Travis A. Voyles Secretary of Natural and Historic Resources

Matthew S. Wells *Director*

Andrew W. Smith Chief Deputy Director



Frank N. Stovall Deputy Director for Operations

Darryl Glover
Deputy Director for
Dam Safety,
Floodplain Management and
Soil and Water Conservation

Laura Ellis Deputy Director for Administration and Finance

December 15, 2023

Joby Timm USFS- George Washington & Jefferson National Forest 5162 Valleypointe Parkway Roanoke, VA 24019

Re: Spongy Moth Suppression Project- Draft EA

Dear Mr. Joby:

The Department of Conservation and Recreation's Division of Natural Heritage (DCR) has searched its Biotics Data System for occurrences of natural heritage resources from the area outlined on the submitted map. Natural heritage resources are defined as the habitat of rare, threatened, or endangered plant and animal species, unique or exemplary natural communities, and significant geologic formations.

General Btk Comments

DCR's review of spongy moth treatment areas is based on both known and potential occurrences of natural heritage resources within or adjacent to proposed blocks. A primary concern from the standpoint of biodiversity preservation is the impact that spongy moth suppression treatments have on non-target organisms. Peacock et al. (1998) found that among 42 tested species of native butterflies and moths, all first and second instar caterpillars had 90-100% mortality from Btk application regardless of the species. For our purposes, non-target organisms include those species directly susceptible to the indications of a proposed treatment (e.g., Lepidopterans killed by *Btk*), as well as species that may be secondarily affected by a proposed treatment. Secondarily-affected organisms may include, but are not limited to, rare plants with insect pollinators that are directly susceptible to spongy moth treatments, and songbirds or small mammals faced with a diminished prey base following spongy moth treatment.

Terrestrial Resources

According to the information in our files, multiple Conservation Sites are located within the project area, including a 100-foot buffer. Conservation sites are tools for representing key areas of the landscape that warrant further review for possible conservation action because of the natural heritage resources and habitat they support. Conservation sites are polygons built around one or more rare plant, animal, or natural community designed to include the element and, where possible, its associated habitat, and buffer or other adjacent land thought necessary for the element's conservation. Conservation sites are given a biodiversity significance ranking based on the rarity, quality, and number of element occurrences they contain; on a scale of 1-5, 1 being most significant. The Seven Bends- Massanutten Conservation Site is within sites 1, 9, 10, 11, 15, and 35. The Seven Bends - Massanutten Conservation Site has been assigned a biodiversity rank of B1, which represents a site of outstanding significance. The natural heritage resources of concern associated with this site are:

Calopogon tuberosus Tuberosus Grass-pink G5T5/S1S2/NL/NL

var. tuberosus

Cicindela patruelaBarrens Tiger BeetleG3/S2/NL/NLGlyptemys insculptaWood TurtleG3/S2/NL/LTTrichostema setaceumNarrow-leaf blue curlsG5/S2/NL/NL

The Short Mountain Conservation Site is located within site 16 and has been assigned a biodiversity rank of B2, which represents a site of very high significance. The natural heritage resources of concern associated with this site are:

Astragalus distortus var. distortus Ozark milkvetch G5T5?/S1/NL/NL

The Signal Knob Barren Conservation Site is located within site 5 and has been assigned a biodiversity rank of B3, which represents a site of high significance. The natural heritage resources of concern associated with this site are:

Aralia hispida Bristly Sarsaparilla G5/S2/NL/NL

The Indian Grave Ridge Conservation Site is located within site 14 and has been assigned a biodiversity rank of B5, which represents a site of general interest/open space significance. The natural heritage resource of concern associated with this site is:

Solidago rupestris Riverbank goldenrod G4?/S1/NL/NL

The Browns Hollow Conservation Site is located within site 41 and has been assigned a biodiversity rank of B2, which represents a site of very high significance. The natural heritage resources of concern associated with this site are:

Aralia hispidaBristly SarsaparillaG5/S2/NL/NLBoechera serotinaShale barren rock cressG2/S2/LE/LTTrichostema setaceumNarrow-leaf blue curlsG5/S2/NL/NL

The Paddy Run Conservation Site is located within site 17 and has been assigned a biodiversity rank of B4, which represents a site of moderate significance. The natural heritage resources of concern associated with this site are:

Cicindela limbalisA Tiger BeetleG5/S1/NL/NLEuphyes bimaculaTwo-spotted SkipperG4/S2/NL/NLGlyptemys insculptaWood TurtleG3/S2/NL/LT

