

US Forest Service
1400 Independence Ave., SW
Washington, D.C.

Submitted via Forest Service Website: <https://cara.fs2c.usda.gov/Public/CommentInput?Project=50036>

Mountain Valley Pipeline and Equitrans Expansion Project Supplemental EIS #50036

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

Please accept these additional 1,742 public comments from members and online activists of the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) urging the Forest Service to protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and we urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Here are some reasons why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start with, this revised statement still does not adequately consider the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. On behalf of both myself and our members – a total of 3,195 since the comment period opened in December – thank you.

Sincerely,
Sarah

SARAH R. MEDEIROS *(she/her)*
Eastern Digital Campaigns Manager

**NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
& NRDC ACTION FUND**

Washington, DC
O: 202-836-9397

SMEDEIROS@NRDC.ORG

NRDC.ORG | NRDCACTIONFUND.ORG

Index	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Postal Code	Submitted Comment
1	George	Viveiros	N Kingstown	RI	02852-6430	<p>Submitted Comment Dear Dr. Wilkes, I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. to the Mountain Valley Pipeline. It is inconsistent with the Forest Plan.</p> <p>On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative.</p> <p>Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.</p> <p>Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.</p> <p>Dear Dr. Wilkes,</p> <p>I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.</p>
2	Jaime	Wells				<p>Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.</p> <p>Dear Dr. Wilkes,</p> <p>Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.</p> <p>MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.</p>
3	Manuel	Fiadeiro	Fairfax	VA	22030	<p>Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.</p> <p>Dear Dr. Wilkes,</p> <p>Are you CRAZY...????!!! Do not do this.....</p> <p>On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.</p> <p>Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.</p> <p>Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.</p>
4	Ryan	Dick				<p>Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.</p>

As a 4th generation Son of the Valley and child of Appalachia, respectfully what the flying falcon is wrong with you and yours for entertaining this environmental tragedy?!

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

5 David Showker IV

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

As a lifelong resident of West Virginia, I have seen the destruction that pipelines construction exacts on the land. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

6 Francis Slider Davis WV

26260 Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. Dear Dr. Wilkes,

As a proud North Carolinian, I am incredibly concerned about this project. It would be devastating for the forest. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

7 e Catherin Mendoza Charlotte NC

28277 Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.

Dear Mr. [Name],

As a resident who lives 10 miles away from the Blue Ridge Parkway, and cares deeply about preserving this natural land, I urge you to stand strong and protect the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

8 Jennifer Morley Weaverville NC

28787 Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.

As an environmental scientist and public health professional I urge you to take action against this project which threatens our environment and surrounding communities for generations to come. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

9 Joshua Lowman Danvers MA 01923-1012

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

As more people install electric heat pumps, induction stoves, and as more solar and wind energy come on-line gas is not needed. Gas is a potent greenhouse gas and warms the climate. We are already feeling the effects. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

10 Suzy Lawrence Chapel Hill NC

27516 Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

As someone who lives in the area affected by this ill-conceived pipeline, I highly object to the greed and risk taking involved, the damage already done to our forests and water, and future damage that will occur - because ALL pipelines LEAK!

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

11 Elaine Becker Roanoke VA 24018 Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. Dear Dr. Wilkes,

As you know, on December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest.

Enough already! This is a bad idea on many levels. I live near this environmental monster & can witness the devastation. This is to provide fracked gas for a relatively short time and this country (world) needs to push towards renewables now!

I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

12 Martha Dillard New Castle VA 24127-7842 Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. the Jefferson National Forest being used as a gas pipeline corridor. With so many disasters resulting from our reckless pursuit of "cheap" fossil fuel energy—whether ecosystem destruction, polluted air and water, or utter climate chaos the world over, it should be abundantly clear that it is past time for any new fossil fuel projects like this one.

Forest protections should not be sacrificed for this project, which is not in the public interest.

In December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

13 Peggy Gilges Charlotte ville VA 22901 Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.

Dear Dr. WIKES,

Being educated and i would assume well read you & I both kn ow that the fracking operations alone are causing irreparable damage to our home and its resources. Carving the swath through our forest and defacing one of our national treasures to only enhance & further this process is ludacris. Please reject the request to dismember the Jefferson National Forest, the damage would be irreparable. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

14 James Haynes

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. environment, our climate, and our lives?

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

15 Caryn Brown Mc Dowell VA

24458 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

I write today to once again express my reservation about the Mountain Valley Pipeline that will jeopardize the Jefferson National Forrest by breaching the land and water of my home stzte, Virginia.

I am vehemently opposed to fracking and fear the pollution and depletion of natural resources that accompany fracking!

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson Nationafranking!

I write to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

16 Kimberly Williams 23185- McHugh burg VA 5354

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Dr Wilkes, I hope one morning a bird outside your window

will vibrate your conscience:

when are you going to put a halt

at relentlessly violating-rape-

destroying for the benefit of whom?

We can adapt to doing with less

Look to Europe

and refocus:

17 Nicola Thar

Exodus 34:6-7 "... but who will by no means clear the guilty, visiting the iniquity of the fathers on the children and the children's children, to the third and the fourth generation."

Your shortsightedness and lack of reverence for Creation will haunt countless generations. And you will not be blameless.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

18 Cindy Fogle

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.

Ucal Dr. Wilkes,

Greetings,

I'm contacting you from Tualatin, Oregon to urge you to block construction of the Mountain Valley Pipeline in the Jefferson National Forest.

This project has already severely damaged clean water sources across Appalachia, threatening local drinking water supplies and resulting in over 300 environmental infractions.

19 Elizabeth Willis

Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1 (the No Action alternative), and reject any changes to the Forest Plan.

Dear Mr. Wilmes,

Hear me now! I hope you will read this.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

20 Thomas Webb Linville NC 28646-0095

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

Hello Forest Service protectors! A chance to shine.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

21 David McLintoc Waynesville NC 28785

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Hello, I'm contacting you from 05602 urging you to block the construction of the Mountain Valley Pipeline in the Jefferson National Forest. This project has already severely damaged clean water sources across Appalachia, threatening local drinking water supplies and resulting in over 300 environmental infractions. Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

22 Alaya Morning

Hello, I'm contacting you from zip code 98683 requesting you to please stop the construction of the Mountain Valley Pipeline in the Jefferson National Forest.

As you know, this project has already severely damaged clean water sources across Appalachia and threatens local drinking water supplies, resulting in over 300 environmental infractions. This is unacceptable.

I request you to please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. We need National Forests not only to protect innumerable species and ecosystems, but also to fight climate change.

Please do the right thing.

23 Jacob Salzer

Hello. To whom it may concern, the date of December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines. This act enables a "fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline", to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1. This is the, "No Action alternative". The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

By allowing this Pipeline's construction, within the Jefferson National Forest, will threaten the forest, AND the integrity of the forest. It exposes local communities, AND the water upon which they depend. THIS ALL GOES to a wide array of environmental dangers.....So please consider this, before it's too late... Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions. Yes. They have been IGNORED. Ignored about the lands that they live on, AND "rely on". The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Jesse
24 Daniel Varner

MVP is over-budget, AND past tge deadline. They are also dismissive of frontline communities, and making matters extremely

HOW ANY NATURE LOVER, NO LESS FOREST OFFICIAL COULD APPROVE ANY PIPELINE WITH ITS INEVITABLE LEAKS, BREAKS AND CRACKS SPEWING TOXIC MATERIAL INTO OUR WATERS, ON OUR LAND AND IN OUR COMMUNITIES - IT IS JUST UNTHINKABLE!!!! STOP THIS PIPELINE BEFORE IT EVEN GETS STARTED!!!! PROTECT OUR FORESTS!!!! SAVE IT FOR YOUR GRANDCHILDREN!!!!

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Charlotte
25 Diane Berlin sville VA

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local 22911 communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

I am against the pipeline.
Jefferson,NC is my favorite place to visit and hope to live there one day.
A pipeline is a horrible idea.

**On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

I am asking you to protect drinking water for all people. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

26 Hannah Rogers

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Adrian-
27 Jennifer Thiroux Ashland OR 97520

I am begging you to stand up for our community, our children, and our home.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

28 Amy Tesich

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

I am very concerned about the fracked gas line proposal that was revised on December 23, 2022 by the U.S. Forest Service to bring the line through the Jefferson national forest. I know some men don't care about environmental issues, but I'm hopeful that you aren't one of them. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. I have a personal friend that was in her mother's womb at camp Lejeune and she has horrible effects from the water contamination. We all know someone whose lives have been negatively impacted so someone else can benefit. I believe this project has immense impacts that will negatively impact generations of humans and wildlife.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

29 Carley Bodily

I am writing to urge you to GO GREEN instead of even considering additional pipelines. They leak and pollute our environment. America and the world need carbonless alternatives. Be the leader on this issue!

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

30 S Charlotte
Doetsch esville VA 22901

I concur with the people at the NRDC. It is hard to accept that new pipelines must be built at all, much less through such areas as national forests. To do so takes us further in the wrong direction.

Rick Mabry (US citizen)
Kempten, Germany

=====

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

31 Richard Mabry Kempten NC 87437 Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local

I drive from Wythe county to Roanoke weekly following I -81.
The scar of the proposed pipeline route is there for all to see. A completed pipeline is a disaster waiting to happen. There is no such thing as a leak proof pipeline.

Protect the Jefferson National Forest. Many people besides myself enjoy recreating in these beautiful hills. This overpriced project needs to be abandoned.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

32 Marde Clardy

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local

I enjoy hikes within the Jefferson National Forest. But I am troubled by the latest threat to that beautiful area. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

33 James Roberts Fairfax VA

22030 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

I hope this email finds you well. I write to urge the First Service to protect Jefferson National Forest and the wildlife, water, land, and nearby communities.

As I understand it, a revised Environmental Impact Statement would allow the construction of the Mountain Valley Pipeline.

This would be detrimental to the wildlife, water, land, and nearby communities. It appears to be totally at odds with the mission of the First Service.

Local communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and depend. The Forest Service must do better to work with these communities.

Please do not permit the construction of the Mountain Valley Pipeline.

Your time and consideration is appreciated.

34 Mary Luckhaus Greensboro NC 27410-3746

Sincerely,

I live near the national forests. I have been adjacent to the LODs of the MVP many times. I have witnessed first hand the destruction and the absolute disregard they have for the flora, fauna, pristine waterways, and citizens in and around your forests. STOP THE DESTRUCTION before it ruins the very territory you are charged with protecting. We, the people, and the earth we depend upon, are counting on you!

YET...

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

35 Susan Mead

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.

I love visiting National Forests. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

36 Monica Kleimeyer

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

I think the Pipeline should be allowed. It's a highly proven form of moving oil that is safe and environmentally friendly. Please continue to fight for the Pipeline and energy independence....

Regards,
Dr. Jax Winsloe
PhD Environmental Sciences

37 Jax Winsloe

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

I urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative because the Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Local communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

38 Brooke Johnson
Winston
Salem NC

Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan.
27104 Thank you.

I was very dismayed to learn that on December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

39 Carol Moore Beaverton OR 97008-6734 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of local communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

i will remind that the Jefferson National Forest is the people's land and not to be given to for-profit, short-sighted interests. As a Virginian and US citizen, I strongly oppose any allowance for disruption or destruction for a pipeline. Forests are living entities that give us fresh air, filter our water and house wildlife. Live up to your name and be of service to the forest, which will outlive us only if we protect it at every generation.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

40 J L Greeley Norfolk VA 23508-1513 Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.

I write as a political Moderate, a trained economist, an AARP member, a voter, and a donor. I have lived in West Virginia for more than 3 decades now, living with the environmental degradation and negative health consequences generated by fossil-fuel industry activity.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

41 Harlan Smith Huntington WV 25701-5303 Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

I've been watching this debacle of a project develop over the last several years. It seems nobody is paying attention to the crux of the matter, which is that West Virginia's mountainous terrain is not at all suited to accommodate pipelines in a safe manner. It's only a matter of time before a leak occurs. This means potential serious damage to earth, air, and water, the latter of which means endangering animals that live in those waters.

This was a badly conceived idea from the get-go and has been opposed by West Virginians as well as Virginians who are more concerned about protecting our fragile and unique ecosystems than on increasing the profits of out-of-state fossil fuel corporations.

Our own US senators voice support for this pipeline, their reasons giving voice to hollow allusions to national energy security. In reality, the gas would be sent to market, pure and simple, well not so pure in my mind.

Please put a halt to this threat to the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan.

Stephani
42 e Hysmith Charlest
on WV

25311 Thank you.

I'm concerned about the lack of response to the people who live near and on this land and who love the Jefferson National Forest and all the life it holds. ANY digging and destruction disrupts living systems - this pipeline destroys. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

43 Pamela Turner Richmond
d VA 23222-3619

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the Jefferson National Forest. Please select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative to the Mountain Valley Pipeline.

Fracked gas has no place in a National Forest; it's not a case of whether something could happen to risk the water, land, and wildlife, it is just a matter of time when a guaranteed catastrophe, that MVP will not solve, will happen.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

44 Rio Kerr

Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments. We all need clean water to live. We need trees for oxygen and to help filter our air. We need animals and plants to eat, ones that haven't been contaminated.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose the water to contaminants.

45 Brooke Frost

Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

This revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

46 Julie Damerel Rocheste
I r NY 14618

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

This revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with the communities impacted to fully understand how this will affect their lives.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

47 Eleanor Lewis

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

I'm writing to you today to urge you to protect the Jefferson National Forest by rejecting the Mountain Valley Pipeline. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose the area to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

48 Allison Kirstukas

Incidents and accidents with gas pipelines occur on a weekly basis. Newer pipelines are even more likely to have problems; because they are largely unregulated. It would be ridiculous if it weren't so infuriating. Literally. They cause fires... and explosions... and evacuations... and injuries... and deaths. Why should we entrust our pristine public lands to corporations that obviously care more about profit than they do about safety?

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

49 Ken Ostrande Blacksburg VA

24060 Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.

