Northern Regional Office Responsible Officer: Forest Supervisor Mary Erickson

Objection Reviewing Officer ECID, 26 Fort Missoula, Road Missoula, MT 59804

Forest Supervisor Mary Erickson, Northern Regional Office, Custer Gallatin National Forest, Bozeman Yellowstone Ranger Districts

Objections on behalf of Enhancing Montana's Wildlife & Habitat, Kathryn QannaYahu Kern Submitted November 12, 2023

In addition to my current objections to the Draft Decision Notice, Finding of No Significant Impact, Environmental Assessment and Supporting Documents for the East Crazy Inspiration Divide Land Exchange published September 27, 2023, I'm linking to my previous Objections submission of December 20, 2022, as the points and documentation is still relevant. <u>https://cara.fs2c.usda.gov/Public/Letter/3892792?project=63115</u>

The CGNF has refused to address the Northern Pacific Railroad deeds, which provide easements for public access. The RR grant deeds were the foundation of the public access we secured on Big Timber Canyon. Yet the Forest Service has not finished securing our other Crazy Mountain public access points, per the deeds. The current policy of abandoning our historical prescriptive easements is a huge loss to the public, benefiting big monied and private interests.

Recently, in looking back through my Government Land Office (GLO) maps, I found 4 GLO maps form the 1914 survey, filed 1916, which are attached to this objection. If you piece them together, you see that the trails loop in evidence on the 1925 and 1937 Forest Service maps, is very much there on the 1914 GLO survey maps. When you look at the first map, 4N 12E, you see that the Sweet Grass road went all the way into Section 9; not a trail, a road. The map also shows the old saw mill, which I documented in my previous objections and the surveyor noted a trail going into section 9, as well.

As I stated before, the public already has a trail loop system, we just need it defended. Why have you still not included an alternative that has the FS defending our current public access, rather than abandoning it to private interests? By your alternatives, you have created a bias that does not serve the public.

The PEA and Draft Decision Notice still don't address the public's loss of exchanging low elevation federal public lands, for higher elevation rocky lands, in this exchange.

There is still a loss of public: water rights, mineral rights, big game habitat and those hunting opportunities, wetlands and fisheries. Where is the valuation of the land and severed water and mineral rights?

Regardless of any landowner intentions, the public trust has no securities with "intentions" and the Forest Services' history, per my FOIA documentation, shows that "intentions" have proved worthless. Development in the Crazy Mountains could put wildlife, fisheries and habitat at risk. By proceeding with this exchange, the Forest Service has rewarded all the private landowner blockages, locked gates, destruction of trails, removing and covering of FS signage, threats to FS employees and the public, harassment, privatizing of our public lands for their commercial and personal uses, etc. This is an appalling breach of the public trust.

I object and reject Alternative 1 and recommend that the Forest Service fulfill their role as our public trust steward, by once again defending our historical prescriptive easements and railroad grant deeded easements in the public.

Thank you, Enhancing Montana's Wildlife & Habitat Kathryn QannaYahu Kern 924 11th Ave, Apt 2 Helena MT 59601 406-579-7748







