:14,21,22	154:21,22	123:12
31:3 35:7,16	46:5,16,17	155:6,16	guardhouses
37:7 40:14,24	49:3,5 51:5	goings 55:18	82:21
42:9 43:8	53:14 54:13	good 7:16	guards 86:6
45:12 46:17	55:16,17,22	48:16 59:16	guess 22:10
48:12 51:12,23	56:16,17,19,19	66:14 70:4,6,7	45:2 69:2
59:14,16 83:23	56:24 57:6	72:17 73:11	72:20 91:10
87:21 89:16	58:15 59:4	77:23 90:17	129:22 154:7
103:6 114:2,3	62:15,20 63:4	114:16 118:6	guest 41:8,14
115:16 116:5	63:24 65:25	121:25 139:9	41:15 43:9,20
118:1 119:3	67:21 71:2	151:7 152:21	84:8,9 86:24
122:23,24	72:14,17 73:12	154:21 155:4,5	147:2
123:16,17	73:14 82:3,6	155:6	guests 43:23
131:21 134:14	86:23 90:22,23	google 145:24	44:17 80:19
134:19 141:17	94:18 98:5,25	gosh 155:10	82:22 84:19,19
142:24 143:8	100:4,7,10	gotcha 57:22	143:4,5,11
143:21 144:10	105:17 107:13	grade 133:22	144:15 145:2
145:22 146:7	107:16,17,18	granted 47:8	147:12,17
146:18 147:21	108:10,10	87:11	guidance 43:13
153:14	114:16,17	grave 33:12	43:17 44:2,18
goal 115:3	118:14,21	gray 34:22	45:3 52:18
goes 34:24 35:2	121:17 123:13	great 30:6	77:5 83:7,12
36:17 46:7	123:17,18,22	69:25 70:4	83:13 85:6
56:25 85:19	124:16 129:23	75:9 112:2	100:17 124:1
88:20 119:12	130:19 131:4	greater 67:15	147:6
119:13 138:13	133:4,6 135:15	105:1	guidances 83:7
141:9,24 143:7	135:18,19	green 34:24	guided 83:19
153:19	141:4 143:9,20		

[guise - huge]

guise 33:9	hard 84:2	154:15 156:25	68:13 69:19
h	144:21 146:3	heard 18:25	70:8,9 76:2
h 7:1,6	hat 84:2 100:15	31:6 79:18	78:20 100:13
habitation	144:22	80:8 83:11	102:10 104:21
51:14 112:20	haul 53:23 56:3	99:9 104:7	150:5
half 40:16	56:7,24,25	150:21 151:18	highest 141:2
74:16 110:9,15	57:3,7 64:6	159:9	highlight 85:4
111:10	68:8 99:4	hearing 1:15	86:18
hand 95:20	101:12,18	15:14,25 16:2	highlighted
161:17	130:25 133:20	17:14 22:17	138:12
handle 29:12	133:21 136:4,8	26:12 27:10	highly 76:4
29:13	136:8,13	29:1 37:16	78:6,6 86:6
handled 28:14	138:19,23	75:18 77:20	highway 84:6,7
123:8	hauling 31:24	79:17,23,24	145:17,19
	101:7 122:6	80:2,3 96:1,3	hiking 147:24
hang 141:15	138:17	109:1 131:15	hilton 1:18
hanging 41:13 hannah 2:16	hazard 42:21	139:13 146:8	2:17 3:16,20
7:13 14:6	he'll 33:3	159:18	hit 154:18,24
75:19 102:12	head 6:13	hearings 34:13	155:2,7
	130:11	heart 57:1	hope 51:6
hannah.young 2:19	health 31:20	heavily 43:5	85:25 87:9
	32:25 33:12	heavy 31:24	109:17
happen 58:4,6	39:25 44:20	held 5:9 62:19	hopefully 36:7
63:15 89:21	47:10,17 49:5	help 7:23 61:9	hoping 20:13
123:14 155:23	49:19 50:19	61:13 110:12	104:7
happened 53:18 93:23	107:7 108:17	130:1,2	host 82:20
	112:17,23	helpful 16:20	hour 16:11
happening 13:16 155:25	healthy 36:24	17:22 21:25	40:16,17 74:3
	40:9	22:7 131:13	74:16
happens 83:19	hear 11:14	132:3	hours 98:9,12
88:23 91:6,20 123:15	13:23 23:16	helps 72:22	101:5
	24:23 26:9	hesitate 20:1	huge 44:20
happy 21:3,23	27:7 30:4 31:7	hey 148:18	52:2 58:14
22:4,6,8 72:16	75:7,10 76:14	high 19:12	59:4 122:16
97:3 108:23	99:5 113:7	66:10 67:1	
109:23			

[huh - individual]

huh 6:13 29:22	161:3,23	importance	76:7 122:2
human 47:10	idaho's 64:24	89:8 119:22	130:14,18
47:16,16 49:5	idapa 61:4,16	important 34:9	152:18 153:2
49:19 50:6,19	idea 39:25 59:7	34:10 35:12	153:20
51:13 108:17	71:14 105:22	37:20 38:23	includes 55:19
112:20,23	107:16 113:21	43:3 54:7	57:11,12 59:24
hundred	114:10 151:3	56:20 57:23	114:14 117:17
137:12 148:9	155:6	59:6 60:3 64:8	120:9
hungry 75:16	identified	78:14 114:20	including 32:23
hunting 52:9	27:10 62:17	121:16 127:2	50:12 54:24
148:15	129:5 130:15	127:14 133:7	78:8 80:22
hurlbutt 3:4	identify 6:22	136:10 139:15	104:14 149:2
4:9,16,19 7:5,5	7:10 60:11,13	147:21 152:14	inclusion 15:7
21:9,9 22:6,18	103:11	153:3,18	15:13
22:21 26:25	ideq 73:6,12	impossibility	incomplete
27:5 29:9	ideq's 45:21	91:5 108:2	21:16 76:18
32:21 33:3	ignored 144:5	112:11	127:19
53:7,10,14	illustrates	impossible	inconsistencies
61:15 63:5,20	141:21	155:11	43:16
69:4,8 71:20	imagine 122:14	impression	inconsistency
72:2,12,20	135:21	16:16 20:16	95:13
74:1 137:7	immediate	76:16 99:7,10	inconsistent
150:17	101:15	improvements	43:25 44:18
i	imminently	73:8	95:18 144:2
icl 7:3 26:24	10:5 23:13	inclement	increase 48:25
28:21 29:8	156:20	118:25	61:24
idaho 1:2,6,9	impact 58:2	include 8:9	increased
1:11,17,19,25	112:20 141:8	26:3 27:1	49:15
2:18,20,23 3:2	impacted 51:14	55:13 56:17	incredibly 19:2
3:5,10,13,16,20	impacts 124:23	57:15 82:23	increments
5:4,7 6:20 10:1	125:16 141:11	94:4 96:19	47:21 48:19,21
21:10 23:10	imperative	151:22 153:7	49:8 51:24,24
33:11 36:20	104:19	153:21	individual
65:12 83:18	implementati	included 9:1	40:14
96:16 156:17	67:8 72:7	54:8 57:24	

[individually - issued]

individually	informational	instructions	involve 32:9
138:2	76:8,24	92:20 133:1,9	82:6
indulge 83:17	informed 90:22	intend 113:14	involved 63:22
indulging	initial 16:15	intent 44:1	78:7
25:22	155:15	143:17	involvement
industries 92:9	initially 8:22	intention 88:1	54:6 97:7
inevitable	117:20 136:21	interest 13:4	irritants 40:6
78:12	initiated 15:13	21:13 43:15	isolation 138:1
information	injure 47:9,15	interested	issuance 92:1
13:19 15:22	49:5 108:16	12:20 161:16	92:16 134:9
16:21 20:5,6	injuring 49:18	internally 76:4	issue 13:16
23:4 25:22	inl 84:4,14,16	interpret 112:1	30:17,17,18
26:15 54:1,16	84:21,23 85:15	interpretation	32:10 36:8,14
54:19 55:4,7,9	85:18,22 86:1	112:1	36:22 37:15
55:14,16,19	86:16 144:16	interpreting	42:6 43:8,9,21
56:17,20 57:10	144:21 145:15	112:3 152:19	44:25 46:13
57:12 59:1,12	145:21	interrelated	51:20 53:15
59:14,24 60:21	inputs 109:6	14:11	59:6 60:3
60:24 61:1	inputted	interrupt 94:3	61:12,19 62:25
62:1,21 64:23	107:24	intervenor 1:12	64:12,23 65:20
65:10 69:6,11	insert 82:4	2:20	65:21 66:19
81:2,3 90:7	inside 34:3,21	introduce 6:6	76:12 77:18
94:23,24 95:7	36:9,16 38:7	6:15	80:11 85:8
95:24 96:7	40:17	introduced	88:4 97:8
97:17 100:10	inspect 57:6	15:10,15,17	98:22 99:2,16
102:10 107:11	inspection	16:2 17:16	99:23 102:15
107:23 110:7	67:12 91:10	77:20	105:23 106:24
127:10,15,20	101:18	introductory	109:5,19 122:4
127:21 128:1,6	inspections	127:3	135:15 136:5
132:11,13	89:20 90:10	investigate	139:10 149:9
149:12,13,18	91:1 101:6	81:14	154:8 159:15
150:24 152:21	103:1	investigations	159:17
153:7,17,18	installed 92:19	143:22	issued 5:6 15:5
154:4	instance 38:4	invitees 147:9	16:3,8 29:1
			52:20 59:13

[issued - know]

61:2 62:7	join 21:1	89:20 98:14	41:4,18,20,20
63:11 77:23	joint 4:6,12 8:3	113:16,21,21	41:24 42:16,23
79:25 101:20	8:24 12:15	114:6,6,7,9,10	44:16,21 45:2
123:3 126:19	jthrower 3:11	115:12,15,18	49:18 50:12
133:5,25	judgment 4:15	115:20 116:15	51:14,21,22
issues 19:2,5,8	17:18 79:9	117:3,18	52:3,11 61:4,5
20:10,10 29:13	91:18	118:13,14,20	64:14 66:14
33:4 36:17	judgments	118:25 119:12	68:18,18 71:1
46:3 54:3	79:10	119:13,13,19	71:10,17,18,20
65:21 78:21,22	julia 3:9 7:1	120:17 121:11	73:14 77:17
79:13,17 80:2	23:1 56:21	121:18,21	78:2 79:4 80:7
107:20 116:5	julie 54:12	122:3,19	80:9 82:9,19
131:13,19	juncture 15:11	123:15,18	84:24 86:5,6
142:25	june 161:24	126:12 127:2	87:24 89:6,22
issuing 64:4,10	justifiably	128:4,18,24	90:6,16,16,20
64:11 73:21	121:4	129:1 131:5	91:6 93:18
77:22 79:10	justification	132:4,19	94:18 99:16
item 12:15	51:2	133:12 134:4	100:8 102:4,25
13:25 14:16	justify 50:23	136:11,20,23	103:5,10,17
28:11,13,18,18	k	137:1,7,19	106:11,14,20
items 28:15	keep 38:2	138:12 140:3,4	107:18 108:9
iterations 76:9	keeping 90:7	140:9 141:8,11	108:10 111:24
j	90:20,22	141:21,23	112:15 115:7
jess 3:14	kevin 50:21	151:22 152:16	118:17,25
jess.byrne 3:17	78:2 106:18	154:4	119:9 121:9,16
job 6:14 72:17	150:8	kinds 151:1	122:11 123:12
77:22 154:21	key 70:5 91:10	152:13	125:13 126:2
155:6	117:9	know 15:19	127:16 128:9
john 2:4,4 5:24	kids 147:25	16:10,22 17:13	129:4,6 130:25
9:15 10:22	kind 34:24 36:6	17:22 18:22	133:3,6,13
12:2 24:4	37:13 42:6	20:2,6,16	134:5,21 135:1
25:14 28:4	53:3 55:19,24	29:11,25 30:20	135:3,8,9,12
157:14 158:20	57:22 60:23	31:6 33:19	137:19 138:20
160:9	61:25 62:1,20	37:22,23 38:1	140:12 141:13
	67:23 68:2,5	40:7,9,22 41:2	142:13 143:1,6
	· · /-		

