I don’t want to see any of the four choices adopted!

Quartz Creek Road needs to be open to UTVs & ATVs up to 70” in width. Also, Snowmobiles, Motorcycles, Horses & Pack Stock, Bicycles, Backpackers. In its entirety.

Missouri Mine Road needs to be open to UTVs & ATVs up to 70” in width. Also, Snowmobiles, Motorcycles, Horses & Pack Stock, Bicycles, Backpackers. From the Profile Road to the Missouri Mine in its entirety.

The Horse Heaven Road needs to be open to UTVs & ATVs up to 70” in width. Also, Snowmobiles, Motorcycles, Horses & Pack Stock, Bicycles, Backpackers. From Horse Heaven all the way to the Thunder Mountain Road at Stibnite in its entirety.

The Cinnabar Mine Road needs to be open to UTVs & ATVs up to 70” in width. Also, Snowmobiles, Motorcycles, Horses & Pack Stock, Bicycles, Backpackers. From the mouth of Sugar Creek to the Cinnabar Mine then out over the top to the Fern Mine property in its entirety.

The Dewey Mine Road needs to be open to UTVs & ATVs up to 70” in width. Also, Snowmobiles, Motorcycles, Horses & Pack Stock, Bicycles, Backpackers. From the Thunder Mountain Road to the Dewey Mine itself.

The Road to Lightening Peak needs to be open to High Clearance Vehicles, UTVs & ATVs up to 70” in width. Also, Snowmobiles, Motorcycles, Horses & Pack Stock, Bicycles, Backpackers. From the Thunder Mountain Road to Lightening Peak.

The closure of the Red Metals Mine Road needs revisited. It was done without due process. It allows one particular person to have access to this area. This enables him to lock up more access to National Forest land other than what he owns. One person!

The campsites along the East Fork, just downstream from Yellow Pine (along the Old East Fork Road) need left alone.

The Forest Service Alternatives listed in this project have no consideration for the economic well being of the Village of Yellow Pine or Valley County!

The Alternatives listed in this project do not meet the vision of what Valley County it self-envisions!

The Forest Service has no plan for camping after closing the Dispersed Camping in this Project. More campsites are needed in this project area before closing Dispersed Camping.

This Project Plan was drawn up with too much input from special interest groups who do not represent the majority of National Forest users. And not enough input from local and non-local Recreational Forest Users!

The Forest Services documentation of the views of the Big Creek-Yellow Pine Collaborative for this project refers is not factual! The Forest Service has omitted some statements from its documentation as well as not documenting some statements and points of view! The Big Creek-Yellow Pine Collaborative needs revisited with new input and documentation!

The “Tribe” has less than 4000 members, less than those who are registered.

The “ICL” has around t 26,000 registered members. This number came from an ICL Office.

All these special interest groups carry weight with Forest Service Plans, but they don’t represent the majority of the National Forest users!

In Summary

I object to all listed alternatives!

This whole plan needs to be revisited for all National Forest users and not just special Environmental Groups!