Jefferson Forest is the first place I found myself. It's a central part of my life and my heart. I've taken my family and friends there. I went there with my recently passed uncle. I've been there when I need solitude.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

50 Nathan Geeting

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

JNF is a legacy to leave future generations. My children and I spend hours each week in the forest, playing in Big Stoney Creek or Sinking Creek, admiring rhododendron thickets, learning about plant and animal relationships and natural erosion. So many places in our world have been ruined, tainted, we can't let this one be too. Please protect this forest. It is still recovering from heavy logging in the 1800s, our nation deserves to have this treasure preserved.

Please continue the forest service mission; care for the land and serve the people. The people, not the organizations. The people don't want this pipeline, the people want a forest free of dangerous chemicals where we can rest and teach our children about nature and admire the beauty of the Appalachian mountains.

51 Emily Fields

Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

My best memories of growing up in Virginia are the Jefferson National Forest. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Dr.
52 Susan Schmidt

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

My understanding is that the US Forest Service is trying to allow a large pipeline through the Jefferson National Forest. Please stop & reconsider. Our National Forests are our treasures and shouldn't be subjected to ruthless transgressions against their sovereignty. The forest belongs to the people not to for-profit corporations.

Thanks for listening.
William Yoder

53 William Yoder Raleigh NC

27613 Raleigh, NC

National Forests belong to the Nation, not to oil and gas companies. We hold them in trust for future generations.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

54 Eileen McCorry Pittsboro NC

27312

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

Of all the long list of insanely dumb, anti-Earth, pro-corporate schemes USFS has initiated, handled for Wall Street and tried to foist on us, this one ranks way up at the top—or bottom, viewed by the level of harm (and don't forget the stupidity factor). When it should be rapidly and resolutely moving out of the clutches of the fossil fuels dinosaur, welfare-bum corporate Earth haters, and embracing and enabling the implementation—finally-of renewable, sustainable clean energy sources and delivery—as the great majority of people around the world are demanding, your bureaucracy is still stuck deep in Wall Street's collective pocket.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

55 TP Jeffrey Charlotte NC

28210-7025

Please act in the best interest of the Jefferson National Forest's flora and fauna and select the "No Action" option. We need the forest intact much more than we need a pipeline that will only contribute to the climate crisis. Thank you for your service.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

56 Teresa Ladd Pittsboro NC 27312

Please don't allow this risk, just for the sake of a few bucks. Stand up for the true mission of the Forest Service!

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Meredith Pearisbu
57 h Goodrich rg VA 24134

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Please don't let financial interests turn you away from your true mission. We need you all to protect our forests.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Meredith Pearisbu
58 h Goodrich rg VA 24134

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Please help protect the Jefferson National Forest for generations to come. I want my two children to be both be safe from contaminated waters and to be able to experience the beauty that is this country.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

59 Julien Goulet

Please make good decisions.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

60 Josh Pucci
mechanicsville VA

23116 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

Please protect our beautiful mountains and lands that we and our ancestors have been so fortunate to love and enjoy. Let's keep it healthy and wild as we can so we and our future generations may have the same benefit, and also, benefit all those critters out there big and small. It's a magical place that deserves as much protection as we can give it from humanity's push to exploit every inch of our planet.

Here's the standard text I suppose I should include, but you know what to do: Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan.

61 Wayne Pennington

Cheers, Wayne

Please protect the Jefferson National Forest from the significant potential and enduring risks that accompany the very short term gains of continued fracking/fossil fuel extraction and transport. We need to apply every effort and development dollar towards clean, sustainable energy and let the Forest continue (unhindered) to do the many important things it does best.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

62 Karen Searle
Weaverville NC 28787-9579

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

63 Christine Davey Alexandria VA 22314 Please protect the Jefferson National Forest, select the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

Please really consider the consequences this is gonna have on the environment and disruptive consequences on the wildlife. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Please select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

65 James Schall Asheville NC 28801 Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

PLEASE, just do What is Right, for the land, people, wildlife and environment... it is just that simple. Once you rape Mother Nature, you can't fix it.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

66 Susan Wagner Richmond VA 23231

Preserve and protect our public lands...for our children and generations to come. Please!

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

67 Walter Kross Henders onville NC 28792 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

PROTECT OUR FOREST! YOU'RE ILLEGALLY IGNORING THE DAMAGE THAT THIS ILLEGAL PIPELINE WILL CAUSE.

Protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

68 Sherrill Futrell Davis CA 95618 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

The beauty of unspoiled wilderness is not only medicinal for the human psyche it is essential for our wildlife, already threatened by habitat fragmentation and pollution. I urge you to do what is best for generations to come and protect our invaluable natural resources and Jefferson National Forest. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

69 Morgan Ferri MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

The following statements concisely express my opinion. We do not need this to support our energy needs. Please stop this project! On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

The Jefferson National Forest is a gem that deserves to be protected. Pipelines leak. The streams in Jefferson is one of the remaining trout streams. The wildlife depends on a clean environment.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and with a healthy energy future. I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Thank you.

The US Forest Service is too accommodating to this fracked gas pipeline at the expense of the forest, wildlife, water resources and the communities nearby that depend on people visiting the area to partake of the beauty and solace only an intact forest can provide.

I urge you to reject a permit for Mountain Valley Pipeline.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.

70 Larry Querrey

71 Valarie Boughman

72 Loren Smith

73 Suzanne Keller Richmond
d VA 23225-1814

This message may contain look like everyone else's, but it has my heart in it as a resident of the impacted area. I do not condone fracking nor pipelines that are unnecessary. Every pipeline that I have researched do little to help the US's strive for energy. Instead they contain material that is shipped overseas, and the pipes themselves are very prone to leakage which is detrimental to flora, fauna and humans. Now on to the standard thoughts.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Though many people have likely responded more eloquently than I can, I hope you will please read through my thoughts in this letter. I can perhaps understand how the lack of forestry experience in your background might make it harder to immediately understand this particular situation.

The latest appalling development in the MVP saga came on December 23, 2022, when the U.S. Forest Service revised an environmental impact statement to propose new construction guidelines which would enable the Mountain Valley Pipeline (for gas) to be built within the Jefferson National Forest.

I'm writing today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject all eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

The National Forest belongs to all American Citizens. The Forest Service has a duty to protect the Forest for all Americans including innumerable future generations. To grant permission to any private, for-profit corporation to deliberately damage any part of the People's Forests is unconscionable and completely contrary to the mission of the Forest Service.

The parties behind MVP are arrogantly dismissive of every human being in it's path. MVPs construction alone is harmful to our groundwater, karst topology and surface flora and fauna, never mind the risks once it's in operation. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy some 85 acres of the Jefferson National Forest.

Unfortunately on December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions immediately..

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

You cannot allow the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest because it would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers not to mention it is just wrong.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. We must protect the last wild places and cannot afford to decrease in any way our environment. I think our planet has suffered enough it's time to do the opposite of what has been done in the past.

74 Kathleen Maggio

75 Christine Asmann

76 Doris Glicer Belmont NC 28012-7713

We are already starting to see the effects of climate change, and as a young person, I fear for my future every day. The Mountain Valley Pipeline cannot proceed if we are interested in building a sustainable, safe future. Please, for the sake of young people across the world, reject this expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure. The safety and stability of the coming decades depends on people like you making smart decisions to protect us.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

77 Emma DiLavore

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on.

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

We are stewards of the earth, of our communities, the wildlife, and waters below our soil. Gas fracking is temporary. The extraction is finite, the gas lines will run dry but the damage done is irreparable. It's 3.5 miles of destruction of pristine land set aside for ours and future generations to enjoy. Gas is a devastatingly costly expenditure with a short shelf life. There are sustainable ways to generate energy.

I urge you to choose Alternative 1, the No Action plan. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan. On behalf of all the citizens and communities in this region of the Commonwealth of Virginia, I trust you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions and protect the Jefferson National Forest and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you!

78 India Watkins Shady Valley TN 37688-5254

Sincerely,

We have all seen with dismay and broken hearts the destruction of our planet by those of us who may love the Earth but do not recognize what we must do to protect and preserve this precious gift .

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I call on you to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I plead with you to assure the rejection of the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

I am sure you must understand why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. Frighteningly, this revised statement still does not adequately address the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Unfortunately , frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must fully understand the consequences of the construction's impact and the loss to those communities and to us all .

Lillian Vicky Griffin

This destructive project is already over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our

We have to stop burning things.

We have all the tech right now to heat and cool without burning any fuel. Sunlight and wind are free. Geothermal heat exchanges are also no cost fuels. Weatherization of buildings make them more efficient. No more pipe lines leaking methane and destroying our future. Especially in park land!

December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

We live in an area surrounded by JNF. This area badly needs cell service, and the only place to put a tower is on JNF.

The phone company is not allowed to put a tower in the National Forest, yet you are cutting an ugly swath completely through the JNF that is of absolutely no benefit to anyone in this area.

This pipeline will transport FRACKED fuel from somewhere up north to somewhere down south. All we get is an eyesore, a threat to our health and a threat to our environment.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Hornung-
80 Kimberly Marcy Williston VT

5495

81 Milton Davis Dublin VA

24084

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local

We love the unspoiled beauty of the Jefferson National Forest, and cannot believe that this is still a thing when we should be concentrating on renewable energy. We strongly oppose this pipeline project.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

82 Lynn Caldwell

We need to preserve mountain communities and the fragile habitat around them. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

83 Polly Harris Durham NC 27701-1915 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

When does this craziness stop! Nothing is leakproof. On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

84 Dale Lorens MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement proposing new construction guidelines allowing Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest.

This should not be permitted.

The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, endangers their WATER supply, and is broadly dangerous to our environment. Do not allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. Be responsible to the local communities and the planet!

85 Carole Travis Weaverville NC 28787-0566 Thank you.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We should not allow this deeply flawed project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

86 Rachael Denny Bradley CA 93426

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

87 Edward Savage Catawba VA 24070

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

88 Jane Swannan 28778-
Carroll oa NC 3404

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

89 Angelica Freitag
Alexandra VA 22310

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

90 Gale Kelsey
Huntington WV 25705

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

91 Laura Lavertu
Alexandra VA 22303

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

92 Jennifer Tate Alexandria VA 22315-3470

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

93 Kristin Spivey Chantilly VA 20152

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

94 Robyn Farschner Gloucester VA 23061

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

95 Melinda Scott

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Richmon
96 Theresa Domhan d VA 23235

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Chernuta Shady 25918-
97 Carolyn Spring WV 8634

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

98 William Aiton Purcellville VA 20132

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

99 Sue Crofts

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

100 Michael Lacombe Carefree AZ 85377

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

101 Heidi Anantha
Krishnan Arlington VA 22201

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

102 K Quinne Rolesville
NC 27571

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

103 Harry Gedney Roanoke VA 24014

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

104 Severn Kellam

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

105 Klef De Gregorio Milan VA 20146

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

106 Crystal Polk Springfie Id VA 22153

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

107 Joyce Robinson Tazewell VA 24651

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

108 Shannon Kanner Pamplin VA 23958

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

109 Lynne Kane Chapel Hill NC 27517-7215

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

110 Diane Hinson Smithfield VA 23430-1345

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

111 Barbara Abraham Hampton VA 23661

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

112 Beverly McIlwain Granite Falls NC 28630-8807

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

113 Kendra Sherrod Asheville NC 28804-2407

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

The Jefferson National Forest was established to preserve the integrity of the forest and its ecosystem and to restore and protect water quality and water resources. Construction of the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) is contrary to this. There have already been over 500 water quality violations in Virginia and West Virginia since the project began.

In addition, MVPs proposal does not take into account the effects on climate change. Climate change is recognized by scientists worldwide as an existential threat that requires immediate and continuing action. Cutting additional trees and fragmenting forest lands for the pipeline will exacerbate the problem, but even more significant, the leaking of methane that will result from the pipeline and increasing the use of fossil fuels will be devastating to the planet.

Finally, the revised proposal does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

114 Robert Johnson

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

115 Teresa Bratton Greensboro NC 27408

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

116 Lindsay Pugh Richmond VA 23220

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

117 Sally Tucker Charlottesville VA 22903

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

118 Donald Walsh Alexandria VA 22314

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

119 Kathy Stark Charlotte VA 22902

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

120 Isabel Cervera Salisbury NC 28147

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

121 Taryn Parry Purcellville VA 20132

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

122 Caitlin Woodson Blacksburg VA 24060

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

123 Annette Miller Springfield VA 22150

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

124 Anita Stilley Denver NC 28037

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Margare
125 t Hryniuk Garner NC 27529

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

126 Linda Howell Norfolk VA 23507

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

127 Jade Dell Raleigh NC 27603

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Jacquelin
128 e Jeffers

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

129 L Barr Virginia
 Beach VA 23456

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

130 Pamela Nowell Lynchbur
 g VA 24503

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

131 Denise Neal Winnabow NC 28479-5698

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

132 Shannon Ryan Charlotte NC 28273

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

133 Annie Ranjan Charlottesville VA 22903

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

134 James Mulcare Clarkston WA 99403

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

135 Robert Moore Wake Forest NC 27587

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

136 Vic Bostock Altadena CA 91001

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

137 Dan Golemski

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

138 Bronwen Evans Seattle WA VST 4L3

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. Please abandon any and all support for the Mountain Valley Pipeline. Analyses have shown that the MVP will damage the ecosystem of the Southern Appalachian region. When an ecosystem is damaged, people who live there are harmed irreparably, and in this region where the economy relies on tourism, completion of the MVP will keep tourists away. Our mountains are a national treasure that need protection, not exploitation and abuse.

I urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, so please reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

139 Patricia Gailey

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Stephani Chapel
140 e Faucette Hill NC 27514

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

141 Michelle Wright Mebane NC 27302

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

It's time to stop the Mountain Valley Pipeline system, aka MVP, and its dangerous attempts to transport dirty fracked gas across Appalachia. It appears MVP will be crossing more miles of high-risk terrain than any other major natural gas transmission pipeline in the past two decades. This massive dirty energy project makes no more sense today than when it was first proposed more than five years ago. It would keep communities dependent on dirty fossil fuels for generations while jeopardizing sensitive rivers and streams, drinking water sources, the climate, and local communities.