Page 28

[know - life]

	1		
143:17,19,21	114:15,15	leave 84:10,13	19:22 20:4,11
144:5,13,17,21	146:12 147:12	115:3	20:15,18 21:11
144:23,23,25	language 88:5	led 121:22	21:15,20,21,25
145:1,3,6,6,8	94:20 96:6	left 16:14 76:16	26:13 27:11
145:10,15,16	127:3,14	85:1 99:6,10	28:13 45:13
145:24,25	large 40:4	114:6,7 142:4	46:7 64:13,18
146:1,20 147:3	63:22 77:5	legal 10:2,3,4	64:21 72:22
147:4,5,9,14,15	lastly 106:2	23:10,12 36:19	87:19 88:5,16
147:18 148:3,4	late 14:23	37:4,10,19,24	95:22 121:10
148:9,22 150:3	15:11 16:11	38:1,13,16	148:17,20
151:25 152:8	17:23 65:6	39:14 45:16,23	151:17,21
154:22	122:4	78:13,14	letters 16:3
knowing 84:18	latest 95:14	116:14,17,18	17:5,7 26:10
143:9	law 3:9 32:19	117:15 118:9	77:20
known 73:3	43:25 54:5	120:13 121:1	letting 90:16
133:25	78:15 79:20	121:12 124:1,2	level 19:12 50:9
knows 114:5	80:6	143:22 149:9	66:11 67:2,5
1	lawful 78:15	156:17,18,19	67:25 68:13
1 7:6	laws 44:15	legally 76:13	69:13,19 70:9
lab 83:18 86:5	laxer 148:8	length 82:9	70:9 73:6 76:2
label 98:11	lay 36:6 102:17	lengthy 76:6	76:9 78:20
labeled 143:5	layout 146:3,9	120:20	87:13 99:8
144:15	layperson	lenient 51:7	100:1,13
lack 63:1	102:21,21	lessens 50:7	101:15 103:4,9
lacked 99:3	lays 152:2	lesser 72:18	levels 40:23
lacks 66:9	lead 16:16	154:14	68:2
69:17 102:14	75:20	letter 8:4,10	liability 84:2
104:6	leads 71:8	12:16,19,21	86:9
land 35:13,21	132:4 137:17	13:1,2,6,6,13	license 1:25
36:7 38:2,5,9	league 1:6 3:3	13:17 14:23,25	lied 96:1
43:23 90:20	6:20 21:10	15:5,7,10,15,21	life 42:23 47:11
143:8,16 146:1	learns 90:8	16:1,8,15,19,22	47:16,17 50:4
146:9 147:1	lease 81:21	16:24 17:2,10	107:12,22
lands 34:15	82:2	18:2,10,12	108:7,17
38:6 44:13		19:1,13,19,20	138:16 140:15

[life - macmillan]

140:17,18	listing 67:22	look 13:2 37:5	louder 30:6
lifetime 50:6	litigated 10:5,6	37:23 47:5	love 14:5 47:22
107:3,18	23:12,13	70:7 76:25	lovely 97:4
139:23	156:20,21	82:1,2 103:7	low 103:12
light 81:11	litigation 10:4	121:13,24	150:6
likely 10:5	156:19	127:17 130:12	lower 114:7
23:13 45:8	little 12:18 30:6	132:8 137:25	lowered 140:9
125:2 156:21	33:19 35:1,10	132.8 137.23	lunch 53:12
	35:24 36:7,8		
liking 78:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	143:24 144:8	74:9,11,22
limit 67:23 68:5	45:10 48:23	148:20 152:2	75:15 147:4
100:2 104:10	51:7 68:9	looked 61:8,10	luncheon 74:23
133:20 136:12	69:24 79:1	130:23	m
138:16 140:21	87:19 89:3	looking 34:21	m 1:24 161:6
limitations	93:8 95:12	69:23 141:1	161:21
15:20,25 17:13	111:25 113:3,3	149:8	m.c. 2:16
limited 15:18	117:1,18 122:3	looks 69:24,24	macmillan 2:4
73:7 83:23	145:22 148:8	102:18,19	5:18,19 8:6,8
118:15 122:12	live 148:9	loop 130:21	8:12,23 9:9,10
122:12 138:18	livelihood	lot 16:20 33:19	9:25 10:16,17
146:25 147:1	33:14	34:9 41:21	11:9,21,22
156:4,4	lives 52:2	42:5 43:7 46:5	12:23,24 23:8
limiting 138:20	living 41:4	46:16 51:5	23:23,24 24:10
limits 47:25	llp 2:22	58:9,10,15,16	24:17 25:8,9
67:18 70:14	location 114:5	66:24 69:11	25:24 26:1
133:19 138:15	114:11	70:21 78:6	27:8,23,24
138:16,23	locations 83:25	79:2 89:9	30:4,7 71:13
140:16	locked 82:21	90:15 93:24	72:1 74:10
line 33:24	log 36:1	99:14 108:12	89:18,20 91:9
34:24 89:6	logistics 6:7	110:24 111:1	91:13,18,22
list 95:6	7:23	112:9 138:10	94:8,13,16
listed 49:11	long 74:15	145:4,5,23	122:6,14
67:20	100:10 107:16	146:1 147:15	134:10,12,23
listen 75:16	145:9 151:11	147:22,24	135:2,10,14,21
listening 77:3	longer 87:8	148:4 154:17	156:15 157:8,9
	137:8	159:9,9	158:1,14,15

Page 30

[macmillan - mean]

159:14 160:3,4	makes 13:18	map 33:21,22	math 30:23
160:18	40:17 41:16	113:20 140:18	mathematically
made 14:24	54:4 81:10	141:11 145:23	104:24
16:2 20:18	110:9 132:20	march 1:16 5:1	matter 1:4 5:6
38:22 55:10,20	making 26:4	8:3 12:16	6:19 7:8 9:23
63:16 64:19,25	57:21 65:17	14:25 27:12	14:7,12 16:24
65:2 76:25	79:9 137:15	64:14 161:18	17:3 19:4
77:2,3 79:6	manage 64:9	mark 5:16 8:19	28:22 29:2
81:9,15 95:24	151:6	9:7 10:14	32:9,15 39:3
96:11 102:13	managed 60:6	11:19 23:21	40:2 41:2,20
109:9,20 118:3	63:24	25:6 27:21	42:1,8 46:22
118:4 127:5	management	157:6 158:12	51:18 58:23
132:5 140:1,2	46:9 53:23	160:1	66:6 76:16
150:3,7	59:18,20,21	marked 119:12	79:18,22,25
main 35:25	60:2,3,9 63:23	mascarenas 2:8	109:8,22
56:23 73:10	64:7 82:22	5:20,21 9:11	111:25 122:25
maintain 57:7	144:3,24 145:1	9:12 10:18,19	145:5 148:4
88:6 151:22	151:15,18,19	11:23,24 23:25	matters 40:6
maintains	152:3,8,11	24:1,24 25:1	maximum
87:24	manager 90:20	25:10,11 27:25	133:20 138:24
maintenance	mandates	28:1 30:5 75:8	141:24,24
53:24 95:15	101:11	85:11,14,24	mccall 3:10
make 6:13 8:8	manner 54:25	87:16 104:1,4	114:6
17:9,18 20:23	95:3 110:10,20	157:10,11	mcelroy 8:15
23:7 32:19	110:22 111:11	158:16,17	13:10,12 23:17
36:7,8 39:7	111:22 112:6,8	160:5,6	52:14,16
41:11 69:6	128:15 130:13	matches 73:10	109:24 110:5
76:19 82:3	152:25	material	111:4,7,10,14
83:5 88:24	manual 53:24	138:24	130:10 131:9
92:8 95:21	92:14,17 95:15	materials 58:11	131:12 132:2
106:8 115:17	manufacturer's	72:15 75:24	142:7 157:2
120:10 127:12	92:19 98:11	125:14 126:16	159:21
130:11 144:9	133:1 135:12	126:25 129:3	mean 17:11
147:20 153:8	manufacturers	129:11	18:15,16 22:3
153:11 154:2	133:8		39:17,20,22

[mean - met]

40:1 51:21	49:14,15,16	30:14,19 39:16	160:10,12,14
61:24 68:23	62:14,16 66:4	39:20 40:11,20	160:20
69:2,2 72:12	66:8 67:16	40:25 41:7,10	members 2:2
84:23 103:25	70:18 82:25	42:10,24 43:4	12:20 45:22
114:16 116:2	83:24 87:7	45:2,7,10	84:20 113:12
118:12 122:20	104:19,20	51:10,13 52:4	148:15 152:9
125:22 129:15	110:15 139:1,1	52:7,14,16	memo 120:18
129:22 131:1	153:23 155:7	61:3 62:25	124:7,8
136:13 138:2	meeting 5:3,5,8	63:17 68:15	memorandum
143:6,12	5:11 10:8	69:5 72:3,19	4:14,14
145:10,14	11:11 23:15	74:12,15 83:21	memos 120:15
147:5,16	24:19 41:5	84:6 85:2	149:7
meaning 36:23	47:20 67:15	86:22 87:8,18	mention 69:22
39:24	68:12 75:6	88:1 94:2,7	71:2 87:20
meaningful	99:24 122:15	96:11,15,21,24	138:3 148:14
54:6 62:6	154:10 156:24	98:20 104:24	148:17 153:22
63:14 64:3	158:3 159:6	105:7,10,12,18	mentioned 43:9
65:11 97:7	meetings 6:9	109:24 110:5	56:21 71:1
means 139:23	76:8,24	111:4,7,10,14	88:15 92:4
measure 89:24	meets 149:1	112:14,25	112:17 117:2
90:1 136:15	member 2:7	119:5,10,16,18	128:20 140:16
measured	5:21,23,25 6:2	124:7,11,14,19	146:24 148:18
105:15 136:14	6:4 8:15 9:12	125:3,9,19,25	merits 17:12
measures 32:18	9:14,16,18,20	126:8 129:12	19:11 21:22
60:12 67:9	10:9,19,21,23	129:22 130:9	message 13:14
72:8 82:23	10:25 11:2,15	130:10 131:9	met 78:18
83:9 88:6	11:24 12:1,3,5	131:12 132:2	85:21 86:13
101:12 154:1	12:7 13:8,10	135:17,22	93:4,6 97:13
measuring	13:12 19:19,23	136:1 142:1,4	99:8 100:4,7
100:3 105:2,3	20:19 22:2,10	142:7 157:2,11	100:12,14
meet 17:2 34:4	22:20 23:17	157:13,15,17	102:2,11
34:4,5,17,18	24:1,3,5,7,9,21	157:19 158:7	104:12,13,16
36:10,12 39:12	25:1,11,13,15	158:17,19,21	104:18,23
40:23 44:23	25:17,19 27:15	158:23,25	105:17 108:20
48:20,21,24	28:1,3,5,7,9	159:21 160:6,8	111:22 137:24

