February 2, 2024



#### Wild Heritage Comments on the Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for Land Management Plan Direction for Old-Growth Forest Conditions Across the National Forest System<sup>1,2</sup>

Wild Heritage, a Project of the Earth Island Institute, is a science-based conservation
 organization dedicated to protecting the Earth's primary (unlogged) forests, including our
 nation's exceptionally important and imperiled mature and old-growth forests (herein
 MOG). We appreciate the opportunity to submit these comments to the public record
 regarding conservation options for MOG based on best available science as it pertains to the
 relevant presidential executive orders (cited herein) and forest-climate policies (national
 and international, cited herein).

17

1 2

3

4 5 6

7

8

9

18 And we applaud the decision by the Forest Service to establish a National Old-Growth

19 Monitoring Network (NOGMN). We request that you open that up to scientists that can

- 20 contribute to monitoring and evaluation procedures using the latest remote sensing
- 21 technologies and that you include mature in the network and not just old growth. The
- NOGMN will need to be budgeted for ensuring that it has the necessary resources and
- 23 attention within USDA and is sustainable. Monitoring MOG nationwide should be based on 24 a network of permanent plots at long-term ecological sites (newly established plots and
- a network of permanent plots at long-term ecological sites (newly established plots and
   paired up with the LTER Network) that collectively build on the Forest Inventory and
- paired up with the <u>LTER Network</u>) that collectively build on the Forest Inventory and
   Assessment (FIA) distribution by increasing plot sampling and coverage as a means for
- validating remote sensing monitoring. We request that you include in the old growth EIS a
- 28 specific budget and plan for creating and supporting the NOGMN collaboratively through
- an inclusive and transparent process that assures the use of best available science from
- 30 independently published scientists as well. The NOGMN also needs to include levels of
- 31 protection and representation of MOG within protected areas using standard GAP analysis
- 32 procedures inherent in conservation biology approaches (e.g., how much (%) of MOG is

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Submitted via <u>https://cara.fs2c.usda.gov/Public//CommentInput?Project=65356</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Given restrictions on the number and size of files via the Forest Service comment portal, we request that you include in the public record all the links to publications cited herein. In a related submission of our comments on the Northwest Forest Plan amendment, we received this email on January 25, 2024 regarding pdf links in comments: "Schlichting, Dean - FS, OR: Good morning, I did get some additional guidance on this; links are fine as long as they are not to personal data servers. Public websites only." We note that the Forest Service technically cannot post pdfs on its comments server without violating copyright laws with the journals that own the rights to the publications and therefore we submit the links to the pdfs as the only means for supplying the necessary source materials given file size restrictions in your portal, copyright issues, and limitations of splitting our comments into separate submissions to clear the file size problem.

- 33 within GAP 1 and 2 vs GAP 3 and 4, see DellaSala et al. 2022a) as well as rates of logging 34 and other land-use impacts within MOG in comparison to an historical baseline of when
- 35 MOG was abundant before European colonization and expansive development. That
- 36 baseline is essential for determining how long it will take to grow MOG back within a
- 37 network of conservation reserves to make the system more functional and restore ecosystem 38 integrity.
- 39

40 Throughout our comments, we underscore the main reason that MOG is at all-time lows in 41 distribution and abundance is because of historic and ongoing logging, and related 42 cumulative land-management stressors that have pushed them to the brink of systemic 43 collapse. We are concerned that the agency has lost sight of these causal mechanisms and is 44 instead overly focused on futile attempts to ameliorate large-scale natural disturbances like 45 wildfires and insects that are beyond management control. We provide evidence-based science that MOG ecosystems are dynamic with built in adaptive features that confer 46 resistance (e.g., large, thick bark trees for fire resistance) and resilience (e.g., "seed-rains," 47 48 epicormic branching, and sprouting following fire mortality) to natural disturbances that 49 clearly differ from areas where anthropogenic stressors amplify and accumulate 50 disturbances that exceed thresholds/tolerances (i.e., the main reason why hundreds of 51 species and ecosystem types are listed under the Endangered Species Act and/or the IUCN 52 Red List is logging and related actions, DellaSala et al. 2022a). Therefore, we request that 53 you recognize the hundreds of species listed in MOG due to land-use disturbances as in 54 DellaSala et al. 2022a.

55

56 While we acknowledge that climate change is amplifying natural disturbance effects in 57 MOG, the only control you have over any disturbance at meaningful scales is to **reduce** 

- anthropogenic stressors from logging (e.g., clearcutting, selective removals, large-tree 58 59 thinning, shelterwood, biomass extraction for energy, post-disturbance logging,
- 60 "forest health" logging, "restoration" and "resilience" logging, logging for early seral
- 61 forests). Additional stressors that compromise and degrade ecosystem integrity under
- 62 your control include road building, mining, ORVs, livestock grazing, and invasive
- 63 species that accumulate across spatial and temporal gradients with the combined
- 64 effect of forest degradation and greatly diminished ecosystem integrity. Clearly,
- 65 treating natural disturbances as the main "threat" to forests, while downplaying anthropogenic stressors as the principal threat, whether historic or ongoing, runs counter to 66
- 67 developing conservation options rooted in principles of conservation biology and ecosystem 68 integrity.
- 69

70 The Forest Service has a unique responsibility to steward the nation's MOG as the main

- management agency of this biodiverse, carbon rich, natural climate solution that is 71
- 72 otherwise exceptionally rare on nonfederal lands (DellaSala et al. 2022a). We note that
- 73 based on an independent inventory of MOG, >50 million acres (76% of the total) of federal
- 74 MOG are vulnerable to logging, as they are not within formally protected areas (DellaSala 75
- et al. 2022a). That is, the Forest Service has only protected 24% of its MOG, which is
- 76 below the minimum 30 x 30 target. A GAP status analysis would demonstrate that and

## Wild Heritaae

## A Project of Earth Island Institute

- should be done using the agencies' MOG dataset along with published MOG datasets (e.g.,
- 78 DellaSala et al. 2022a) and entered into the NOGMN as the current condition.
- 79

80 There is also concern that the agency has increased timber sales within MOG recently in 81 anticipation of potential restraints via this EIS process. This is why over 200 NGOs and 200 82 scientists (attached as an appendix) are requesting a moratorium on timber sales within 83 MOG on all the national forests, including the Tongass, to allow the development of 84 conservation options without further MOG losses. This request also includes removing the 85 Tongass exemption to MOG logging as the exemption is clearly inconsistent with the 86 transition underway. Both the exemplary transition of the Siuslaw National Forest in 87 Oregon, an early adopter of the Northwest Forest Plan, and the transition out of old-growth 88 logging underway on the Tongass, should be expanded to all national forests with sufficient 89 resources/assistance provided to help rural communities diversify. 90 Link the Old Growth EIS to Executive Order 14008 (30 x 30) and International 91 92 **Forest-Climate Policies and Pledges** 

93

94 While the NOI cites Executive Order (EO) 14072 ("Strengthening the Nation's forests, communities, and local Economies"- i.e., the national MOG inventory for "conservation 95 purposes"), the NOI did not mention EO 14008 ("Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and 96 97 Abroad" - i.e., 30 x 30). In EO 14008, the president specifically directed federal agencies to 98 begin setting-aside up to 30% of the nation's lands and waters by 2030; thus, the EIS 99 should include such a conservation alternative. Additionally, there is no mention in the NOI 100 of US commitments to nationally determined contributions (NDCs) to the Paris Climate Agreement via carbon sinks and reservoirs (Article 5), and the Glasgow Forest Pledge to 101 102 end deforestation and forest degradation by 2030 signed by the president. Managing MOG 103 as natural climate solutions by ceasing logging within them would be exemplary of the 104 Glasgow Forest Pledge and is consistent with the White House "roadmap for nature-based 105 solutions," Additionally, the NOI appears to downplay the importance of mature forests that 106 need protection as well from logging to begin making the old-growth ecosystem whole 107 again.

108

## 109 Develop a Conservation Alternative for MOG that Prohibits Logging and Related 110 Actions

111

112 As requested by the scientists and NGOs (below), a recent moratorium requested by top

- scientists in the <u>Conversation</u>, and related science herein, we request a conservation
- alternative for MOG with the following issues analyzed.
- 115

116 (1) Protect from logging and related anthropogenic threats (as noted above) all remaining

117 **mature and old-growth forests and large trees** on all Forest Service land designations to

- better comply with EO 14008 (30 x 30), the Glasgow Forest Pledge, and the Paris Climate
- Agreement Article 5. This alternative should include a GAP status analysis of MOG in
- terms of what actually is protected using GAP status codes 1 and 2 to define protection (or
- 121 in this case "conservation"). The conservation alternative should include how best to