142 Douglas Ryder

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

143 Barbara Janeway

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

144 Tessa Pou Spotsylvania VA 22553

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

145 Elizabeth Bishop

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

146 Alan Robert Richford VT 5476

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

147 Terry Walsh Louisville KY 40213-1109

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

148 Dawn Knowles

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

149 Ailenise Jackson

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

150 Selena Hudson Santa Cruz CA 95062

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

151 Karolyn Burns Tallahassee FL 32303-3645

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

152 Janet Sipe Salem OR 97304-1829

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

153 Buckie Jones South Waverly PA 18840-2927

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

154 Deirdre Jacobson

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

155 Carol Drake Fremont CA 94536

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

156 Shari Baker San Jose CA 95126-1153

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

157 Daniela Riedlova Converse TX 78109

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

158 Micah Stanko Greensburg PA 15601

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

159 Joseph Wenzel Lake Elmo MN 55042

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

160 Alana Marchetti Sewickley PA 15143-8315

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

161 Brian New
Kalimian York NY 10028

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

162 Marua Rio de
Petras Janeiro GA 22052060

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

163 Shauna Gonzalez Anaheim CA 92807

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

164 C Rising Carrboro NC 27510-2478

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

165 Philip Moriarty Nashua NH 03063-1545

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

166 Veronica Barrio Austin TX 78731-3605

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

167 Lia Zwickert

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

168 Sheryl Hamblin Hemet CA 92545

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

169 Rae Kasdan Waynesboro VA 22980-2240

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

170 Carla
Colorado 80904-
Forsythe springs CO 1423

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

171 Christy
Germantown 20876-
Bumanis own MD 4287

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

172 Beth
Imhoff-
O'Neill

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

173 Heather Cohen Koenig New York NY 10009

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

174 Kate Lamar Barnards ville NC 28709

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

175 Sherry Reisch New York NY 10023

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

176 Anne Selaya

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

177 Amanda French

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

178 Jennifer Legrand
e

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

179 Freda Dias Cary NC 27513-4859

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

180 James Currier

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

181 Shirley Ches Franklin NC 28734-6917

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

182 Virginia Keutman n

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

183 Larry Little Fairfax VA 22032

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

184 Otis Pauley Roanoke VA 24012

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

185 Stacie Lovins

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

186 Jason Allison Asheville NC 28805-1133

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

187 Sharon Posey McGaheysville VA 22840

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

188 David Walsh Lincoln NC 28092-2920

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

189 Karen Noftsier

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

190 Stephen Hambrick Walworth WI 53184-9701

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Geraldine Barksdale Kannapol 28083-191 e e is NC 6467

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

192 Sheila Brenes

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

193 Annette Musulin

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

194 Rev. Scott Karns

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

195 Karen Shuck

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

196 Morgain hey McGaughey

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

197 Dawn Cronk Hiwassee VA 24347-2526

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

The revised statement for the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

198 Rebecca Novick Larchmont NY 10538-3105

Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

199 Rebekah Paulson Blacksburg VA 24060-8900

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

200 Victoria Lyall Candler NC 28715

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

201 William Bisset Dover NJ 07801-2612

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Catherin Chocklet
202 e t

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

203 JoAnn Lee

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

204 Deborah Taylor

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Have you completed surveys for archaeological and tribal resources there?... for the watersheds downstream of all construction. What about surveys for snails, insects, salamanders, reptiles, spiders, bryophytes, soils, lichens, vascular and non vascular plants? How is the pipeline going to impact surface and ground waters in the watersheds? What is the restoration plan for when it no longer is used? Are you requiring the company to put up restoration moneys in advance, or allowing the company to make payments as they experience profits?...the cost of being fully restored afterward and groundwater and surface water protection and replacement should be set aside from the profits in case the company decides to go bankrupt. CEOs and all workers making decisions should be held liable for those decisions and they must be documented.

205 Larry Beane

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

206 Trish Deane Saint George VA 22935

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

207 Donna Roberson

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

208 Sondra Camp Salem VA 24153-3259

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

209 Strickler Charles

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

23228-
210 Susan Pauley Henrico VA 5553

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

211 Shannon Starnes

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

212 Noreen Tiller

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Snyder-
Baldona

213 Elizabeth do

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

214 Ryan Mesa Saluda NC 28773-9682

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

215 Rich Fisher Ashland VA 23005-2130

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

216 Cathy Powers

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

217 Judy Scott Chesapeake VA 23320-8510

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

218 Martin Locklear

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

219 Kareen Penzero Goldens Bridge NY 10526-1211

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

220 Kathy Reese

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

221 Melissa Kreps Zebulon NC 27597-6948

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

222 Jeanne Roberts Buford GA 30519-6753

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

223 Brandi Davis

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

224 Millard I

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

225 Helena Eils Concord NC 28027-7157

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

226 Tanya Gerard Newland Nc 28657-8938

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

227 Rick Snider

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

228 Patricia Child
Greenwood VA 22943-1721

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

229 Teri Sferlazza

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

230 Billy Hodge

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

231 Nancy Vandeloop Reidsville NC 27320-7694

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

232 Pat Free

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

233 Brett Stowers

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

234 Patricia Currier

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

235 Rayna Stengel

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction impacts to the area and the communities' needs.

236 Lynn Bemers Coble

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

237 Ann Greensboro Lindberg NC 27403-1401

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

238 e Christyn Lynchburg VA 24502-4049

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

239 Patricia Cheeks
Broadway
VA 22815-3763

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

240 Cindy Tuttle
Salem VA 24153-4124

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

241 Cody Finney
Greensboro NC 27405-4882

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

242 Sandra Landry

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

243 Lois Holt

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

244 Steve Desautels

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

245 Elizabeth Hahn

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

246 Tanya Panella

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

247 Jenny Seitz

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

248 Lindy Barrett-Grove

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

249 Warren Harris

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

250 Cher Sheek

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

251 T Morris VA 23233

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Nathanie Henders
252 I Grubbs onville NC 28739

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

253 Randall Dail, Jr. Shallotte NC 28470

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

254 Beverly Bradsha Frederick w sburg VA 22408

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

255 Andrea Floyd Evington VA 24550

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

256 e Catherin Sims Durham NC 27713

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

257 Linda Delaney Spotsylvania VA 22553

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

258 Rebecca DuPre Kings MTN NC 28086-3605

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

259 Ethan Shields Lewisburg WV 24901

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

260 Kendra Sykes
Tobaccoville NC 27050

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

261 Beverly Bradshaw
Fredericksburg VA 22408

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

262 Christine r Schaeffer
Falls Church VA 22042-1339

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

263 Heike Kubasch Charlotte
sville VA 22901

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

264 Pat Mace Spotsylvania
VA 22553

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

265 Charles Hoots Greeneville
NC 27834-7890

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

266 Jennifer Mason Durham NC 27704-1473

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

267 Donna Jones Herndon VA 20171

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

268 Thomas Danieli Aldie VA 20105

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

269 Tracey Varga Wilmington NC 28401

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

270 James Rhemiste Lexington VA 24450

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

271 John Hoover Waynesboro VA 22980

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

272 Vicky Brandt Durham NC 27705-6027

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

273 William Hunter Chapel Hill NC 26514

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

274 Jane Haxby Crozet VA 22932

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

275 Joslin Gallatin Arlington VA 22203

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

276 Kristen Ross Arnoldsburg WV 25234

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

277 Frances Kelly Asheville NC 28804

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

278 Allison Fawcett Charlotte NC 28226-9634

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

279 Daniel Ibarra Roanoke VA 24017

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

280 Christine Arends Pinehurst NC 28374

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

281 Jaedra A Luke Brevard NC 28712

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

282 Gary Lett Sterling VA 20165

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

283 Don Bergey Winston Salem NC 27106

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

284 Jack Wyatt alexandria VA 22309

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

285 Tracy Feldman Durham NC 27713

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

286 John Eustis Blacksburg VA 24060

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Weinberg
287 Gerhard g Efland NC 27243

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Kane
288 Mary Kane Gainesville VA 20155

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Middlebrook Virginia
289 Melodie oaks Beach VA 23451

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

290 Pam Blacksburg VA 24060
Tessner rg

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

291 Marilyn Shup Asheville NC 28803-2236

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

292 Patrick Martin Raleigh NC 27609

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

293 William Warder Williams Jr burg VA 23188

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

294 Portia Christens Chapel Hill NC 27516-5977

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

295 Michael Carter Annandale VA 22003

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

296 Wendy MacDonald Woodbridge VA 22192

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

297 Gilbert Portalatin

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

298 Virginia Greensboro Ferguson NC 27410

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

299 Joyce Veit Charlotte NC 28213

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

300 Jonathan Taylor Raleigh NC 27601

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

301 Matthew Eick Blacksburg VA 24060

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

302 Malcolm Fields Henrico VA 23229

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

303 JK McIntyre

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

304 William Frear Round Hill VA 20142

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

305 Tony Piselli Edinburg VA 22824

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

306 Christina Alger Waynesboro VA 22980

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

307 Stella Gibson Mocksville NC 27028

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

308 Scott Milam Candler NC 28715

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

309 Linda Carolipio Norfolk VA 23503

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

310 Myrene Oconnor palmyra VA 22963

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

311 Anthony Kerr Charlotte
sville VA 22901

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

312 Kathryn Ponn Nellysfor
Muhler d VA 22958

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

313 Amy Fendley Fairfax VA 22033-3113

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

314 Justin Landry Arden NC 28704

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

315 Jane Comings Arlington VA 22213

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

316 Ann Green Pisgah Forest NC 28768

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand construction's impact.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

317 Judith Porter North Wilkesboro NC

28659 Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

318 Mark D. Wise Hampton VA

23669

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide ar

319 Louis Reginato Chesapeake VA

23322

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

320 Donna Beberme Walkerto
yer n VA 23177

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

321 John Brock Raleigh NC 27601

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

322 Sally Rogers Penland NC 28765

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

323 F Bean Romney WV 26757

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide ar

324 Deborah Dobson Henders onville NC 28792

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

325 Farzana Ismail Thomasville NC 27360

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

326 Linda Schram
m Arlington VA 22204

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative.

The last thing we need is more fracking and more petroleum burned on this planet. More specifically, there are severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

327 David Potenza
ni Durham NC 27712-3011

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

328 Michelle Wright
Mebane NC 27302

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Clémenc Springfie
329 e Fournier Id VA 22150

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

330 Brooke Kane McLean VA 22101

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

331 Lisa Fues
Alexandr 22301-
ia VA 1519

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

332 Eva Jo Wu Boones Mill VA 24065

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

333 Kaur Khalsa Durham NC 27707

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

334 Cheryl Kruel Union Grove NC 28689

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

335 Ries Collier Bedford VA 24523

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

336 Laura Ray Alexandria VA 22312

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

337 C Fisher Fayetteville NC 28305

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

338 W. Clark Lynchburg VA 24501

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

339 Kathleen Caldwell Chapel Hill NC 27516

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

340 Len Gregorio Leland NC 28451

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

341 Kendra Thomas Richmond VA 23229-6823

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

342 Trudy Luman Summerfield NC 27358

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

343 Terry Wolfe Morgantown WV 26508

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

344 Karen Fostel New Prt Rchy VA 24504

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

345 Brian Slosek Durham NC 27701

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

346 Joseph Pitt Newport VA 24128

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

347 Steve Grant

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

348 Desiree Williams
Glinden burg VA 23185

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

349 Tom France
Ronceverte WV 24970

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

350 Kadija Tyler GREENSBORO NC 27406

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

351 Jo Scott Woodbridge VA 22192

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

352 Erin Christina Weaverville Graham NC 28787

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

353 Mark Hemenway Charlotte NC 28210-7208

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

354 Emily Buiwe Concord NC 28025

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

355 Mary C. White Sid e Asheville NC 28801

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

356 April Hardee Emerald Isle NC 28594

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

357 Andy Promisel Fairfax VA 22031

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

358 Dixie Mullinea Berkeley Sggs. WV 25411

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

359 Dave Huntersville NC 28078

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

360 Scott Hodgkins Arlington VA 22207

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

361 e Lawrence East Jacksonville NC 28540

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

362 Rhonda Johnson Aylett VA 23009

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

363 Erica Himes Alexandria VA 22314

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

364 Bonnie Claggett North Chesterfield VA 23235

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

365 Amy
Carpenter
Charlotte NC 28277

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

366 W
Robinson
Louisville CO 80027

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

367 Joellyn
Virginia
St. Pierre Beach VA 23452

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

368 Glenn Secor Louisa VA 23093

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

369 Scott Gibson Saint Albans WV 25177

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

370 Pamela Muir Arlington VA 22203-1013

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

371 Scott Gibson Saint Albans WV 25177

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

372 David Gray Four Oaks NC 27524

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

373 Ting Waymou Springfie West Id VA 22152

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

374 Jaxson
Zimmerman
McLean VA 22101

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

375 Lucie
Lewisburg
Refsland WV 24901-2825

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

376 Vernon
Berg
Indian Trail NC 28079

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

377 Virginia Winston urg Martinsb WV 25404-0416

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

378 Jonathan Hotz Reston VA 20190

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

379 Scott Gibson Albens WV 25177

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

380 Karena Harmon Asheville NC 28806

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

381 Leigh Epperly

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

382 Stacey Cannon Salisbury NC 28146

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

383 Thomas Van Pelt Austin TX 78745-2209

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

384 Eugenia Morzenti Milano VA 20152

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

385 Nancy Bradley Raleigh NC 27616

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

386 Nancy Glynn Alexandria VA 22309

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

387 Jennifer Rice Hampton VA 23664

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

388 Liz Skiles Weaverville NC 28787

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

389 Michael Baranski f Woodlea NC 27054

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

390 Mark Briehl Elkton VA 22827-3070

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

391 Linda David Charlotteville VA 22901

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

392 Lilli Hoffman New York NY 10024

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

393 M Wooley Asheville NC 28801

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

394 Jolanta Gierlak

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

395 Jayne Boyer Durham NC 27707

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

396 Cubby Terry Endwell NY 13760

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

397 Jeff Arnold Asheville NC 28804

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

398 Maureen Cippel
Haymark et VA 20169

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

399 Dane Bowen Mint Hill NC 28227

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

400 Janet McCalister Winston Salem NC 27103

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

401 Diane DiFante Martinsburg WV 25403

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

402 AnnaLea Elliott Richmond VA 23224

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

403 William Welkowitz Arlington VA 22202

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

404 Dwight Bodycott Charlotte NC 28211

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

405 Lauren Mora Greensboro NC 27407

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

406 Patricia Robbins Wilmington NC 28411

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

407 David I Campbell Virginia Beach VA 23464

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

408 Trish Deane Saint George VA 22935

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

409 Cynthia Barnett Concord NC 28027

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

410 Randall Nord Linden VA 22642

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

411 Sue Hayden Bahama NC 27503

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

412 Patricia Deane

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

413 Anita MacStay

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

414 Carol Miller Hamilton VA 20158

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

415 Ann Bicking N. Chesterfield VA 23236

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

416 Paula Mehring e Covessill VA 22931

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

417 Penny Slusaw Raleigh NC 27615

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

418 Fred Martin Charlotte NC 28208

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

419 Charles Hottle McMinnville OR 97128

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

420 Gary Cornwell Lincoln NC 28092

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

421 Claude Little Concord NC 28025-3196

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

422 Linda Howell Norfolk VA 23507

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

423 Barbara Gamble Cross Junction VA 22625

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

424 David Danesi Arlington VA 22207

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

425 Steve Knockem Richmond VA 23227

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

426 Kerren Hall Fayetteville WV 25840

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

427 Michael Klausning Nitro WV 25143

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Katherin
428 e Solomita LELAND NC 28451