[metals - motion]

metals 114:17	116:22 117:2,5	105:25 113:8	monitored
method 48:17	117:7,8,9,19,20	139:7 149:3	41:14 60:14
100:15 110:13	118:8 119:19	156:23 158:3	88:13 152:5
methods 48:10	120:6,6,24	misdeeds 91:14	monitoring
48:13,14 49:20	121:2,19	91:15	60:14 67:12,25
49:22 60:15	122:13,22	misremember	69:23 88:6
101:4 108:4	123:2,2,4	96:20	89:21 139:3,4
microphones	125:7,8,17	missed 7:24	151:22,23
30:9	126:4 138:16	misunderstand	152:16 155:19
mike 2:3 78:1	140:15,18,20	106:12	155:21 156:1
92:10	143:5,10,11,18	mix 38:5	months 16:8
miles 32:1	143:19,20	mixed 133:13	103:17
51:25 112:19	144:15 145:2	model 58:3	monumental
114:8 142:5	146:23 147:2,3	141:2	35:18
million 49:9	147:4,8,13,17	modeled 71:5	morning 7:16
107:3 139:21	149:13 151:3	modeling 57:24	45:14
139:22 142:9	mined 34:23	58:8,14,21	motion 4:3,4,5
mind 95:19	114:22	61:23 66:2	4:6,10,11,12,13
103:12 107:20	minerals 31:10	67:7 71:3,21	4:20,21,22,23
minds 16:23	miners 71:14	72:6 107:10	8:3,5,8,24 9:4
mine 31:21	mines 89:22	108:3,14,21	9:21,24 10:9
32:1 33:9,25	91:13 143:4	109:5 112:17	11:3,8 12:8,15
35:1,3,9 36:3	mining 31:9	112:21 136:18	14:24 16:5
36:16 38:10,20	34:22 35:10	141:12	17:21 18:2,18
39:1 41:8,14	117:4	modification	18:22,24 21:1
42:23 43:10,21	minute 8:20	54:23 95:2	21:15 22:19
43:22,23 44:17	12:10 13:14,17	128:8	23:7 24:10,11
48:5 50:4 52:1	13:20,24 113:3	modified 83:3	24:16 25:2
59:4 80:20	139:25 142:20	modify 73:19	27:7 28:10
81:6 82:11,12	159:3	moment 6:6,15	43:18 74:6,9
89:8,9,10,23	minutes 10:8	6:21 84:7	156:14 157:20
107:12,22	11:11 14:1,5	money 105:14	157:25 158:6,8
108:7 112:24	23:15 24:19	monitor 41:11	159:1,13,19,22
114:13,14	29:4,6,16 53:8	101:3 105:15	160:15,17
115:7,9,24	53:9,9 75:11	123:20 138:25	

[motions - note]

motions 4:14	municipality	near 64:20	123:5,6 136:18
14:9	62:14	nearly 54:11	152:3,20 154:4
mountain 3:9		76:6 115:19	neighbor 118:6
35:18	n	necessarily	neighboring
mountains	n 2:1,17 3:1,16	44:22 111:2	81:21
146:2	3:20 4:1 5:2	143:22	nepa 36:5
move 9:25 11:9	7:13		38:12 88:20
23:8 24:17	naaq 153:24	necessary 18:19 93:1	89:4 90:21
27:8 28:17	154:4	127:11,16	net 49:23
30:9 41:13	naaqs 32:8,15	127.11,10	never 73:14
	34:4,17,17	need 8:5 13:18	
43:8 44:25	36:10 40:1		new 15:21
46:14 53:3	42:8 58:22	22:12 34:4,5	19:20,21,25
65:5,19 71:9	59:5 61:25	36:10 37:7	20:12 21:11
74:4,10 98:23	66:6,7 67:1	50:11 62:1,8	23:4 25:22
105:23 118:19	68:14 71:6,10	64:3,10 69:25	26:14 99:14
127:25 156:16	73:21 84:25	72:4 74:6	126:25 127:1
158:1 159:14	124:24 125:16	81:22 83:3	nez 1:6 3:2 6:19
moved 15:5	155:7,24 156:6	84:1,2 85:20	7:3 21:10 27:4
20:14 74:17	name 14:6	89:11 90:6	27:5 28:21
110:3 160:18	35:24 57:9	91:8 97:14	29:7 34:10
movement 88:7	75:19 100:17	98:7 100:7	52:8 148:14
movements	113:8 115:15	103:13 112:7	ninth 62:18
88:13 151:23	names 6:16	117:22 122:9	nodding 6:13
moves 70:8	narrowed 19:8	126:18 139:15	non 70:23
moving 15:2	national 32:7	153:3,16,20	normal 6:8
23:6 24:12	36:4 40:21	needed 121:16	normally
41:12 46:15	42:2 57:2	154:2	107:11 147:7
64:22 116:7	61:20 83:18	needs 36:12	north 1:18
mtntoplaw.co	86:5,17 114:15	39:7 40:22	34:25,25 35:13
3:11	114:20,21	54:15 59:13,14	35:17 42:11
multiple 96:13	153:11	59:16 90:5	146:11,16
municipal	natural 2:11	98:10 111:22	notary 161:22
62:11	nature 54:23	114:1 115:8	note 14:8 43:17
municipalities	95:2 128:14	119:24,24,25	63:10 64:12
62:15	152:24	122:21,22,23	92:24 95:12

[note - operational]

06.11.09.22	ahia ata d 15.7	0.1412.7	2.25 75.2
96:11 98:23	objected 15:7	okay 8:14 13:7	000 3:25 75:2
99:18 103:15	15:13	13:21 14:20	opacity 69:22
127:18 136:10	objection 18:21	19:17 22:1,20	101:14,19
noted 100:23	18:24	22:22 24:15,25	102:16 103:1,4
101:17	obtain 115:6	25:20 26:6	open 26:5 34:8
notes 64:18	obtains 117:6	27:4,6 29:9,14	38:23 39:2
88:16	obvious 81:16	29:15,15,18,23	41:23 42:14
notice 6:8	93:20	30:13,19 31:2	96:25 143:15
notifying 90:12	obviously	40:20 42:24	144:2,12
noting 92:2	14:11 41:7,17	43:4 46:8,8,14	opening 146:5
novel 101:24	80:12 97:13	49:1,4 53:7	operate 33:9
november	112:8 113:15	71:23 72:1,19	57:13,16 60:1
27:11	119:25 123:9	73:25 75:5	107:17,17,19
novo 79:22	129:16	87:5,15 94:7	108:10,11
number 1:25	occur 91:2	95:16,16 97:1	117:8 130:20
5:7,8 28:12,13	149:14	105:21 112:12	149:15
28:24,24 29:25	occurred 97:24	112:25 113:1,6	operated 55:1
30:11,20 31:1	office 2:12 5:9	119:16 125:9	55:17 62:22
43:18,19 48:3	34:13 161:22	126:8 130:9	95:4 110:11,20
48:3 53:16	officer 15:14	131:9 139:9	110:23 111:12
67:18 90:4	16:1,2 17:14	141:15,15	111:23 112:6,8
100:24,25	26:12 27:10	142:16,19	128:15 129:7
103:10 106:13	29:2 37:16	148:7,19,25	130:13
127:19 136:24	77:20 79:18,23	149:4 150:2,16	operates
139:20 141:14	79:24 96:1,3	159:6	140:20
141:14	109:1	old 31:11 38:2	operating 71:9
numerous	officer's 80:3	older 40:7	134:7 149:22
82:16	139:13 146:8	once 101:5	operation
nutrient 63:23	official 80:25	117:6,13 120:6	53:24 63:18
0	127:8	121:18 123:3	95:15 129:20
	oh 14:17,20	125:7	operational
o 5:2 7:1,13,17	42:9 50:17	ones 104:14	82:13 92:21
7:17	87:12 88:3	153:3	93:5 111:1
o&m 92:13	97:1 111:6	ongoing 89:5	116:7 117:10
oah 1:7 5:8	113:4 148:3		117:11,12,13
28:24			7 7 -

[operational - pass]

117:15,16	opposition	ownership 38:2	participating
118:18 119:21	21:14 22:15	38:5	76:20
119:22 120:7,8	128:9	owns 38:6,8	particular 32:9
121:1 122:10	option 149:23	114:13	81:10 104:4
124:12,16,24	options 10:4	р	130:5 133:16
125:3,6,10,17	23:12 156:19	p 1:5 2:1,1 3:1	138:8 155:14
125:20,23,24	oral 4:7,14	p 1.5 2.1,1 5.1 3:1 5:2 7:17	particularly
126:7,10,12	22:12 28:18	28:23	40:7 117:24
128:9,12	order 4:15 5:3	p.m. 75:4	particulate
132:20 151:7,9	12:14 29:1	p.m. 75.4 160:25	32:9,15 40:2,6
151:11	37:8 39:22	p.o. 2:12 3:5	42:8 46:22
operations	75:6 116:6	p.o. 2.12 3.3 page 4:1 30:20	51:18 58:23
33:23 45:25	122:25 159:3,7	30:25 45:17	66:6 145:5
53:20 56:23	159:16,17	64:18 72:23	particulates
58:10,15 66:4	orders 28:20	77:10 87:22	72:9 105:14
70:13 71:4	ore 31:23,24,25	141:17,19	parties 6:6,16
73:19 117:23	140:19,22,25	146:6 149:6	6:18 13:5,23
118:24 122:13	original 15:5	pages 59:1 77:8	13:23 14:24
155:16 156:4	15:15 16:1	121:13	15:13 16:3
opinion 18:10	129:2	paper 41:21	17:7 18:11,15
109:9	osha 44:8,14	145:2	22:23 26:2,9
opportunity	outdated 99:11	paragraph	26:19 27:2
5:13 13:2,24	outlet 105:15	72:23 87:22	29:11 51:8
14:4,18 18:9	outside 34:7,14	paralegal 3:23	parts 77:14
18:23 21:6,20	34:15 36:11	parategal 5.23 part 15:23	85:20
22:11 63:2	37:2 42:7	16:25 26:16	party 6:23 15:8
97:9,10 98:2,4	46:15 51:19	40:13 61:22	19:3 29:4 82:5
oppose 21:11	106:6 148:1	77:12 81:23	90:22 161:14
21:17	overall 103:20	94:13 120:5	pass 17:22 18:1
opposed 66:16	overlap 19:6,9	129:2,11	40:16 41:24
68:12 69:18	oversimplify	131:18 133:24	42:21 52:12
70:3 74:19	83:13	131.18 133.24	65:5 86:23,24
154:13	own 17:14	147:7 153:16	119:15 123:24
opposite	62:16 81:19,21	partial 76:18	123:24 143:9
109:17 120:17	112:3 139:18		147:1