## Wild Heritage

### A Project of Earth Island Institute

- elevate the protection of MOG to contribute to the 30 x 30 targets (GAP 1 and 2 level).
- 123 Importantly, while Late-Successional Reserves (LSRs, Northwest Forest Plan) and
- 124 Inventoried Roadless Areas (IRAs) offer some protections, they do not qualify as GAP 1 or
- 125 2 (or IUCN protection equivalents) given that there are exemptions for some forms of
- logging and mining within these designations. However, by prohibiting logging of live and
- 127 dead trees  $\geq$ 80 years old, a MOG protection status may warrant GAP 2.5 designation such
- as the case of inventoried roadless areas (DellaSala et al. 2022a, <u>DellaSala et al. 202</u>3). This
- 129 protection standard should carry through all plan revisions and all forest types be they in
- 130 wet or dry forests given their unique biodiversity, clean water (DellaSala et al. 2022a), and
- 131 superior carbon accumulation rates in large trees (<u>Birdsey et al. 2023</u>). Once properly
- analyzed for GAP status 1 and 2, the Forest Service can look to ways to elevate GAP2.5 to
- a higher protection status so it can be assessed relative to 30 x 30.
- 134 (2) Prioritize fire-risk reduction nearest homes (see <u>Cohen 2000</u>, <u>Schoennagel et al. 2017</u>,
- 135 <u>Calkin et al. 2023</u>, <u>Law et al. 2023</u>) and in flammable young tree plantations (see <u>Bradley et</u>
- 136 <u>al. 2016</u>, Zald and Dunn 2018 for high flammability of plantations) where risks are highest.
- 137 MOG should be the lowest priority for mechanical treatment ("thinning") as they serve as
- 138 irreplaceable climate and wildfire refugia ("resilience" and "resistance" to fires) (see
- 139 <u>Lesmeister et al. 2019</u>, <u>Lesmeister 2021</u> for spotted owl habitat as fire refugia).
- 140 (3) The focus of treatments within dry MOG should be on prescribed and cultural burning
- 141 practices (not pile burning, which is damaging to soils and below-ground processes).
- 142 Removing large trees is not necessary prior to conducting burning, which can be introduced
- 143 under low fire weather to minimize escaped fires (Knapp et al. 2005, Knapp et al. 2006,
- 144 <u>Knapp et al. 2007</u> only the abstract is available online given paywall restrictions <u>van</u>
- 145Mantagem et al. 2011, van Mantagem et al. 2016).
- 146 (4) Increase natural wildland fire use for ecosystem benefits under safe conditions (DellaSala et
- 147 <u>al. 2022b, Baker et al. 2023a</u>). Wildland fire use can accomplish substantially more and
- faster fuel reduction with myriad ecosystem benefits and carbon storage largely intact
   (DellaSala et al. 2017, Harmon et al. 2022), as compared to expansive mechanical
- 150 treatments that accomplish little to alter fire behavior in severe fire weather and if scaled-up
- 151 would damage ecosystems and cause more emissions than the fires (e.g., see Harris et al.
- 152 2016, Law et al. 2018, DellaSala et al. 2022b).
- 153 (5) Close and obliterate roads to reduce unwanted ignitions in transportation planning for fire 154 risk reduction (see Balch et al. 2017 for highest fire risks closest to populated areas).
- 154 Insk reduction (see <u>Barch et al. 2017</u> for highest fire risks closest to populated areas). 155 Nationwide, more than 80% of wildfires are human-caused with greatest risks of unwanted
- 156 ignitions in areas with dense populations and high road densities (Balch et al. 2017). This
- 157 ignition factor is something you can control through effective transportation planning
- 158 involving road closures, road obliteration, closing the national forests during extreme fire
- 159 weather conditions, as for example, during heat domes and droughts. Thus far, the Forest
- 160 Service has focused on fuels and not human-caused ignitions, a much bigger problem you
- 161 can limit.
- 162 (6) Expand the restoration objectives of the Aquatic Conservation Strategy (ACS, watershed
- analysis) under the Northwest Forest Plan to all national forests. This should include road
- 164 obliteration of failing and degrading roads, restrictions on logging out to at least two-
- dominant tree heights within riparian areas; designate beavers as a keystone species of
- 166 conservation concern for water storage, flood abatement, riparian restoration; remove

## Wild Heritage

### A Project of Earth Island Institute

- 167 livestock near streams, springs, wetlands, and seeps; expand culvert repair and culvert
- 168 enlargement for flood abatement; and prohibit post-disturbance "salvage" logging. Logging
- 169 needs to be reduced at watershed scales and not just riparian buffers. Mass wasting events,
- 170 fire intensities, and ambient temperatures all increase with logging and road building, and
- 171 this should be acknowledged<sup>3</sup>, along with livestock grazing, as the top threats to aquatic
- 172 systems with and without MOG.
- 173 (7) Analyze and reduce cumulative impacts from ineffective and damaging wildfire
- 174 suppression tactics (<u>DellaSala et al. 2022b</u>), mining, livestock grazing (<u>Beschta et al. 2012</u>,
- 175 <u>Kauffman et al. 2022</u>), ORVs, biomass utilization, and energy development affecting MOG
- regionally and nationally. The agencies' "Introductory Report" on MOG threats downplays
- these cumulative factors by instead focusing on severe natural disturbances you cannotcontrol.
- 178 control
- 179 (8) Reject any proposal to use the national forests as repositories for pumping carbonunderground that would create substantial infrastructure impacts.
- 181
- 182 Overall, we anticipate that this alternative would have far lower cumulative impacts than all
- 183 other alternatives that emphasize intensive "active management" that otherwise lead to
- 184 forest degradation (and damaged ecological integrity) (DellaSala et al. 2022b). In this
- 185 context, natural disturbances are not treated as a "threat" per se but rather are monitored as
- 186 part of the NOGMN while shifting approaches toward working with natural disturbances
- 187 like wildfires for ecosystem benefits. Any thinning in MOG should prohibit logging of
- 188 economically valued trees as this incentivizes forest degradation. Instead, large trees could
- 189 have lower branches pruned or trees killed and left on site or tipped into streams to
- 190 promote structural development (see below).
- 191
- 192 For all alternatives, we request that the Forest Service take a "hard look" at direct, indirect,
- and cumulative impacts of anthropogenic disturbances (threats), including within the
- surroundings where logging is much greater for contextual purposes. The agencies' threat
- assessment is inadequate and not based on best available science for the reasons noted.
- 196

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>PNW old-growth forests maintain water balance in forested watersheds. Jiang et al. 2019. Also see Perry and Jones 2016. Analysis of 60-year records of daily streamflow from eight paired-basin experiments in the Pacific Northwest (Oregon) revealed conversion of old-growth to Douglas-fir plantations had a major effect on summer streamflow (abstract only due to paywall restriction. Average daily streamflow in summer (July through September) in basins with 34- to 43-year-old plantations of Douglas-fir was 50% lower than streamflow from reference basins with 150- to 500-year-old forests. Young Douglas-fir, which have higher sapwood area, higher sapflow per unit of sapwood area, higher concentration of leaf area in the upper canopy, and less ability to limit transpiration, appear to have higher rates of evapotranspiration than old trees of conifer species, especially during dry summers. Reduced summer streamflow in headwater basins with forest plantations may limit aquatic habitat and exacerbate stream warming, and it may also alter water yield and timing in much larger basins. (abstract only due to paywall). Also see Frissell in Williams et al. 1997. In general, uncut watersheds with older forests are more functional and with higher levels of biodiversity (paywall restricted). Also see Ham 1982. Net precipitation under old growth Douglas-fir in the Bull Run Municipal Watershed (Portland, Oregon) totaled 1739 mm during a 4-week period, 387 mm more than in adjacent clearcut areas. Expressing data on a full water year basis and adjusting gross precipitation for losses due to rainfall interception suggest fog drip could have added 882 mm (35 in) of water to total precipitation during a year when precipitation measured 2160 mm in a rain gage in a nearby clearing. Standard rain gages installed in open areas where fog is common may be collecting up to 30 percent less precipitation than would be collected in the forest. Long term forest management (Le., timber harvest) in the watershed could reduce annual water yield and, more importantly, summer stream flow by reducing fog drip (paywall restricted).

197 198

#### Provide Greater Transparency on the MOG Inventory

199 We are generally supportive of your MOG inventory of 200 regional vegetation types. This 200 is a good first step toward establishing a current timeline of existing conditions for the 201 NOGMN. It should also include potential or historic MOG distribution as a baseline and 202 means for tracking progress or departures in restoring ecosystem integrity nationally and 203 regionally on federal lands. A proper baseline would include estimating potential MOG 204 from back-casting techniques (e.g., historical accounts, potential vegetation and disturbance 205 dynamics) to compare with current and potential future conditions with and without MOG 206 protections (run simulations on MOG conservation status by 2030 to determine current 207 protection levels and what's needed by 2030 to comply with EO 14008).