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Richmon
429 Gerald Bowman d VA 23226

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Williams
430 Mary Hard burg VA 23185

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

431 Wade Neely Annandale VA 22003-3930

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

432 Patti Ulirsch Arden NC 28704

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

433 David Warshaw New York NY 10001

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Lederman
434 Kenneth n Arlington VA 22206

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

435 Leila Chiddick Charlotte NC 28226

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

436 Mary Clark Stella NC 28582

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

437 Harold Bankirer
Huntersville NC 28078

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

438 Mark Freitag
VA 22310

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

439 Paula Chow
Fredericksburg VA 22401

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

440 Linda Alfredson Asheville NC 28804

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

441 Barbara Robertson Durham NC 27705

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

442 Sean Dennis Black Mountain NC 28711

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

443 Mel Hardy Midland MI 48640

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

444 Alan Little Norfolk VA 23503

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

445 Mallory Ray Huntington WV 25701

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

446 Michael Sobel Mechani
csville VA 23116

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

447 Sue Covello Middlew
ay WV 25430

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

448 Lorenz Steininge
r Stafford VA 22554

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

This is not only an area of outstanding natural beauty, but the biodiversity of this and our remaining areas of wilderness must be protected for our generation and future generations.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

449 Joanne Southern Thornton Pines NC 28388

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

450 John Compton Durham NC 27701

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

451 Carla Torti S. giuletta NC 27046

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

452 Thomas Pence Johnson Springs WV 24962-1400

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

453 Angelica Freitag Alexandria VA 22310

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

454 Bonnie Claggett North Chesterfield VA 23235

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

455 Bonnie Claggett North
Chesterfield VA 23235

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

456 David Flora Toronto NC M6J 3X2

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

457 Bruce Miller Asheville NC 28803

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Gimbron
458 Nicholas e Reston VA 20191

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Bromberg
459 Glenda g Alexandria VA 22301

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Fayetteville
460 Dale Haynes lle NC 28303

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

This revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction, as well as exposing local communities and the water upon which they depend. Our forests, water and wildlife need to be protected more now than ever for a sustainable future for our descendants.

The U.S. Forest Service has a sacred duty to do better to work with local communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Please do not allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

461 Earl Possardt Arlington VA 22204-4003

Please select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Jacqueline Cuthbert 462 son son Charlotte NC

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

28227

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

463 Hart Palmer NC 27243

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

464 Carol Gress Shelby NC 28150 Don't decimate this beautiful valley. I grew up here and I love this place. Alternative energy sources are necessary. If rich oil companies

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to please reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

465 Makenzi Headden Danville VA 24540

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

466 Melinda Elkins Asheville NC 28804-1109

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

467 Colette Wilson 20191 VA r

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

468 Rhetta Walter Castle Hayne NC 28429

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

469 Sharod Small Raleigh NC 27610

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

470 Linda Kilgore Ocean Isle Beach NC 28469

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

471 Evelyn Coltman Waynesville NC 28786

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

472 Gretchen Messer Cedar Mountain NC 28718-9118

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

473 Jeffrey Wilson
Matthew
s NC 28105

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

474 Bill Hmirak
Centreville
le VA 20120

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

475 Michael Dickinson
n Asheville NC 28801

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

476 Leslie Calambro
Henrico VA 23229

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

477 Anne Little
Fredericksburg VA 22401-5751

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

478 Edward Wolfson
NC 28078

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

479 Victoria Stone Newport VA 24128

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

480 Joan Roberts Asheville NC 28806

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Mary
481 Anne Shea Arlington VA 22205

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

482 Linda Worsley Chatham VA 24531

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

483 Daniel Rodeheffer Willow Spring NC 27592

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

484 Lee Politis Charlotteville VA 22903

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

485 Betty Amburn

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

486 Marc Pendergast Chapel Hill NC

27514

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

487 Jay Rose Woodbridge VA

22193

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

488 Emily Little Charlotte
VA 22902-4937

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

489 Cliff Bove Head
Waters VA 24442

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

490 Robert Blizard Springfie
Id VA 22151

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

491 K Lindsey Henrico VA 23229

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Jason
492 Michael Walker Arlington VA 22202

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

493 Susanna Finn Rockingham VA 22801

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

494 Michael Markha Matthew
m s NC

28105

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

495 Sabrina Frazier Chantilly VA

20151

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

496 Mary J Duncan Raleigh NC

27616

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

497 Stephen k Boletche
Apex NC

27502

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

498 a Maryett
Pinn Bealeton VA

22712

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

499 Jeanette Stewart Falls
Church VA

22042

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

500 Charity Moschop Annandal
oulos e VA 2200

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

501 Nina Fische Jonas
r Ridge NC 28641-0069

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

502 Brian Hopkins Durham NC 27705

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

503 Jean Tunstall Clifton VA 20124

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

504 Bill Staley Sterling VA 20164

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

505 Marilyn Clark Williams burg VA 23185

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

506 John Wake
Godfrey Forest NC 27587

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

507 Mark Emet
Pac Clifton VA 20124-2453

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

508 Dawn Ehli Cary NC 27519

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

509 Brenda Eisenhart Kearneysville WV 25430

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

510 Judy Taylor Charlotte NC 28211

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

511 Cathleen Robertson Woodbridge VA 22192

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

512 Ruby Lowe Graham NC 27253

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

513 P McCauley Weaverville NC 28787

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

514 Julie Gentry Alexandria VA 22301

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

515 Kim Brower Asheboro NC 27205

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

516 Amy Pfaffman Asheville NC 28803

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

517 Lori Williams Roanoke VA 24018

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

518 David H Nikkel Fayetteville NC 28303

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

519 Carolyn Haupt Alexandria VA 22302

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

520 Jasmine Warren

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

521 Abbygale Huffman Hickory NC 28602

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

522 Peggy McLelan Alexandria VA 22307-1104

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

523 Ray Smith Fairfax VA 22042

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

524 Camilla Banks

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

525 John Lavender

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

526 Douglas Saball

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

527 Patricia Monacel
la Fairfax VA 22031

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

528 Ken Bosch Raleigh NC 27609

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

529 Gail Ohara Carrboro NC 27510

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

530 Brooke Adams

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

531 Joy Black
McCconnell Mountain NC 28711-1211

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

532 Brenda Hamm
Louisburg NC 27549

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

533 Janet Tice Chapel Hill NC 27516

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

534 Marcia Fairman Montross VA 22520

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

535 Anna Mackiewicz Henrico VA 23238-3119

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

536 Dan Crawford
Roanoke VA 24018

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

537 Pamela Mullins
Gloucester VA 23061

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

538 Alicia Stephens

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

539 Cornelia Powell Cullowhe NC 28723

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

540 Dean Grice Rugeley VA 23459

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

541 Bobby Kerschner Charlotte NC 28215

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

542 Peter Wilkin Charlotte VA 22901

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

543 Nancy Nance Charlotte NC 28212

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

544 Donna Roberson

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

545 Chips Chapman Burlington NC 27215-3508

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

546 Eileen Hughes Springfield VA 22150-2411

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

547 Kate K Alexandria VA 22314

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

548 Jeff Kulp Raleigh NC 27612

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

549 Danna McLintock Waynesville NC 28785

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

550 Mary Nayak Arlington VA 22207

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

551 Kelly Owensby

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

552 Robert Moore Wake Forest NC 27587

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

553 Heidi Coppel Mountain Cedar NC 28718

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

554 Connie Raper Rougemont NC

27572

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

555 Fred Coppotel Cedar Mountain NC

28718

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

556 Karen Blaine Charlotte NC

28226

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

There are several reasons the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should not be constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Furthermore, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

557 Ben Samuels le Centreville VA

20120 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of the frontline communities which would bear the brunt of negative impacts, and is extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

558 Stefan Walz Durham NC

27703 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

559 Lynn Unsworth

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

560 Sidney Rudd Danville VA 24541

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

561 Dorothy M Lee Weaverville NC 28787

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

562 Gwen Doddy Lowit Falls Church VA 22041

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

563 Casey Cordon Chapel Hill NC 27516

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

564 Mart Harper Springfie Id VA 22153

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

565 Carol Henning Springfie Id VA 32153

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

566 Jan Winter Jackson Springs NC 27281

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

567 Margaret Michalsky Warrenton VA 20187

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

568 Andrea Crook Sanford NC 27332-3303

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

569 Jennifer Worley

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

570 Ray Derricks on Raleigh NC 27604

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

571 Eileen Lester Broadway VA 22815

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

572 Toni Meador Asheville NC 28803

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

573 Rebecca Carina Raleigh NC 27607-7011

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

574 Lisa Gagnon Greensboro NC 27403

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

575 Fred
Coppote
li
Cedar
Mountai
n
NC
28718

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

576 Carla
Skuce
Raleigh
NC
27606

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

577 Carol
George
Raleigh
NC
27612-
3738

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

578 Carol Chowdhr y Charlotte ville VA 22901

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

579 Lenore Nieters Asheville NC 28805

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

580 Barbara Abraham Hampton VA 23661

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

581 Ti Chapel
Harmony Hill NC 27516

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

582 Jennifer Midgett Norfolk VA 23508

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

583 t Margare
Hryniuk Garner NC 27529

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

584 Jude Misurelli Brevard NC 28712

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

585 John Adsit Martinsville VA 24112

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

586 Kim Smith Beverly WV 26253

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

587 Sharon Hesse Berryville VA 22611

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

588 Jeff Mann Pulaski VA 24301

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

589 Chas Griffin Seven Lakes NC 27376

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

590 Eloise Bradham Asheville NC 28801

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

591 Lori Epik

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

592 Micha Koenig Lovingston VA 22949

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

593 Adrian Smith Moncure NC 27559

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

594 John Wiles Durham NC 27713-6542

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

595 Sarah Perry Mount Holly NC 28120

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

C.
596 Warren Pope Asheville NC 28803-2195

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

597 Gene Tunnell Waynesville NC 28786

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

598 Michael Forward Asheville NC 28803-9580

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

599 Susan Stewart Henrico VA 23233

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

600 Bill Anthony Wilkesboro NC 28659-7349

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

601 Kevin Walker Reston VA 20191

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

602 Don Bergey Winston Salem NC 27106

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

603 Stephanie Buresh King William VA 23086

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

604 Lynda Petrowski Radford VA 24141

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

605 Wanda Burton Charlotte NC 28214

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

606 Eileen Juric Raleigh NC 27605

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

607 Sallie Park Charlotte
sville VA 22911

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

608 Susan Phillips East Bend NC 27018

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

609 Fred Worth Ashland VA 23005

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

610 Frank Carlton Sterling VA 20164

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

611 David Parry Angier NC 27501

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

612 Jason Miller Arlington VA 22201

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

613 Donald Barker Southern Shores NC 27949

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

614 Anthony Snider
Wilmington NC 28403-7034

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

615 Austin Lawless
Hillsborough NC 27278

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

616 Carolyn Davis
Asheville NC 28801

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

617 Daniel Harris Charlotte NC 28205

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

618 Laura Kim Annandale VA 22003

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

619 Devon Seltzer High Point NC 27260

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

620 Jenny Frei Salem VA 24153-8736

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Stephani Gleason
621 e

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

622 David Warner Richmond VA 23235

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

623 Sandra Round Middour Hill VA 20141

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

624 Jordan Deafenb Virginia augh Beach VA 23456

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

625 Caitlin Blacksbu Woodson rg VA 24060

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Teuschle
626 Michael r

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Virginia
627 Ellen Powers Beach VA 23454

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Morrisvil
628 James Corrigan le NC 27560

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

629 Ines Nedelcovic Reston VA 20191

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

630 Karen Fleming Bryson City NC 28713

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

631 Ashley Stevenon

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

632 Jeri Iron Edwards Station NC 28080

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

633 Agatha Ocko Raleigh NC 27609

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

634 Terry Hanson

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

635 Kimberly Martelli
North Chesterfield VA 23236

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

636 Dorothy Bostic

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

637 George Neste
High Point NC 27265

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

638 Michael King Staunton VA 24401

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

639 L Dickey Alexandria VA 22305-3036

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

640 Kathy Day Richmond VA 23221

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

641 Sarah S Alexandr ia VA 22309

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

642 e Catherin Marie Raleigh NC 27607

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

643 Petra LeBeau

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

644 George Phillips Henders onville NC

28792

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

645 Brea Viragh Willis VA

24380

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

646 Barbara Brunson Norfolk VA

23503

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

647 Martha Glock McLean VA 22102

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

648 Rachel Sternberg Asheville NC 28805

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

649 Matthew Vorster Morrisville NC 27560

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

650 Pat McKay Charlotte NC 28278-0204

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

651 Piotr Sliwka Manassas Park VA 20111

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

652 Fred Lavy Harrisonburg VA 22802

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

653 Joanna Eckert Lake Charles LA 70605

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

654 Susan Hardy

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

655 Oscar Revilla Cliffside NC 28024

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

656 Dave Scherer

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

657 Doug Franklin waynesville NC 28786

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

658 Steven Vogel Falls Church VA 22046

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

659 Charles Conrad Moseley VA 23120

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

660 JL Charlotte Mulligan sville VA 22901

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

661 Mark Taylor Charlotte NC 28270

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

662 Bruce Oatway

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

663 Patricia Jacobson SUFFOLK VA 23434

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

664 James Zizzo Wilmington NC 28403

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

665 Lana Ambler Oakton VA 22124

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

666 Emma Hoholik Richmond VA 23230

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

667 Paul Nelson Marion NC 28752

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

668 Norma Hanson Asheville NC 28803

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

669 Diane Wallace Kernersville NC 27284

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

670 Linda Ricks Beaufort NC 28516

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.
PLEASE! Dont destroy the earth's resources...!