[passed - permit]

passed 150:2	123:15 143:17	154:10,14,14	67:14,16,17,24
passes 24:10,11	145:1,8 146:12	154:19,22	68:7 69:16,21
passing 41:2	146:14,21,24	155:2,3,3,9,17	70:14,22 71:7
123:19 143:20	147:6,9,10,11	155:23	72:6,7,10 73:3
145:8,12	147:15,21,21	perfect 71:11	73:16,18,18,21
147:11	147:24 148:5,9	86:15 105:21	76:1,3,7,9,12
past 52:21	148:23	106:1	76:17,19,21
118:1	perce 1:6 3:2	perfectly 86:16	77:2,4,10,12,14
pat 2:5 6:3 9:19	6:19 7:3 21:10	perform 55:22	77:18,23,24
11:1 12:6 24:8	27:4,5 28:21	127:11 153:8	78:7 79:3,11
25:18 28:8	29:8 34:10	performed	81:5 82:16,25
157:18 158:24	52:8 148:14	58:14 107:5	83:2,3 84:18
160:13	percent 58:4,7	period 40:25	84:25 87:14
patchwork	58:19,19 65:23	53:17 55:21	88:12,20,23,25
117:3	66:9,16,17,17	63:9 86:13	89:11,17,24
patented 38:6	66:25 67:9,15	93:23 115:22	90:3,9 91:25
114:12 117:3	68:5,12,13,17	periods 76:8,23	92:1,12,15,25
path 41:18	68:19,21,23,24	permanent	93:4,6,7,9,24
patrolled 60:15	69:10,18,22	107:16	94:13 96:6,8,8
patterns 38:5	70:2,3,10,10,11	permission	96:18 97:10,22
paula 3:23 7:22	70:18 71:8,12	83:22 134:1	97:23 98:3,5
113:20,22	71:18,19 72:8	permit 1:5 5:6	98:18 99:8,23
141:13	72:21 73:13	16:20,21 19:15	99:25 100:6,12
paved 145:25	91:4 94:4	20:11 28:23	100:19 101:20
pay 105:14	99:24 100:4,7	32:13,19 33:6	102:2,6,6,8,14
pdf 30:25	100:14,24	33:8,16 47:7	103:23 104:12
141:18	101:1,14	53:4,16,21	104:14,15
pending 10:4	102:10 103:5	54:3,4 56:1,5,7	105:7 109:12
156:19	103:10 104:6	57:21 59:13,19	110:22,24
people 38:2	104:12,17,22	60:9,20 61:2,6	111:1 113:16
39:7 40:7,8,9	116:8 136:5,7	61:7,12,13	115:5,19,24
41:2,12,18,21	136:19,25	62:7 63:5,11	116:1,4 119:23
41:24 44:13,16	137:4,6,11,12	63:16 64:11	122:23,25
52:3,12 114:22	137:14,22	65:7,14,17	123:10 125:12
118:19 119:14	138:5,25 154:7	66:9,12 67:8	126:3,19 127:4

[permit - piece]

127:17,21,23	92:9 114:25	118:2,5,8,17,22	79:13 136:22
128:22,23,25	perpetua 1:11	119:20,24	159:15
128:25 129:2,8	2:20 5:7 7:9,14	120:5,13 121:1	petitioner 3:8
129:8,9,17,18	14:24 15:6	121:12,16	94:10
130:1,5,7,8	20:25 26:22	122:9,21 123:1	petitioner's
132:15 133:2,5	32:5 37:18,19	123:8,11,19	120:11
133:12,25	37:24 38:6,13	124:1,2 125:11	petitioners 1:7
134:3,8 136:6	38:16 39:2,6	125:13,20	3:2 6:19 15:4
136:12,18	39:11 42:15	126:5 127:19	18:16,20 19:7
137:3,19,21	45:16 47:4	129:4 130:14	20:14 26:18
138:1,4,13,15	48:15 49:25	131:2,10 133:2	28:20 29:6,7
139:5 140:17	50:14 53:15,21	133:11,19	31:8 32:3
149:5 150:19	55:23 56:17	138:25 140:18	76:15,23 77:15
150:25,25	57:6,15 58:3,5	145:7 148:18	78:10 80:12
152:2,13,18	58:9 59:25	148:22 150:18	82:8 83:11
153:19 154:12	63:7 64:8	150:22 151:10	85:8 87:19
155:1,4,8	65:22 66:3,8	155:23	95:13 96:12
permits 32:5	66:10 70:6	perpetua's	97:5 98:1,24
52:20,24 62:12	72:13 73:15	20:22 31:8	99:2,5,9,18,20
64:4,23 65:1	75:11 79:7	41:8 45:22	100:8 101:21
67:19 77:9,22	81:5 82:9,11	65:6 79:19	102:13 106:2,6
78:24 80:23	82:17,23,25	80:18 82:14	106:11 107:9
97:12 115:6,11	86:1 87:3,11	115:3 118:21	108:1 109:3,8
115:12,14	87:12 89:2	126:15 143:1	112:16 116:18
126:21	90:7 91:25	143:12,22	120:2 126:10
permitted	93:12,14 94:18	154:15	128:4 132:5
107:21	100:21 101:3	persons 78:7	136:21 137:25
permittee	101:15 103:1	perspective	phrase 111:24
81:10,15,19,25	104:19 112:5	19:12 113:18	physical 37:12
92:15,16 102:7	113:7,11,18	132:18 134:18	39:15 46:9
102:7	114:13,23,24	142:8	148:22
permitting	115:6,8,10,16	pertain 134:12	pickup 122:15
59:22 64:24	116:13,18,23	pertains 134:15	piece 89:9
76:5 77:25	117:4,6,13,15	petition 28:19	111:21
78:5 79:8 92:6	117:19,22	28:25 29:5	

[pine - pollution]

pine 51:20,25	117:2,5,7,8,9	111:23 112:5	108:3,24,24
112:17,20	117:19,20	124:16 126:10	109:1,2 110:1
114:8 117:24	118:8 119:20	126:12,14,18	110:5 112:5
118:4 119:7,8	120:6,6,24	126:21 128:6,9	115:20 120:10
142:1 146:21	121:2,19	128:10,10,12	120:17 121:9
147:18	122:22 123:2,2	128:25 129:9	128:24 134:17
pioneering	123:4 125:7,8	129:10,19,24	145:21 147:20
31:8,13	125:17 126:4,9	130:2,7 131:14	152:21 154:15
pits 34:23	130:5 132:23	132:6,15,20	pointed 14:22
place 63:18	133:13,18	134:7 150:18	58:2 61:23
83:9	138:7,23 144:3	150:23 151:13	94:10 153:5
plain 38:1	144:24 145:1	151:16 152:21	154:11
plaintiff's	151:18,19,21	please 5:11,14	points 60:11,14
85:20	151:25 152:1,3	6:11,13,22	80:15 106:17
plan 35:9 36:3	152:8,11 154:8	7:10 9:5 10:12	110:1 139:15
38:11,20 39:1	plan's 89:10	14:1,3 23:19	154:10
46:9 53:22,23	153:16	29:23 80:9	policies 147:5
53:23 56:3,4,5	planned 29:10	83:24 113:9	policy 36:4
56:7,9,16 57:3	plans 33:5	157:4 158:10	37:5 39:13
57:4,5 59:18	53:16,18,22	159:24	43:13 44:19
59:20,20,21,24	54:1,8,19	plethora 98:8	46:11 88:17
60:9 61:12	55:12,23 56:2	pllc 3:9	132:19 149:1
64:6,6,7 68:8,8	57:8,9,9,11,14	plus 94:4	pollutant 32:10
72:13,14,24	59:7,15,19,21	104:25,25	32:12 34:6
73:2,5,8,11,12	60:18 61:8	pm 73:20 154:3	46:24 47:13
82:23 87:22,23	62:16,19 63:3	point 13:22	pollutants
88:11 89:8,10	63:4,6,7,18,23	14:16 17:9	36:25 40:3
92:14,17 93:15	64:13,19 65:6	31:18 36:12	46:21 47:25
93:17,22,25	65:14,16,18	43:16 47:23	polluted 36:23
94:11 95:16,18	70:6 79:14	70:5 72:25	pollution 31:16
96:9 97:17	92:1,13 93:2,3	73:10 79:1	31:19 34:18
98:9,13 99:15	93:5,7 94:24	83:5 91:10	40:23 57:13
110:13,16	95:7,9,23,25	95:21,21 96:2	62:7 63:24
111:2,16 115:8	96:5 97:6,14	97:5 99:21	155:14
115:9 116:7,22	98:1 110:6,7	106:8,21 108:3	

[pooser - processes]

pooser 2:22 4:8	potentially	prepare 92:17	probability
4:17 7:16,16	58:16 71:12	93:22	49:7
20:24,25 26:23	134:19	prepared 21:20	probably 42:18
89:7 113:2,10	power 37:10	53:8 92:15	87:2 89:16
113:10,24	39:14	120:15 142:20	126:2 144:18
114:1,4 119:8	practical 37:4	preparing	151:12 154:21
119:11,19	116:15 123:9	75:24	155:5
122:8,17	practice 61:11	prescribed	problem 31:11
124:10,13,18	70:4 82:7 92:3	46:20	32:2,6 36:18
124:21 125:6	92:4,6,8,25,25	prescribes	141:22 152:7
125:10,22	97:5 155:18	48:12	problems 32:2
126:1,9 129:14	practices 79:2	present 3:22	37:22
130:3,22	79:8 155:5	5:11,23,25	procedure
131:10 132:2,4	pre 40:8 114:25	44:7	78:16 109:15
134:11,21	134:7	presentation	procedures
135:11,15,23	precautions	96:12	111:5 144:8
136:3 139:9	93:12	presented	proceeding
141:17,20	precedential	82:10,13	6:10 15:4,11
142:3,5,9,12	53:3	156:11 159:15	15:12 120:12
153:5	precious	pressure	proceedings
pooser's 151:9	114:17	105:16	4:2 160:25
population	precisely 71:8	pretty 43:5	161:8,11
52:1	preclude 37:25	66:14 68:13	process 13:18
portions 37:2	38:17 80:13	70:6,7 72:16	17:4 19:8 20:7
posed 116:12	82:12,18 86:3	73:11 103:12	26:5 38:12,18
position 13:24	116:14 118:11	154:9,21 155:4	38:20 41:10
16:10	120:14 148:23	155:5,6	59:23 65:11
possible 69:10	precluding	prevent 85:22	76:5,19 77:1
113:2	76:20 86:12	previous 21:15	81:9 88:20
possibly 82:1	prejudice 17:6	26:12 137:9	89:4,5 90:21
150:22	preliminary	primarily 15:7	91:11 108:2
post 92:15	4:15 28:20	115:1	115:21 116:1,3
133:19 161:22	29:1 159:16	primary 90:24	123:20 133:5
potential 84:23	preparation	prior 64:10,11	processes
144:25	109:11	122:5	115:16 122:24