208

Importantly, the agency inventoried 24.7M acres of "old-growth forest conditions" and 68.1M acres of "mature forest conditions," representing 17 and 47 percent, respectively, of

- 211 144.3M acres. In contrast, DellaSala et al. (2022a) report 53.8M acres of combined MOG
- on national forests. The DellaSala inventory was based on LiDAR mapping of the relative
- structural scorings derived from three proxies related to canopy height, canopy density, and
- biomass at 30-m resolution. Importantly, their remote sensing MOG estimates included
- 215 validation of remote sensing mapping by using overlapping FIA plot data. It is unclear why
- the agencies' combined MOG estimate (92.8M ac) is nearly twice that of DellaSala et al.
  (2022a) and whether it included any validation of MOG structure classes. Thus, we request
  that you provide the specific mapping methods and MOG thresholds and contrast that with
- independent methods to determine levels of uncertainty and ensure that the agencies'inventory is on par with rigorously established MOG inventory procedures that have gone
- inventory is on par with rigorously established MOG inventory procedures that have got
   through peer-review. The metadata and datasets (including raster files) should be
- immediately entered into a NOGMN database for open access to all published (peer-reviewed) inventory approaches.
- 224

# The Threat Analysis Needs to Clearly Separate Out Natural Disturbances (i.e., "pulse disturbances") from Cumulative Land-Use Stressors (i.e., "press disturbances") as Distinctly Different Effects on Ecosystem Integrity

- We note that the NOI definition of a "threat" and the agencies' "Introductory Report" are far too simplistic as follows: "In the analysis, the term "threat" indicated a change in forest structure resulting in a reclassification of the forest condition but not necessarily a loss of ecological function and integrity."
- 233
- This definition lumps all disturbances together so long as they result in a reclassification offorest condition. It is not based on best available science as noted herein.
- 236
- Most importantly, logging nearly eliminated all (99%) of the MOG in the eastern US (north to south) during the late 1800s-1900s, sweeping westward as timber supply in MOG areas
- was exhausted. Logging accelerated in the Pacific NW (PNW) and across the West in
- response to the post World War II housing boom and other factors, eliminating nearly all
- 241 MOG on nonfederal lands and in some regions (PNW) wiping out all but 20% of the MOG

## Wild Heritage

### A Project of Earth Island Institute

- on federal lands (<u>Strittholt and DellaSala 2006</u>). In the 1950s, logging picked up on the
- Tongass rainforest in response to two 50-year pulp contracts that began targeting the most productive, highest volume old growth stands where the biggest trees were selectively
- removed (Albert and Schoen 2013, DellaSala et al. 2022c). In the eastern Oregon and
- 246 Washington Cascades and Blue Mountains, most of the largest trees were high graded
- 247 during the 1960s (Henjum et al. 1993), necessitating the "eastside screens" in 1994 to
- 248 protect trees >21 inches dbh. In a rush to judgement (without a proper EIS), the screens
- 249 were removed in the final days of the Trump administration that redefined large trees as
- 250 >150 years old, up to which could be logged, even though large trees (>21 in dbh) of all
- species remain at historic lows and are critically important for wildlife and as carbon
   repositories (Mildrexler et al. 2020, 2023). These protections need to be restored in the OG
   amendment process.
- 254

255 Historic logging (and ongoing albeit at lower levels) is therefore the **main** threat and reason 256 for why MOG were nearly liquidated nationally (DellaSala et al. 2022a) and the threat 257 analysis needs to reflect this more than natural disturbances. In particular, even though rates 258 of MOG logging have dropped recently, the legacy effect of logging remains a major threat 259 to MOG ecosystems still responding to widespread losses. MOG remains largely in 8 260 regions in the conterminous US (see DellaSala et al. 2022a). The federal MOG distribution 261 within these regions is especially important as climate refugia (Lesmeister et al. 2019, 262 2021) and carbon sinks (DellaSala et al. 2015b). We request that you acknowledge your 263 unique role in protecting and stewarding what's left of the nation's most biodiverse, carbon 264 dense MOG and how widespread forest degradation is a consequence of decades of logging 265 and road building even if those rates have slowed on federal lands. Every acre of MOG is 266 now irreplaceably important to the resilience and recovery of the entire ecosystem (i.e., 267 context and importance of the federal lands are magnified by high rates of logging in 268 the surroundings).

269

270 There are clear differences in "forest reclassification" due to natural disturbances vs. 271 logging-related disturbances and this too needs to be properly acknowledged. Wildfire 272 dynamics and epizootics are part of the natural ecosystem processes that forests are 273 uniquely adapted to even as structure and reclassification changes in severe events. Severe 274 natural disturbances produce a critical pulse of biological legacies associated with high 275 levels of biodiversity and intact carbon stores within the ensuing underappreciated complex 276 early seral forests that are as diverse as old growth (Swanson et al. 2011, DellaSala et al. 277 2014, DellaSala et al. 2017). Natural disturbances in these forests jump start the trajectory 278 from pioneering stages toward MOG over decades via interconnected seral stages (Donato 279 et al. 2012). The Forest Service has not unequivocally established that natural disturbances 280 are currently or soon to be overriding recovery objectives of MOG as in fact the 281 Introduction Report indicates the opposite:

282

Figure on p. 2 of the Introduction Report shows fire, insects, disease together account for
2.8% OG loss, but losses are offset by a 3.8% gain OG, net +1%. Notably, the report states,
"despite the threats highlighted in this analysis, the RPA assessment predicted an increasing

## Wild Heritage

### A Project of Earth Island Institute

- trend in the amount of mature and old-growth forests on NFS and BLM lands until at leastmid-century.
- 288

289 We present published evidence that wildfire and beetle-drought severities are not increasing 290 beyond historic bounds (Baker et al. 2023a,b), and thus we request that the Forest Service 291 conduct a statistically robust analysis of MOG recruitment vs loss, including confidence 292 intervals around any observed trends (these data should be made available to the public in a 293 data portal complete with raster files for GIS analysis). To do otherwise, is not statistically 294 valid nor best available science. Visual graphs of disturbance acreages by type are not 295 validation in themselves nor should unrelated disturbances (anthropogenic vs. natural) be 296 grouped on the same graph given clear differences.

- 297
- 298 This Table from <u>DellaSala et al. (2014)</u> show that there are marked differences in forest
- 299 conditions between logged areas (chronic disturbance) vs natural disturbances (press
- 300 disturbances) that function as pulse disturbances important in the maintenance of ecological
- 301

integrity.

Table 1. Differences between early seral systems produced by natural disturbance processes vs. logging. For natural disturbances, assume that a disturbance originates from within a late-successional forest as legacies are maintained throughout succession. For logged sites, assume site preparation includes conifer plantings but no herbicides, which, if also applied, would magnify noted differences.

Attribute	Regeneration Harvest or Postfire Logged	Natural Disturbance
Large trees	rare	abundant and widely distributed
Large snags/downed logs	rare	abundant and widely distributed
Understory	dense conifer plantings followed by sparse vegetation as conifer crowns close (usually within 15-20 years depending on site productivity)	varied and rich flora
Species composition	few species mostly commercially stocked, deer initially abundant then excluded as conifer crowns close	varied and rich flora, rich invertebrates and birds, abundant deer
Structural complexity	simplified	highly complex; many biological legacies
Soils and below-ground processes	compacted and reduced mycorrhizae	complex and functional below ground mats
Genetic diversity	low due to emphasis on commercial species and nursery genomes	complex and varied
Ecosystem processes (predation, pollination)	moderate initially then sparse as conifer crowns close; limited food web dynamics	rich pollinators and complex food web dynamics
Susceptibility to invasives	moderate to high depending on site preparation, soil disturbances, livestock, road densities (see McGinnis et al. 2010)	low due to resistance by diverse and abundant native species and low soil disturbances
Disturbance frequency	commercial rotations (40-100 years or so)	varied and complex
Landscape heterogeneity	low	high; shifting mosaics and disturbance dynamics
Resilience/resistance to climate change	low due to nursery stock genomes but conifer plantings can be adjusted for locally anticipated climate envelopes	varied and complex genomes allow for resilience and resistance to climate change

302

- 303
- 304 In sum, you should not place natural disturbances on the same graphs as human disturbances
- 305 or treat natural disturbances similar to logging, road building, and related "active
- 306 management" practices in terms of impacts to carbon storage, carbon sequestration, carbon
- 307 flux (especially gross emissions from logging) wildlife habitat, water quality, and ecosystem
- 308 processes. The pulse of biological legacies (particularly large live and dead trees, below-

## Wild Heritage

#### A Project of Earth Island Institute

309 ground processes, seed banks, mycorrhizae) are uniquely created or maintained by wildfires

- 310 and epizootics but removed by logging. Severe natural disturbances produce complex early
- 311 seral forests (Swanson et al. 2011, DellaSala et al. 2014) that are as biodiverse as MOG and
- are interconnected from pioneering to old growth stage and back again. Logging breaks this
- 313 cycle (DellaSala et al. 2014, <u>DellaSala et al. 2022c</u>), leading to compounded disturbances and
- widespread forest degradation (<u>Paine 1998</u>, abstract only). The long-term persistence of
   MOG depends mainly on the only disturbance factor you can control logging and related
- 315 MOG depends mainly on the only disturbance factor you can control logging and related 316 practices. The Forest Service's Wildfire Crisis Strategy and threat assessment does not make
- that proper distinction, blames natural disturbances mainly for MOG losses, and fails to
- 318 properly analyze cumulative impacts of its actions from widespread attempts to suppress,
- 319 contain, and minimize natural disturbances that are far beyond control, leading to type
- 320 conversions in places (forests to weed infested savannahs, collateral ecosystem damages, and
- 321 climate harmful actions (DellaSala et al. 2022c).
- 322

We note that while the NOI states, "current management practices may benefit from consistent direction to vulnerabilities and increase resilience to stressors," this consistent direction should start **with a moratorium on MOG logging** as requested below in letters to

- the president from scientists and NGOs to allow development of conservation alternatives in
- 327 good faith. It should include placing all remaining MOG within a protective reserve network
- 328 for the myriad ecosystem benefits, including long-term carbon storage, wildlife habitat,
- drinking water, and recreation, to name a few. Focusing on maintaining carbon stocks
- through the only meaningful scalable action you can take cessation of logging of large trees
- and MOG on federal lands would provide consistent direction across the national forest
   systems by recognizing the unique values of MOG from the eastern hardwoods and long-leaf
- 333 wiregrass forests to the Great Lakes beach-maple and pine forest, to the old pines of the
- Rockies and southwest, to the massive coast redwoods and giant sequoia, to the towering
- 335 Douglas-fir/spruce/hemlock forests of the Pacific Northwest, large pines and other conifers
- 336 of the inland forests, and carbon-dense coastal rainforests from the Pacific NW to Alaska.
- 337 Such a conservation alternative that set-asides MOG from logging and related activities
- 338 would provide the consistency you seek. It needs to follow on the success of the National
- 339 Roadless Conservation Rule that provided consistent direction for inventoried roadless areas
- across the national forest system.
- 341