671 Kara Kara

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

672 Fred Copithorn Harrisonburg VA 22801-1739

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

673 e Katherin Cregger-Marshall Charlotte NC 28270-2175

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

674 Tim Schmitt Arlington VA 22205

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

675 Lisa Walthers Arlington VA 22204

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

676 Karen Henders Waltman onville NC 28792

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

677 Debra Inscoe

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

678 Mariah Mitchell Winston Salem NC

27127

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

679 Randall Cronin Columbus NC

28722

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

680 Katelyn Quinley

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

681 D Provance Apex NC 27539

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

682 ha Amarynt Schalin Chesapeake VA 23322

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

683 Astrata Barber Chesapeake VA

23322

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

684 A J Hawkins Richmond VA

23225

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

685 Laura Brown Louisburg NC

27549

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

686 Janet Rountree Suffolk VA 23434

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

687 Debbie Slack Lynchburg VA 24502

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

688 Nancy Camello

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

689 John Foraste North Garden VA 22959

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

690 Diane de Groot Greenville NC 27858-9651

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

691 Olga Mulcahy Leesburg VA 20176

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

692 Kevin Hagan

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

693 Valarie Snell Greensboro NC 27406

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

694 Meghan Quinn

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

695 Brenda Staab

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

696 Rick Miller Warrenton VA 20186

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

697 Vickie Barnett Troutdale VA 24378-2158

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

698 Alyce Woodfield Keswick VA 22947

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

699 Elizabeth Richards

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

700 Devin Gwinn Wilmington NC 28412-7445

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Please support our planet and don't allow this pipeline!!

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

701 Larry Hannon Charlotte NC 28270 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

702 Patrick Garrett Hendersonville NC 28791 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

703 Pamela Culp Asheville NC 28804 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

704 Therese Weldon Midlothian VA 23113

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

705 David Powell

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

706 Myra G Hendershottville NC 28791

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

707 Jan Steever Davidson NC 28036

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

708 Dawn Brant Charlotte NC 28227

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

709 Lauren Davis

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Blacksburg
710 Norman Dowling VA 24060

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Lynchburg
711 Pamela Nowell VA 24503

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

712 Jennifer Lewis Cary NC 27617

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

713 Victor Fahrer Asheville NC 28806

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

714 Steve Long Snow Camp NC 27349

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

715 Merritt Stone Southern Shores NC 27949

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

716 Steve Roberts Wilmington NC 28401

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

717 Jean Carter Youngsville NC 27596

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

718 Marsha Wells Sistersville WV 26175

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

719 Brenda Capps

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

720 Vickie Pennington
Raleigh NC 27608

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

721 Donna Von Bargen
Winston Salem NC 27127

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

722 Roberta Rosell NC

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

723 Matthew Neill Asheville NC 28801

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Lexington
724 Jennifer Brandon n NC 27295

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

725 Julia Borg Leicester NC 28748

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

726 Glen Thomas San Francisco CA 94102

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

727 Sherry Holinaty

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

728 Penny Halterm
an

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

729 Justin Vellella Vienna VA 22182

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

730 Hans Joachim Wilders Manassas VA 20108-0296

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

731 Christine Becker Lewisville NC 27023

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

732 Kellye Jetkiewicz Frederickburg VA 22401

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

The Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands because this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

In addition, allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

733 Marcia Invernizzi Coveseville VA 22931-1511

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

734 Michael Sileno Greensboro NC 27408

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

735 Eva Snyder NC 28801

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

736 Judith Castagno Gloucester VA 23061-3163

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

737 Nicole Kaess Alexandria VA 22332

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

738 Bonnie Farmer Alexandria VA 22310

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan. And I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. It would open more disturbed land to colonization by destructive invasive plants such as Tree-of-heaven (Ailanthus altissima), Garlic Mustard (Alliaria petiolata), and Japanese honeysuckle.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

739 e Catharin Tucker Richmond VA 23227

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

740 Melanie Diana Pittsboro NC 27312-9536

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

741 Christa Black Asheville NC 28806-2919

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

742 Tanya Cobb Alexandria VA 22311-1950

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

743 Sharon Griffin Hampton VA 23669

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

744 Susan Wild-Salladin

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

745 Anne Jones Greensboro NC 27410

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

746 Whitney Metz Manning WV 26582

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

747 Fran Grocholl Vero Beach FL 32960-3211

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

748 DeeDee Tostanos Alexandria VA 22314

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

749 Tony Giddens Beach VA 23462

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

750 Robert Brown Angier NC 27501-8470

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

751 Gerri Moringello Leland NC 28451

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

752 Sharon Maimon

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

753 Nancy Scheiber elkin NC 28621

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

754 Jan Wiley Woolwine VA 24185

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

755 Miriam Wildema CHARLO n TTESVLE VA 22901

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

756 Susan Watts

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

757 Guna S NC 27514

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

758 Louise Kefelian Alexandria VA 22307-1927

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

759 Ellen Osborne Pleasant Garden NC 27313

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

760 Russ Hopler Fairfax VA 22033

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

761 Sondra Camp Salem VA 24153-3259

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

762 James Smiley Mocksville NC 27028

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

763 Mary Ledford

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

764 Alan Linn Hickory NC 28602

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

765 Russell James Hampstead NC 28443

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

766 e Anne Katherine Ridge Charlotteville VA 22901

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

767 E G Gainesville VA 20155

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

768 Lidia Tinelli

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

769 Jim Collins

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

770 Sandra Roberts Jacksonville FL 32223-7394

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

771 Bobbi Lempert Makawo NC 28714

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

772 Kim Santos

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

773 Pam Hall Elkin NC 28621

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

774 Joseph Olejar

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

The revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

775 Erin Rothman Crozet VA 22932

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

776 Rachel Kinback

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

777 Allen Witherin
gton palmyra VA 22963-2202

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

778 Mark Lerch

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

779 Linda Kehew
le Winterville NC 28590

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

780 James Mather Lorton VA 22079

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

781 Linda Kehewle Winterville NC 28590

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

782 Lillyam Barberi Asheville NC 28805

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

783 Bruce Tripletter
Winchester VA 22601

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

784 Brenda Saball

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

785 Andrea Neck

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

786 Gail Troy Shipman VA 22971

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

787 Mary Bare

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

788 Frank Stroupe s Matthew NC 28104

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

789 Diane Clark Colfax NC 27235

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

790 Donna Thompson Ronda NC 28670

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

791 Jude Weing Asheville NC 28801

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

792 Judy Bryan Alexandria VA 22311

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

793 Mary Mackley

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

794 Michael Garrett

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

795 Hersha Evans Christian
sburg VA 24073

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

796 Tracey Aquino VIRGINIA
BEACH VA 23452

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

797 Michelle Levi

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

798 Nancy Schwall Stafford VA 22554

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

799 A J Hawkins Richmond VA 23225

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

800 Erika Bk King George VA 22485

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

801 Judy Lane

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

802 Patricia Maher

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

803 Jane Hassell Fairfax VA 22032

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

804 Carmen Plummer Midland NC 2810

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

805 Mary Jeffrey Lenoir NC 28645

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

806 Joyce Cook

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

807 Jane Hassell Fairfax VA 22032

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

808 Veronica Robertie Newtown PA 18940-1469

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

809 N. Barbara Baylin Durham NC 27703

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

810 Alexis Gilman McLean VA 22101

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

811 Elizabeth do Snyder-Baldona

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

812 Joseph Wenzel Elmo MN 55042

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

813 Clare Tager

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

814 Chris Morrow
Frederick sburg VA 22406

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

815 Philip Spiess
Frederick sburg VA 22407-6805

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

816 Doris Jackson Raleigh NC 27610

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

817 Carol Wright

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

818 Virginia Mills Schmidt River NC 28759-5761

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

819 Karen Fedorov Bealeton VA 22712

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

820 Michelle Lee Charlotte NC 28226

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

821 Sharon Spillare Haymarket VA 20169

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

822 Peggy Wynn Henders onville NC 28739

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

823 Ariel Wynn Henders onville NC 28739

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

824 Gareth Wynn Henders onville NC 28739

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

825 Bobby Wynn Henders onville NC 28739

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

826 Jo Ellen Brandme CHAPEL HILL NC 27516

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

827 Tracy Luff

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

828 Ricky Bowman

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

829 Stephen Hambric Walworth
k h WI 53184-9701

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

830 Tanja Rieger Coleman
Falls VA 24536

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

831 Ronald Johnson

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

832 Karyon Owen
Great Cacapon WV 25422

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

833 Monica Rodica
Vancea Madrid NC 28021

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

834 Jaime Frederick
Turgeon sburg VA 22407

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

835 Audrey Ward Maiden NC 28650-0413

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

836 Suzan Parrish

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

837 Tara McCann

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

838 Marylyn Leet
Tracy 20779-
Landing MD 9737

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

839 Elaine Becker Roanoke VA 24018

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

840 Leigh Owen

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

841 Janet Paisley
Charlotte
sville VA 22903

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

842 Yvonne Talbot

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. I have spent many many hours hiking and enjoying the beauty of this unspoiled area. Please ! Keep it unspoiled. Gas is not the way of the

843 Elizabeth n Wegman Sugar Grove NC 28679-9403

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

844 Leslie Lau Palmetto Bay FL 33158

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

845 Angie Akins

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

846 Deen Neuman Cullen VA 23934

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

847 Katherine Howison Lexington VA 24450

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

848 Linda Barker Roanoke VA 24018

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

849 Uwe Alexandr
Dotzauer ia VA 22304

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

850 William Purcellvil
Aiton le VA 20132

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

851 Judy McClung

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Shandoly
852 n Boye

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

853 Lorna Madill

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

854 David Hamilton

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

855 Erika Geary Sylva NC 28779

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

856 Susan Clayton

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

857 Chris Micolucci Cornelius NC 28031

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

858 Emilia Torrellas

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

859 Christi Dillon Mooresville NC 28117

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

860 Linda Camp Hendersonville NC 28791

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

861 Courtney Holmes

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

862 Mary Grant Charlotte
sville VA 22903

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

This revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

863 Chris Catanzar Richmond
o d VA 23225 Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

864 Susan Zucchini ng Pines NC 28327-9486

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

865 Carl Barnett Draft VA 24477

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

866 Kathryn Hass San Francisco NC 27705

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

867 Jane Stevens Midway GA 31320-3539

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

868 Tiffany Reynolds Grove NC 28023
China

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

869 Mary Poulos ORO NC 27408
GREENSB

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

870 Marcia M.Gretsi
nger

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

871 Patricia Bernardi Burnsvill
ng e NC 28714

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

872 Lucie Laberge Charlotte NC 28270

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

873 Richard Alderman Asheville NC 28804

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

874 Kelsey Cooper Dallas NC 28034-9378

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

875 Rob Veldwijk Wilmington NC 28409

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

876 Mat Long

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

877 Jeanette Hess Dayton VA 22821

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

878 Myria Fairbanks Apex NC 27539

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

879 Laura Cross

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

880 Rose Shulman Traphill NC 28685

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

881 Steve Brown Lynchburg VA 24504

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

882 Lori Bright Swannan NC 28778

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

883 Michael Spence

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

884 Gayle Dunne

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

885 Frank Schmidt Henrico VA 23229

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

886 Nowell Overby Chesapeake VA 23325

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

887 Laurie Lagoe Alexandria VA 22309

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

888 Cathy Wright

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

889 Lois Lommel Richmond
d VA 23235

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

890 Holly Dabal

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

891 Emilie Larson Vienna VA 22180

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

892 Theresa Hebron Frederick
sburg VA 22401

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

893 Kenneth Riggs

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

894 Sarah Palmer

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

895 Joan Crouch

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

896 Ray Sammis
Matthew 28104-
s NC 6046

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

897 George Richards
on

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

898 Becky Brookshire
Marshall NC 28753

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

899 Cindy McMillan

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Catherin
900 e Ruffin

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

901 Ray Corne

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

902 Janet Black Candler NC 28715-8160

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

903 Edward Lynch
Wellsburg WV 26070-9756

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

904 Barbara Byrd
Princeton WV 24740-2751

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

905 Reed Laura

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

906 Vivian Knightdal 27545-
Demarco e NC 8459

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

907 Alice Ofsa

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

908 Quando Gerst Raleigh NC 27610

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

909 Bill Anthony Wilkesboro NC 28659-7349

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

910 Irwin Flashman Reston VA 20190

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

911 Timothy Birthisel Asheville NC 28805-2626

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

912 Trish Fowler

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

913 David Thompson Waynesville NC 28785-9489

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

914 e Charlotte Manassas VA 20110

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

915 Miller Graves Asheville NC 28803-2553

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

916 Caroline Wood

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

917 Judith Burch Crozet VA 22932

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

918 David Fouche Winston Salem NC 27106

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

919 Ray Evans

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

920 Georgina Barra

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

921 Marcelle Crago Woodstock NY 12498-2308

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

922 Nan Beatty

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

923 Deborah Triplett

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

924 Pamela Bacon Lexington NC 27292

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

925 Mae Basye Fuquay Varina NC 27526-8719

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

926 Louan Fisher Palmyra VA 22963

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

927 Wanda Cullowhe Stephens e NC 28723

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

928 Brenda Rossi

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

929 Spring Propst

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest. There's already so much destruction in the world, with trees and land and important ecosystems being destroyed every day, everywhere. Please don't make it worse.