[processes - public]

149:17	117:10 118:14	protected	128:5
processing	120:1 121:16	84:22,23	provisions
31:25	122:25 123:5	protection 37:3	61:16 68:10
produce 114:17	123:14,16	39:12 45:8	155:1
114:17 140:19	127:1 131:1	148:11	proxy 15:23
producing	137:14 141:3	protections	26:17
58:11	149:24 150:10	32:24 36:23	ptc 66:19
production	153:11,24	39:23 43:24	134:13
59:4 138:15,16	154:3 156:6	52:3 85:1	public 5:5,13
138:21 140:16	project's 59:8	143:14 145:12	10:3 20:7
140:21	108:16 121:5	145:20	23:11 31:20
products	projections	protective	32:25 33:7,12
135:17	107:25	76:13	34:8,9,14,15
professional	promise 78:20	protocols 84:12	35:7,11,12,13
79:9,10	proof 120:11	prove 109:10	37:11,11,12,12
program 62:12	properly 83:6	provide 13:6	37:20,21,25
64:25 92:6,12	property 81:19	18:3 21:23	38:9,17,22,23
prohibited	81:21,21,23	22:3,4,11 29:4	39:2,9,14,25
124:4	82:1	35:11 52:23	40:4,4 42:11
prohibition	proposal 38:21	54:18 59:13	42:14,21 43:21
96:4	117:21	84:17 88:22	43:23 44:4,10
project 31:9	propose 118:5	89:21 93:5	44:13,20,20,24
47:3 49:25	131:3	100:6 131:24	45:17,23 53:17
51:15 53:4	proposed 31:9	131:25 142:13	54:3,6,8 55:3,5
54:1,7 57:1	31:21 32:1	145:11 148:11	55:10,21,25
58:22 60:1,8	34:22 35:5,8	provided 5:12	57:20 59:9,15
60:18 66:14	35:23 36:2	16:20 37:18	59:17 60:6,12
70:8,12,13	55:6 63:23	60:24 100:11	60:19,25 62:6
71:9,12 79:14	118:8 119:20	109:2 118:13	62:8,14,23,24
89:11 99:3	120:5 129:6	150:9	63:2,4,6,9,10
106:3,5 107:13	131:11 132:10	provides 46:9	63:12,14,25
108:5 113:21	proposing	66:19 80:21	64:3,4,10,16,20
114:9,10,12,16	130:20	107:3	64:25 65:2,6
114:24 115:4,9	protect 39:25	provision	65:11,15 76:7
115:17 117:3		109:14 110:20	76:8,12,20,23

[public - quite]

		•	
77:6,6,19	pull 113:20	pursuing	question 18:6
80:19 81:7	141:13 145:23	114:24	18:15 29:20
82:24 83:2,10	pulled 33:18	put 7:25 15:2	37:1 42:15
83:14,21 84:7	100:14	20:13 29:21	52:16 72:4
84:20 85:2	pulling 47:23	55:25 62:8	85:12,25 86:21
86:3,20,22	purchased	64:16 65:10	87:2,9 102:12
87:9 88:7,8,11	92:18 98:14	130:7 133:12	104:2,7 110:1
88:22 89:14	purdue 40:20	136:14 142:8	111:18 116:12
95:24 96:25	purdy 2:5 6:3,4	154:5 159:8	119:3 124:22
97:2,6,9,13,15	9:19,20 10:9	puts 102:5	126:16 128:17
97:18,18,19	11:1,2 12:6,7	putting 16:10	129:21 134:10
98:17 112:16	22:10,20 24:8	17:5	134:15,22
114:14 115:25	24:9,21 25:18	q	135:1 137:1,5
116:15,16,19	25:19 28:8,9	qualified 78:6	137:13,17
116:22 117:16	40:11,25 41:7	qualities 41:5	141:8 142:13
118:9,11,20	41:10 62:25	quality 1:1,4,9	questions 18:5
120:3,8 123:10	63:17 74:15	1:17 2:15,17	19:18 21:4
123:12 124:3	94:2,7 96:11	3:13,15,19,19	22:1 45:1 51:6
126:21 132:7	96:15,24 98:20	5:4,6,10 7:9	51:11 52:13
132:16,17	104:24 105:7	14:7 28:23	53:5 65:18
134:3 143:3,4	105:10,18	32:7,8 34:4,16	73:23 80:10
143:8,15,16,23	135:17,22	40:22 41:19	108:24 109:23
144:4,4,13,14	136:1 157:18	42:2 44:23	112:13 113:19
145:12 146:1	157:19 158:24	57:2 58:2	124:5 136:20
146:12,20	158:25 160:13	61:20 66:22	142:17 154:8
147:1,7,8,11,12	160:14	69:20 70:15,20	156:8
147:16,18	purpose 43:25	75:20 78:5,8	quick 39:16
148:12 151:20	44:3 83:23	115:1 120:20	52:16 124:7
152:4,9,12,14	86:8 117:23	122:23 125:16	quickly 110:3
152:17 154:5	122:12 145:11	134:15,18,20	quite 20:17
156:18 161:22	purposes 15:18	153:12,15	30:3 68:16
public's 38:14	119:23	quantities	78:12 90:4
59:9 88:7	pursuant 10:1	47:14	103:16 123:10
151:23	23:9 156:16	quarter 123:3	145:21
		Yuuitti 123.3	

[quote - recommendations]

	I		1
quote 44:2	range 68:18	really 19:2,10	153:2
54:18 55:2,5	100:25	19:25 20:2,12	reasoned
55:11 56:10,15	rare 114:19	21:2 33:21	109:19
64:20,21 65:3	rate 98:12	40:5 42:4,7	reasons 38:15
66:20 67:3,4,6	rates 56:15,19	43:21,24,25	117:12
67:7,10 72:5	133:14 135:18	51:17 53:2	rebuttal 4:18
72:25 153:7	153:25	57:23 66:16	29:6 142:20
quoted 88:17	rather 32:17	69:19 75:23,25	rec 29:24 30:1
r	149:14	76:2 92:8	30:11,12,22
r 2:1 3:1 5:2 7:1	rationale 51:1	102:23 103:19	37:6 44:11
7:1,6,17	150:9	106:8,20	56:6 58:24
rac 56:8	razors 41:23	116:12 128:4	67:6,10 124:8
ract 48:17	reach 22:7	129:23,25	146:6
49:14,23 107:5	121:20	134:15 136:20	receive 13:19
140:8 149:20	read 15:19 31:7	137:1,13,19	83:22
radar 135:9	50:25 75:23	144:16,17	received 8:10
rainy 103:18	76:15 99:6	145:3	8:25 13:13
raise 122:4	102:15 106:18	reason 8:21	14:22 16:23
raised 17:13	110:19 111:21	15:2 16:12	19:13 25:23
20:9,10 29:13	127:2 131:3	37:20 57:19	receiving 12:21
36:17 78:22	reading 76:2	65:4 71:17	84:25
79:1 95:20	94:21 105:19	72:22 118:15	recent 19:22
120:13	128:3	125:19 143:7	45:12 64:13
raises 64:15	readjourn	146:25 147:1	72:22
72:23	12:11	reasonable	recess 12:12
ramifications	ready 17:19	37:17 51:3	74:23 113:5
10:3 23:11	75:12,13 113:6	77:3 78:15	159:5
156:19	154:9 160:16	80:17 85:10	recognize
randy 2:4 5:18	real 39:16	93:11 99:3,12	79:21
8:7,21 9:3,9	124:7 149:10	134:2 137:15	recognizes
10:16 11:21	realities 92:9	141:6 143:1	147:6
23:7,23 25:8	reality 16:19	reasonably	recommend
27:23 157:8,25	115:17	48:18,22 49:2	67:8
158:14 160:3	realize 17:23	66:13 79:20	recommendat
130.14 100.3	145:3	80:5 82:14	92:20 133:1,10

[recommendations - remand]

135:12	144:10,12,25	131:24 132:1	relate 14:12
recommended	153:23 155:8	referenced	111:3 152:23
72:6 151:21	157:24	35:19 78:3	152:23,24
reconsideration	recorded 10:8	96:12 98:24	related 38:18
33:17	23:15 156:23	100:16 154:6	57:13 66:12
reconstructed	recordkeeping	references	68:10 93:10
35:9	90:4 91:1	120:21 149:7	98:9 101:9
reconvene	101:8 139:4	referencing	111:23 112:6
113:6	records 32:13	121:25	115:1,12
record 4:6,12	58:21 90:6	refine 107:12	151:17
5:5 6:10,17	97:18	refined 107:7	relatedly 21:13
7:11,25 8:3,9	recreate 33:13	108:7 140:14	relates 21:22
8:25 11:7	34:8,15	reflect 11:11	60:4 70:2
12:16 14:10,25	recreating	24:19 158:3	relating 77:4
15:15,17,23	31:21	regard 27:11	relative 102:19
16:14 17:5,10	recreation	regarding	161:13
17:16 18:13,24	35:13 41:21	51:18 95:8	release 84:1
21:12,14,15	144:4	110:5 144:25	relevant 19:2
24:14 26:3,10	recreational	regardless	54:5 100:1
26:16 27:9	34:9 35:16,21	140:19	107:23 108:5
29:21 32:17	38:24 43:3	registration	144:17
37:23 38:16	146:12,18,22	82:21	relied 64:23
43:19 45:13	147:21	regularly 91:21	79:8 80:17
50:20 51:2,3	reduce 62:17	regulation	100:16 102:1
57:23 58:24	62:22	32:20 49:10	125:11
66:24 67:3,11	reduction	regulations	relies 106:13
68:17 69:11	58:17 59:2	44:9 47:22	rely 69:6 80:3
70:1 71:3 75:6	66:3 71:19,19	48:4,23 50:6	82:14 143:1
76:3 77:4,11	reemphasize	regulatory	relying 37:17
80:4 85:9	109:7	36:21 115:16	81:9 94:21
92:11 100:17	refer 87:19	122:24 129:23	95:22
102:15 113:8	121:14 146:5	reiterate	remain 143:15
116:25 120:15	147:23	125:13 136:11	144:12
120:19,22	reference 37:6	reject 73:7	remand 33:17
131:22 143:24	121:11 130:18		65:13 73:18

[remanded - responses]