## Carbon Stored in Mature Forests and Not Just Old-Growth Needs to be A Central Focus

- 344
- 345 The Forest Service must recognize the importance of mature forests in long-term storage and
- maintenance of carbon stocks as well as old growth. Proforestation, the practice of allowing
- forests to mature to reach their true carbon potential (<u>Moomaw et al. 2019</u>), needs to be
   emphasized in a conservation alternative for MOG, as exemplified by the successful
- emphasized in a conservation alternative for MOG, as exemplified byNorthwest Forest Plan (NWFP).
- 350
- 351 Reduction in logging levels that started in the 1990s under the Northwest Forest Plan
- 352 (NWFP) shifted the region from a source of carbon emissions to a sink for long-term carbon
- 353 capture and **storage** (<u>Krankina et al. 2012</u>, <u>Law et al. 2018</u>). This unanticipated benefit of the

## Wild Heritage

### A Project of Earth Island Institute

354 plan should be recognized in revision. Cessation of logging has been repeatedly 355 demonstrated to have benefits not only to biodiversity but to carbon accrual and storage in large trees (e.g., Krankina et al. 2012, Law et al. 2018, Moomaw et al. 2019, Nagel et al. 356 357 2023). One such benefit is federal MOG is now considered among the most carbon dense 358 (carbon stocks per acre) ecosystems on the planet (Smithwick et al. 2002, Keith et al. 2009, 359 Krankina et al. 2014, Brandt et al. 2014, Law et al. 2021). The OG amendment therefore 360 needs to include regional contributions of MOG to climate mitigation involving carbon 361 capture and long-term stores (i.e., natural climate solutions). This includes how MOG 362 protection can match up with US commitments to nationally determined contributions 363 (NDCs) to the Paris Climate Agreement via carbon sinks and reservoirs (Article 5), the 364 Glasgow Forest Pledge to end forest degradation, and the 30 x 30 presidential directive as 365 noted. 366 367 By a natural climate solution, we mean the protection from logging of carbon stored within MOG (large trees - live and dead - soils, etc) and by allowing mature forests to develop old 368 369 growth characteristics over time via "proforestation" (Moomaw et al. 2019). What matters 370 most in a climate emergency, is keeping additional carbon from logging out of the 371 atmosphere (Mackey et al. 2013) rather than storing a small amount in short-lived (relative to 372 MOG) wood product pools (Keith et al. 2015, Harmon 2019, Hudiburg et al. 2019). 373 Protection is the most effective natural climate solution and best climate smart forestry 374 option (Moomaw et. 2019, Mackey et al. 2015, Mackey et al. 2022). 375 376 This particular statement by the IPCC scientist Dr. Brendan Mackey et al (2022) points to the 377 flaws in net carbon accounting methods often used by the forestry industry given that what 378 matters most is not net carbon but keeping additional emissions out of the atmosphere by 379 protecting existing carbon stocks (sinks and reservoirs): 380 381 "All CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from, and atmospheric removals into, forest ecosystem carbon stocks now matter and 382 should be counted and credited to achieve the deep and rapid cuts in emissions needed over the coming decades. 383 Accounting and reporting systems therefore need to show gains and losses of carbon stocks in each reservoir. 384 Changing forest management in naturally regenerating forests to avoid emissions from harvesting and enabling 385 forest regrowth is an effective mitigation strategy that can rapidly reduce anthropogenic emissions from the 386 forest sector and simultaneously increase removals of CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere." 387 388 We repeat our concern here that net carbon flux is the wrong indicator of the carbon 389 importance of forests because it ignores the need to keep gross emissions from logging out of 390 the atmosphere. Instead, the agency should allow mature forests and large trees to age for 391 carbon uptake and long-term carbon storage to reach their ecological potential. Forests 392 take at least a decade to restart carbon capture at meaningful scales after logging, and very 393 little carbon is stored in short-lived wood product pools with over 80% of a logged forests' 394 carbon winding up in the atmosphere at some point. Thus, no form of logging or tree planting

can be considered "climate smart" or compensatory for the carbon debt created by logging,
especially in a global climate emergency (Keith et al. 2009, Mackey et al. 2014, Moomaw et

397 al. 2019, <u>Harmon 2019</u>, Mildrexler et al. 2020, 2023, Mackey et al. 2022, <u>Ripple et al. 2022</u>,

## Wild Heritage

### A Project of Earth Island Institute

- 398DellaSala et al. 2022a, DellaSala et al. 2023, Birdsey et al. 2023). That distinction is further
- 399 illustrated as follows and needs to be included in the EIS.
- 400

401 The severity of forest degradation and the extent of the carbon debt from logging depends on

402 what logging methods are used, how much forest biomass is removed (timber volume

403 removed converts to emissions), and where removals occur (MOG vs plantations, see Law et

404 al. 2018, <u>Law et al. 2021</u>, <u>Moomaw and Law 2023</u>, Birdsey et al. 2023, DellaSala et al. 2023,

405 <u>Peng et al. 2023</u>). The greatest carbon losses take place when most of the forest biomass is

removed (clearcuts, postfire salvage) and especially the removal of large, carbon-rich trees
 within MOG forests (e.g., > 21 inches dbh, Mildrexler et al. 2020, 2023). Those losses are

407 within WOO forests (e.g., > 21 menes doil, windrexier et al. 2020, 2023). Those losses are 408 not "temporary" as the carbon debt created by logging can last for centuries, a luxury of time

409 we no longer have in the climate emergency (<u>Hudiburg et al. 2019</u>, <u>Moomaw and Law 2023</u>).

- 410 In sum, the carbon costs of wood harvest have been grossly underestimated, including wood
- 411 substitution that is overvalued (Harmon 2019).
- 412

413 Removing large trees for any perceived reduction in fire risks is also unrealistic as it would

require massive amounts of thinning to get to scale. This is because of the extremely low

415 chance of a site encountering a fire when flammable vegetation is reduced, high levels of

416 treatment uncertainty due to the climate signal overwhelming on-the-ground efforts,

417 expansive co-lateral damages from thinning (DellaSala et al. 2022b), and significant

418 emissions from logging that can exceed those from all natural disturbances combined (Harris

419 <u>et al. 2016, Law et al. 2018</u>, DellaSala et al. 2022a, Moomaw and Law 2023). Carbon losses

420 also occur whenever commercial thinning is involved and not just clearcut logging (Law et

- 421 al. 2018, Mildrexler et al. 2020, 2022, <u>Bartowitz et al. 2022</u>). The Bartowitz et al. citation in
- this call-out box is exemplary of the thinning problem noted and needs to be considered in
- 423 any EIS alternative for significant limitations and expansive co-lateral damages.
- 424

425 "While prescribed fire has been shown to decrease fire risk (Kolden, 2019) and increase carbon storage 426 (Wiedinmyer and Hurteau, 2010), removal of biomass through large-diameter tree thinning or logging produces 427 mixed outcomes for fire risk mitigation and forest resilience (Sohn et al., 2016) and reduces forest carbon 428 storage and sequestration for decades to centuries (Campbell et al., 2012; Bartowitz et al., 2019; Stenzel et al., 429 2021). The misconception that trees need to be saved from wildfire through harvest (Zinke, 2018; Infrastructure 430 Investment and Jobs Act, 2021; Table 2) may lead to unintended consequences through increased logging. 431 These consequences include increased fire risk, a decreased forest carbon sink, decreased forest resiliency, and 432 loss of the forest as a natural climate solution (Hudiburg et al., 2013; Law et al., 2018; Zald and Dunn, 433 2018; Stephens et al., 2020).

434

Notably, logging contributes to the dangerous feedback with extreme fire weather (see
below). Any assumptions about temporary carbon losses from "active management" that
offset natural disturbances would require detailed carbon life cycle analysis and independent
verification (see Law et al. 2018, Harmon 2019, Hudiburg et al. 2019). We request that a life
cycle analysis of carbon leaving the forest from logging in the EIS be conducted and verified

- 440 independently (e.g., published in the peer-reviewed literature).
- 441 442

443

Additionally, we request that carbon storage in MOG becomes **a central focus** of the EIS along with the co-functionality benefits that come from protecting MOG with high carbon

## Wild Heritage

### A Project of Earth Island Institute

- stores (i.e., biodiversity, clean drinking water, recreation; <u>Brandt et al. 2014</u>, Law et al.
  2021).
- 446

## Exceptions within the Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI) and Alaska's Tongass are Outdated, Completely Ineffective, and Should be Dropped

449

450 We note that this statement in the NOI is outdated and not based on best available science: 451

452 "Exceptions to this standard may be allowed if the responsible official determines that

453 actions are necessary: to reduce fuel hazards on National Forest System land within the

454 wildland-urban interface to protect a community or infrastructure from wildfire...."