The Waterfall Mountain Seep Conservation Site is located within site 34 and has been assigned a biodiversity rank of B5, which represents a site of general interest/open space significance. The natural heritage resources of concern associated with this site are:

Liparis loeselii Bog twayblade G5/S2/NL/NL

The Tibbet Knob Conservation Site is located within site 23 and 40, this conservation site has been assigned a biodiversity rank of B3, which represents a site of high significance. The natural heritage resources of concern associated with this site are:

Aralia hispida Bristly Sarsaparilla G5/S2/NL/NL

The Powells Fort Camp to Elizabeth Furnace Conservation Site is located within sites 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 26 and 32. This conservation site has been assigned a biodiversity rank of B4, which represents a site of moderate significance. The natural heritage resources of concern associated with this site are:

Arnoglossum reniformeGreat Indian-plantainG4/S2/NL/NLTrichostema setaceumNarrow-leaf blue curlsG5/S2/NL/NL

According to the Biotics database, site 39 contains two historic occurrences of a rare butterfly, the Northern Pearly Crescent (*Phyciodes cocyta*, G5/S1S3/NL/NL). Based on a review by a DCR biologist, there is potential for the species to still be extant in the area.

Due to the documented occurrence of rare non-targeted lepidopteran species in intersecting conservation sites and within the treatment areas, DCR discourages the use of Btk for potential impacts to the two-spotted skipper and the northern crescent for sites 17 and 39 and recommends the use of Gypcheck. In addition, many treatment sites are located within conservation sites that have rare plants documented. Rare plants have the potential to be impacted by Btk through impacts to rare plant pollinator species as stated on page 19 of the draft Environmental Assessment (EA). Therefore, for all sites identified above as intersecting conservation sites with associated rare plants, DCR recommends the use of Gypcheck or other treatments that are specifically targeted for the Spongy Moth.

DCR supports the comment on page 7 of the draft EA that the Forest Service "protect state-declared rare species as well as botanical species in current and proposed Special Interest Areas, and other natural areas identified by the Natural Heritage Program."

Aquatic Resources

According to the information currently in our files, many Stream Conservation Units are within treatment areas, including a 100-foot buffer. SCUs identify stream reaches that contain aquatic natural heritage resources, including 2 miles upstream and 1 mile downstream of documented occurrences, and all tributaries within this reach. SCUs are also given a biodiversity significance ranking based on the rarity, quality, and number of element occurrences they contain. The North Fork Shenandoah River – Edinburg Gap SCU is within site 11 and 10, this SCU has been given a biodiversity ranking of B3, which represents a site of high significance. The natural heritage resources associated with this unit are:

Glyptemys insculpta Wood Turtle G3/S2/NL/LT
Aquatic Natural Community (RC-North Fork Shenandoah Second Order Stream) G2/S2/NL/NL

The Stony Creek – Little Stony Creek – Swover Creek – Laurel Run SCU is within site 21 and has been given a biodiversity significance ranking of B4, which represents a site of moderate significance. The natural heritage resources of concern associated with this site are:

Glyptemys insculpta Wood turtle G3/S2/NL/LT Aquatic Natural Community (RV-North Fork Shenandoah First Order Stream) G3/S3/NL/NL

The Gravel Springs SCU is within site 27. The Cedar Creek SCU is within sites 19, 20, 30, and 31. Both SCUs have been given a biodiversity ranking of B4, which represents a site of moderate significance. The natural heritage resource associated with both sites is:

Glyptemys insculpta Wood turtle G3/S2/NL/LT

On page 17 of the draft EA it is stated "aerial applications of Btk and NPV would not be targeted over open water". However, "may be applied to riparian areas, wetlands, or other water that may occur beneath the forest canopy and therefore may come in direct contact with water bodies." Due to the legal status of Wood turtle, DCR recommends coordination with Virginia's regulatory authority for the management and protection of this species, the VDWR, to ensure compliance with the Virginia Endangered Species Act (VA ST §§ 29.1-563 – 570).