	1	1	1
remanded	67:14 72:7,11	83:24 86:14	91:4
100:6	72:11 73:8	87:8 90:5 91:1	respect 45:19
remarks 4:24	88:11 92:13	93:13,16 98:3	60:2 112:15
21:2 142:15	96:5 99:16	101:9,19 111:1	116:10,17
remember	100:20 155:20	130:7 138:8	117:19 118:3
57:10 96:15	required 47:11	139:4	127:21 132:5
remote 114:11	50:5 53:19	requires 48:9	136:5 139:19
remotely 2:7	54:16,17 55:4	55:3,20,25	respiratory
repeat 30:11	55:14 57:10	56:9 57:4,4,5	40:6
113:14	59:12 60:10	59:21 72:13	respond 18:12
reply 95:14	62:6,22 67:12	82:19 88:12	20:16 21:20
99:19	70:19 73:6	93:14 94:11	22:13 85:23
report 66:2	81:14 84:12,13	97:22 99:15	responded 16:5
120:21,23	86:2 92:1	101:3,6 130:4	16:7,19
reported 1:24	94:23 95:6,10	132:23,24	respondent
reporter 6:9,10	97:15 102:8	requiring 67:8	1:10,12 2:15
6:17 22:25	103:2 108:15	73:4 82:17	2:20
161:1,7	108:18 111:8	95:16 98:16	respondents
reporter's 6:14	111:20 112:22	112:4,9 116:21	7:8 18:17 21:6
reporting 90:4	115:10,12,14	120:3	30:5 74:4
reports 90:15	115:16 122:24	research	75:10
represent 14:6	123:20 127:12	131:23	responding
75:19	127:20,25	reservation	22:18 76:24
representation	133:11 134:6	12:25	response 8:1
81:25 122:5	135:19 138:24	residents	10:11 13:6
representing	138:25 151:16	117:24,24	18:10,21 20:8
6:22 7:20 21:9	152:20 153:8	118:4,7	21:16 26:7
75:21 83:20	requirement	resolve 11:10	27:17 28:16
113:11	67:16 81:11	24:18 111:15	53:6 73:24
request 10:7	88:14 90:12	158:2	74:20 76:25
23:14 156:22	110:21 129:10	resolved 46:2	77:6,7 103:21
requests 64:19	129:12,24	resolves 26:4	103:23 142:18
require 53:25	139:2 152:17	resources 1:11	150:11 159:11
60:23,25 61:12	requirements	2:11,20 5:7	responses
65:10,14 67:2	39:12 63:13	7:10 81:17	128:18

[responsible - rule]

responsible	83:22 84:2	road 30:17	59:3 79:16
80:25 127:8	87:4,25 89:2	32:22 33:1	100:21 101:12
responsive	89:12 91:15,22	35:2,4,7,8,9,17	129:5 130:25
118:6	94:12,15 104:8	35:22,23,23,25	133:20,21
rest 38:7	105:2,21 106:1	36:2,15,19,22	136:4,8,9,13
146:15	111:6,9 112:21	38:21,23 39:1	138:19,21
restrict 85:19	113:25 114:18	39:9,11 42:8	139:1 146:1,3
restricted	116:14,19	44:13 45:8,16	roadways 72:9
86:25	117:16 119:13	46:12 51:16,17	robust 90:25
result 59:5	121:12 124:2,2	53:23 56:3,7	rock 57:5
66:14 76:5	124:20 126:5	57:3 60:1 64:6	role 83:18,20
116:2,2	128:3 135:14	68:8 79:14	roll 5:14 8:17
results 71:24	135:14 136:3	89:15 99:4	9:4 10:7,12
resumed 75:3	139:7 142:22	101:18 116:7	11:17 23:14,19
review 12:19	148:1 150:17	116:10,20,23	25:4 27:19
17:19 28:19,25	159:4	117:17,22,23	156:22 157:4
33:7 55:25	rightfully	118:5,10,11	158:10 159:24
63:8 64:1,10	78:13	119:2,5,12,13	rolls 86:22
64:15 65:1	rights 45:24	119:14 120:9	room 5:11 11:5
77:11 159:16	rigorous 90:4	120:21 121:4,7	70:25
reviewing	115:21 116:3,3	121:17,19,21	round 52:2
79:21 101:10	risk 31:20	122:1,7,10,11	142:24
reviews 55:23	32:25 33:12	123:7 124:6	route 32:22,24
revised 88:17	40:4,4 44:20	130:16 136:14	35:4,5,6,11
ridiculous	49:7 50:19,22	136:15 138:23	36:1 80:11,13
150:23	89:3 107:3,7	138:24 143:8	82:18 146:17
riding 89:9	112:23 139:22	143:13,14,15	149:2
right 9:3 12:13	140:8,9,13	144:1,4,12	routine 91:10
20:20 23:20	141:23,24	145:13 146:16	routinely
25:2 39:19,22	150:7,12	147:12 148:19	148:15
39:25 40:14	risks 112:16	road's 122:8	rpr 1:24 161:21
41:9,16 42:11	rivers 46:5	roads 31:11,12	rule 47:5 54:17
42:12 45:6,17	146:2	32:1 43:2	55:3,14 59:21
47:22 53:14	rives 2:22	56:24,25 57:7	94:11,22,22
57:25 74:6,8		57:16 58:18	95:19 96:6,17

[rule - section]

[
97:8 106:13	S	44:2 45:18	23:16,17 24:21
108:2 112:1	s 2:1,23 3:1 5:2	47:6,13 48:17	25:3 27:14,15
126:13,23	7:17	49:13,20,21	28:13 44:25
127:22 128:10	safely 39:8	63:8 64:21	74:12 83:5
130:3 132:8	41:14	72:24 86:23	87:22 91:24
149:24	safer 35:11	97:8 98:5	97:4 110:1
rules 32:10	safety 38:15	103:5 132:9	121:14 123:7
33:11 36:20	41:17 42:21	150:12 152:4	126:17 137:1
46:17,23 50:15	83:24 115:14	153:6 155:23	157:1,2 158:6
50:25 53:25	117:12 118:18	scale 66:4	158:7,9 159:20
54:10,13,14	119:1 123:17	scaled 58:12	159:21,23
59:10 60:23,25	sails 79:1	scenario 81:18	160:19,20
61:4,5,7,9	salmon 1:7 3:8	85:16	secondary
63:13 65:9	6:21,24 23:2	schilling 50:21	54:24
66:18,19 80:21	28:22 29:8	72:4 78:2	seconded 74:17
81:12 93:2,4	sample 139:1	150:9	secretary 2:8
96:4 97:22	sample 139:1	schilling's	24:24 30:5
98:16,17 99:12	57:5	106:19 139:14	75:8 85:11,14
106:7,10,23	satisfied 98:19	school 84:15	87:16 104:1,4
107:6 108:18	satisfy 68:14	scientist 50:22	section 47:5,12
108:21 112:3	save 1:6 3:8	50:23 78:2	47:18,20,21,24
121:22 126:11	6:20 23:1	106:15,15	48:9,10,11,12
127:16 131:8	28:21 29:8	150:8	48:15,19 49:6
134:6 140:6	saved 62:9	scope 106:6	49:13,17 54:14
141:6 148:7,8	saved 02.9	screen 37:7	55:3,15,20,24
148:8 149:18	saving 22:16	scroll 30:2 35:1	60:23 63:13,14
149:18 150:3	46:8 65:9 69:8	35:24 114:2	66:19 80:21
155:19,20	72:10 79:6	scrubber	93:9 94:22
ruling 88:24	97:14,16 100:3	105:13	96:12,16,18
run 107:20	108:9 144:10	scrutinized	97:1,8,21
135:22	145:2 150:4	76:4	106:18 107:6
rural 52:3	151:24,25	seal 161:17	108:18 110:6
148:12	152:21 154:24	sec 141:15	111:7,20 112:2
rushed 76:18	says 33:3 34:25	second 8:14,15	127:9 139:20
	35:25 37:8	10:9 11:14,15	149:19 152:19
L	1	1	

[section - signature]

153:6 155:20	select 118:20	11:12 12:9,14	shot 70:17
sections 48:13	118:21	23:6,9 24:12	show 47:8
48:14 59:10	self 90:15	24:14,18,20	showing 54:21
106:14 127:13	sense 36:8	25:21 75:1,3	94:25 95:8
153:9	41:17 77:3	156:14,16	110:8 128:7,11
secure 86:6	81:10 92:8	157:21,24	shows 33:22
security 82:20	110:9 132:20	158:2,4 159:2	38:16 54:6
86:17 114:20	140:23 145:7	set 17:13 36:24	57:24 58:21
114:21 152:5	154:9,16	36:24 40:23	61:25 66:2
see 19:4 35:19	sentence 87:21	62:12 65:13	69:12 70:2
35:24 50:19,24	110:9,15	73:17 97:15	71:3 137:10
51:1 56:6	separate 19:4	108:2 109:6	shrinks 126:3
67:25 68:1	20:2 93:10	125:4,7,10,18	shut 42:19
71:16 81:20	122:13	149:17 161:17	119:1,6
90:6,6,15	separated	setting 62:13	sic 81:20
100:16 112:4	35:10	118:17	side 105:2
114:6,8 119:8	sequencing	seven 149:10	146:16
119:17 129:18	122:20	several 33:25	sides 145:18
130:24 140:1	serious 20:17	99:25	sigler 2:4 5:24
141:10,23	64:15 97:7	shacks 123:13	5:25 9:15,16
153:25 155:14	seriously 20:17	share 13:12	10:22,23 11:15
155:16	service 35:21	shared 20:5	12:2,3 24:4,5
seeing 69:23	36:3 38:5,8,10	sheet 5:12	25:14,15 28:4
103:13,19	38:11,13,22,25	shielded 54:8	28:5 74:12
104:5	43:2 88:21	62:23 64:9	157:14,15
seem 26:1	90:19 114:15	shifting 46:21	158:7,20,21
83:13 99:23	115:8 116:21	shoes 84:3	160:9,10,20
102:4,5 137:25	117:5,7,20	short 73:9	sign 5:12 44:17
seemed 21:16	119:20 120:3	149:19	44:21 84:1
seems 22:15	120:16 122:21	shortcut	86:9 145:1
65:4 95:6	124:9,15 125:8	118:14 146:10	signaling 65:8
97:16	126:5 144:3	146:20	signals 95:23
seen 77:19	service's 38:18	shorthand	signature
segueway 97:4	session 9:23	161:1,7	161:20
	10:1 11:4,7,10		

[signed - specific]

signed 143:2	85:1 86:2,6,10	sixth 153:22	sounds 104:8
significantly	86:13,16 87:1	skilled 78:6	source 31:18
50:8 66:21	88:9,23 90:10	slightly 58:6	54:22 56:24
signs 70:7	90:23 91:4,6	63:12 66:7	73:4 95:1,9,10
73:11 82:21	94:23 95:7	154:13	110:8 115:24
silt 57:4 138:23	100:10 102:25	slowly 6:11	126:25 127:1
138:25	110:7 114:22	small 59:2	128:8,11 131:1
similar 63:21	114:25 115:2,4	62:11,13	134:17 155:13
similarly 57:3	115:6 116:14	smoke 31:17	sources 56:22
77:15 111:19	116:22 117:4	somebody	129:5 136:13
simon 78:1	118:1,19,22,23	122:15 131:22	156:3
92:10 101:17	118:24 123:19	someone's	south 1:7 3:8
simple 38:2	128:6 138:17	107:18	6:20,24 23:1
simply 13:1	140:25 141:10	sorry 11:5	28:21 29:8
52:25 81:16	143:10,20	19:24 30:25	35:2,14,16,17
91:3 97:8	144:4,21 146:8	51:6 94:2	35:22 42:12
100:5 109:7,8	146:23 151:6	95:20 96:1	43:1,1 146:11
116:24 120:4	151:20,24	97:1 110:4	speak 6:11 14:5
120:23 123:14	152:17,21	111:9 131:2	22:23 82:9
138:2	156:1	146:13	89:22 94:19
simultaneous	site's 55:16	sort 18:21 19:4	100:1 126:3
24:22 96:23	sites 31:25	19:8 29:10	speaker 142:11
single 37:17	34:10,10,11,22	34:1 36:17	speaking
77:12 92:6	35:13,16,18,21	78:25 81:17	111:17
109:18 153:1	38:24 63:25	84:25 90:1	specialized
sir 23:8 156:15	146:12,18,22	99:19 100:9	48:14
sit 14:2	sits 114:10	104:8 106:16	specific 46:23
site 31:21 32:2	situation 62:1	106:16 111:24	46:25 47:3
33:25 35:1,3	64:5 86:19	128:2,5 137:5	50:1 53:4
44:7 52:1	144:19,19	141:21,22	56:11 61:17
54:19 56:23	situations	145:6 146:9	69:17 79:13
57:13,17 64:9	70:21,24 81:24	148:23 154:16	82:23 86:8
81:6 82:11	144:18	sorts 86:17	90:5 92:17
83:21,22,25	six 62:16 93:13	146:2	106:3 107:10
84:13,19,21			108:1,5 109:5