455

456 Notably, under the "Healthy Forest Restoration Act," the WUI can extend out to 1.5 miles

- 457 from the nearest structure in "at-risk" communities, which in some cases can include nearly
- 458 an entire county! Human-caused ignitions that spill over into urban areas; however, are
- 459 mostly coming from private lands where logging is most intense and roads are extensive (human accurate institution risk is highest) and not from for burll have to (Denuise et al. 2022)
- 460 (human-caused ignition risk is highest) and not from federal lands (<u>Downing et al. 2022</u>).
- 461 Notably, the most effective wildfire risk reduction measures for communities is to work from 462 the home-out and not the wildlands-in. Home-out fire risk reduction is within 50-100 feet of
- 463 the structure itself (Cohen 2000). This is why many scientists are calling for a new
- relationship with wildfire management by working with wildfire for ecosystem benefits and
- focusing surgically on fire risk reduction with home-out treatments (<u>Schoennagel et al. 2017</u>,
- 466 <u>Calkin et al. 2023</u>, <u>Law et al. 2023</u>). Thus, the Forest Service should tighten up WUI
- 467 management to mean home-out and a very narrow zone around ingress and egress roads to
   468 limit damages to urban areas and allow for escape routes. Treating beyond the home-ignition
- zone is completely ineffective in reducing fire losses to homes as stated by the agencies' own
- 470 researchers (Calkin and Cohen).
- 471

We underscore here tht many of the largest fires were human caused (Balch et al. 2017) as
exemplified by the Dixie Fire in California (<u>https://www.yahoo.com/news/california-college-</u>
professor-pleads-guilty-194850298.html?guccounter=1).

475

Additionally, backburning to reduce fire intensity in fire operations sometimes can contribute
to fire spread rates and high severity burns when escaped burning happens in red-flag
conditions. This is almost never reported in fire incident reports and is no doubt contributing
to recent upticks in wildfires blamed instead on natural factors. Closing roads and access

- 480 during extreme fire weather is the only way to limit this and is directly in your ability to limit
- 481 unwanted ignitions. More comprehensive fire incident reports are also need to track
- 482 backburning influences in fire perimeter and severity determinations.
- 483
- 484 We note that the Tongass OG exemption is especially controversial and inconsistent with
- 485 efforts to transition the Tongass out of old growth logging: "Exceptions to standards 2 and 3
- 486 may be granted by the Regional Forester in Alaska if necessary to allow for implementation
- 487 of the Southeast Alaska Sustainability Strategy and the rationale must be included in a
- 488 decision document." There is no need for this exemption as there is ample second growth to

## Wild Heritage

### A Project of Earth Island Institute

489 meet the Tongass timber targets with no further old growth logging. The Forest Service

- 490 should instead concentrate its resources on the transition by further assisting rural
- 491 communities and the timber industry (small mills) in making the needed wood processing
- 492 changes to young logs coupled with value-added manufacturing instead of shipping logs and
- jobs overseas. Notably, the timber industry on the Tongass is a mere 100 or so jobs that can
- 494 better be served in less destructive ways by shifting to value-added manufacturing of young 495 trees with a redirection of Forest Service subsidized logging to the second growth transition.
- 496 The Tongass continues to be a money losing national forest with the industry floated on
- 497 subsidized old growth logging that is destructive to the ecosystem and irresponsible to
- 498 communities that eventually will run out of supply due to overcutting (which is what has
- 499 happened historically, nationally). That subsidy should be redirected to the transition.
- 500

#### 501 Conclusions

502 503 The NOI does not go far enough in meeting the president's executive orders (especially 30 x 504 30), the Glasgow Forest Pledge (end forest degradation), the Paris Climate Agreement 505 (Article 5 on carbon sinks and reservoirs), and the White House roadmap on nature-based 506 solutions. Importantly, there are no clear standards for the inclusion of mature forests that 507 need to receive the same protections as old growth to begin restoring the integrity of MOG 508 ecosystems and their myriad benefits. We have requested the following as a conservation 509 alternative for analysis summarized in closing:

510

517

525

526 527

528

529

- (1) Fully fund the national old growth monitoring network (and include mature forests)
  and make the network monitoring transparent and inclusive of independent
  researchers to increase plot and remote sampling capacity. This should be a
  cooperative process that also determines an appropriate historical baseline to track
  progress toward making MOG whole again with clear targets for MOG protection via
  a GAP analysis and contributions toward 30 x 30 targets.
  - (2) Remove from the timber base all MOG on all land-use designations.
- (3) In dry forests, focus treatments in MOG on prescribed and cultural burning where
   appropriate. Tree tipping (streams), snag creation, and lower branch pruning may be
   warranted to create structure in places.
- (4) Prioritize retention of carbon stores and not just sequestration by protecting all
  large trees (e.g., >21 inches, Mildrexler et al. 2020, 2023). Birdsey et al. (2023)
  provide large tree carbon accrual rates for several national forests using other
  diameter examples of large trees having the highest carbon accumulation rates.
  - (5) Establish a network of MOG conservation areas (e.g., carbon reserves, Law et al. 2020, 2021) that is inclusive of threatened species and rare forest communities, drinking water source areas, and carbon dense forests (see DellaSala et al. 2022a).
  - (6) Eliminate the exemptions for the Tongass and fuel treatments involving removal of economically valued trees within the WUI that are ineffective and outdated.
- (7) Redirect timber subsidizes to enable nationwide transition out of MOG logging as in
  the example of the Siuslaw early adopter of the Northwest Forest Plan and the
  Tongass transition underway. This needs to expand to all national forests.

## Wild Heritage

### A Project of Earth Island Institute

- 533 (8) Expand the Northwest Forest Plan Aquatic Conservation Strategy to the national 534 forest system. (9) Close and obliterate roads and close the national forests during extreme fire weather 535 536 to limit human-caused ignitions. 537 10 Manage MOG to reduce cumulative human-caused disturbances - livestock grazing, 538 roads, invasives, all forms of logging, mining, ORVs, biomass extraction to name a 539 few. 540 541 The Forest Service has a unique opportunity to move its logging program out of controversial 542 MOG whether those forests are wet or dry, and prohibit post-disturbance logging whenever 543 MOG succumbs to natural disturbances. The agency needs to respond to the presidential 544 directives (EO 14072 and 14008) together, the US commitment to the Glasgow Forest Pledge
- 545 (ending forest degradation in this case), the Paris Climate Agreement (Article 5, sinks and
- reservoirs), and the White House roadmap to nature-based solutions. In the interim, the
- 547 Forest Service needs to cease and desist all logging within MOG and large trees generally in
- 548 good faith to allow the EIS to develop conservation options as proposed herein with strong
- 549 protections for MOG and no further MOG logging losses.
- 550 551

February 2, 2024

President Joe Biden The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20500

Cc: Ali Zaidi, National Climate Advisor, The White House; Stephenne Harding, Council on Environmental Quality; Thomas J. Vilsack, Secretary of Agriculture; Deb Haaland, Secretary of Interior

**Re**: Request for an Executive Order to Place a Moratorium on Logging Mature and Old-Growth Forests, and Large Trees Generally, on National Forests and Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Lands While the Old Growth EIS Proceeds

Dear President Biden:

We are scientists with backgrounds in forest ecosystems, climate change, and natural resources writing in response to the December 20, 2023 Notice of Intent for a National Old Growth Amendment in the Federal Register (Federal Register, Vol. 88. No. 243). We applaud your Executive Order 14008 directing federal agencies to protect 30% of the nation's lands and waters by 2030, and Executive Order 14072 directing the national inventory of mature and old-growth forests for conservation purposes, most of which are on National Forests and BLM lands. Because of the global loss of mature and old-growth forests, and large trees generally,<sup>1</sup> and their importance in mitigating the climate and biodiversity crisis on federal lands<sup>2</sup>, we fully support calls by fellow scientists for a moratorium<sup>3</sup> on logging in these critically important forests. Therefore, we request that you now direct the Forest Service and BLM to suspend all timber sales in mature and old-growth forests, and refrain from proposing new timber sales in these forests, while the federal agencies develop their Environmental Impact Statements that best comply with Executive Order 14072 in securing a national network of conservation areas.

We are concerned that the Administration's proposed old-growth Amendment "does not alter or prescribe any substantive standards for the management of old growth forests" that in the meantime remain vulnerable to <u>dozens of timber sales</u> nationally and efforts by the Forest Service to increase logging of these forests before any substantive conservation takes hold. We are also concerned that the proposed Amendment excludes mature forests, and includes a

<sup>3</sup>Makarieva et al. 2023. Re-appraisal of the global climatic role of natural forests for improved climate projections and policies. Frontiers in Forests and Global Change (<u>https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ffgc.2023.1150191/full</u>). Law et al. 2024. Old forests are critically important for slowing climate change and merit immediate protection from logging <u>https://theconversation.com/old-forests-are-critically-important-for-slowing-climate-change-and-merit-immediate-protection-from-logging-220771</u>)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Lindenmayer, D. et al. 2012. Global decline in large trees. Science 338 (6112):1305-6 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233887120 Global Decline in Large Old Trees

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>DellaSala, D.A. et al. 2022. Mature and old-growth forests contribute to large-scale conservation targets in the conterminous United States. Frontiers in Forests and Global Change <u>https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ffgc.2022.979528/full</u>. DellaSala et al. 2022. The Tongass National Forest, Southeast Alaska, USA: a natural climate solution of global significance. Land 2022, 11(5), 717; <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/land11050717</u>. Law et al. 2023. Southern Alaska's forest landscape integrity, habitat, and carbon are critical for meeting climate and conservation goals. AGU Advances <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2023AV000965</u>

loophole that would allow logging of old-growth forests under certain conditions. Additionally, based on an independent inventory of mature and old-growth forests in the conterminous United States, and the Tongass rainforest in Alaska, more than 50 million acres of mature and old-growth forests<sup>2,4</sup> are vulnerable to logging. In particular, the Amendment exempts the Tongass, the nation's highest concentration of old-growth forests and forest carbon<sup>4</sup>, from further analysis, which is inconsistent with your efforts to transition this forest out of old-growth logging.

