

Exhibits – Standing Trees and Center for Biological Diversity Scoping Comment re TGIRP

| Number | Exhibit Description |
|--------|---|
| 1 | Brown et al., (2018), “Timber harvest as the predominant disturbance regime in northeastern U.S. forests: effects of harvest intensification.” |
| 2 | Duveneck and Thompson (2019), “Social and biophysical determinants of future forest conditions in New England, Effects of a modern land-use regime.” |
| 3 | Keeton et al., (2011), “Late-Successional Biomass Development in Northern Hardwood-Conifer Forests of the Northeastern United States.” |
| 4 | Lorimer and White (2003), “Scale and frequency of natural disturbances in the northeastern US: implications for early successional forest habitats and regional age distributions.” |
| 5 | Sterman et al. (2018), “Does replacing coal with wood lower CO2 emissions? Dynamic lifecycle analysis of wood bioenergy.” |
| 6 | Sterman et al. (2022), “Does wood bioenergy help or harm the climate?” |
| 7 | Booth, Mary S. (April 2, 2014, Partnership for Policy Integrity) “Trees, Trash, and Toxics: How Biomass Energy Has Become the New Coal.” |
| 8 | Haberl et al. (2012), “Correcting a fundamental error in greenhouse gas accounting related to bioenergy.” |
| 9 | Gunn et al. (2018), “Scientific evidence does not support the carbon neutrality of woody biomass energy.” |
| 10 | Searchinger et al. (2009), “Fixing a critical climate accounting error.” |
| 11 | Buchholz et al. (2017), “Greenhouse gas emissions of local wood pellet heat from northeastern US forests.” |
| 12 | Zaino et al. (2018), “Vermont Conservation Design – Natural Community and Habitat Technical Report.” |
| 13 | Rushing et al (2016), “Quantifying drivers of population dynamics for a migratory bird throughout the annual cycle.” |
| 14 | Ducey et al (2013), “Late-Successional and Old-Growth Forests in the Northeastern United States: Structure, Dynamics, and Prospects for Restoration. |
| 15 | Ceballos et al. (2020). “Vertebrates on the Brink as Indicates of Biological Annihilation and the Sixth Mass Extinction.” |
| 16 | “Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis” (Working Group I contribution to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) |
| 17 | Dreiss and Malcom (2020), “Getting to 30x30: Guidelines for Decision-Makers.” |
| 18 | Dinerstein et al., (2019), “A Global Deal for Nature: Guiding Principles, Milestones, and Targets.” |
| 19 | Vermont Climate Assessment. 2021. University of Vermont, UVM Gund Institute for Environment, TNC in Vermont. |
| 20 | IPCC Climate Change 2022 Impacts, Adaptations, and Vulnerability Summary for Policymakers. |
| 21 | Glasgow Leaders’ Declaration on Forests and Land Use |
| 22 | Erb et al. (2018), “Unexpectedly Large Impact of Forest Management and Grazing on Global Vegetation Biomass.” |
| 23 | Harris et al. (2016), “Attribution of Net Carbon Change by Disturbance Type Across Forest Lands of t,he Coterminous United States.” |

| | |
|----|--|
| 24 | N/A |
| 25 | Keith et al. (2009), “Re-Evaluation of Forest Biomass Carbon Stocks and Lessons from the World’s Most Carbon-Dense Forests.” |
| 26 | Luysaert et al. (2008), “Old-Growth Forests as Global Carbon Sinks.” |
| 27 | Masino et al. (2021), “Older Eastern White Pine Trees and Stands Sequester Carbon for Many Decades and Maximize Cumulative Carbon.” |
| 28 | Stephenson et al. (2014), “Rate of Tree Carbon Accumulation Increases Continuously with Tree Size.” |
| 29 | N/A |
| 30 | Moomaw et al., (2019), “Intact Forests in the United States: Proforestation Mitigates Climate Change and Serves the Greatest Good.” |
| 31 | Dinerstein et al. (2020), “A Global Safety Net to Reverse Biodiversity Loss.” |
| 32 | Jung et al. (2020), “Areas of Global Importance for Terrestrial Biodiversity, Carbon, and Water.” |
| 33 | Underwood and Brynn (2015), “Enhancing Flood Resiliency of Vermont State Lands.” |
| 34 | Warren et al. (2018), “Forest Stream Interactions in Eastern Old-Growth Forests.” |
| 35 | Thom et al. (2019), “The Climate Sensitivity of Carbon, Timber, and Species Richness Covaries with Forest Age in Boreal-Temperate North America.” |
| 36 | Dietz et al (2021), “The importance of U.S. national forest roadless areas for vulnerable wildlife species. <i>Global Ecology and Conservation</i> .” |
| 37 | Talty et al (2021), “Conservation value of national forest roadless areas,” <i>Conservation Science and Practice</i> . |
| 38 | USFS, Notice of intent to Prepare an environmental impact statement, Flat Country Project |
| 39 | Dugan et al. (2019), “Forest Carbon Assessment for the Green Mountain National Forest.” |
| 40 | Letter to USFS re NLEB (February 21, 2023), from Standing Trees and Center for Biological Diversity to USFS Region 9 and GMNF leadership |
| 41 | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Phosphorous TMDLs for Vermont Segments of Lake Champlain (June 17, 2016) |
| 42 | Olson, E. et al. (2011), Nonnative invasive plants in the Penobscot Experimental Forest in Maine, USA: Influence of site, silviculture, and land use history. <i>138 JOURNAL OF THE TORREY BOTANICAL SOCIETY</i> 4, 453 – 464. |
| 43 | Askins (2015), “The Critical Importance of Large Expanses of Continuous Forest for Bird Conservation.” |
| 44 | Kellet et al (2023), “Forest-clearing to create early-successional habitats: Questionable benefits, significant costs.” |
| 45 | Betts et al (2022), “Forest degradation drives widespread avian habitat and population declines.” |
| 46 | Evans and Mortelliti (2022), “Effects of forest disturbance, snow depth, and intraguild dynamics on American marten and fisher.” |
| 47 | Miller et al. (2018), “EASTERN NATIONAL PARKS PROTECT GREATER TREE SPECIES DIVERSITY THAN UNPROTECTED MATRIX FORESTS” |
| 48 | Miller et al. (2016), “NATIONAL PARKS IN THE EASTERN UNITED STATES HARBOR IMPORTANT OLDER FOREST STRUCTURE COMPARED WITH MATRIX FORESTS” |