[specific - steele]

129:24 130:6	ss 161:3	92:2 148:3	stationary
136:8 149:12	stack 31:17	started 6:5	54:22 95:1,9
149:13,17,18	136:14	107:1	110:8 126:25
149:24 150:10	staff 7:22 67:7	starting 54:10	127:1 128:8,11
151:2	78:7 103:3	116:6	status 88:24
specifically	149:8	starts 71:21	statute 22:13
63:1 68:11	stage 134:8,9	startup 68:2	statutory
107:6 121:25	stand 18:4 21:3	state 1:2 5:9	109:14 110:18
130:4,24 132:8	110:21	8:21 33:11	steele 2:5 6:1,2
154:12	standalone	36:20,25 40:2	9:17,18 10:24
specification	110:19	40:22 42:5	10:25 12:4,5
153:2	standard 48:13	46:23 48:4	13:8 19:19,23
specifications	54:12 67:2	64:24 81:1	19:24 20:19
54:20 57:6,12	78:13,14,18	83:7 113:8	22:2 24:6,7
59:25 60:17	79:8 90:1,8	120:16 161:3	25:16,17 27:15
94:25 95:7,9	108:21 149:11	state's 32:10	28:6,7 30:14
128:7 135:13	153:12	33:10 34:5,18	30:19 39:16,20
152:22 153:17	standards 32:8	36:11 46:17	42:10,24 43:4
specificity	34:6,18 36:13	47:5 50:15	45:2,7,10
93:24	36:24 40:1,1,2	stated 81:5	51:10,13 52:4
specified 63:24	40:22 41:6	96:3 113:15	52:7 61:3
specify 60:12	42:3 44:23	statement	68:15 69:5
speed 133:19	57:2 61:21	20:23 22:2	72:3,19 78:25
speeds 133:20	66:22 69:20	37:17 57:25	87:18 88:1,15
spellings 6:16	70:15,20	58:1 61:22	92:3 96:21
spend 40:16	100:15 153:13	77:10 111:11	105:12 112:14
52:10 75:24	153:16,20	121:25 151:1	112:25 119:5
147:22,23,24	standing 34:12	statements	119:10,16,18
spiritual	35:19 147:23	20:17 38:18	124:7,11,14,19
148:16	standpoint	81:2 102:23	125:3,9,19,25
spoke 116:6	68:19	144:1 146:7	126:8 129:12
squarely	stantec 120:18	states 47:18	129:22 130:9
106:23	start 14:1 17:4	49:6,10 55:4	142:1,4 157:16
srl 1:25 161:6	31:3 53:20	67:3 94:22	157:17 158:22
161:21	54:14 71:25		158:23 160:11

[steele - table]

	1		1
160:12	132:16 134:3	sufficient 137:3	supposedly
stibnite 32:22	152:15	suggest 150:22	41:24
35:4,6 60:1	subjected 54:3	suggested 47:4	suppressant
79:14 80:13	65:15	155:10	56:13,14 68:20
stick 14:15	submission	suggests 33:10	68:22 98:6,7
stips 4:12	79:14 94:11	suite 2:23	98:10 130:18
stipulate 26:9	submit 53:15	summary 4:14	131:6 133:4,6
26:19,21,23,25	53:21 55:24	85:7	133:9,17
stipulation	57:11,20 63:7	summer 41:22	137:11
26:11 88:22	73:11 91:25	43:6 147:22	suppressants
stoel 2:22	96:9 112:5	summit 35:18	132:24,25
stoel.com 2:24	127:20 150:18	super 56:20	134:13,19
stop 51:6	submits 70:6	59:6 145:15	138:9
123:17,23	submittal	supplement 4:6	sure 13:14
stopping 41:12	110:6	4:12 8:3,9,24	14:20 26:4
storm 62:11	submitted	8:24 12:16	31:7 39:7
story 16:13,16	13:17 34:12	14:9,25 18:24	41:12 47:22
16:25 19:14	45:13 53:18,19	supplemental	52:7 69:4
straight 56:25	54:2 55:7,12	21:23 127:20	75:16 89:25
146:23	59:9,22 60:19	support 33:13	91:17 104:3
straying 41:18	63:25 64:4	51:2 72:22	113:4 135:2
street 1:18	65:15 96:5	120:12 154:6	147:10
stringent	97:6 111:16	supported 62:3	surely 13:3
101:19 102:5	117:20,21	85:9 116:24	85:21 129:4
strongly 17:7	126:15 129:11	155:7	surveillance
studied 33:20	132:6,11	supporting	37:13 123:21
77:13,16	133:24 151:16	32:13	t
studies 104:22	152:12	supports 54:6	t 7:1,6,6 48:17
study 17:11	submitting	suppose 58:9	49:14,23 73:12
100:10	110:16	63:11	107:5 140:8
stuff 54:12	substance 13:1	supposed 33:6	149:20
subject 14:12	19:1	55:24 60:13	table 14:2
44:7 62:14,23	substantive	133:19 143:10	47:24 104:6
83:4 84:11	62:21 64:7	144:9	142:8
97:18 126:21	93:13,16 98:3		112.0

[tables - things]

tables 67:17,23	98:7 102:1	technological	22:22,24 23:18
take 5:14 6:6	110:25 112:10	31:15	24:12 25:1,21
6:15,21 10:12	112:16 115:23	technology	26:21 27:3,6
11:17 12:10	128:1 131:6	48:18,22 49:3	28:10 29:18,19
16:6 17:25	138:14 140:7	teetering 70:22	30:19 31:5
20:16,17 23:19	talking 19:5	tell 21:7	53:11 73:22,25
25:4 43:24	31:10 32:11	telling 93:3	74:1,21 75:9
73:20 74:5,6	36:14 39:17	temporarily	75:15,18 87:16
88:4 93:12	42:5 49:24	42:19	87:17 91:22,23
97:7 99:23	51:15 67:20	temporary	97:2,4 98:20
101:15 106:24	69:11 110:2	42:22	98:21 109:21
113:2 116:5	111:8 116:8	ten 48:25 49:6	109:25 112:12
118:13 124:5	126:14,24	49:10,12,14	113:1,12 126:8
142:21 146:13	131:14 139:17	50:9 51:24	130:10 132:2
157:3 158:10	148:3,20	term 100:10	135:10 142:19
159:15,24	talks 54:15	101:21 149:19	142:22 150:16
taken 10:7	106:19 110:7	terms 41:17,19	150:17 156:9
11:11 23:14	127:3 128:5	42:2,15 43:9	156:10,11,25
24:20 51:5	132:9 144:21	43:18 46:5	157:21 158:5
69:25 74:23	151:17	52:11	159:7 160:23
78:25 109:4	tamps 134:14	terrible 154:23	160:24
156:22 158:4	tap 48:19,20	test 155:15	thanks 43:4
takes 115:25	taps 32:11,15	testified 92:10	112:25 157:22
talk 6:6,12	47:9 49:8	testimony	theoretically
14:10,19 19:7	51:23,24 140:3	15:24 26:17	125:22,23
21:21 24:22	153:12	109:3	theory 68:24
30:6,15,16	target 66:8	testing 68:1	thing 37:14
32:22 33:2,3	70:24 154:11	155:13	39:4 40:10
42:5 45:3,10	targeted 67:5	thank 7:2,7,14	57:23 64:12
54:13 89:7	targeting 58:5	7:18 8:2,11,16	72:20 104:9
95:24 96:23	targets 67:20	9:3,21 10:10	111:19 122:9
126:13,13	technical 29:20	11:3,13,16	155:9
149:12 151:9	57:22 69:12	12:11 14:4,21	things 20:4,9
talked 43:19,20	106:13 110:18	19:17 20:19,20	22:8 37:9
66:1 68:9 98:6	151:2	20:21,24 21:5	50:17 56:10

[things - today]

62:8,8 64:16	128:18 129:14	three 15:20	tiffany 3:18
67:20 68:4,11	130:5,22	26:17 40:15	tiffany.floyd
69:21 71:23	131:10,15	76:6,7,23 77:8	3:21
82:20 85:16,16	132:19 134:5	97:11,12	time 15:6 17:11
86:9,18 90:5	134:17 136:10	115:19,21	17:15,19 18:12
93:19 102:15	137:18 139:11	126:13 128:2,2	22:13 33:7
105:1 119:7	139:12,15,15	130:12	40:11,13,18
133:23 146:2	140:12 142:10	threshold	43:7,15 51:5,7
147:10 150:20	142:23 144:16	36:25 41:5	51:9 52:10
151:15 152:4,6	145:6,7,21	42:4 48:25	53:3 65:1 71:2
152:25 154:17	147:5 148:9	103:6,13	75:24 81:16
154:20 155:12	149:1 150:4,21	thresholds	82:12 91:5
156:5	151:12 154:20	46:25 48:24	97:20 105:22
think 13:4,22	thinking	throw 89:2	112:5 122:4
17:12 18:8,19	106:17	thrower 3:9	126:19 138:21
19:10 25:22	thinks 21:24	4:16,19 7:1,1	139:6 140:3,4
26:8 34:1	22:7 70:7	22:24 23:1,1	145:9 147:22
35:24 37:13	third 65:20	27:3 29:12,17	147:24 151:11
42:14 43:11,15	71:4 82:5 99:2	29:19,23 30:2	153:22
44:12,18 46:7	110:3 130:12	30:12,16,22,25	timeline 115:18
46:12,19 49:22	thirds 58:12	31:3,6 39:19	117:19
51:25 65:8,20	thompson 3:10	39:22 40:19,21	times 31:14
69:11 71:20	thorough	41:1,9,16	49:10 71:2
72:22 81:10,15	109:11 115:21	42:14,25 43:5	96:13 127:19
87:2 89:25	116:3,3	45:6,9,12	timing 135:19
90:21,24 92:3	thoroughly	51:17 52:5,8	153:25
93:7,20,25	79:24 85:7	52:22 53:11	tiny 58:17
96:17 98:22	thought 85:15	60:4 142:22	61:23 66:3
101:17 102:13	95:20 141:5	149:4 150:2	71:4 153:24
103:7 106:11	thoughtful 80:1	thunder 35:18	title 84:23,24
107:15 111:2	109:19,21	tied 66:16	titles 144:11
111:19 112:7	thoughts 12:20	68:11 69:17,21	today 5:13 6:7
114:5 122:19	13:9,21	138:5	6:9,11,14 7:19
125:22 126:23	thousand 33:25	ties 119:14	9:2 15:3 16:14
127:2,17 128:4		154:12	17:10,17 19:2