The <u>Glasgow Leaders' Declaration on Forests and Land Use</u> was signed by 141 countries, including the United States, at the COP26. The declaration pledges to end global deforestation and **forest degradation** by 2030 (emphasis added). Additionally, the United States is committed to the <u>Paris Climate Agreement</u> that "encourages Parties to conserve and enhance, as appropriate, sinks and reservoirs of GHGs that are referred to in Article 4, paragraph 1(d) of the Convention, **including forests**" (emphasis added). Following through on these commitments in practice is crucial for climate change mitigation<sup>5</sup>.

Logging and associated road building in mature and old-growth forests and the removal of large trees on federal lands is the main form of **forest degradation** and is therefore inconsistent with your global commitments and relevant executive orders. We ask that you lead by example in signaling to the world that the United States takes its commitment seriously in halting the global biodiversity and climate crises by now directing federal agencies to enact the strongest protections for the nation's mature and old-growth forests and large trees as natural climate solutions and a flagship initiative of your <u>roadmap for nature-based solutions</u>. Doing so would be a legacy gift of your Administration to the nation and the planet.

Sincerely,

Richard Birdsey Senior Scientist Woodwell Climate Research Center

Beverly Law Professor Emeritus of Global Change Biology Oregon State University

William R Moomaw Distinguished Visiting Scientist Woodwell Climate Research Center Tufts University Barry Noon, Ph. D. Emeritus Professor Colorado State University

Stuart Pimm Doris Duke Professor of Conservation Duke University

Bill Ripple University Distinguished Professor of Ecology Oregon State University

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> DellaSala, D.A. et al. 2022. The Tongass National Forest, Southeast Alaska, USA: a natural climate solution of global significance. Land <u>https://www.mdpi.com/2073-445X/11/5/717</u>. Law, B. E., et al 2023. Southern Alaska's forest landscape integrity, habitat, and carbon are critical for meeting climate and conservation goals. AGU Advances, 4, e2023AV000965. https://doi.org/10.1029/2023AV000965

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Gasser et al. 2022. How the Glasgow Declaration on forests can help keep alive the 1.5C target. PNAS <u>https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2200519119#:~:text=At%20last%20year's%2026th%20UN,the%20Paris%20agreement</u> <u>%20within%20reach</u>. DellaSala, D.A., et al. 2023. A carpie diem moment on forests and climate policy. <u>https://www.esciinfo.com/uploads/article\_pdf/6/scientific\_6\_7\_03042023070834.pdf</u>

Rose Abramoff, Ph. D. Research Scholar Ronin Institute

Daniel Anderson Professor Emeritus University of California

William Armbruster Principal Research Scientist University of Alaska Fairbanks

Erik Asphaug Professor University of Arizona

Peter Auster Research Professor Emeritus retired

Peter Bahls Executive Director Northwest Watershed Institute

David Bain, Ph. D. Chief Scientist Orca Conservancy

Carl Baker Research Scientist III University of Washington (retired)

William L. Baker Emeritus Professor, Ecology and Geography University of Wyoming

Bryant Baker, MSc Director & Principal GIS Analyst Wildland Mapping Institute

Norman T. Baker, Ph. D. Ecologist and Entomologist Northstar Nurseries Inc.

Mark Barath US EPA Retired

Jesse Barber Professor Boise State University Phoebe Barnard, Ph. D. Co-Founder Global Restoration Collaborative

Phoebe Barnard, Ph. D. Advisor Global Evergreening Alliance

Jeff Beane Curator of Herpetology North Carolina State Museum of Natural Sciences

Craig Benkman Professor Emeritus University of Wyoming

Robert Beschta Professor Emeritus Oregon State University

Harvey Blankespoor Professor Emeritus Science teacher (retired)

Harvey Blankespoor, Ph. D. 1991 National CASE professor of the year (Retired) Hope College

James Blauth Professor of Biology University of Redlands

Brian Bodenbender Professor of Geology and Environmental Science Hope College

Monica Bond, Ph. D. Principal Scientist Wild Natural Institute

Jim Boone Senior Scientist Desert Wildlife Consultants, LLC

Mary S. Booth, Ph. D. Director Partnership for Policy Integrity

Curtis Bradley Senior Scientist Center for Biological Diversity William Bromer Professor Emeritus of Biology University of St Francis

Barbara Brower Emerita Professor of Geography Portland State University

Paul Butler Emiritus Faculty Member Geoscience

Alan Cady Professor of Biology Miami University

Philip Cantino Professor Emeritus Ohio University

Gary Carnefix Ecologist Retired

Bobb Carson Professor- and Dean-Emeritus Lehigh University

Donna Cassidy-Hanley Senior Research Associate Cornell University

Donald Charles Senior Scientist Drexel University

Tonja Chi M.S. Biological Sciences Independent Research Scientist

Darlene Chirman, M.S. Retired University of California at Davis

John Cigliano Professor of Biology Cedar Crest College

Raymond Clarke professor emeritus Sarah Lawrence College Malcolm Cleaveland Professor Emeritus U. of Arkansas-Fayetteville

Patrick Crist Principal PlanIt Forward LLC

John M. DeCicco, Ph.D. Research Professor Emeritus University of Michigan

Dominick A. DellaSala Wild Heritage, Project of Earth Island Institute Chief Scientist

Alan Dickman Professor Emeritus Retired, University of Oregon

Craig Downer Wildlife Ecologist, President Andean Tapir Fund

Ken Driese, Ph. D. Emeritus Lecturer University of Wyoming

Steve Dudgeon Professor of Biology California State University, Northridge

Jonathan Evans Professor of Biology University of the South

Daniel Fisher Professor Emeritus, Earth & Environmental Sciences University of Michigan

Johannes Foufopoulos Associate Professor University of Michigan

Michael Fox Independent Consultant Veterinary Consultancy

Jerry Freilich Research Coordinator National Park Service (retired) Jennifer Frey Professor New Mexico State University

Evan Frost Terrestrial Ecologist / Conservation Scientist Wildwood Consulting LLC

Jed Fuhrman Professor University of Southern California

Karen Gallardo Ph. D. Student UC Davis

Daniel Gavin Professor University of Oregon

Nick Gayeski, Ph. D. Fisheries Scientist Wild Fish Conservancy

John Gerwin Research Curator, Ornithology NC Museum of Natural Sciences

Deborah A. Giles, Ph. D. Science & Research Director Wild Orca

Jamie Glasgow, M.S. Director of Science and Research Wild Fish Conservancy

Scott Goetz Regents Professor Northern Arizona University

Steven Green, Ph.D. Senior Professor Emeritus University of Miami

Gregory Grether Professor UCLA

Jon Grinnell Uhler Chair in Biology Gustavus Adolphus College Gary Grossman Professor Emeritus Universiry of Georgia

Rickard W. Halsey Director and Founder California Chaparral Institute

Chad Hanson John Muir Project Director and Principal Ecologist

Cheryl Harding Professor Emerita Hunter College, City University of New York

Will Harlan Southeast Director and Senior Scientist Center for Biological Diversity

John Harte Professor Emeritus University of California, Berkeley

Robert Heath Professor Emeritus Kent State University

Kenneth Helms Research Affiliate, Ph. D. University of Vermont

Betsy Herbert Forest/drinking water consultant Friends of the Corvallis Watershed

Bill Hilton Jr. Executive Director Hilton Pond Center for Piedmont Natural History

Karen Holl Professor of Environmental Studies University of California, Santa Cruz

Richard Holmes Professor Emeritus of Biological Sciences Dartmouth College

Paula Hood Co-Director Blue Mountains Biodiversity Project Paula Hood, M.S. Co-Director Blue Mountains Biodiversity Project

Elizabeth Horvath Associate Professor, Biology Westmont College

Edward Huang Principal Researcher CIEDM

Malcolm Hunter Professor of Wildlife Ecology University of Maine

Alexis Hunzinger Scientific Software Developer NASA GES DISC

David Inouye Professor Emeritus University of Maryland

Charles Janson Professor Emeritus The University of Montana

Daniel Janzen Professor of Biodiversity Ecology, emeritus University of Pennsylvania

Jennifer Johns Instructor Chemeketa Community College

Mitchell Johns Ph.D. Professor Emeritus of Soil and Plant Science California State University Chico

Mitchell Johns, Ph.D. Professor Emeritus of Soil and Plant Science California State University Chico

Harrison Jones Southwest Avian Ecologist The Institute for Bird Populations

Jay Jones Professor University of La Verne Jacob Kann, Ph.D. Research Aquatic Ecologist Aquatic Ecosystem Sciences LLC

David Karowe Professor of Biological Sciences Western Michigan University

Sterling Keeley Professor of Botany Emerita University of Hawaii

Maya Khosla, M.S. Biologist and Writer Independent Research Scientist

Bruce Kirchoff Emeritus Professor UNC Greensboro

John Kirkley Emeritus Professor of Biology University of Montana - Westen

John Kloetzel, Ph. D. Retired biologist UMBC

Jason Koontz Professor of Biology and Environmental Studies Augustana College

Dana Krempels Senior Lecturer University of Miami

Flora Krivak-Tetley Lecturer and Researcher Dartmouth College

Janet Kubler, Ph. D. Biologist California State University, Northridge

Andréa L. Kuchy, Ph. D. Conservation Scientist Wild Heritage, A Project of Earth Island Institute