930 Sevanna Medina

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

24479-

931 Jeanne Hoffman Swoope VA 2103

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

932 Barbara Leonard

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

933 Laurice Yarn Virginia Beach VA 23462

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

934 Jasmin Days

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

935 Christina Vonthron 28801-3249
sohnhaus Asheville NC

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

936 Adam Mills Asheville NC 28801

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Margare
937 t Lee

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

938 Barbara Stenross Carrboro NC 27510

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

939 D. G. Ayden NC 28513

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

940 K Quinne Rolesville NC 27571

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

941 Sheila Graham

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

942 Heather Taylor Middleburg VA 20117

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

943 Sandra Broadnax

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

944 Stephen Register

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

945 Karen Anema

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

946 Lee-Ann Diehl

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

947 Urve Crowder
Winchester VA 22604

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

948 Alan Boutillier

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

949 Carrie Eley-Durbin

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

950 Arch McMich

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

951 Jennifer Baker

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

McCarthy
952 Shannon y Arlington VA 22201

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Dusenbe Henders
953 Bethany rry onville NC 28791

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

954 A Edge

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

955 B T Wilmington NC 28403

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

956 Linda Black

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Elisabett Gambrill
957 a Lago s VA 21054

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

958 Jennifer Luttrell

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

959 Angela Cline

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Kathleen
960 F. Walsh Pittsboro NC 27312-5503

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

961 Marilyn Brady Raleigh NC 27612-3292

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

962 Heather Butler

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

963 Maria Geenzier

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

964 Elizabeth George Summerfield NC 27358

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

965 Sharon Shohfi

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

966 Nancy Coffey blowing rock NC 28605-9038

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

967 Kate Parish

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

968 Alexis Low

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

969 Sheila Jackson

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

970 Shirley Phillips Asheville NC 28806-8494

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

971 Martina Hedjerson

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

972 Deb Douglas Concord NC 28128

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

973 Helen Shamek Wayneville NC 28786

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

974 Jennifer Midkiff

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

975 Wende Markham

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

976 Dane Gaiser

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

977 Fran Vero Grocholl Beach FL 32960-3211

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

978 Fran Vero Grocholl Beach FL 32960-3211

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

979 Miriam Anver Rectortown VA 20140-0147

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

980 Jack Bostic

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

981 Ellen Ford Bakersvil
Nentrup le NC 28705

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

982 Richard Garis

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

983 Elizabeth Gump Chapel 27516-
Hill NC 9327

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

984 Telia Murphy Raleigh NC 27612-1756

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

985 Lisa Muglia Raleigh NC 27614

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

986 Lisa Rattling Pearisbu ourd rg VA 24134-2807

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I strongly urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands:

1. This revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

2. Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

3. Frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

987 Julia Hartman r Alexande NC 28701

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

988 Toni Lofin

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

The fracking project is directly against all of the natural world. To approve the devastation this hideous project would inflict would be in direct opposition to the mission of the Forest Service! We all need the forests, their calming beauty, the clean air the trees and other plants provide us by photosynthesis are essential to our breathing! Please do not allow the Mountain Valley Pipeline!!

989 Teri Reeder Greensb 27407-5415 NC

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

990 Richard Ward Franklin NC 28734-6562

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Mariann McDermott Chico CA 95928

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

992 Pamela Casey

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Truesdal
993 Roberta e

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

994 Teresa Ford

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

O
995 Kent Loughlin Pinehurst NC

28374

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

996 Carol Hutchison

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

997 Brian Pappas Norfolk VA 23517

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

998 Bloom Post Montreat NC 28757-0023

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

999 Cathy Page Pollocksville NC 28573-8786

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,000 Kevin Noonan Williamsburg VA 23188

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,001 Freda Vaughn Fletcher NC 28732

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,002 Diana Lahey Great Falls VA 22066

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,003 Margie Sanders Hardy VA 24101

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1004 Elizabeth Hastings Harpers Ferry WV 25425-5406

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,005 Teresa
McCarty
Glen Allen
VA 23060

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,006 Maria
Salgado

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,007 Richard
Rutherford
Staunton VA 24401

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,008 Ann Swansboro NC 28584-0418

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,009 Vicki Wellington Morrison KS 67152

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1010 Jory Summerfield NC 27358-9012

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,011 Jeffrey Pilkinton

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,012 Rebecca Fox Charlotte NC 28210-5744

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,013 Sarah Stansill Montreal NC 28757

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,014 LuAnn Drost Selden NY 11784-3416

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,015 Carissa Alaimo Alexandria VA 22305-2671

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1016 Elizabeth Guzynski Raleigh NC 27609

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,017 Kelly Brennan Riner VA 24149

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,018 Ann Strickland

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,019 Jack Bostic

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,020 Betty Ware Richmond VA 23226

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,021 Jack Bostic

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1022 Bethany Sykes Asheville NC 28801-1728

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,023 Barbara Williams
Hudgins burg VA 23188

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,024 Jamie Morgantown
Shultz own WV 26508

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,025 Sandra Johnson

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,026 Sean Fraser

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,027 Ken Phares

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1028 Joseph Wenzel Elmo MN 55042

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,029 Kelly Stuchbury

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,030 Ben Stuchbury

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,031 Dave Rush

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,032 Randy Burgess NC

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Naomi
1,033 King King

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1034 Anna Rincon Round Hill VA 20141

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Annelies
1,035 e Simmons

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,036 Sally Bassett Pittsboro NC 27312

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,037 Hatch William

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,038 Debbie Burroughs Edenton NC 27932

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,039 Liz Cramp Clifton VA 20124

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1040 Laura Baker

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,041 Laura Lawrence VA 23188

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,042 Janis Lozano Bayonne NJ 07002-5075

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,043 Ann Palin

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,044 Rachel Korostynski Apex NC 27539-7994

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,045 Barbara Allen

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1046 Cindy Hartman

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,047 Melissa Sykes Belhaven NC 27810-1436

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,048 Jim Lindsay Arlington VA 22201

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,049 Beth Walker Rocky Mount VA 24151-3954

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,050 Shirley Cathey Greensboro NC 27406-4804

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,051 Margie Miller Statesville NC 28677-7245

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1052 Vimal Patel

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,053 Jon Post

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,054 Leslie Sexton

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,055 Barbara Benson Cedar Point NC 28584

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,056 Philip Keating Lorton VA 22079-1858

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,057 Michelle Roy

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1058 Laura Provo-Meinhardt

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Elizabeth
1,059 h Kuch Granite Falls NC 28630

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,060 Liz Reed

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,061 Sven Koschinski Nehnten VA 24326

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,062 Laurie Wheeler Marion NC 28752-0187

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,063 Fowler Holly

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1064 Gianilda Ramirez

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,065 Sallie Park Charlotte
sville VA 22911

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,066 Dawn Hurst-
Stultz Harrison
burg VA 22802-
5507

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,067 Isabel Cervera Salisbury NC
28147

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,068 Kimberly Houser Harmony NC 28634

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,069 Cathy Brunick Virginia Beach VA 23454

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1070 Nina Fische Jonas Ridge NC 28641-0069

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,071 Karen Doyle Clyde NC 28721-8704

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,072 Rosemary Sweatt Waynesboro VA 22980

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,073 Steven Stowers

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,074 Susan Blunt

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,075 Jill Brown

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1076 Betony Beddingfield Apex NC 27539-9765

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,077 Michael Fritz

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Jane
1,078 Ellen Teller

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,079 Debra Insoe

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,080 Julia Riddle Greensboro NC 27408-2512

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,081 Sherry Kerns Roanoke VA 24018

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1082 Spring Propst

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,083 Wanda Hillman

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,084 Cynthia Mastro ELIZABET H CITY NC 27909

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,085 Christina Miller Fletcher NC 28732

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,086 Lynn Lambeth Forest VA 24551

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,087 Tom Reeves

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1088 Richard Detar Holly Springs NC 27540-9133

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,089 Jennifer Merkel Chesapeake VA 23323

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,090 Rebecca Scott

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,091 Joe Lowe Warrenton VA 20187-2682

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,092 Shannon Ryan Charlotte NC 28273

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,093 Rio Valencia Midlothian VA 23112

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1094 Brandy Kellis

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,095 Virginia Baker

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,096 Colin Shepard Dulles VA 20189-7300

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,097 Janine Knight Winston Salem NC 27101-6307

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,098 Danielle Baker Raleigh NC 27604-1512

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,099 Angie Branch

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1100 Cameron Konken CONWAY SC 29526

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,101 Patsy Abernathy

1,102 M. Angus Macdonald Elkwood VA 22718

1,103 Jessica Chapman Deep Gap NC 28618-9251

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,104 Robin Swope Fairfax Station VA 22039

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,105 Tim Mengel Randleman NC 27317

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1106 Elizabeth Cane

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,107 Karen Suit Falling Waters WV 25419

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,108 Natalie Hedrick

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,109 Cora Barber

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,110 Alana Marchet Sewickel 15143-8315 PA

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,111 Jamie Le Alameda CA 94501

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1112 Danielle Shehab Napa CA 94558

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,113 Amanda Byrne

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,114 Debra Linder

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

State
1,115 Kathleen Domenig College PA 16803

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,116 Liz Reed

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,117 Steven Errede
Bellingham WA 98229

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1118 Richard Brunke
Jacksonville FL 32256-7794

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Frida
1,119 Marie Gade Hadsten N/a

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,120 Stacey Smith Henderson KY 42420

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,121 Glen Rogers

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,122 Betty Gunz

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,123 Mark Jackson Kalamazoo MI 49009

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1124 Pam Sohan New Braunfels TX 78132

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,125 Morgan a Merciec Chicago IL 60618

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,126 Kolton Duwa Austin TX 78745-5811

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,127 Tina Flynn

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,128 Mia Whitford Ada MI 49301-9451

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,129 Brittany Larkin

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1130 Andrew Wilcox

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,131 Roy Sook Amherst WI 54406

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,132 Caroline Brennan

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,133 Jillian Forschne Murrysville PA 15668

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,134 Eric Jason Joliet IL 60435-8750

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,135 Derin Parker Watkinsville GA 30677

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1136 Elaine Robbins Marshall NC 28753-0257

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,137 Cole Cochran

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,138 Leah Jones

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,139 Robin Hirsch

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,140 Dawn Cronk Hiwassee VA 24347-2526

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,141 Lindsay Pugh Richmond VA 23220

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1142 Megan Fulton

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,143 Sarah Gebhardt
t Chicago IL 60640

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,144 Diana Rae

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,145 Lillian Swindell
28207- Charlotte NC 1804

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,146 Jenise Burford

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,147 Dawn Dorin Raleigh NC 27603-2692

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1148 Dayna Coccione

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,149 Alicia Lane

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,150 Robert McNabb

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,151 Dez Crider

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,152 Michael Reed

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,153 Stacey Nicholas

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1154 Doug De Stefano San Marcos CA 92078-3961

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,155 Karolyn Nartker Las Vegas NV 89129-6397

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,156 Brittany Buchana n

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,157 Aaron Kenna La Mesa CA 91942

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,158 Claire Hendee

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,159 Jordan Dwojewski

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1160 Deborah Gillis

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,161 Angela Duncan

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,162 Ray Wilkes

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,163 Christina Westbro Morgant 28655-
oks on NC 8849

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,164 Jan Coerper

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,165 Kendzie Plumb

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1166 Tom Carroll Verona WI 53593-5141

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,167 Karen Pohl

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,168 Earl Mitchell Cornelius NC 28031-8018

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,169 John Holmgren

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,170 Torrie Hulse

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Mollie-
Margare
1,171 t Holt

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1172 Heather Owens
Snow 27349-
Camp NC 6030

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,173 Yasmeen Imam

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Blackwel
1,174 Angel I

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,175 Ronikka Hubert

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,176 Shabaka Moore Petersburg VA 23805

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,177 Eugene Nervo

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1178 Sharyn Guthrie

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,179 Michelle Wright Mebane NC 27302

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,180 Lo Wo

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,181 Lynda Majors Blacksburg VA 24060-8920

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,182 Eli Celli Chapel Hill NC 27516

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,183 Sierra Brown Wilkesboro NC 28697-8125

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1184 Barrett Deisher

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,185 Brett Little Fayetteville NC 28303

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,186 Rachael McNeal

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,187 Rose Brostic Bryson City NC 28713-1291

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,188 Monica Young

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,189 Brona Little

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1190 Jennifer Rukoven Oak 28465-
a Island NC 6802

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,191 Sagar Patel Westborough MA 1581

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,192 Laurie Roddick

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,193 Rose Brostic Bryson City NC 28713-1291

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,194 Tessa Pou Spotsylvania VA 22553

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,195 Deborah Peaslee

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1196 Teresa Cunningham Middletown OH 45044-5059

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,197 Linda Allind New Bern NC 28562-8971

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,198 Bridgett Bobbitt

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,199 Lyle Adley-Warrick

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,200 Diane Lipscomb

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,201 S Whitehead Radford VA 24141

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1202 Eric Beck Raleigh NC 27609

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,203 Coreen Roberts

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,204 Luke Marre

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Christop
1,205 her Sekerak Davidson NC

28036

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,206 Patricia Child Greenwod VA 22943-1721

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,207 Lisa Chicoine Mt Airy NC 27030-8887

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs. My family owns property that borders Jefferson National Forest and we would be directly affected by this. That's not including the effect it would have on our property and health should anything happen to this pipeline. It is simply a bad idea and you should not do this.