[today - uncommon]

19:6,16 21:21	track 90:7	trucks 31:24	85:20 90:24
22:9,12,16	106:1 151:19	122:7 138:20	120:14 128:18
31:7 75:18,21	tracked 88:13	true 59:18	136:20 149:3
75:25 76:15,25	traditionally	61:18 81:4	154:5,10
78:21 79:11,21	117:25	83:15 84:14,15	type 13:19
80:2 109:18	traffic 101:13	98:2 99:11	52:19,24 55:14
110:25 115:5	trails 46:5	120:4 138:6	56:12 59:12,14
116:25 135:5	trained 103:3,8	147:18 154:19	82:4 84:3
today's 22:14	training 103:4	161:10	99:16
159:17	103:6,7	truly 14:9	types 56:18
together 29:10	transcribed	truth 76:22	72:15 96:7,8
55:7 78:4	161:8	try 6:11 57:16	typical 81:9
131:7 132:11	transcription	trying 111:15	115:24
154:18	161:11	119:21 155:2	typically 89:21
told 108:14	translate	turn 41:25	typographical
ton 66:5 139:11	102:17	53:15 78:20	43:18
tons 58:11,13	transpired 20:5	79:12 84:8	u
151:2	travel 33:13	94:5 97:3	u 7:6,6,13
took 32:17 50:2	39:8 41:22	109:10 113:22	u.s. 88:21 144:3
50:3 76:6 89:4	144:3	121:22 136:3	uh 6:13 29:22
116:1 141:3	travelers	150:14 154:7	ultimate 115:3
top 3:9 45:17	123:21	turned 114:2	ultimately
114:9	traveling 31:12	turns 133:22	14:12 16:22
topic 91:24	31:20,25 41:22	twice 142:14	77:17 82:5
total 50:3	44:13,20	two 14:9,23	106:5,11
touch 150:19	treats 132:19	16:3,7,23 20:2	108:15 120:11
touched 115:18	trespass 84:12	26:10,15 28:13	123:25
tour 83:19	tribe 1:6 3:2	28:15 29:12,14	unacceptable
towards 153:14	6:20 7:3 21:10	30:14 33:4	112:23
153:19	28:21 29:8	36:17 37:9	uncomfortable
towers 86:7	34:10 52:8	39:2,3,5,12	16:10
toxic 32:10	148:14	40:15 56:2	uncommon
34:5,18 36:25	tried 50:23	58:12 62:3,4	81:20 85:5
40:3 46:20,24	trouble 32:5	67:17 76:8	86:19
47:13,24		78:4 80:15	00.17

[under - vice]

10.15			107.5 100.01
under 12:15	understands	unsupported	127:5 128:21
15:25 17:13	73:1	32:18	141:25
26:10,11 27:9	undertaking	untrue 116:24	V
33:9 35:8 36:3	81:17	updated 127:21	vacate 33:16
36:19 38:10,25	unidentified	uphold 80:4	vague 76:18
39:13 40:21	142:11	upper 114:6	valid 82:3
44:14 46:25	unimportant	142:4	validation
48:10 49:1,11	153:14	urge 80:3	131:18
50:5 52:5	unit 49:1	use 6:12 33:13	value 122:10
63:13 89:2	units 49:3	34:9,15 42:14	various 32:4
116:22 119:19	unlawful	48:9,13,17	87:7 92:9
121:2,22	109:15	49:2,21,22	109:6
127:22 128:10	unmatched	50:23 52:19	vegetation
133:11 141:6	76:10	53:3 56:18,19	47:11,17
153:9 159:16	unpack 84:5	76:17 82:24	47.11,17 108:18
161:9	unpatented	95:23 98:5	vehicle 101:13
undergo 123:5	114:14 117:3	108:4 131:15	vehicles 31:12
126:2	unpaved 31:12	133:4,7,15	41:23 82:20
underlying	32:1 136:4,8	134:13 135:18	41:23 82:20
15:3 152:7	unprecedented	143:15 144:13	
underscore	32:18	147:1 148:15	veracity 90:13
75:22	unreasonable	149:23 152:5	verify 90:13 versed 101:25
understand	50:19 81:13	used 26:13 35:7	108:25
14:8 19:12	112:16 143:6	48:15 52:20,24	
44:21 86:15	144:6	60:7,16 68:19	versions 32:4
95:15 110:12	unreasonably	78:15 79:2,7	versus 40:15
111:18 124:21	47:10,16 49:5	83:6 101:4,21	148:8
129:1 130:14	49:18 108:17	102:1 107:10	vetted 20:7
144:18,24	109:13	109:14 114:19	vice 2:4 5:19
145:3	unrebutted	131:7 132:25	8:6,8,12,23
understanding	109:2	136:17 141:7	9:10,25 10:17
17:1 51:7	unreportable	uses 34:10,11	11:9,22 12:24
85:25 87:6	24:22 96:23	52:8 133:17	23:8,24 24:10
102:24 121:21	unsupervised	using 32:25	24:17 25:9,24
124:14	124:4	45:3 122:7	26:1 27:8,24
			30:4,7 71:13

[vice - windows]

	1		
72:1 74:10	voices 18:25	136:11 137:25	we've 25:23
89:18,20 91:9	voluminous	141:19 147:20	28:14 31:6,7
91:13,18,22	76:3	150:19 159:7	62:3 68:8
94:8,13,16	vote 4:3,4,5,10	wanted 15:9,20	77:19 98:6,7
122:6,14	4:11,13,20,21	36:6 75:16	128:1 159:9
134:10,12,23	4:22,23 10:7,8	113:17 114:4	wear 84:1,2
135:2,10,14,21	23:14,15 27:18	118:6 142:13	wearing 144:21
156:15 157:9	156:22,23,23	145:22 148:17	144:22
158:1,15	157:4 158:10	wanting 98:17	weather 119:1
159:14 160:4	159:24	wants 7:24	133:15 135:24
160:18	vs 1:8	21:22 118:6	weed 152:25
viewing 76:21	W	warning 82:21	weight 17:15
vigilant 67:11	w 2:22 7:1	water 56:12	went 143:9
violate 153:24	waiver 44:18	62:5,11 68:22	west 3:4 51:25
violated 59:5	44:22 86:9	100:21 103:19	114:8 119:9
61:25 109:13	walk 53:25	115:1,10 131:6	142:6
126:11	54:5	134:15,18,19	whatsoever
violates 32:24	walked 54:12	134:20 135:8	117:23 122:10
violating 66:6	walking 109:25	137:12 138:9	129:10
83:2 87:14	130:10	waterkeeper	whereof 161:17
violation 66:22	walks 52:18	63:21	whichever 8:12
70:20 155:24	want 14:8	way 34:25	wide 96:6,9
violations 66:7	17:25 18:20	35:15 50:10	101:6
71:6,10 91:7	20:1,15,23	52:12 61:10	wiggle 70:25
126:13	20:1,13,23	66:5 78:16	willing 21:14
visible 101:13	34:23 41:17	79:4,5 90:8	65:4 74:4
visit 43:22	43:7,8,22,22	93:20 112:19	wilson 3:23
83:17 84:14	44:25 74:5	119:15 131:17	7:22 29:22,25
143:18 147:3	75:22 76:1	132:19 136:16	30:11,13,20,23
visiting 84:15	80:15 83:5	138:18 143:12	31:2 113:23,25
143:18 144:21	86:23 89:7	146:11,14,21	141:15,19
147:8	92:2,24 95:12	151:12 154:1	145:23
vital 119:25	95:21 99:18	ways 46:20	wind 78:25
voiced 117:25	103:15 106:22	47:1,1 90:1,24	windows 41:25
	110:17 118:19	155:15	
	110.17 110.17		

[winter - zero]

winter 103:16	X	years 42:23	102:20 103:25
wish 118:13	x 4:1	48:6,7 50:13	104:7 105:5,9
withdraw		51:15 76:6	105:11,20
21:14	y	78:4,9 107:14	106:1 110:4,17
witness 161:17	y 7:13	107:19,22	111:6,9,13,17
wondering	yeah 14:3,14	108:10 114:24	112:21 113:14
71:14	18:7 20:24	115:20 118:23	114:3 115:18
word 91:16	21:25 22:21	139:24,25	116:6 123:8
111:2 114:18	23:4 30:2 41:1	140:20,20	132:14,18
words 76:17	41:16,19 42:9	141:3,4 149:10	134:25 135:3
79:22 83:1	42:25 43:6	149:14,16,22	136:10 138:11
131:15	45:9,12 52:4	150:5,6	139:12 140:7
work 115:1	53:14 64:19	yellow 51:20,25	144:20 149:4
116:7 126:9,10	67:3 71:25	112:17,19	151:18
126:12 128:9	72:2 74:7	114:8 117:24	young's 21:2
128:12,25	96:17,22	118:4 119:7,8	yribar 2:10
132:20 155:14	102:20 110:4,4	142:1 146:21	7:20 74:7
worker 44:8,14	110:17 111:7	147:18	131:24
workers 147:10	111:17 112:14	yesterday 8:10	Z
working 48:16	113:10 114:1	8:25 15:1	zero 71:19
works 148:11	119:10 122:17	17:21 22:19	
world 78:5	122:17 125:25	young 2:16 4:8	
worry 40:5	129:22 135:11	4:17 7:13,13	
wrap 139:8	135:23,23	14:2,4,6,14,17	
150:1	141:20 142:5	14:20 18:7,14	
write 18:21,21	142:11,12	18:19 19:21,24	
writes 78:24	154:24	20:21 26:20	
writing 16:21	year 15:12 48:7	75:12,15,19	
22:14 79:3	50:6,23 52:2	85:24 87:2,6	
written 22:3,4	52:17 79:25	87:12,17 88:3	
written 22:3,4 63:5 77:24	52:17 79:25 107:12,22	87:12,17 88:3 89:1,25 91:12	
written 22:3,4 63:5 77:24 80:1 159:17	52:17 79:25 107:12,22 115:21 123:3	87:12,17 88:3 89:1,25 91:12 91:17,20,23	
written 22:3,4 63:5 77:24	52:17 79:25 107:12,22 115:21 123:3 126:6 140:4,4	87:12,17 88:3 89:1,25 91:12 91:17,20,23 94:5,12,15,18	
written 22:3,4 63:5 77:24 80:1 159:17	52:17 79:25 107:12,22 115:21 123:3 126:6 140:4,4 140:10,15	87:12,17 88:3 89:1,25 91:12 91:17,20,23 94:5,12,15,18 96:14,17,22	
written 22:3,4 63:5 77:24 80:1 159:17	52:17 79:25 107:12,22 115:21 123:3 126:6 140:4,4	87:12,17 88:3 89:1,25 91:12 91:17,20,23 94:5,12,15,18	