

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Schuyler, Wendy - FS, SD](#)
Subject: [External Email]Newark Project should not receive a Categorical Exclusion
Date: Saturday, April 8, 2023 8:27:38 PM

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;
Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.
Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

I strongly believe that the Newark Project isn't appropriate for a Categorical Exclusion, and the Forest Service needs to do an Environmental Assessment. First of all this is not a small project and could create more than 350 holes which could take more than a year to complete. Secondly, this project is just a precursor to much larger projects which will have direct negative impacts on the tourism and real estate industry in the affected area. The actions you now will set the scene for future public resentment of your agency. This can all be avoided in the short term by making the wise and appropriate decision to recommend a full EA.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sean Fahey