1208 Russell Painter

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,209 Lindsay Thorn

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,210 Tracy Kelly Looneyville WV 25259

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,211 Richard Osborne

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,212 JoAnn Lee

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,213 Mitzie Kennedy Weston WV 26452-6907

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1214 Thomas Klutz

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,215 Kim Scott Jackson NC 27845

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,216 Paula Applegate Pittsboro NC 27312-6693

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,217 Carmelo Montes

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,218 Nathlee Thayres

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,219 Jason Pickard

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1220 Peggy Hill

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,221 Elias Sarver-Wolf

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,222 Laurie Collins

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,223 a Samanth Dougherty

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Cassandr Swannan 28778-
1,224 a Phillips oa NC 2406

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Baldovin 28215-
1,225 Laurent o Charlotte NC 6502

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1226 Bonnie Sammis

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,227 Helen Gray Raleigh NC 27605

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,228 John MacLean Franklin NC 28734

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,229 Christina Niewisch

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,230 Mona Hendrick Staunton VA 24401

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,231 Jeri Rink

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1232 John Elledge

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,233 Daniel Oliver Purcellville VA 20132

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,234 Daniel Pickett

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Christop LaFortuna
1,235 her a

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,236 Suzanne Poppell

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,237 Deborah Peaslee

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1238 Shannon Caviness Charlotte NC 28215-4511

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,239 Ken Tomlinson

1,240 T G

1,241 Julianne Gould East Stroudsburg PA 18301

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,242 Donna Jones

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,243 Cristina Lima

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1244 Ethan Revis

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Mary
1,245 Lee Johnson Oaks NC 27524

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,246 Tammy Martin

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,247 Pam Erber

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,248 Karen Fain
Clarkesville GA 30523-3704

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,249 Hunter Hathaway

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1250 Matthew Compton

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Emmanu
1,251 el Brown

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,252 Janis Martin

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

M
1,253 Charna Buchbind
er Zeller

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,254 Jeff Mitchell

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,255 Emelia London

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Meredith
1256 h Langley

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,257 Herbie Dockery

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,258 Karma Harrison
Camilo burg VA 22802-5453

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,259 Carol Hutchison

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,260 Ashley Hamilton

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,261 Judith Clark Dunmore WV 24934-9081

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1262 Karen Brittain Greensboro NC 27410-2907

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,263 Robert Cherry Boone NC 28607

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,264 Lisa Circle

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,265 Lucindy Warren Mebane NC 27302-9730

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions. So many mountain people depend on spring water for their homes, springs that also feed the creeks and streams full of wildlife. What will we do when the water supply gets poisoned with toxic chemicals from fracking operations?

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

1,266 Julie Eldridge Alameda CA 94501-6216

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

1,267 Dianne Greene

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

1268 Ellen Watson Lane

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,269 Bryleigh Apple

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,270 Sarah Kordulewski

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,271 Dana Beckstoffer

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,272 July Wolfe

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,273 Nadine Huntersville NC 28078
Blancato

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1274 Aganon Dawn

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,275 Leslie Pardue Durham NC 27712-9727

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,276 Melissa Webster Roanoke VA 24018-4324

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,277 Robert Tassinari

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,278 Penelope Vann

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,279 Jade Valenzuela

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1280 Randy Lott

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,281 Tony Tamer

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,282 Annie Ranjan Charlotte
sville VA 22903

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,283 Leigh Remington

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,284 Owen Perkins

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,285 Maggie Dillow

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1286 Katie Bowen

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,287 Richard Baker Tallahassee FL 32301-6726

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,288 a Samanth Cummins

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,289 Brenda Hamm Louisburg NC 27549

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,290 Lynne C. Holly Springs NC 27540

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,291 Chelsea Jackson

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Pennington
1292 Rebecca on

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,293 Mary McKay Wise VA 24293

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,294 Gary Rainstrom

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,295 Nancy Aubuchon Monroe NC 28110-7307

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,296 Stephen Lang Durham NC 27704-4786

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,297 Nancy Cray

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1298 Lisa Jansen

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,299 Phyllis Karppi Williamsburg VA 23185

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,300 Nancy Lawrence

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,301 Liz Reed

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,302 William Thomas

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,303 Caridad Negrin

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1304 Nicholas Hando

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,305 Darlia Raby

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,306 Robert Allen

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,307 Donna Bridges

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,308 Sabrina Smalley

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Wilkinson
1,309 Renetta n

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1310 Linda Seidel

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,311 Laina Taylor Garner NC 27529

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,312 Karen Stein Blacksburg VA 24060

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,313 Jessica Underwood Gastonia NC 28056

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,314 Tom Nasta Roanoke VA 24018

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Aubucho
1,315 Jonathan nt

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1316 Elsa Enstrom Arden NC 28704-9104

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,317 Suzanne Piri

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,318 H Clark

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Christop 28262-
1,319 her Richards Charlotte NC 6474

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. Please DO NOT ALLOW this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Barksdal HILLSBO
1,320 Roxanne e ROUGH NC 27278

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,321 Ruth McCoy

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1322 Lori Gearhart

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,323 Peggy Hunt

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,324 Maria Alvarez

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,325 Amanda Duhaime

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,326 Nancy Montgo Rutherford 28139-7338

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,327 Ian Curl

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1328 Jennifer McNeill

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,329 Dianne Joyce Miami FL 33133-6432

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,330 Susan Charbonneau Greensboro NC 27409-2738

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,331 Kim Mihan Leland NC 28451

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Charlott Preswo
1,332 e d

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,333 Tomás Castillo

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1334 Steve Gray Angier NC 27501

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,335 Deborah Graczyk

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Margare 02889-
1,336 t Jackson Warwick RI 6138

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

28570-
1,337 Daniel S. Murdoch Newport NC 9680

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Margare
1,338 t Levine

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Williams
1,339 Victoria Pawlick on NY 14589

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Clackam
1340 Kristy Giles as OR 97015

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,341 Polly
McClelland
on TOPTON NC 28781

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,342 Susan Lynx

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,343 Nancy Reid
Montvale VA 24122-2617

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,344 Marcia M.Gretsi
nger

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,345 C Gomez

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1346 Jeanne Roberts

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

My over arching concern is that our country has shifted from protecting people to protecting profiteers. There are people suffering from the consequences of the environmental impacts, and they should be our priority.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

1,347 Janet Mullen

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,348 Susan Emery

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Appalachia has sustained repeated assaults on its environment, including fragmentation, water pollution and air pollution, all to use this sensitive ecosystem for extraction and now, transportation of fossil fuel gas, the continued use of which is not in the best interests of our future.

1,349 Susan DeSilver Northford CT

6472 Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,350 Pam Borso Custer WA 98240-0154

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,351 Monica Pielage Santa Cruz CA 95062-3443

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1352 Sally Panci

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,353 Myra Mountain Lighthart n Home NC 28758-0663

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,354 Holly Hoag Windsor CO 80550-5141

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,355 Anne Philadelphia PA 19118

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,356 Debra
Wollesen
Washington DC 20002

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,357 Heather
Hansman
Seattle WA 98103-9029

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1358 Teresa
Reinhardt
Corvallis OR 97333

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,359 Joann Terrell Wilkesboro NC 28697-9152

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,360 Tammy DeRoche Snohomish WA 98290

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,361 Teresa Reinhardt Corvallis OR 97333

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Margare
1,362 t Maupin

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Margare
1,363 t Maupin Evanston IL 60201

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1364 Christy Scott Signal Mountain TN 37377-3390

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,365 Erin Healy Lake Lure NC 28746

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,366 Christian Gammar iello

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,367 Janice Schroeder Berkeley CA 94702

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Katherin Falls
1,368 e Leswing Church VA 22046

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,369 Shaun Hubbard

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Bodenhe
1370 George imer Denver NC 28037

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,371 Linda Warman

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,372 Louise Youngson Santa Ana CA 92705-1819

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,373 Angelic Winters Kingsport TN 37660-8710

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,374 Marcy Bosworth

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,375 Mel BRUSH
Ginsberg PRAIRIE WA 98606

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1376 Sydney Wallace

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,377 Marjorie Andrews Charlotte NC 28207-2240

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,378 Allegra DiNetta Bear DE 19701

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,379 Carol Harder Germantown WI 53022-5553

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,380 Melissa Sheppard
Salisbury NC 28144-7788

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,381 MC Yturralde
San Diego CA 92111

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1382 Sheila Sandford

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,383 Aaron Klaus Laurel MD 20707

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,384 Chasty Smith

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,385 Diane Paul Doylestown PA 18902

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,386 Nancy Berggren

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,387 Ann Norris

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1388 Susan Hester

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,389 Claire Agosti Cody WY 82414

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Ambrone -
1,390 Cynthia O'Toole

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Ellebrecht
1,391 Belle ht NC

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,392 Sai Kiran

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,393 Mary Stascak Wake Forest NC 27587-9714

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1394 Doug Wiseman

Dear Dr. Wilkes,

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Also, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,395 Margie Matoba Davis CA 95618-4910

Please protect the Jefferson National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative, and reject any changes to the Forest Plan. Thank you.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,396 Barbara Bickel

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Stephani
1,397 e Gamroth

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,398 Wendy Jervis

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,399 Sarah Harris Tempe AZ 85282

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Katharin Mercer 98040-1400 e Lamperti Island WA 3200

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,401 Karen Solliday

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,402 Nan Richards
on

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,403 Alison Carville
Fort Myers FL 33967-3560

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,404 Nan Richards on

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,405 Lsurie Forrest

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1406 Lillian Swindell Charlotte NC 28207-1804

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,407 Bruce Sutton Staunton VA 24401-3855

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,408 David Kerlick

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,409 Onja Bock

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,410 Stephen Thiroux Ashland OR 97520

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Stephani Thompson Austin TX 78757

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1412 Donna B

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,413 Robin Weller
Grayslake IL 60030-4600

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,414 Francis Simmel

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,415 Jessica Helms

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,416 Frankie Ross

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,417 Alan Young Hilo HI 96720

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1418 Brooke Farnum Encinitas CA 92024-5659

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,419 Pamela Young Hamlet NC 28345-2683

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,420 Karen Edwards Winthrop WA 98862

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,421 Bruce M Corvallis OR 97333

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,422 Carol Mohr Ann Arbor MI 48103

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,423 Corinne Woodlan Bradenton FL 34209

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1424 Daniel Wright Roanoke VA 24012-2525

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Grossma Brattleboro VT
1,425 Deborah n ro VT 5301

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,426 Sandee Smoak

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,427 Stacey Sude

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,428 Kiki Barrera

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,429 Janet Kay Nichols Cary NC 27518-3006

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Marguerite Bryant
1430 ite

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,431 Kathy Stark Charlotte VA 22902

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,432 Claire Gulseth Minneapolis MN 55403

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,433 Ashley Baillargeon Orlando FL 32839-6136

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Wilkerson
1,434 Elizabeth n

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,435 Ashley Carroll

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Catherin
1436 e Dorman Newark CA 94560-2939

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,437 Gail Bukowski

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,438 Julie Morales Paul MN 55106 Saint

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,439 Cindy Marvin wn NJ 07960-6194 Morristo

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

Margare
1,440 t Myres Union Mills NC

28167 MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

1,441 Doug Roatens Matthew NC 28105-3962

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

1442 Kimm Schaut Maniwoc WI 54220

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,443 William Beam

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,444 Mark Smith
Oconto Falls WI 54154

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,445 Karen Hennessy

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, we don't need another gas pipeline, particularly one. The revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. All at greater risk and more valuable than gas.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. None of us in the area want or need gas as much as we need water. Nor do we need the multiple hazards associated with fracked gas.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

1,446 Polly Lynchburg VA 24503-1514

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. Its permits should have been terminated years ago. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,447 Melissa Wales

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1448 Quincy Whittle

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,449 Leah Hagan Seattle WA 98119

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,450 Susie Heath

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,451 Ann Baker

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

McCaskil
1,452 Kimberly I

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,453 Shelby Carter

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Cheyenn Clemmo 27012-
1454 e Dumont ns NC 9868

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,455 Heather Riddle

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,456 Pamela Karet

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,457 Steven Bernstorff

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Ravaging irreplaceable natural areas when the outcome includes devastating and dangerous issues that have not been addressed is irresponsible, immoral, and against common sense.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Rosemar
1,458 y Schmid

Tomlinso
1,459 Barbara n Seattle WA 98102

1460 Kristina Kalb

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,461 Julian Prosser Wake Forest NC 27587-9775

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,462 Michael Stumpf Richmond VA 23221-1507

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,463 Stephen Hambrick Walworth WI 53184-9701

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,464 Kimberly Fowler

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,465 Heather Wood

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Stevenso
1466 Heather n

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,467 Rose Cnudde Durham NC 27705-3252

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,468 Nadine Blancato Huntersville NC 28078

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,469 Jennifer Griffith Durham NC 27713-8811

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,470 Mary Haley

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,471 Ed Trancozo

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1472 Kerr Daniel

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,473 Helen Renqvist Newport VA 24128

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,474 Dianne Green Mount Airy NC 27030-9133

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,475 Renee Hayes Trinity NC 27370-8280

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,476 Noah Fleischer

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,477 Betsy Webster Ulla NC 28125

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1478 Meggan Knodel

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,479 Sara Kane PITTSBORO NC 27312-8434

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,480 Charlene Jordan

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,481 Spring Propst

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,482 Dawn Everly

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,483 Richelle Cornwell Ile NC

Fayettevi

28311

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1484 Julia Young Pittsboro NC

27312

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

As a grandmother my passion is preserving the environment for future generations. This fracked gas project should be stopped and it should definitely not be allowed on public lands.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

1,485 Donna Von Bargaen Winston Salem NC 27127

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,486 John Kaiser Morris Run PA 16939-0156

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,487 Crystal Oliver

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,488 Athena Harringt on Bunnleve I NC 28323