Sam L. Davis, Ph.D. Partnership for Policy Integrity PFPI Stephen La Dochy Prof. Emeritus CSULA

Rick Landenberger, Ph. D. Associate Professor West Virginia University

Derek Lee, Ph. D. Principal Scientist Wild Natural Institute

Derek E. Lee, Ph. D. Associate Research Professor Pennsylvania State University

Gene Likens Founding Director and President, Emeritus Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies

Jason A. Lillegraven Retired Depts, Geology and Zoology

Brian Linkhart Biology Professor Colorado College

Fred M. Rhoades Research Associate Western Washington University

Jennifer Mamola Advocacy and Policy Director John Muir Project of Earth Island Institute

Jasmine Manny Ph. D. Student, Graduate Group in Ecology University of California, Davis

James Marden Professor of Biology Penn State University

Janet Marsden, Ph. D. Research Fellow Syracuse University

Travis Marsico Vice Provost Arkansas State University Susan A. Masino, Ph.D. Professor of Applied Science Trinity College

Robert Meese Staff Research Associate IV U.C. Davis - retired

Douglas Meikle Professor of Biology Miami University

Anthony Metcalf Professor of Biology LOS

David Mildrexler Systems Ecologist Eastern Oregon Legacy Lands

William R. Moomaw Professor Emeritus Woodwell Climate Research Center, Tufts University

Molly Morris Professor Ohio University

John C. Morse Professor Emeritus Clemson University

Greg Murray T. Elliot Weier Professor Emeritus of Plant Science Hope College

Chris Myers Professor Miami University

Philip Myers Professor Emeritus University of Michigan

Dhruba Naug Professor Colorado State University

Gretchen North Professor of Biology Occidental College Clare O'Connell Researcher New Mexico State University

David P. Craig Professor of Biology Willamette University

Gustav Paulay Professor University of Florida

Timothy Pearce, Ph. D. Head of Mollusks Carnegie Museum of Natural History

Roger Powell Professor Emeritus North Carolina State University

Thomas Power Professor Emeritus Economics: University of Montana

Jessica Pratt Professor of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology University of California, Irvine

Nancy Pullen Professor Kennesaw State University

Robert Pyle Founder The Xerces Society

James Quinn Professor Emeritus Rutgers University

Gurcharan Rahi Physical Sciences Professor (retired) Fayetteville State University

John Ratti Professor and Research Scientist, Ret. University of Idaho

Paul Rogers Director, Adjunct Professor Western Aspen Alliance Paul C. Rogers Director Western Aspen Alliance, Utah State University

Steven Rogstad Professor (Botany, Emeritus) University of Cincinnati

Juliette Rooney-Varga Professor University of Massachusetts Lowell

Amy Rossmam Research Leader (retired) USDA Agriculture Research Service

Matthew Rubino Research Scholar North Carolina State University

Robin Salter Professor Emeritus Oberlin College

James Saracco Research Ecologist The Institute for Bird Populations

Melissa Savage Associte Professor Emerita UCLA

Paula Schiffman Professor of Biology California State University, Northridge

Joshua Schimel Distinguished Professor Univ. California Santa Barbara

William H. Schlesinger President, emeritus Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies

James A. Schmid, Ph. D. President Schmid & Co., Inc., Consulting Ecologists

Tania Schoennagel Research Scientist University of Colorado-Boulder Walter Shriner Professor of Natural Resources Technology Mt. Hood Community College

Herman Shugart W.W. Corcoran Professor of Natural History University of Virginia

Thomas D. Sisk Professor Emeritus Northern Arizona University,

Leslie Smith Founder LesliePlatoSmith

Jack Sobel Board Member LFWA

Stefan Sommer Director of Education and Board Member NAU and NAZCCA

Shelley Spalding Endangered Species Division (retired) US Fish and Wildlife Service

Wayne Spencer Chief Scientist Emeritus Conservation Biology Institute

Timothy Spira Emeritus Professor Botany Clemson University

Trygve Steen Professor, ESM Dept. Portland State University

Mark Steer Associate Professor University of the West of England

Julia Steinberger Professor, Inst. of Geography & Sustainability University of Lausanne

Rick Steiner Founder/Director Oasis Earth Sandra Steingraber, Ph. D. Senior Scientist Science and Environmental Health Network

Alan Stemler Professor Emeritus UCDavis

John Sterman Jay W. Forrester Professor of Management MIT

Brian Stewart, MES Habitat Connectivity Scientist and Conservation Biologist Washington State

James Strittholt Chief Scientist Conservation Biology Institute

Alexandra Syphard Senior Research Ecologist Conservation Biology Institute

Melanie Szulczewski Associate Professor of Environmental Science University of Mary Washington

John Talberth, Ph.D. President and Senior Economist Center for Sustainable Economy

John Terborgh James B. Duke Professor Emeritus Duke University (retired)

Edward Thornton Professor of Chemistry University of Pennsylvania

Tamara Ticktin Professor of Botany University of Hawaii at Manoa

Aradhna Tripati Founding Director Center for Diverse Leadership in Science, UCLA

Walter Tschinkel Professor Emeritus Florida State University Mary Tyler Professor Emerita of Zoology University of Maine

Michael Vandeman Founder Machine-Free Trails Association

Greg Walker Emeritus Professor University of California Riverside

Donald Waller Professor (retired) Univ. of Wisconsin - Madison

Glenn Walsberg Professor Emeritus Arizona State University Judith Weis Professor Emerita Rutgers University

Sue Wick Professor Emerita University of Minnesota

Susan Willson Assoc. Professor of Biology St. Lawrence University

Tyler Wilson Biologist Independent

Shaye Wolf, Ph.D. Climate Science Director Center for Biological Diversity February 2, 2024

President Joe Biden

Cc: Ali Zaidi, National Climate Advisor, The White House; Stephenne Harding, Council on Environmental Quality; Thomas J. Vilsack, Secretary of Agriculture; Deb Haaland, Secretary of Interior; Tracy Stone-Manning, Director of Bureau of Land Management,

Re: Request for an Executive Order to Place a Moratorium on Mature and Old-Growth Logging on National Forests and Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Lands While the Forest Service Old Growth Amendment EIS and Related BLM Rulemaking Proceeds

Dear President Biden,

On behalf of our members and supporters, we extend our appreciation for commencing the process aimed at protecting mature and old-growth (MOG) forests. While recognizing this positive step, we strongly urge immediate action, emphasizing that an executive order from President Biden, which could be signed and issued now, holds the highest potential to establish enduring protections for MOG forests for generations to come. Our request, along with those in the <u>scientific community</u>, includes issuing a moratorium on logging within MOG forests, and large trees generally, on all federal lands to ensure these forests are protected as the planning processes proceed to full protections for MOG.

We urge you to implement the following critical measures:

- Acknowledgment of the Singular Threat to Forests: Logging
  - Identify logging as a primary threat to forest carbon storage and biodiversity and address it as the foremost concern. This is the only threat that the Forest Service and BLM can effectively cease.
- Closure of Logging Loopholes for MOG Forests
  - The Administration's current proposal includes a glaring loophole for logging under the guises of forest health or fire management, currently used to conduct logging that is degrading the integrity of MOG forests. We request you eliminate this loophole. While the felling of some roadside hazard trees may be permissible for human safety, prioritize preserving felled trees as crucial habitat and stored carbon.
- Inclusion of Mature Forests
  - Include full protection of mature forests from logging, not just old-growth forests, and recognize mature forests as vital components of future old-growth ecosystems. For the Administration's proposal to be truly meaningful, mature forests must not be excluded from protections. The Administration's current proposal leaves out mature forests, which is tantamount to excluding the entire eastern half of the nation, since very little old-growth forest remains in the eastern U.S., due to logging.

#### • Enduring Protection Regardless of Natural Processes

Commit to the enduring protection of designated areas, irrespective of future natural processes like insect outbreaks, wildfires, or wind storms. A deep body of science finds that MOG forests most often act as climate and wildfire refugia. When these forests experience natural disturbance processes, including patches of high-intensity fire, the resulting habitat is <u>highly biodiverse and carbon-rich</u>. MOG forests experiencing fire or other natural processes in recent years must be protected from logging, and current MOG

forests must be protected permanently, including when future natural processes, like fire, occur.

#### • Full Protection for Tongass Old-growth

 Remove the Tongass old-growth logging exemption from any further analysis in the upcoming EIS. Any financial incentive to log old trees on the Tongass conflicts with the conservation directive in EO 14072 and the global biodiversity and carbon importance of the <u>Tongass</u> that is currently transitioning out of old-growth logging.

Scientists have extensively <u>documented</u> the climate and biodiversity significance of mature and old-growth (MOG) forests, and large trees generally, in the <u>continental United States</u> and on the <u>Tongass</u>, offering valuable insights for protections based on the best available science. Moreover, it is important to emphasize that even in the case of large wildfires, <u>they only consume less than 2% of tree carbon</u>. In contrast, <u>thinning operations release a considerably higher amount of carbon</u> into the atmosphere over an equivalent area compared to wildfires.

The evidence is clear: we must cease logging in MOG forests, and large trees generally, both before and after natural processes, and refrain from blaming natural processes as a threat to these resilient forests.