Karst Resources

This project has intersected the karst bedrock and VDE sinkhole screening layers. Sinkholes mapped by the Virginia Department Energy are within the project site (see Sinkhole layer on the Natural Heritage Data Explorer at vanhde.org). Typically, additional, smaller unmapped sinkholes can also be present in the vicinity. Sinkholes are areas where surface material has collapsed into the subsurface and into underground watercourses. Sinkhole areas are places where surface water directly affects groundwater quality and flow. What goes into sinkholes comes out in wells and springs, and can degrade drinking water, springs and spring-fed surface waters, and the habitat of subterranean creatures. Discharge of untreated stormwater runoff to sinkholes is discouraged, and sinkholes to which stormwater is diverted or which have been modified to accept stormwater are required by law to be registered as Class 5 Injection Wells with the US Environmental Protection Agency. Filling or alteration of natural (pre-existing) sinkholes is discouraged, and designation of natural buffers around sinkholes is desirable. If the project involves filling or "improvement" of sinkholes or cave openings, DCR would like detailed location information and copies of the design specifications. In cases where sinkhole improvement is for storm water discharge, copies of VDOT Form EQ-120 will suffice.

During every phase of the project, DCR recommends adherence to best management practices appropriate for karst will help to reduce any potential impact to the karst, groundwater, and surface water resources as well as any associated fauna and flora. Due to the impact to non-target species and the potential for karst systems to transport contaminants, DCR recommends limiting the use of Btk and using species specific treatments to the greatest extent possible.

If karst features such as additional undocumented sinkholes, caves, disappearing streams, and large springs are encountered during the project, please coordinate with Wil Orndorff (540-230-5960, Wil.Orndorff@dcr.virginia.gov) the Virginia DCR, Division of Natural Heritage Karst Protection Coordinator, to document and minimize adverse impacts. Activities such as discharge of runoff to sinkholes or sinking streams, filling of sinkholes, and alteration of cave entrances can lead to environmental impacts including surface collapse, flooding, erosion and sedimentation, contamination of groundwater and springs, and degradation of subterranean habitat for natural heritage resources (e.g., cave adapted invertebrates, bats). These potential impacts are not necessarily limited to the immediate project area, as karst systems can transport water and associated contaminants rapidly over relatively long distances, depending on the nature of the local karst system.

Under a Memorandum of Agreement established between the Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (VDACS) and the DCR, DCR represents VDACS in comments regarding potential impacts on state-listed threatened and endangered plant and insect species. The current activity will not impact any documented state-listed plants or insects as long as Gypcheck or other species-specific treatment is used at sites where listed plants or insects occur.

There are no State Natural Area Preserves under DCR's jurisdiction in the project vicinity.

New and updated information is continually added to Biotics. Please re-submit a completed order form and project map for an update on this natural heritage information if the scope of the project changes and/or six months has passed before it is utilized.

The Virginia Department of Wildlife Resources (VDWR) maintains a database of wildlife locations, including threatened and endangered species, trout streams, and anadromous fish waters that may contain information not documented in this letter. Their database may be accessed https://services.dwr.virginia.gov/fwis/ or contact Amy Martin at 804-367-2211 or amy.martin@dwr.virginia.gov. According to the information currently in our files, Cedar Creek, Cove Run, Little Stony Creek, Paddy Ran and Passage Creek, which have all been designated by the VDWR as a "Threatened and Endangered Species Water" for the Wood Turtle is within the submitted project boundary including a 100-foot buffer. Additionally, there is potential for the little brown bat (*Myotis lucifugus*), the tri-colored bat (*Perimyotis subflavus*), and the northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*) to occur within the project area. Due to the legal status of the wood turtle, little brown bat, and the tri-colored bat, DCR recommends coordination with Virginia's regulatory authority for the management and protection of this species, the VDWR, to ensure compliance with the Virginia Endangered Species Act (VA ST §§ 29.1-563 – 570). Due to the legal status of the Northern long-eared bat DCR recommends coordination with the USFWS to ensure compliance with protected species legislation.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) utilizes an online project review process (https://www.fws.gov/office/virginia-ecological-services/virginia-field-office-online-review-process) to facilitate compliance with the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531-1544, 87 Stat. 884) (ESA), as amended. The process enables users to 1) follow step-by-step guidance; 2) access information that will allow them to identify threatened and endangered species, designated critical habitat, and other Federal trust resources that may be affected by their project; and 3) accurately reach determinations regarding the potential effects of their project on these resources as required under the ESA. If you have questions regarding the online review process, please contact Rachel Case at rachel-case@fws.gov.

Should you have any questions or concerns, feel free to contact me at 804-371-2708. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this project.

Sincerely,

S. René Hypes

Natural Heritage Project Review Coordinator

Cc: Wil Orndorff, DCR-Karst Amy Martin, VDWR

Rem Hy

Literature Cited

Peacock, J.W., D.F. Schweitzer, J.L. Carter, and N.R. DuBois. 1998. Laboratory Assessment of the Effects of Bacillus thuringiensis on Native Lepidoptera. Environmental Entomology 27(2):451-457.