

From: [Chuck Willer](#)
To: [Fisher, Lawrence - FS, OR](#)
Subject: [External Email]Re: Review requested: August Meeting Minutes
Date: Thursday, August 22, 2024 12:01:06 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

In looking at the NF Smith River EA, on page 225 of the document I read the following:
"Please see the draft siuslaw_carbonassessment_whitepaper_version2_Chux.docx for more detailed analysis and discussion on Siuslaw National Forest biogenic carbon." The document is behind a paywall at [box.com](#). Can you email me a copy of the document? Word document is fine.

I see the following summary on Page 123 of the Appendix B-3: Harvest Plan.

NF Smith Project Draft Environmental Assessment																
Stand Number	FS Road System	Year of Origin	Total Stand Acres	Implementation Acres	Volume (MBF/acre)	Total Harvest Volume (MBF)	Total Harvest Volume (CCF)	Temporary Roads to Construct on Existing Templates (Miles)	Temporary Roads to Construct on Existing Templates (Acres)	Temporary Roads to Construct (Miles)	Temporary Roads to Construct (Acres Accessed)	Skyline Harvest Acres	Ground-based Harvest Acres	Helicopter Harvest Acres	Number of Existing Landings to Use	Number of New Landings to Construct
701116	4811 000, 4811 950, 4811 956	1962	84	53	20	1060	2038.5	0.01	7	0.00	0	53	0	0	8	0
701118	4811 000	1967	5	2	20	40	76.9	0.00	0	0.00	0	2	0	0	1	0
701119	4811 957	1979	52	13	31	403	775.0	0.00	0	0.00	0	13	0	0	5	0
Totals	NA	Min. = 1960 Avg. = 1977 Max. = 1994	7,831	4,118	3,445	98,738	189,881	15	1,284	0.3	38	3,243	407	468	840	26

Page 123 NF Smith Project Draft Environmental Assessment

Total harvest volume is indicated to be 98.7 million board feet.
 Is this a summary of the preferred alternative?

On page 93 it is stated: "Reduce conifer stocking (primarily Douglas-fir) within high-density plantations to levels approximating the natural landscape vegetation gradient of the area (lower conifer stocking on lower slopes transitioning to relatively higher conifer stocking on ridgetops): i.e. ~60-TPA on moist sites or ~80-TPA on dry sites (Appendix B-2)."

Is the 60 to 80 trees per acre **the observed density today of unmanaged forest stands**? If so, what is the average/typical age of the referenced stands?

Thank you for any assistance in answering the questions.

Best wishes,

Chuck Willer
 Coast Range Association