We implore this Administration to exercise executive authority promptly, rather than postponing crucial decisions until after the election. The time to act, to protect MOG forests, and large trees generally, for climate change mitigation and biodiversity conservation, is now. The power is in your hands; we urge meaningful and immediate action based on the wealth of evidence available to make the right decisions for our citizens and the climate.

Sincerely,

- 1. 198 methods
- 2. 350 Bay Area
- 3. 350 Bay Area Action
- 4. 350 Chicago
- 5. 350 Eugene
- 6. 350 Hawaii
- 7. 350PDX
- 8. 350 Salem OR
- 9. 350 Seattle
- 10. 350 Sonoma
- 11. A Community Voice ACORN
- 12. All Aspects Ecological Restoration and Arboriculture
- 13. Alliance for the Wild Rockies
- 14. American Jewish World Service
- 15. Animals Are Sentient Beings, Inc.
- 16. Anthropocene Alliance
- 17. Athens County's Future Action Network, ACFAN
- 18. Battle Creek Alliance & Defiance Canyon Raptor Rescue
- 19. Biodiversity for a Livable Climate
- 20. Biofuelwatch
- 21. Blue Mountains Biodiversity Project
- 22. California Chaparral Institute

- 23. California River Watch
- 24. Cascadia Climate Action Now
- 25. Cascadia Wildlands
- 26. Center for Responsible Forestry
- 27. Center for Sustainable Economy
- 28. Chattooga Conservancy
- 29. Choosing Green
- 30. Christians Caring for Creation
- 31. Clean Energy Action
- 32. Climate Action Now Western MA
- 33. Climate Communications Coalition
- 34. Climate Generation
- 35. Climate Healers
- 36. Climate Healing Chorus
- 37. Climate Reality Massachusetts Southcoast
- 38. Climate Writers
- 39. Coast Range Association
- 40. Coastal Plain Conservation Group
- 41. Color Brighton Green
- 42. Colorado Democratic Party Energy and Environment Initiative
- 43. Concerned Citizens of Franklin County (MA)
- 44. Conservation Congress
- 45. Creation Justice Ministries

- 46. Deer Creek Valley Natural Resources Conservation Association
- 47. Deignan Institute for Earth and Spirit at Iona University
- 48. Democratic Socialists of America -Knoxville, TN
- 49. Disquiet Voices
- 50. Doctors and Scientists Against Wood Smoke Pollution
- 51. Dogwood Alliance
- 52. Don't Waste Arizona
- 53. Down East Coal Ash Environmental and Social Justice Coalition
- 54. Democratic Party of Oregon Environmental Caucus
- 55. Earth Ethics, Inc.
- 56. Earth Law Center
- 57. Earth Ministry/Washington Interfaith Power and Light
- 58. Earth Neighborhood Productions
- 59. Earth Path Sanctuary LLC
- 60. Eco Justice Collaborative
- 61. Eco-Integrity Alliance
- 62. ecoAmerica
- 63. Education, Economics, Environmental, Climate and Health Organization (EEECHO)
- 64. Eighty2degrees Design Studio
- 65. Elders Climate Action
- 66. Empower Our Future
- 67. Endangered Species Coalition
- 68. Environmental Education Fund
- 69. Environmental Justice Ministry Cedar Lane Unitarian Universalist Congregation
- 70. Environmental Protection Information Center - EPIC
- 71. Edmonds Unitarian Universalist Congregation
- 72. Extinction Rebellion Portland
- 73. Extinction Rebellion San Francisco Bay Area
- 74. Extinction Rebellion Western Massachusetts
- 75. Feather River Action!
- 76. Forest Keeper
- 77. Forest Unlimited
- 78. Forests Forever
- 79. Foundation Earth
- 80. Fox Valley Citizens for Peace & Justice
- 81. Franciscan Action Network
- 82. Fridays for Future Orange County
- 83. Friends of Bell Smith Springs
- 84. Friends of Big Bear Valley
- 85. Friends of Inwood Hill Park
- 86. Friends of the Bitterroot
- 87. Friends of the Clearwater
- 88. Friends of the Ferdinand State Forest

- 89. Friends of Trees Committee of Restoring Earth Connection
- 90. Friends of Wakefield's NEMT Forest
- 91. Gallatin Wildlife Association
- 92. Gallatin Yellowstone Wilderness Alliance
- 93. Great Swamp Watershed Association
- 94. Greater Northfield Watershed Association
- 95. Greece Baptist Church Sustainability Team
- 96. Green Snohomish
- 97. Greenvironment, LLC
- 98. Heartwood
- 99. Heirs To Our Ocean
- 100. Holloway Educational Resources
- 101. Human Nature, Tree Foundation
- 102. Indiana Forest Alliance
- 103.Inland Empire Task Force
- 104. Interfaith Oceans Program
- 105. In The Shadow Of The Wolf
- 106. John Muir Project
- 107.Kentucky Heartwood
- 108. Kettle Range Conservation Group
- 109.Klamath Forest Alliance
- 110. Kootenai Environmental Alliance
- 111. Last Tree Laws Massachusetts
- 112. Legacy Forest Defense Coalition
- 113. Life Net Nature
- 114. Los Padres ForestWatch
- 115. Love Our Land
- 116. Magnolia Forest Group
- 117. Mason County Climate Justice
- 118. Massachusetts Forest Watch
- 119. MO's Defensible Space
- 120. Mount Shasta Bioregional Ecology Center
- 121.Muslim Caucus YDA
- 122.Native Ecosystems Council
- 123. Natural Capitalism Solutions
- 124. Natural Resources Law
- 125.New Jersey Forest Watch
- 126.New Jersey Highlands Coalition
- 127.New Mexico Climate Justice
- 128. Nicaragua Center for Community Action
- 129.North American Climate, Conservation and Environment (NACCE)
- 130.North Cascades Conservation Council
- 131.North Country Earth Action
- 132.Northwest Environmental Defense Center
- 133.Northwest Watershed Institute
- 134.NTS Group
- 135. Occupy Bergen County
- 136. Ohio Environmental Council
- 137. Oil and Gas Action Network
- 138.Old-Growth Forest Network
- 139. Olympic Climate Action
- 140. Olympic Forest Coalition
- 141. Olympic Park Advocates
- 142. One Earth

143. Oregon Unitarian Universalist Voices for Justice 144.Our Citv SF 145. Our Revolution Massachusetts -**GND/Climate Crisis Working Group** 146. Our Revolution Michigan 147. Our Revolution National 148.Outdooredge 149. Pacific Rivers 150. Partnership for Policy Integrity 151. Passaic River Coalition 152. Peace Action WI 153. People's Justice Council 154. People's Voice on Climate 155. Pisgah Defenders 156. Portland Raging Grannies 157.Presente.org 158. Progressive Democrats of America, Oregon Chapter 159. Protect Our Woods 160. Protect Thacker Pass 161. Public Employees for Environmental Responsibility 162. Putnam Progressives 163. Rachel Carson Council 164. Raritan Headwaters Association 165.RESTORE: The North Woods 166. Ridgeview Conservancy 167. Rocky Mountain Wild 168. San Diego County Democrats for **Environmental Action** 169. Santa Fe Forest Coalition 170. Satoria Sustainability Consulting 171. Save Massachusetts Forests 172. Save Our Woods 173. Selkirk Conservation Alliance 174. Shagbark 175. Shawnee Forest Defense 176. Shawnee Natural Area Guardians 177. Sisters of St. Dominic of Blauvelt, New York 178. Sisters Trails Alliance 179. Soda Mountain Wilderness Council 180. Sonoma County Climate Activist Network (SoCoCAN!) 181. South Umpqua Rural Community Partnership 182. Southern Forest Conservation Coalition 183. Spokane Audubon Society 184. Stand4Forests 185. Standing Trees 186. Sunflower Alliance 187. Support Roaring Rock Park

189. Tahoe Forests Matter 190. Tennessee Heartwood 191. Terra Advocati 192. The Conservation Cooperative 193. The Enviro Show 194. The Forest Advocate 195. The Rewilding Institute 196. The Wei LLC 197. Timbuctoo Mountain Club 198. Thurston Climate Action Team (TCAT)--Tree Action Group 199. Treehuggers International 200. Trees as a Public Good Network 201. Turtle Island Restoration Network 202.U.S. Youth Advisory Council for the UN Ocean Decade 203. Umpqua Natural Leadership Science Hub 204. Umpgua Watersheds 205. Unitarian Universalists for a Just Economic Community 206. Unitarian Universalists for Social Justice 207. Unite the Parks 208. United Plant Savers 209. Utah Physicians for a Healthy Environment 210. Veterans for Peace 211. Virginia Interfaith Power & Light 212. Vote Climate 213. Wall of Women 214. Washington Green Amendment 215. Wasteful Unreasonable Methane Use 216. Water League 217. Waterspirit 218. Wenatchee350.org 219. Wendell State Forest Alliance 220. Western Watersheds Project 221. Wild Heritage, a Project of Earth Island Institute 222. Wild Hope magazine 223. Wild Nature Institute 224. Wild Watershed 225. WildEarth Guardians 226. Wilderness Watch 227. WildLands Defense 228. Williams Community Forest Project 229. Women's Earth and Climate Action Network 230. World Rainforest Fund 231. Yaak Valley Forest Council 232. Young Democrats of America **Environmental Caucus** 

188. Swan View Coalition

- 233. Young Democrats of America Jewish Caucus
- 234. Young Democrats of America Rural Caucus