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,489 Katrina Eames

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1490 Christine Blyumbe rg

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,491 Lane Mullis

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,492 Jane Laurel Matanga Park NC 28739-7716

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,493 Elizabeth n Mcmaho Wilmingt on NC 28405

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,494 Elizabeth n
Mcmaho Wilmingt
on NC 28405

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,495 Melia Shell
Henders 28739-
onville NC 1330

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1496 Megann Robinson Varina
Fuquay 27526-
NC 8698

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Stephani
1,497 e Swaine

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,498 Staris Morgan o Goldsboro NC 27530

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,499 a Samanth Cook e Montrose MN 55363

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,500 Cris Reynolds

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,501 Pamela Griffin Greensboro NC 27407-6207

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1502 Julie Bernstorff

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,503 Denise Askew

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,504 Grace Hepler Clemmons NC 27012

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,505 Patricia Burgert Wake Forest NC 27587

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,506 Charlene Grattan

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,507 Willie Boseman

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1508 Corbett Suzan

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Catherin
1,509 e Carter Cullowhe
e NC 28723

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,510 Peggy Bennett Vancebo
ro NC 28586-7501

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,511 Desiree Bapple Raleigh NC 27615

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,512 Jeremy Ehrlich Seattle WA 98109

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,513 Deidra Smith

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1514 Carolyn Pilgrim

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,515 Amalia Cochran
Gainesville FL 32608

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,516 Leslie Richardson
Tryon NC 28722-6409

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,517 Stephanie Norris
Laurel Hill NC 28351

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Turnmir
1,518 Franklin e

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,519 Henry Preacher

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1520 Ruth Miller Candler NC 28715

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,521 Jade London

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,522 Darlene Brown Charlotte NC 28227

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,523 Dawna Jenkins Nebo NC 28761-7691

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,524 Gavin Huggett

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers. The U.S. Forest Service should not make exceptions to its regulations for MVP, which has made over 500 violations, most of them regarding sediment and erosion problems which would also have major impacts on the Jefferson National Forest. Additionally we all know that climate change is here and we are approaching the point of no return regarding its impacts. It is time to stop any additional fossil fuel projects, especially when there is no local need for them and they will only incur damages locally while the only ones benefiting are corporate interests.

1,525 Anne Lusby-Denham

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1526 Tracy Kravchenko San Francisco CA 94121-3652

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,527 Elizabeth Savely Asheville NC 28804

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,528 Terri Luginbyh Harrisonburg VA 22801-4221

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,529 Betsy Postel Raeford NC 28376-3117

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,530 Joanne Casey Callaway VA 24067

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,531 Thomas Adams Blacksburg VA 24060-2050

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1532 Maria Salgado Chapel Hill NC 27517-8508

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,533 Maria Ojeda Bradenton FL 34203

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,534 Kathleen Heid Ocala FL 34481

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,535 Jordan Haeger Asheville NC 28801

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Desirree
1,536 Marlana Clonch Lenoir NC

28645

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,537 Judy Taylor Charlotte NC

28211

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1538 Deborah Burchard

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction. These types of sources are vital to our overall wellbeing and wildlife.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,539 Karley Mitchell

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,540 Liz Reed

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Sara
1,541 Moore Knott

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,542 Robert Medina Asheville NC 28816-7762

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,543 Brock Purdy

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1544 Ellen Valentine Roanoke VA 24014-1640

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,545 Susan Nolan Lynchburg VA 24502-4335

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,546 Christine Eardley

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,547 Diane Lipscomb

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,548 Donna Fuqua Charlotte NC 28215-8530

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,549 Megan Sprague Charlotte VA 22902-5437

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1550 James Smith Mount Airy NC 27030-8415

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Anderso
1,551 Annelise n

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,552 Patty Shelton

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,553 Anthony Yates
Winston- 27105-
Salem NC 2511

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,554 Wendy Fuquay Cranford Varina NC 27526-5850

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,555 Ralph Corbo

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1556 James Nance Eden NC 27289-0343

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,557 Laura Wurzel Charlotte NC 28277-4329

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,558 Knight Miller

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,559 Laurette Guay Jamestown NC 27282-9462

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,560 Todd Snyder San Francisco CA 94115

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,561 Elizabeth Brinson New Bern NC 28562-9519

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1562 Joan Hardy

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,563 Jon Sault Fuquay Varina NC 27526-5245

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,564 Monique Brown

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,565 Anne Patton Crozet VA 22932-9464

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,566 Lariza Garzon Deltona FL 32738-5412

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,567 Cynthia Mabe

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Pennington
1568 Brandon on

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,569 Cherish Lloyd

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,570 Virginia Huffer

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,571 John Dimling Winston-Salem NC 27104-2223

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,572 Emily Faust

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,573 Deborah Gentle Lexington NC 27295-5752

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1574 Lou Okinawa Sequim WA 98382

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,575 Ashley Paschal

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,576 Michael Hedrick

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,577 Gloria Rogers Catawba NC 28609-9010

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,578 Lorine Broome Davidson NC 28036

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,579 Kristie Rodrigue z

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1580 Andrea Ayala

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,581 Shelby Green

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,582 Amber Green

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,583 Chance Lawson

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,584 Sara Knauz Hopkins MN 55343

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,585 Barbara Brown Carolina Beach NC 28428

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1586 Sharon Daugherty Fort Collins CO 80524

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,587 Elizabeth Smith Durham NC 27705

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,588 Lacey Payne

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,589 Audria D'Entremont

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Madelin
1,590 e Castro

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Arrington Manches
1,591 Debra n ter TN 37355-6674

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

blowing
1592 Nancy Coffey rock NC 28605-9038

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,593 Mitzi Combs Traphill NC 28685-9061

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,594 Jessica Barlow San Diego CA 92104

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,595 Dorothy I Kings Mountain NC 28086-8280

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,596 Kimberly Hall

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,597 Erik Wennberg

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1598 Terry Rader

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,599 Kimberly Lemos

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,600 Angela Burchard Plymouth MI 48170

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,601 Christine Vivio Seattle WA 98126

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,602 Ann Myers Redwood City CA 94063

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,603 Mary Waldrop

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1604 Lyn Cook Greensboro NC 27406-4709

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,605 J. Beverly Urbana IL 61801

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,606 Lynne Brimeco mbe MI

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,607 Karen Karney

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,608 B S

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,609 Ophelia Moore

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1610 Nancy Lent Wilmington NC 28405

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,611 Kathryn Potter Asheville NC 28804-1949

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,612 Susan Flint

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Genevieve Whittemore
1,613 Linda

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,614 Lucindy Warren Mebane NC 27302-9730

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,615 Linda Maino

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1616 Bonnie Pastre

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Charlotte 22902-
1,617 Barbara Gehrung sville VA 5721

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,618 Alex Mancuso

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Dellafoss
1,619 Charity e

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,620 Barbara Jung South Bend IN 46628-3745

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,621 Pam Watts

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1622 Patty Church

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,623 Artimus Ford

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,624 Regina Anders

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,625 Barbara Jung
South Bend IN 46628-3745

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,626 Kathleen O'Neal Mills River NC 28759-9674

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,627 Rachel Arnold

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1628 Frank Ingles

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,629 Alan Britt

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,630 Misha Fulton

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,631 Jennifer Drum Candler NC 28715-8839

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,632 Hilda Jones

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,633 Mary Knighton

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Katharin Greenwill 27858-
1634 e Olsen e NC 0129

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,635 Karen Ellison

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

MaryBet
1,636 h Collins

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,637 Gina Bostic

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,638 Kim Kranker

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Samanth
1,639 a Carter

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1640 Sylvia Smithwic New
k Bern NC 28560

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,641 Phillip Palmer Trinity NC 27370-7013

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,642 Liz Davis Brevard NC 28712

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,643 Pat Free

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,644 Dewayne I Campbell EVINGTON VA 24550

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,645 Davis Shirley Trinity NC 27370

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1646 Phil Newsome King NC 27021-9153

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,647 Jessica Germain e

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,648 Judy Johnson

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,649 a Leeleann Rodarte

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,650 Tina Sanders-Hill Durham NC 27713-9272

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,651 Kyle Brown

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1652 Sarah Lanzman Dyke VA 22935

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,653 David Goist Asheville NC 28806-9783

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,654 Sally Hirsh Winston Salem NC 27103-3709

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,655 Jude Lobe

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,656 Wendy Johnson

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment and people. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,657 Marion Guck

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Fayetteville
1658 Richelle Cornwell Ile NC

28311

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative.

The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,659 Ron Hoffman Asheville NC 28804-2351

1,660 Sharon Enzi Bayboro NC 28515

1,661 Radha Newsom Nordland WA 98358

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,662 Esther
Widgren Hill Chapel NC 27516-3208

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,663 Johansen Deon

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1664 Jon
Hruska d Richmond VA 23226

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,665 Teresa Benson Cincinnati OH 45240

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,666 Kathryn Henderson Charleston MA 02129-1221

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,667 Eileen Juric Raleigh NC 27605

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,668 Jacob Hurley

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,669 Helen Fisher Lenoir NC 28645

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1670 Karen Clausing

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,671 Ruth McGallia Burnsville NC 28714

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,672 Melissa Sheppard Salisbury NC 28144-7788

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,673 Beth Weaverville NC 28787-9394

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Blackbur
1,674 William n

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,675 Elizabeth Swinney

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1676 Lisa
Winston Buzzard Salem NC 27105

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,677 Tom Nasta Roanoke VA 24018

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,678 Gloria Lowery Belmont NC 28012-7713

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,679 Lisa Lloyd Greensboro NC 27410

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,680 Howard Jones Roanoke VA 24018-7002

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Katherin Carpenter Blacksburg VA 24060-1681

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1682 Patricia Bryant Clayton NC 27520-1731

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,683 Mary Burns

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,684 Shelley Frazier durham NC 27712

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,685 Liz Thompson

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,686 Anne Lane

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,687 Becky Duffey
Fishersville VA 22939-2038

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1688 Pamela Bacon
Lexington NC 27292

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,689 Ashley Hodges Roanoke VA 24018-7733

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,690 Susan Yarnell Chapel Hill NC 27516

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,691 Donaldso Shumper Atlanta GA 30318

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,692 Diana Soloway

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,693 Beverly McIlwain Granite Falls NC 28630-8807

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1694 Rita Gorecki Quakertown PA 18951-3279

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,695 Tina Cox Radford VA 24141

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,696 Sarah Thomson Rutherford rdton NC 28139-2455

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,697 Jeanette Hess Dayton VA 22821

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,698 Debra Smith Morganton NC 28655-7902

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,699 Barbara Bombar

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1700 Thomas Keene St Augustine FL 32080

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,701 Art Smoker Mars Hill NC 28754-9143

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,702 Richard Osborne

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,703 Bonnie Allan Black Mountain NC 28711-3270

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,704 Edward Scerbo Verona VA 24482

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,705 Athena Harrington Bunnleville NC 28323

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1706 Christie Driscoll Charlotte NC 28205-6045

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,707 Lisa Chicoinc Mt Airy NC 27030-8887

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Michael Blacksbu 24060-1539
1,708 Dien Nguyen rg VA

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,709 Michael Bentley

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,710 Suzanne Lippuner

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,711 Jon Fuquay 27526-
Sault Varina NC 5245

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1712 Breanna Tucker

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,713 Vanessa Quinn Charlotte NC 28205-3166

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,714 Christine Chaplik Liberty NC 27298-8656

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,715 Mikaela Falk

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,716 Michelle Herring Clinton NC 28328

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,717 Margie Zalesak Cary NC 27513-6279

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1718 Christy Epperson Goode VA 24556-0141

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,719 Diab Rabie

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,720 Sierra Bricker

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,721 Lynn Clark Millers Creek NC 28651-8954

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,722 Lorine Broome Davidson NC 28036

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,723 Elena Carleo Asheboro NC 27205-7449

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Goodyko 1724 ontz Carrie Belmont NC 28012

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Mary
1,725 Sue Bennett Rochester NY 14625

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,726 Steve Gray Angier NC 27501

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

Lawrenc
1,727 e Grant

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,728 Sarah Overcash

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,729 Tony Dews West College Corner IN 47003-9155

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1730 Shaquan Strothers Sylva NC 28779

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,731 Jane Laurel Matanga Park NC 28739-7716

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,732 Dara Wishingrad New York NY 10011

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,733 Liz Davis Brevard NC 28712

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,734 Ruth Miller Candler NC 28715

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,735 Susan Seabury Wilmington NC 28403-2623

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1736 Joanne Casey Callaway VA 24067

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,737 Diana Bair Beckley WV 25801-2858

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,738 Lori Copeland

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,739 Elizabeth Barnes Vinton VA 24179-2256

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,740 Lynda Johnson Morehead City NC 28557

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1,741 Christy Thompson Hendersonville NC 28792-8527

On December 23, 2022, the U.S. Forest Service issued a revised environmental impact statement that proposes new construction guidelines and would enable the fracked gas Mountain Valley Pipeline to be built within the Jefferson National Forest. I'm writing to you today to urge the Forest Service to protect the National Forest and select Alternative 1, the No Action alternative. The Mountain Valley Pipeline is inconsistent with the Forest Plan, and I urge you to reject the eleven proposed amendments and exceptions.

Let me explain why the Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) should be prevented from being constructed on our public lands. To start, this revised statement still does not adequately take into account the severe risks to the water, land, and wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the Pipeline's construction.

Allowing the Pipeline's construction within the Jefferson National Forest would threaten the integrity of the forest and expose local communities – and the water upon which they depend – to a wide array of environmental dangers.

Lastly, frontline communities have been repeatedly ignored by those making decisions about the lands upon which they live and rely on. The U.S. Forest Service must do better to work with these communities to fully understand any construction's impact and their needs.

MVP is over-budget, past deadline, dismissive of frontline communities, and extremely dangerous to our environment. We cannot allow this deeply flawed fracked gas project to destroy 3.5 miles of the Jefferson National Forest.

1742 Sam Chapman Romney WV 26757